

Attachment Summary

Attachment Name	Description	Associated Item(s)
-----------------	-------------	--------------------



2025-2026 Phase Two: School Assurances_10272025_09:48

2025-2026 Phase Two: School Assurances

Ft Wright Elementary School
Sarah Ackel
501 Farrell Dr
Covington, Kentucky, 41011
United States of America

Table of Contents

2025-2026 Phase Two: School Assurances	3
----------------------------------------	---

2025-2026 Phase Two: School Assurances

Introduction

Assurances are a required component of the improvement planning process (703 KAR 5:225). Please read each assurance carefully and indicate whether your school complies by selecting the appropriate response (Yes, No or N/A). If you wish to provide further information or clarify your response, space for comments is provided. Comments are optional. You may upload any supporting documentation as needed.

Federal Programs

1. If the school receives Title II, Part A funds, the school provides professional development for staff that is in accordance with the purpose of Title II, Part A of ESSA (Every Student Succeeds Act) (job-embedded, evidence-based, sustainable, data-driven and classroom-focused); addresses the needs of all students; and, strives to ensure all students are transition ready as intended by Section 2103 of ESSA, which governs the local use of Title II, Part A funding.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

2. The school ensures that the use of federal funding, including expenditures for certified or classified positions (e.g. counselors, nurses, media specialists, etc.), is reasonable and necessary in compliance with 2 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) 200.403 and 200.405.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

Title I Programs

3. The school distributes to parents and family members of participating children in a targeted assistance program, or all children in a schoolwide program, a written parent and family engagement policy, which is agreed on by such parents, and describes the means for carrying out the requirements of ESSA Section 1116 (c) through (f). The school makes the policy available to the local community and updates it periodically to meet the changing needs of parents and the school. For reference, Section 1116(b) of

ESSA allows existing parent and family engagement policies the school may have in place to be amended to meet the requirements under Title I, Part A.

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

4. The school convenes an annual meeting, at a convenient time, to which all parents of participating children in a targeted assistance program, or all children in a schoolwide program, are invited and encouraged to attend, to inform parents of their school's participation in Title I, Part A and to explain the requirements of Title I, Part A, and the right of the parents to be involved, as required under Section 1116(c)(1).

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

5. The school offers a flexible number of meetings to parents, such as meetings in the morning or evening, and may provide, with funds provided under this part, transportation, child care, or home visits, as such services relate to parental involvement (ESSA Section 1116(c)(2)).

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

6. The school involves parents, in an organized, ongoing, and timely way, in the planning, review, and improvement of programs under Title I. This includes the planning, review, and improvement of the school parent and family engagement policy and the joint development of the schoolwide program plan under section 1114(b). If a school has in place a process for involving parents in the joint planning and design of the school's programs, the school may use that process, if such process includes an adequate representation of parents of participating children (ESSA Section 1116 (c)(3)).

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

7. The school provides parents of participating children in a targeted assistance program, or all children in a schoolwide program—

A. timely information about programs under Title I;

B. a description and explanation of the curriculum in use at the school, the forms of academic assessment used to measure student progress, and the achievement levels of the challenging state academic standards; and

C. if requested by parents, opportunities for regular meetings to formulate suggestions and to participate, as appropriate, in decisions relating to the education of their children, and respond to any such suggestions as soon as practicably possible (ESSA Section 1116 (c)(4)).

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

8. The school jointly develops with parents for all children served under this part a school-parent compact that outlines how parents, the entire school staff, and students will share the responsibility for improved student academic achievement and the means by which the school and parents will build and develop a partnership to help children achieve the state's high standards. The compact meets the requirements outlined in ESSA 1116(d)(1-2).

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

9. The school provides assistance to parents of children served by the school in understanding such topics as the challenging state academic standards, state and local academic assessments, the requirements of Title I, and how to monitor a child's progress and work with educators to improve the achievement of their children, as required by ESSA Section 1116(e)(1).

- Yes
- No

N/A

COMMENTS

10. The school provides materials and training to help parents to work with their children to improve their children's achievement, such as literacy training and using technology (including education about the harms of copyright piracy), as appropriate, to foster parental involvement, as required in ESSA Section 1116(e)(2).

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

11. The school educates teachers, specialized instructional support personnel, principals, other school leaders, and other staff, with the assistance of parents, in the value and utility of contributions of parents, and in how to reach out to, communicate with, and work with parents as equal partners, implement and coordinate parent programs, and build ties between parents and the school, as required in ESSA Section 1116(e)(3).

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

12. To the extent feasible and appropriate, the school coordinates and integrates parent involvement programs and activities with other federal, state, and local programs, including public preschool programs, and conducts other activities, such as parent resource centers, that encourage and support parents in more fully participating in the education of their children (ESSA Section 1116(e)(4)).

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

13. The school ensures that information related to school and parent programs, meetings, and other activities is sent to the parents of participating children, or all

children in a schoolwide program, in a format and, to the extent practicable, in a language the parents can understand, as required in ESSA Section 1116(e)(5).

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

14. To the extent practicable, the school provides opportunities for the informed participation of parents and family members (including parents and family members who have limited English proficiency, parents and family members with disabilities, and parents and family members of migratory children), including providing information and school reports required under section 1111 in a format and, to the extent practicable, in a language parents understand (ESSA Section 1116(f)).

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

Title I Schoolwide Programs

15. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan, or CSIP) during a 1-year period or qualifies for an exception under Section 1114(b)(1) of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

16. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (CSIP) with the involvement of parents and other members of the community to be served as well as individuals who will carry out such plan (e.g. teachers, administrators, classified staff, etc.) as required by Section 1114(b)(2) of ESSA.

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

17. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (CSIP) that will remain in effect for the duration of the school's participation under Title I, Part A of ESSA as required by Section 1114(b)(3) of ESSA.

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

18. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (CSIP) that is available to district leadership, parents, and the public and in an understandable and uniform format as required by Section 1114(b)(4) of ESSA.

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

19. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (CSIP) that, to the extent appropriate and applicable, coordinates with other federal, state, and local programs, including but not limited to the implementation of improvement activities in schools identified for comprehensive or targeted support and improvement, as required by Section 1114(b)(5) of ESSA.

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

20. In a schoolwide program, the school developed a comprehensive plan (CSIP) that is based on a comprehensive needs assessment, which included a review of academic achievement data, and includes, among other items, a description of the strategies the school will implement to address school needs as required by Section 1114(b)(6) of ESSA. The comprehensive needs assessment was developed with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan, and the school documents

how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results, as required by 34 CFR 200.26 (Code of Federal Regulations).

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

21. In a schoolwide program, the school developed, pursuant to Section 1114(b)(7), a comprehensive plan (CSIP) that includes a description of the strategies to be implemented to address school needs, including how such strategies: (1) provide opportunities for all children; (2) use methods and instructional strategies that strengthen the academic program in the school, increase learning time, and provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum; and, (3) address the needs of all children through, for example, the following activities: school-based mental health programs; a tiered model to prevent and address behavioral problems; professional development to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers; and/or, strategies for assisting preschool children transition to local elementary school programs.

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

22. The school regularly monitors the implementation and results achieved by the schoolwide program, using data from the state's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement. The school determines whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the challenging state academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards. The school revises the plan as necessary based on student needs and on the results of the regular monitoring, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program and to ensure that all students are provided opportunities to meet the challenging state academic standards, as required by Section 1114 (b)(3) of ESSA and 34 CFR 200.26.

Yes

No

N/A

COMMENTS

Title I Targeted Assistance School Programs

23. In a targeted assistance school program, participating students are identified in accordance with ESSA Section 1115(c) and on the basis of multiple, educationally related, objective criteria.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

24. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students using resources under Title I, Part A of ESSA to meet challenging state academic standards as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(A) of ESSA.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

25. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves, pursuant to Section 1115(b)(2)(B) of ESSA, participating students using methods and instructional strategies to strengthen the academic program of the school, which may include, for example, expanded learning time, summer programs, and/or a tiered model to prevent and address behavioral problems.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

26. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students by coordinating with and supporting the regular educational program as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(C) of ESSA.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

27. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students by providing professional development to, for example, teachers, administrators, classified staff, and/or other school personnel who work with participating students as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(D) of ESSA.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

28. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves, pursuant to Section 1115(b)(2)(E) of ESSA, participating students by implementing strategies to increase the involvement of parents of participating students in accordance with Section 1116 of ESSA.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

29. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students, to the extent appropriate and applicable, by coordinating with other federal, state, and local programs, including but not limited to the implementation of improvement activities in schools identified for comprehensive or targeted support and improvement, as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(F) of ESSA.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

30. In a targeted assistance school program, the school provides assurances to the local educational agency (district) that the school will—
(i) help provide an accelerated, high-quality curriculum;
(ii) minimize the removal of children from the regular classroom during regular school hours for instruction provided under Title I;
(iii) serve participating students by reviewing the progress of participating students on an ongoing basis and revising the targeted assistance program, if necessary, to provide additional assistance to meet challenging state academic standards as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(G) of ESSA.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

Schools Identified for Targeted Support and Improvement

31. If identified for targeted support and improvement in the previous year, including additional targeted support and improvement, pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2) of ESSA, the school developed and implemented a plan to improve student outcomes that, among other items, was informed by all indicators, including student performance against long-term goals; included evidence-based interventions; and, approved by local leadership. For reference, “evidence-based” is defined in ESSA Section 8101(21).

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

32. If identified this school year for targeted support and improvement, including additional targeted support and improvement, pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2) of ESSA, the school will develop and implement a plan to improve student outcomes that, among other items, is informed by all indicators, including student performance against long-term goals; includes evidence-based interventions; and, is approved by local leadership. For reference, “evidence-based” is defined in ESSA Section 8101(21).

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

33. If identified in the previous year for additional targeted support and improvement pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2)(C), the school developed and implemented a plan to improve student outcomes that also identified resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of such plan.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

34. If identified this school year for additional targeted support and improvement pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2)(C), the school will develop and implement a plan to improve student outcomes that also identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of such plan.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

Attachment Summary

Attachment Name	Description	Associated Item(s)
-----------------	-------------	--------------------

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

27. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students by providing professional development to, for example, teachers, administrators, classified staff, and/or other school personnel who work with participating students as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(D) of ESSA.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

28. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves, pursuant to Section 1115(b)(2)(E) of ESSA, participating students by implementing strategies to increase the involvement of parents of participating students in accordance with Section 1116 of ESSA.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

29. In a targeted assistance school program, the school serves participating students, to the extent appropriate and applicable, by coordinating with other federal, state, and local programs, including but not limited to the implementation of improvement activities in schools identified for comprehensive or targeted support and improvement, as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(F) of ESSA.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

30. In a targeted assistance school program, the school provides assurances to the local educational agency (district) that the school will—
(i) help provide an accelerated, high-quality curriculum;
(ii) minimize the removal of children from the regular classroom during regular school hours for instruction provided under Title I;
(iii) serve participating students by reviewing the progress of participating students on an ongoing basis and revising the targeted assistance program, if necessary, to provide additional assistance to meet challenging state academic standards as required by Section 1115(b)(2)(G) of ESSA.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

Schools Identified for Targeted Support and Improvement

31. If identified for targeted support and improvement in the previous year, including additional targeted support and improvement, pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2) of ESSA, the school developed and implemented a plan to improve student outcomes that, among other items, was informed by all indicators, including student performance against long-term goals; included evidence-based interventions; and, approved by local leadership. For reference, “evidence-based” is defined in ESSA Section 8101(21).

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

32. If identified this school year for targeted support and improvement, including additional targeted support and improvement, pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2) of ESSA, the school will develop and implement a plan to improve student outcomes that, among other items, is informed by all indicators, including student performance against long-term goals; includes evidence-based interventions; and, is approved by local leadership. For reference, “evidence-based” is defined in ESSA Section 8101(21).

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

33. If identified in the previous year for additional targeted support and improvement pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2)(C), the school developed and implemented a plan to improve student outcomes that also identified resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of such plan.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

34. If identified this school year for additional targeted support and improvement pursuant to Section 1111(d)(2)(C), the school will develop and implement a plan to improve student outcomes that also identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of such plan.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

COMMENTS

Attachment Summary

Attachment Name	Description	Associated Item(s)
-----------------	-------------	--------------------



2025-2026 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan_11192025_12:59

2025-2026 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan

Ft Wright Elementary School
Sarah Ackel
501 Farrell Dr
Covington, Kentucky, 41011
United States of America

Table of Contents

2025-2026 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan	3
Attachment Summary	5

2025-2026 Phase Three: Comprehensive School Improvement Plan

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) is a plan developed by the school council with the input of parents, faculty, and staff, based on a review of relevant data that includes targets, strategies, activities, a time schedule to support student achievement and student growth, and to eliminate achievement gaps among groups of students. During the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps between identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the CSIP cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes).

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. **No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.**

Accountability Indicators

The accountability indicators for elementary/middle schools include the following:

- State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
- State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
- English Learner Progress
- Quality of School Climate and Safety
- Achievement Gap

The accountability indicators for high schools include the following:

- State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
- State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
- English Learner Progress
- Quality of School Climate and Safety
- Postsecondary Readiness (high school only)
- Graduation Rate (high school only)
- Achievement Gap

The Comprehensive School Improvement Plan Goal Building Template

1. The goal building template is a complement to the Needs Assessment for Schools. Schools must download and complete the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan Goal Building Template to develop both short- and long-term targets and outline the activities intended to produce the desired changes in the required indicators, as well as additional indicators identified as priority through the needs assessment process. When

developing goals, all schools are required to address achievement gap and state assessment results in reading and mathematics. Once completed, upload the template in the attachment area directly below.

- a. **Upload your completed template in the attachment area directly below.**

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment Name

 FW KDE Comprehensive Improvement Plan For Schools

Attachment Summary

Attachment Name	Description	Associated Item(s)
 FW KDE Comprehensive Improvement Plan For Schools		• 1

Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

The CSIP is a plan developed by the school council with the input of parents, faculty and staff based on a review of relevant data that includes targets, strategies, activities, a time schedule to support student achievement and student growth and to eliminate achievement gaps among groups of students. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes).

Please note that the objectives (yearly targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions (and general information about goal setting) for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of this planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act, as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. **No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.**

Operational Definitions

When completing the template sections that follow, please refer to the following operational definitions:

- **Goal:** Long-term three- to five-year targets based on the school level state assessment results. Long-term targets should be informed by the Phase Two: Needs Assessment for Schools;
- **Objective:** Short-term yearly target to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal;
- **Strategy:** An approach to systematically address the process, practice or condition that the school will focus its efforts upon, as identified in the Needs Assessment for Schools, in order to reach its goals or objectives. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another established improvement approach (i.e., *Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.*);
- **Key Core Work Processes:** A series of processes identified by the Kentucky Department of Education that involve the majority of an organization's workforce and relate to its core competencies. These are the factors that determine an organization's success and help it prioritize areas for growth;

KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards

KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction

KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy

KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Results

KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support

KCWP 6: Establish Learning Environment and Culture

- **Activity:** Actionable steps the school will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy;
- **Progress Monitoring:** Process used to collect and analyze measures of success to assess the level of implementation, the rate of improvement and the effectiveness of the plan. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. The description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals; and
- **Funding:** Local, state or federal funds/grants used to support (or needed to support) the activities.

Goal Setting:

When developing goals, all schools must establish achievement gap targets and set goals in the area of state assessment results in reading and mathematics. Other goals aligned to the indicators in the state's accountability system and deemed priority areas in the Phase Two: Needs Assessment for Schools are optional.

Required Goals

Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets. Additional rows may be added for multiple targets, strategies and activities.

Objective(s):

Objective 1: *By 2026, Fort Wright Elementary School will increase reading proficiency for students with disabilities from 36% in 2025 to 40% in 2026 as measured by the school report card proficiency data.*

Objective 2: *By 2026, Fort Wright Elementary School will increase math proficiency for students with disabilities from 24% in 2025 to 30% in 2026 as measured by the school report card proficiency data.*

Strategy:

KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards

KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, Apply Data Results

Activities:

- All special education teachers attend embedded professional development in the area of best practices, Thinking Strategies, and SDI practices to successfully co-teach with the general education teacher. Instructional strategies to aid in curricular adjustments when students fail to meet mastery.
- Intentional instructional walks in Special Ed Resource and collaborative settings to monitor implementation as well as SDI and the Quality of Instruction Cycle by Administration and district consultants.
- All special education teachers attend weekly special education PLCs to review student progress on IEP goals, Name and Claim Data Dashboards to analyze Common Assessment Data and share best instructional practices. In addition, all special educators attend collaborative grade level PLCs to analyze student data to grade level standards.
- Intentional training for special education teachers on HQIR resources; CKLA Amplify for literacy and Amplify Desmos for math.
- Lesson internalization vertical planning days for CKLA and Desmos.

Progress Monitoring:

- Lesson Plans; LMS-Performance Matters; Sped Data Dashboard; Compliance Data
- LMS-FA and Common Assessment Data/Weekly PLC Agenda and Minutes contain Action Plans for the following week; MTSS Data

Funding:

\$500 -Vertical Planning Days (Title 1 Funds)

State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Kentucky's accountability system uses multiple academic and school quality measures, with each indicator contributing to the overall score. Reading and math proficiency are foundational to student success, and state assessment results in reading and mathematics carry the greatest weight when calculating the overall score at each level (elementary, middle and high school). This indicator is a required goal area for all schools.

Three- to Five-Year Goal:

By 2030, Fort Wright Elementary will increase the reading proficiency for all students from 69% in 2025 to 80% as measured by the School Report Card proficiency data. By 2029, Fort Wright Elementary will increase the math proficiency for all students from 63% in 2025 to 80% in 2030 as measured by the School Report Card proficiency data.

Objective(s):

By 2026, Fort Wright Elementary School will increase reading proficiency for all students from 69% in 2025 to 72% In 2026 as measured by the school report card proficiency data.

Strategy:

KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction

KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, Apply Data Results

KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Processes

Activities:

- Weekly data PLCs to analyze student data. During this time, teachers name and claim each student that scored novice, apprentice, proficient, and distinguished. Then, teachers develop a plan to adjust instruction for spiral reviews and needs based instruction.
- All staff are trained on the MTSS procedures and roadmap with a continued focus on implementing intervention programs with fidelity and following progression charts during progress checks. The general education teacher as well as the interventionists meet three-four times a year to review intervention progress. Then, the MTSS team look at the intervention data to monitor progress of each intervention.
- Staff routinely monitor school and district Transition Ready Data Dashboards to monitor students performing below proficiency.
- Teachers use daily formatives, weekly classroom formatives, and common assessment data to adjust instruction in real time as well MAP Growth and MAP Fluency Diagnostic data.
- Continue year 3 of implementation of new CKLA Amplify comprehensive literacy instructional resource and professional learning for all teachers in the shift from balance literacy to the science of reading. Teachers receive professional development (grade level Boost sessions). Amplify Throughout the year, embedded professional learning is implemented to ensure teachers are implementing the cycle of quality instruction.
- The admin team meets weekly to discuss non-academic barriers to learning including attendance, behavior, as well as needed family support to reduce of physical and mental health barriers to learning.

Progress Monitoring:

- Weekly PLC Agenda and Minutes contain Action Plans for the following week
- MTSS Dashboard and Performance Matters: Intervention Data
- Weekly PLC Agenda and Minutes contain Action Plans for the following week/ Intervention Progress Data

- LMS – Performance Matters to review weekly formative assessments and district common assessment data; Lesson plans are submitted to administrators on school Google Drive.
- MAP Fluency Diagnostic Data – 3x year and MAP Growth -2 x year
- Administrator Walks and Observations; Weekly walk data reported to staff
- Weekly MTSS Agenda and Minutes; small groups with counselor, RBTL Interventionist, FRYSC; School Based Therapy; Student Extra Curricular Survey

Funding:

\$6,000 planning days (Title I Funds)

Alignment to Needs: Optional Goals

Through the Phase Two: Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified, and processes, practices and/or conditions were chosen for focus. Identify any additional indicators that will be addressed by the school in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement by selecting “yes” or “no” from the dropdown options (beside each indicator) below. For any indicator noted as a priority with a “yes,” schools must complete the below fields. For any indicator marked with a “no,” no further information is needed. Each indicator must have a “yes” or “no” response in the below table.

Indicator	Priority Indicator?
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing	Yes
English Learner Progress	Yes
Quality of School Climate and Safety	Yes
Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only)	No
Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only)	No

Priority Indicator Goals:

Complete the fields below for each indicator that was chosen as a priority with a “yes” response above.

Priority Indicator #1: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Three- to Five-Year Goal:

By 2030, Fort Wright Elementary School will increase the science, social studies and writing proficiency for all students from 62% in 2025 to 75% in 2030 as measured by the School Report Card proficiency data.

Objective(s):

Objective 1: *By 2026, Fort Wright Elementary School will increase the science, social studies and writing proficiency for all students from 62% in 2025 to 65% in 2026 as measured by the School Report Card proficiency data.*

Objective 2: *By 2026, Fort Wright Elementary School will decrease the science, social studies and writing novice for all students from 11% in 2025 to 7% in 2026 as measured by the School Report Card proficiency data.*

Strategy:

Objective 1 Strategy: KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction

Objective 2 Strategy: KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, Apply Data Results

Activities:

Objective 1 Activities:

- All teachers are responsible to include the standard, learning targets, tasks, and formative assessment measures in all lesson plans. Lesson plans are collected weekly. Then administrators follow a process to review the plans and give feedback as needed. Lesson plans are pulled up when walking in each classroom during instructional learning walks. Writing scrimmages and calibration happen throughout the year.
- Teachers analyze formative assessments and use wrong answer analysis to help each student understand where they are going, where they currently are, and how they can close the gap.
- Departmentalization planning day

Objective 2 Activities:

Teachers collaborate across the district with grade level teachers to share best practices in science, social studies, and writing. Teachers observe an in-house exemplar content teacher to learn best practices and thinking strategies that can be incorporated across contents.

Progress Monitoring:

- Weekly Lesson Plans are submitted to administrators on school Google Drive
- LMS – Performance Matters
- CSIP Strategy Meetings, PD's, Student Lab Observations

Funding:

\$300 (Title I Funds)

Priority Indicator #2: English Learner Progress

Three- to Five-Year Goal:

By 2030, Fort Wright Elementary School will increase reading proficiency for EL students from 30% in 2025 to 50% in 2030 as measured by the School Report Card proficiency data.

Objective(s):

By 2026, Fort Wright Elementary School will increase reading proficiency for EL students from 30% in 2025 to 35% in 2026 as measured by the school report card proficiency data.

Strategy:

KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards

KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, Apply Data Results

Activities:

- Stakeholders will engage ongoing professional development and collaborate with the EL teacher to build capacity of best practices for EL students.
- Teachers engage in data analysis including Common Assessment data, MAP Growth and MAP Fluency Diagnostic scores to identify trends and student needs and make needed instructional adjustments as appropriate.
- Increase collaboration in data analysis and student progress towards standards mastery, including identification of students in need of intervention supports.

Progress Monitoring:

- Lesson Plans; LMS- Performance Matters; PLP Service Plans
- Weekly Lesson Plans are submitted to administrators on school Google Drive; LMS- Performance Matters
- MTSS Minutes/Weekly PLC Agendas and Minutes contain Action Plans for the following week

Funding:

\$0

Priority Indicator #3: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Three- to Five-Year Goal:

By 2030, Fort Wright Elementary School will increase the decrease the number of students that perceive students are mean or hurtful to other students online (websites, apps, etc) is a problem from 58% in 2025 to 0% in 2030 as measured by the School Report Card.

Objective(s):

By 2026, Fort Wright Elementary School will decrease the number of students that perceive students are mean or hurtful to other students online (websites, apps, etc) is a problem from 58% in 2025 to 48% in 2026 as measured by the School Report card proficiency data.

Strategy:

KCWP 6: Establish Learning Environment and Culture

Activities:

- FRYSC, School Counselor, School Based Therapist provide students and families resources to assist students in need and to teach/inform appropriate media use as well as strategies for handling mean or harmful content on social media.

- Media Specialist accountable for teaching Digital Citizenship.
- Falcon Friends Club implemented in grades 3-5 to ensure that everyone at Ft. Wright knows they belong.
- All students will participate in Tower Time (School Clubs) several times throughout the year which includes students from other grades to build a sense of belonging and connection to peers and school.

Progress Monitoring:

Weekly MTSS Agenda and Minutes; PBIS Data; Discipline Data; Attendance Data

Funding:

\$0