

TODD COUNTY EMPLOYEE EVALUATION PLANS 2025-2026

Todd County Board of Education 205 Airport Road Elkton, KY 42220 (270) 265-2436 (270) 265-5414, Fax

> Superintendent Jessica Addison

Evaluation Plan Contact:

Dr. Cherise Williams, Director of District Wide Services (270)265-2436 (270)265-5414, Fax

Approved by Committee: August 1, 2025 Approved by Board of Education: August 11, 2025

Todd County Schools Certified Employee Evaluation Plan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Certified Evaluation Plan Assurances	Page 2
50/50 Committee and Appeals Committee	Page 2
District Evaluation Plan Roles and Definition	Page 3
Certified Evaluation Plan Overview	Page 6
Assignment of Primary Evaluator	Page 9
Professional Growth Planning and Timelines	Page 10
Observation Model and Timelines	Page 11
Observation Conferencing	Page 14
Formative and Summative Evaluation Rating	Page 16
Decision Rules for Certified Employees	Page 17
Timeline for Tenured Employees (Teacher and OP)	Page 18
Timeline for Non-Tenured Employees (Teacher and OP)	Page 19
Principal Evaluation Plan Overview	Page 20
Principal Workplace Visit Conferencing	Page 21
District Certified Personnel Evaluation	Page 28
Teachers and Other Professionals Evaluation Documents	Page 29
Peer Observation Documents (OPTIONAL)	Page 30
Self-Reflection and Professional Growth Planning	Page 32
Pre-Observation Document	Page 36
Teacher Formative Evaluation Document	Page 37
Post-Observation Document	Page 39
Teacher Summative Evaluation Document	Page 41
Other Professional Summative Evaluation Document	Page 42
Guidance Counselor Formative Evaluation Document	Page 43
Librarian Formative Evaluation Document	Page 46
School Psychologist Formative Evaluation Document	Page 48
Therapeutic Specialist Formative Evaluation Document	Page 50
Instructional Specialist Formative Evaluation Document	Page 52
Principal Evaluation Documents	Page 54
Principal Professional Growth Planning	Page 55
Principal Performance Standards	Page 56
Principal Formative Workplace Visit Document	Page 61
Principal Summative Evaluation Document	Page 63
District Level Certified Administrator Evaluation Documents	Page 64
District Administrator Formative Evaluation	Page 65
District Administrator Summative Evaluation	Page 67
Appeals Process Statute and Forms	Page 68
Certified Personnel Evaluation Appeal Form	Page 71
Corrective Action Plan	Page 72

ASSURANCES

CERTIFIED SCHOOL CERTIFIED EVALUATION PLAN

Todd County Public Schools *hereby assures the Commissioner of Education that:* This evaluation plan was developed by an evaluation committee composed of an equal number of teachers and administrators (KRS 156.557).

Name: **Brook Wagoner TCCHS** Teacher **TCCHS** Teacher Sarah Belanger Amanda Gant NTES Teacher Rachel Meyer STES Teacher Jennifer Oyler STES Principal Dr. Alexzandra Carrington **TCCHS Assistant Principal** Kim Justice Director of Exceptional Children **Director of District Wide Services** Dr. Cherise Williams The evaluation criteria and process used to evaluate certified school personnel shall be explained to and discussed with the evaluatee no later than the end of the evaluatee's first thirty (30) calendar days of reporting for employment each school year. (704 KAR 3:370) All certified school personnel who have not attained continuing service status shall receive an annual summative evaluation and shall incorporate the formative data collected during the Kentucky TeacherInternship Program (if funded). (KRS 156.557) All certified school personnel who have attained continuing service status shall receive a summative evaluation at least once every five (5) years. (KRS 156.557) Each evaluator will be trained, tested, and approved in the use of appropriate evaluation techniques (KRS156.557). This plan requires a summative evaluation of certified school personnel to be documented in writing andto be included in the evaluatee's official personnel record. (704 KAR 3:370) The local evaluation plan provides for the right to a hearing as to every appeal, an opportunity to reviewall documents presented to the evaluation appeals panel, and a right to presence of evaluatee's chosenrepresentative (KRS 156.557). The evaluation plan process will not discriminate on the basis of age, race, color, national origin, religion, sex, disability, or any other protected characteristic, as required by all applicable federal, state, and locallaw. The local board of education shall review, as needed, the district's certified evaluation plan to ensure compliance with KRS 156.557 and this administrative regulation. If a source of evidence is added or removed from the certified evaluation plan or if a decision rule or calculation is changed in the summativerating formula, the revised certified evaluation plan shall be reviewed and approved by the local board of education. If the local board of education determines the changes do not meet the requirements of KRS 156.557, the certified evaluation plan shall be returned to the certified evaluation committee for revision The local board of education approved the evaluation plan as recorded in the minutes of the meeting heldon 04/8/2024. (704 KAR 3:370) Signature of District Superintendent Date

Signature of Chairperson, Board of Education

Date

District Evaluation Plan

The vision for the District Evaluation Plan is to have every student taught by an effective teacher and every school led by an effective leader. The goal is to create a fair and equitable system to measure teacher and leader effectiveness and act as a catalyst for professional growth.

Roles and Definitions:

- 1. **Administrator:** is any person who devotes the majority of his/her employed time to service in a position for which administration certification is required by the Education Professional Standards Board in 704 KAR Chapter 20.
- 2. Appeals: a process whereby any certified personnel employee who feels that the local school district failed to properly implement the approved evaluation system can formally disagree with his/her evaluation.
- **3. Baseline data:** The data collected prior to interventions being implemented and signifies the student's present level of performance on a targeted skill/concept.
- **4. Conference:** a meeting involving the evaluator and the certified employee evaluated for the purpose of providing feedback from the evaluator, analyzing the results of observation(s) and other information to determine accomplishments and for identifying areas for growth leading toestablishment or revision of a professional growth plan.
- 5. Corrective Action Plan: a plan developed by the evaluator and evaluatee as a result of an unsuccessful standard rating(s) on the summative evaluation or when the evaluator needs to address a specific problem in the employee's performance. Specific assistance and activities are identified, and progress monitored.
- **6. Employee Assistance Team:** a team established to assist the employee in meeting the districtstandards.
- 7. Enduring skills: the ability to use one's knowledge effectively and readily in execution and performance, ensuring content is used beyond a single test date, is a value in other disciplines, provides relevance beyond the classroom and is worthy of embedded, course-long focus.
- **8. Evaluatee:** one whose behaviors and performances are being observed, examined, appraised, or critiqued.
- **9. Evaluation:** the process of assessing or determining the effectiveness of the performance of the certified employee in a given teaching and learning or leadership and management situation, based upon predetermined criteria, through periodic observation and other documentation such as portfolios, optional peer reviews, products, or performances. Evaluation shall also include the establishment and monitoring of individual professional growth plans.
- **10. Evaluation committee:** consists of local school district teachers and administrators who are responsible for developing evaluation procedures and forms for the district evaluation plan. The committee is made up of equal numbers of teachers and administrators.
- **11. Evaluation plan:** includes evaluation forms and procedures. The procedures shall provide for both formative evaluation and summative evaluation components. The Kentucky Department of Education must approve both the plan and the procedures.

- **12. Evaluation procedures:** as well as the evaluation forms, must be designed to foster professional growth and to support individual personnel decisions.
- **13. Evaluator:** one who appraises or carefully examines behaviors and performances to determine avalue. Evaluators must be trained, tested, and certified.
- **14. Formative evaluation:** a continuous cycle of collecting evaluation information and interacting and providing feedback with suggestions regarding the certified employee's professional growth and performance.
- **15. Indicators:** are measurable or observable behaviors and outcomes that demonstrate performance criteria.
- **16. Job category:** a group or class of positions with closely related functions such as: principal, coordinator, or director.
- 17. M: Met
- **18. Mid-year review**: a formal check to monitor goals, needs assessment, and overall performance during the course of the year with the instructional leaders in each building.
- **19. Monitoring:** to supervise; to check systematically or to scrutinize for the purpose of collecting specified categories of data. (For example: principals monitor teacher's lesson plans, units of study, interactions with students, parents, and each other.)
- 20. NI: Needs Improvement
- 21. NM: Not Met
- **22. Observation (formal):** process of gathering information in the performance of duty based onpredetermined criteria in the district evaluation plan within five (5) working days.
- **23. Observation (informal):** an observation whereby the evaluator enters the classroom unannounced to observe performance. Informal observations can take place at any time theemployee is in the performance of his/her duties. These observations may be made as frequently as deemed necessary.
- 24. Openly: with full knowledge of evaluatee.
- **25. Other support staff:** any certified staff other than teacher or administrator.
- **26. Peer observer:** Observation and documentation by a trained certified school personnel.
- **27. Performance criteria:** performance areas, skills, or outcomes on which the certified employeeshall be evaluated based upon position and the district evaluation plan.
- **28. Position:** a professional role in the school district such as teacher, principal, and supervisor of instruction.
- **29. Primary evaluator:** the evaluator who is the employee's immediate supervisor (principal, assistant principal, etc.)
- **30. Professional growth plan:** a plan whereby the person being evaluated establishes goals for enrichment and development with the assistance of the evaluator. The individualized plan includes objectives, a plan for achieving the objectives, and a method for evaluating success. The individual professional growth plan shall be aligned with specific goals and objectives of theschool improvement plan or district improvement plan. Reviewed annually, this plan is attached to the summative evaluation and placed in the individual's personnel file at the district office.
- **31. Post-conference:** a meeting between the evaluator and the certified employee to provide feedback from the evaluator. The evaluator and the certified employee analyze the results of observation(s) and other information to determine accomplishments and areas of growth leading to the establishment or revision of an individual professional growth plan. The post conference shall be conducted within five (5) working days after observation.
- **32. Pre-conference:** a meeting between the evaluator and the certified personnel to discuss and plan the schedule, date, content, time, etc. of the formal observation(s).
- 33. Self-reflection: means the process by which certified personnel assesses the

- effectiveness and adequacy of their knowledge and performance for the purpose of identifying areas for professional learning and growth.
- **34. Standards of performance:** acceptable qualitative or quantitative levels of specific job performances expected of effective certified personnel employees.
- **35. Student voice:** the state-approved student perception survey, administered each year, provides data on specific aspects of the classroom experience and of teaching practice.
- **36. Summative evaluation:** the summary of, and conclusions from, all data, including but not limited to the formative evaluation data. The summative evaluation occurs at the end of an evaluation cycle. Summative evaluation includes a conference involving the evaluator and the evaluated certified employee, and a written evaluation report.
- 37. Teacher: any certified staff person who directly instructs students

For additional definitions and roles, please see 704 KAR 3:370 Professional Growth and Effectiveness System

Certified Evaluation Plan Overview

Effective teaching and school leadership depend on clear standards and expectations, reliable feedback, and the tools, resources and support for professional growth and continuous improvement.

The Kentucky Framework for Personnel Evaluation is designed to measure teacher and leader effectiveness and serve as a catalyst for professional growth and continuous improvement. All teacherswill be evaluated using the four domains from the framework and the results will be reported on district approved forms.

Other Professionals (OP) are defined as the following job assignments and will be part of this evaluation system using the OP specific district approved forms.

- Guidance Counselors
- Library Media Specialist
- Speech Language Pathologist
- Certified District Level Psychologists
- District Instructional and Educational Facilitators

0	Assistant Superintendent	o Preschool Interventionist
0	Director of Pupil Personnel	o Behavioral Interventionist
0	Director of Special Education	o Director of Nutritional Wellness
0	Chief Academic Officer	o Chief Information Officer
0	Chief Financial Officer	o Technology Integration Specialists
0	Special Education Consultants	o Migrant Advocate
0	Instructional Coaches	o EL Teacher
0	Dean of Students	o Gifted and Talented Coordinator
		o Others to be determined by the District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact

I. Kentucky Framework for Personnel Evaluation Overview

Framework for Teaching	Frameworks for Other Professionals
Planning and Preparation	Planning and Preparation
Classroom Environment	Environment
Instruction	Delivery of Service
Professional Responsibilities	Professional Responsibilities

The Framework also includes themes such as equity, cultural competence, high expectations, developmental appropriateness, accommodating individual needs, effective technology integration, and student assumption of responsibility. They provide structure for feedback for continuous improvement through individual goals that target student and professional growth, thus supporting overall school improvement. Evidence documenting professional practice will be situated within one or more of the four domains of the framework. Performance will be rated for each component according to four performance levels: **Ineffective (I)**, **Developing (D)**, **Accomplished (D)**, and **Exemplary (E)**. The summative rating will be a holistic representation

of performance, combining data from multiple sources of evidence across each domain. The timelines outlined throughout the Certified EvaluationPlan document may be adjusted as necessary for extenuating circumstances.

The use of professional judgment based on multiple sources of evidence promotes a more holistic and comprehensive analysis of practice, rather than over-reliance on one individual data point or calculationof practice based on predetermined formulas. Evaluators will also take into account how educators respond to or apply additional support and resources designed to promote student learning, as well as their own professional growth and development. Finally, professional judgment gives evaluators the flexibility to account for a wide variety of factors related to individual educator performance, such as: school-specific priorities that may drive practice in one domain, an educator's number of goals, experience level and/or leadership opportunities, and contextual variables that may impact the learning environment, such as unanticipated outside events or traumas.

Sources of evidence might include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Classroom observation feedback
- Professional Growth Plan and Review Documentation
- Student Growth/Achievement
- Self-assessment and self-reflection
- Assessment data
- Surveys
- Team-developed curriculum units
- Lesson plans
- Professional Development
- Communication logs
- Timely, targeted feedback from any observations, including walk-throughs
- Student data records (student data point, student mobility, attendance, discipline, grades)
- Student work
- Student pre, post, formative and/or summative course evaluations
- Teacher reflections and/or self-reflections
- · Teacher interviews
- Teacher committee or team contributions
- Parent engagement surveys
- Records of student and/or teacher attendance
- Video lessons
- Progress monitoring data
- Membership in professional organizations
- Site Visit / Workplace Visit

In addition to the sources of evidence listed above, letters and memos may be used to document both outstanding performance and performance which needs improvement. The correspondence should be dated and signed by both parties.

For job category, specific sources of evidence, visit the Kentucky Department of Education website at this link.

(https://education.ky.gov/teachers/PGES/otherpages/Documents/Kentucky%20Adapted%20Danielson% 20Framework%20for%20Teaching%20with%20OPGES%20frameworks.pdf)

Performance Measures of the Kentucky Framework for Teaching

Planning

- 1a Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy
- 1b Demonstrating Knowledge of Students
- 1c Setting Instructional Outcomes
- 1d Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources
- 1e Designing Coherent Instruction
- 1f Designing Student Assessments

Classroom Environment

- 2a Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport
- 2b Establishing a Culture for Learning
- 2c Managing Classroom Procedures 2d Managing Student Behavior
- 2e Organizing Physical Space

Instruction

- 3a Communicating with Students
- 3b Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques
- 3c Engaging Students in Learning
- 3d Using Assessment in Instruction
- 3e Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness

Professional Responsibilities

- 4a Reflecting on Teaching
- 4b Maintaining Accurate Records
- 4c Communicating with Families
- 4d Participating in the Professional Community
- 4e Growing and Developing Professionally
- 4f Showing Professionalism

All Performance Measures will be considered in determining the employee's professional practice rating.

Performance Measures 1 and 4 will be measured through artifacts, conferencing, and/or observations. Performance Measures 2 and 3 will be measured through observation and conferencing.

For the Kentucky Framework for Other Professionals visit KDE website with the link below: https://education.ky.gov/teachers/PGES/otherpages/Documents/OPGES%20crosswalk.pdf

Assignment of Primary Evaluator

The immediate supervisor will designate the primary evaluator for each certified staff member assigned to his/her location by September 1 of each year and report it to the district certified evaluation plan contact. Late hires, after September 1, will have a primary evaluator assigned within the first 30 days of employment. In the event of extenuating circumstances, the primary evaluator may be subject to change by the District Certified Evaluation Contact.

Required:

- Observations may begin 30 calendar days after the first day of teacher employment or as soonas staff is trained on the Certified Evaluation Plan.
- District will adhere to the KTIP-approved guidelines when completing evaluations (if/when reinstated by KDE)

Professional Growth Planning

The Professional Growth Plan (PGP) will address realistic, focused, and measurable professional goals. The plan will connect data from multiple sources including classroom observation feedback, data on student growth and achievement, and professional growth needs identified through self-assessment and reflection. In collaboration with the primary evaluator, teachers will identify explicit goals, which will drive the focus of professional growth activities, support, and ongoing reflection. The Professional Growth Plan will align with the school/district improvement plans.

Reflective practices and professional growth planning are repetitive processes. The teacher:

- (1) reflects on his or her current growth needs based on multiple sources of data and identifies an area or areas for focus.
- (2) collaborates with his or her primary evaluator to develop a professional growth plan and action steps.
- (3) implements the plan.
- (4) regularly reflects on the progress and impact of the plan on his or her professional practice.
- (5) modifies the plan as appropriate.
- (6) continues implementation and ongoing reflection.
- (7) and, finally, conducts a summative reflection on the degree of goal attainment and theimplications for next steps.

Required:

- All teachers and other professionals will participate in professional growth planning each yearaccording to the schedule below. Teachers are encouraged to continuously self-reflect on their professional practice throughout the school year. See Tables 1, 2, and 3 for professional growth planning timelines.
- Multiple sources of data shall be used to develop the Professional Growth Plan and can be used throughout this entire process. Teachers with Accomplished or Exemplary overall summative evaluation cycle rating shall complete a self-directed growth plan. However, any individual measure that is rated as Ineffective on a summative evaluation shall be addressed in the PGP. See page 26 for the Professional Growth Form.
- For teachers with an overall summative evaluation cycle rating of Developing or Ineffective, adirected growth plan shall be done in consultation with the primary evaluator.
- Employees who do not fulfill their contractual obligations for completing professional development shall be placed on a corrective action plan. The primary evaluator may waive this requirement in the event of extenuating circumstances.

Table 1: Timeline for PGP for Tenured Teachers and Other Professional (OP) Employee

Month	Activity
August	Orientation for employees no later than the end of the first 30 calendar days of reporting for employment for each school. Completes/Revises self-reflection
September-October	Collaborates with his/her primary evaluator, develops professionalgrowth plan and action steps by October 31.
November-March Mid-Year Review	Implementation/Reflection on progress and impact of the plan on his/her professional practice. Modifies plan as appropriate. Continued implementation and ongoing reflection.
March-April 15	An annual review will be completed on the Professional Growth Plan. A summative reflection on the degree of goal attainment and implications for next steps shall be noted.
April 15	Primary evaluator recommends re-employment/termination to superintendent. Annual review of PGP must be completed for all tenured teachers recommended for termination. Names given to Superintendent.

Timeline will be tentative based on any adjustment of the calendar year. The District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact will notify all staff if any adjustments are made to the evaluation timeline(s).

Table 2: Timeline for PGP for Non-Tenured Teacher and OP Employees

Month	Activity	
August	Orientation for employees no later than the end of the first 30calendar days of reporting for employment for each school. Completes self-reflection.	
September-January Mid-Year Review	Implementation/Reflection on progress and impact of the plan on his/her professional practice. PGP is modified after second miniobservation is completed. Optional Peer observation can be conducted anytime between the orientation and the April 1 observation date.	

January-March	Continued implementation and ongoing reflection
March-April	Professional Growth Plan shall be reviewed. Summative reflection on the degree of goal attainment and implications for next step will be point of discussion at meeting with primary evaluator.
March 15	Primary evaluator recommends re-employment/termination tosuperintendent. Names given to Superintendent

Timeline will be tentative based on any adjustment of the calendar year. The District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact willnotify all staff if any adjustments are made to the evaluation timeline(s).

Table 3: Timeline for Late Hires (tenured and non-tenured)

Evaluation Plan Orientation	Orientation for employees no later than the end of the first 30 calendar days of reporting for employment for each schoolyear
Teachers hired after the first day of instruction	Complete PGP within 30 days of their hire date. Completes/Revises self-reflection

Timeline will be tentative based on any adjustment of the calendar year. The District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact will notify all staff if any adjustments are made to the evaluation timeline(s).

Observation Model for Teachers and OP Employees

The observation process is one source of evidence to determine educator effectiveness that includes primary evaluator observation for each certified teacher and other professional and an optional peer observation for a certified teacher. Peer Observers will be trained every 3 years using the P2P observation training through iDrive Digital. The district evaluation contact person will keep an updated list of trained peer observers.

The primary evaluator observation provides documentation and feedback to measure the effectiveness of professional practice. The primary evaluator observation will be used to complete the summative evaluation process. See pages 22-44 for teacher/OP formative, summative, and optional peer observation documents.

Primary evaluator will conduct two mini-observations of approximately 20-30 minutes each. Because these are shorter sessions, the observer will make note of the components observed in order to identify "look fors" in the next mini-observation session. The mini observation shall focus on Performance Measures 2 and 3 only. The final observation is a full formal observation consisting of one full instructional period. For some categories for other professionals, observations may look like a workplace visit to meet their confidentiality requirements. If applicable, for employees under the KTIP program, the observation model will follow the required KTIP process.

An optional peer observation will be conducted by a certified peer teacher who has been trained in peer observation techniques prior to the first observation. Optional peer observations will be mini observations of approximately 20-30 minutes each and should be for formative and feedback purposes

only. The optional peer observation results do not have to be reported to the primary evaluator but may be used as a source of evidence if requested by the teacher or other professional and would be used to inform summative ratings. Documentation of the optional peer observation will be turned into the primary evaluator on the district approved form. Peer observations of Other Professionals are not required but are optional.

All documentation completed on district approved forms and a copy provided to the evaluatee.

Observation Timeline (tenured and non-tenured) *Required:

Non-tenured:

- Non-tenured employees will have a minimum of 3 observations conducted by the primary evaluator (2 minis and 1 full/formal) and an optional peer observation. The final observation is conducted by the primary evaluator and is a full/formal observation. Each year is considered a summative evaluation cycle for non-tenured employees.
- All observations must be documented on district-approved forms.

Tenured:

- Tenured teachers will receive one full/formal observation by the supervisor and four mini-observations over the five-year summative cycle. The primary evaluator shall complete one mini-observation in the first year, second, third, and fourth year, and a full/formal being the final observation completed during the fifth year of the cycle. An optional peer observation will be conducted during the year of the summative observation.
- OP employees will follow a five-year cycle with a minimum of five primary evaluator observations. Years one through four will consist of a mini observation by the primary evaluator and year five will consist of a full/formal.
- Summative evaluations shall occur at least once every five years for a teacher or other professional who has attained continuing service status, as well as principals, assistant principals, and other certified administrators.
- All observations must be documented on district-approved forms.
- Observations listed are a required minimum. Evaluators may observe a staff member more frequently if needed.

Table 4: Observation Schedule for Tenured Employees *

Year One	Year Two, Three, and Four	Year Five
Mini observations by April 1st	Mini observations by April 1st	Full / formal observation by primary evaluator and an optional peer observation by April1st.

Timeline will be tentative based on any adjustment of the calendar year. The District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact will notify all staff if any adjustments are made to the evaluation timeline(s).

Table 5: Observation Schedule for Non-Tenured Employees *

Every Year
Mini observations by primary evaluator by October 31st
Mini observations by primary evaluator by December 31st
OPTIONAL: Peer observation by certified peer teacher between orientation and April 1st
Full/Formal observation by primary evaluator by April 1st

Timeline will be tentative based on any adjustment of the calendar year. The District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact will notify all staff if any adjustments are made to the evaluation timeline(s).

Observation Timeline for Late Hires, Emergency Leaves of Absence, and Board Approved Leaves of Absence (tenured and non-tenured) *

Teachers hired during the first semester of instruction will complete all components of the observation schedule. Teachers hired during the third quarter of instruction will receive one-mini and one full/formal observation from the primary evaluator. Teachers hired during the fourth quarter of instruction will receive one-mini observation bythe primary evaluator.

An evaluatee who takes a leave of absence before the completion of their evaluation cycle will have their evaluation cycle adjusted by the District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact. The primary evaluator is responsible forcontacting the plan Point of Contact.

*Additional observation(s) may be conducted if deemed necessary by the primary evaluator. If the overall ratingfor a full/formal observation result yields an ineffective rating for any Performance Measure, a corrective actionplan shall be developed, and additional observation(s) shall be required. The frequency and type of additional observation(s) will be left to the discretion of the primary evaluator and the District Evaluation Point of Contact.

Observation Conferencing

Required

Observers will adhere to the following observation conferencing requirements:

Full/Formal – The full/formal observation will be scheduled at least 2 working days prior to the
observation and the teacher notified of the pending observation. An electronic or person-toperson pre-conference communication will take place at least one working day prior to the
observation. A person-to-person post observation conference will be conducted within 5
working days after the full/formal observation.

All data will be entered onto the district-approved form. If the post-observation conference is not held within the 5working days, another full/formal observation may be scheduled.

Mini – An electronic or person-to-person pre-conference communication will take place at least one
working day prior to the observation. A person-to-person, post-observation conference will be
conducted within 5 working days after the mini-observation. If the post-observation conference is not
held within the 5 working days, another mini observation may be conducted. All data will be entered
onto the district-approved form.

Summative

o The summative evaluation conference shall be held at the end of the evaluation cycle. During the summative conference, observation results from all mini and full/formal observations and other evidence in the cycle will be reviewed so that an overall performance rating for all Performance Measures in the Ky Framework for Teaching can be determined.

o The summative evaluation form will be completed by April 30 of the summative evaluation year. The summative evaluation form will include an overall rating for each Performance Measure as well as an overall performance rating for the evaluation cycle.

o The summative evaluation form shall be signed by both the primary evaluator and evaluatee. The evaluatee will also be provided with a copy of the summative evaluation form. The evaluatee will be provided the opportunity, within 5 days of the summative evaluation, to submit a written statement in response to the summative rating. The response will be included in the official personnel record. The summative evaluation form shall be included in the district teacher personnel file by June 15 of each year.

Observer Certification

All administrators as a primary evaluator must complete the Initial Certified Evaluation Training provided by KDE and annual district certified/classified EILA approved (6 hours) calibration training prior to conducting observations for the purpose of evaluation.

Calibration training must include how evaluators will receive training, support, and/or resources for the following (KRS 156.557 and 704 KAR 3:370):

- Effective observation and conferencing techniques when using observation to evaluate personnel
- Providing clear and timely feedback
- Establishing and assisting with professional growth plan
- Summative decision techniques
- Ensuring consistent and reliable ratings

^{*}Administrators who are evaluating first year teachers must also complete the current KTIP requirements, if/when reinstated by KDE

Formative Employee Rating

Evidence documenting professional practice is situated within one or more of the four Performance Measures of the framework. Performance is rated for each measure according to four performance levels: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accomplished (A), and Exemplary (E). Multiple sources of evidence shall be used to the formative rating. Sources of evidence will be sited on the formative evaluation document.

Each performance measure will be holistically scored using the Kentucky Framework for Teaching (Ky FT) and Other Professionals Framework. Primary evaluators will use Decision Rules for All Certified Educator's Evaluation Rating Chart.

Required

- Observation (mini, full formal, classroom, site visit)
- PGP
- Post Observation Document / Self Reflection
- Optional Peer observation (if required at building level)

Optional

• Additional sources of evidence from page 8 of the CEP or KDE link provided.

Summative Employee Rating

Evidence documenting professional practice is situated within one or more of the four Performance Measure of the Ky Framework for Teaching. Performance is rated for each measure according to four performance levels: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accomplished (A), and Exemplary (E). Multiple sources of evidence shall be used to the formative rating. Sources of evidence will be sited on the summative evaluation document.

Each Performance Measure will be holistically scored using the Kentucky Framework for Teaching.

Decision Rules for All Certified Educator's Evaluation Rating

If	Then
Environment and Instruction are rated INEFFECTIVE	Evaluation Rating shall be INEFFECTIVE
Planning and Professionalism are rated INEFFECTIVE	Evaluation Rating shall NOT be EXEMPLARY
Environment and Instruction are rated DEVELOPING	Evaluation Rating shall NOT be higher than DEVELOPING
Two Measures are rated DEVELOPING (cannot be Environment and Instruction – see above), and two Measures are rated ACCOMPLISHED	Evaluation Rating shall be ACCOMPLISHED
Two Measures are rated DEVELOPING (cannot be Environment and Instruction), and two MEASURES rated EXEMPLARY	Evaluation Rating shall be ACCOMPLISHED
Environment and Instruction are rated ACCOMPLISHED, and Planning and Professionalismare EXEMPLARY	Evaluation Rating shall be ACCOMPLISHED
Environment and Instruction are rated EXEMPLARY, Planning and Professionalism are rated ACCOMPLISHED	Evaluation rating shall be EXEMPLARY

Required

- Formative Evaluation Results (2-mini and 1-full formal)
- PGP
- Post Observation Conference / Self-Reflection

Optional

• Additional sources of evidence from page 8 of the CEP or KDE link provided.

Table 6: Timeline for Tenured Employees

Year One	Year Two, Three, and Four	Year Five
Orientation for employees no laterthan the end of the first 30 calendar days of reporting for employment	Orientation for employees no laterthan the end of the first 30 calendar days of reporting for employment	Orientation for employees no laterthan the end of the first 30 calendar days of reporting for employment
Assignment of Primary Evaluator (9/1)	Assignment of Primary Evaluator(9/1)	Assignment of Primary Evaluator(9/1)
Professional Growth Plan (10/31)	Professional Growth Plan (10/31)	Professional Growth Plan (10/31)
Implementation/Reflection on progress and impact of the plan onhis/her professional practice. Modifies plan as appropriate. Continued implementation and ongoing reflection. (Nov-April 15)	Implementation/Reflection on progress and impact of the plan onhis/her professional practice. Modifies plan as appropriate. Continued implementation and ongoing reflection. (Nov-April 15)	Implementation/Reflection on progress and impact of the plan onhis/her professional practice. Modifies plan as appropriate. Continued implementation and ongoing reflection. (Nov-April 15)
An annual review will be completed on the Professional Growth Plan. A summative reflection on the degree of goal attainment and implications for next steps shall be noted. (April 15)	An annual review will be completed on the Professional Growth Plan. A summative reflection on the degree of goal attainment and implications for next steps shall be noted. (April 15)	An annual review will be completed on the Professional Growth Plan. A summative reflection on the degree of goal attainment and implications for next steps shall be noted. (April 15)
One mini—Primary evaluator(4/15)	One mini—Primary evaluator(4/15)	One formal/full observation byprimary evaluator and an optional peer observation (3/15)
Primary evaluator recommends reemployment/termination to Superintendent (3/15)	Primary evaluator recommends reemployment/termination to Superintendent (3/15)	Summative Evaluation completed (3/15)
		Primary evaluator recommends reemployment/termination to Superintendent (3/15)
		Summative Evaluation Form submitted to Personnel Office (5/1)

Timeline will be tentative based on any adjustment of the calendar year. The District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact willnotify all staff if any adjustments are made to the evaluation timeline(s).

Observations may begin 30 days after the first day of teacher employment or as soon as staff is trained on the Certified Evaluation Plan.

See page 22 for teacher/OP formative and summative observation documents.

Table 7: Timeline for Non-Tenured Employees

Every Year

Orientation for employees no later than the end of the first 30 calendar days of reporting for employment. Assignment of Primary Evaluator (9/1)

Professional Growth Plan (10/31)

Two mini observations by primary evaluator (10/31 and 12/31)

Implementation/Reflection on progress and impact of the plan on his/her professional practice. PGP is modified after the second mini observation is completed. (Sept-January)

Professional Growth Plan shall be reviewed. Summative reflection on the degree of goal attainment and implications for next step will be a point of discussion at meeting with primary evaluator. (April 30)

One full/formal observation by primary evaluator and an optional peer observation by peer observer (4/1)

Assignment of an overall summative rating (4/30)

Primary evaluator recommends reemployment/termination to Superintendent (4/15) Must have completedall steps of evaluations before sending recommendation to superintendent.

Summative Evaluation Report submitted to Personnel Office (5/1)

Timeline will be tentative based on any adjustment of the calendar year. The District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact will notify all staff if any adjustments are made to the evaluation timeline(s).

Observations may begin 30 days after the first day of teacher employment or as soon as staff istrained on the Certified Evaluation Plan.

Evaluation Appeals Process

The evaluation appeals panel must include:

- Two (2) members elected by certified employees
- One (1) member appointed by the board of education

An employee has the right to an evaluation appeal. The rights and procedures are:

- A right to a hearing for every appeal
- An opportunity five (5) days in advance of the hearing for the evaluator and evaluatee to adequately review all documents that are to be presented to the panel
- A right to have the evaluatee's chosen representative present at the hearing

See page 67 for Appeals Process Documents

Corrective Action/Assistance Plans for Certified Employees

A corrective action plan is to be completed by the evaluator (with discussion and assistance from the evaluatee) asit relates to an inadequate or "ineffective" on any one Domain or more from the Formative Evaluation. The evaluator and evaluatee must identify corrective action goals and objectives, procedures and activities designed to achieve the goals; and targeted dates for appraising the evaluatee's improvement of the standard. It is the evaluator's responsibility to document all actions taken to assist the evaluatee in improving his/her performance.

*Corrective action plans may be implemented at principal discretion if a pattern of "developing" ratings is received over time. The principal will consult district instructional administrator before assigning corrective action. See Corrective Action/Assistance Plan Documents on page 71.

Principals Evaluation Plan Overview (Principal and Assistant Principal)

The vision for the Principals Evaluation Plan (PEP) is to have every school led by an effective principal. The goal is tocreate a fair and equitable system to measure leader effectiveness and act as a catalyst for professional growth.

Professional Standards for Educational Leaders

The Principal Performance Standards are designed to support student achievement and professional best-practicethrough the following standards: Mission, Vision, and Core Values; Ethics and Professional Norms; Equity and Cultural Responsiveness; Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment; Community of Care and Support for Students; Professional Capacity of School Personnel; Professional Community for Teachers and Staff; Meaningful Engagement of Families and Community; Operations and Management; and School Improvement. Included in the Performance Standards are Performance Indicators that provide examples of observable, tangible behaviors that provide evidence of each standard. The Performance Standards provide the structure for feedback for continuous improvement through individual goals that target professional growth, thus supporting overall student achievement and school improvement. Evidence supporting an evaluatee's professional practice will be situated within one or more of the ten standards. Performance will be rated for each performance measure according to the four performance levels: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accomplished (A), and Exemplary (E). It is projected that most principals or assistant principals will maintain an Accomplished rating but will occasionally have exemplary performance on standards at any given time. The summative rating will be a holistic representation of performance, combining data from multiple sources of evidence across each standard.

See pages 55-59 for Principal Performance Standards

The use of professional judgment based on multiple sources of evidence promotes a more holistic and comprehensive analysis of practice, rather than over-reliance on one individual data point or rote calculation of practice based on predetermined formulas. Primary evaluators will also consider how evaluatees respondto or apply additional support and resources designed to promote student learning, as well as their own professional growth and development. Finally, professional judgment gives primary evaluators the flexibility to account for a wide variety of factors related to individual evaluatee's performance. These factors may include school-specific priorities that may drive practice in one standard, an educator's number of goals, experience level and/or leadership opportunities. Contextual variables may also impact the learning environment, such as unanticipated outside events or traumas.

Primary evaluators must use the following categories of evidence in determining overall ratings:

Required Sources of Evidence

- Professional Growth Planning and Self-Reflection
- Site-Visits / Workplace Visits

Optional

Primary evaluators may use the following categories of evidence in determining overall ratings:

- Val-Ed 360°
- IMPACT KY Survey
- Other Measures of Student Learning
- Products of Practice
- Other Sources

Professional Growth Planning

Completed by Principals and Assistant Principals

The Professional Growth Plan (PGP) will address realistic, focused, and measurable professional goals. The plan willconnect data from multiple sources including site-visit conferences, data on student growth and achievement, and professional growth needs identified through self-assessment and reflection. Self-reflection improves principal practice through ongoing, careful consideration of the impact of leadership practice on student growth and achievement. A Principal/Assistant Principal/Dean Professional Growth Plan may be directed or self-directed.

Directed Growth Plan

Goal(s) are determined by the primary evaluator and shall focus on an area of professional practice.

Self-Directed Growth Plan

Goal(s) are set by the employee, with input from the primary evaluator.

Required

- All principals and assistant principals will participate in self-reflection and professional growth planning each year. Professional growth plans will include professional growth goals. Late hires must have their PGP submitted within 30 days of hire.
- Employees who do not fulfill their contractual obligations for completing professional development or EILAhours shall be placed on a corrective action plan.

Site Visit/Workplace Visit

Completed by Primary Evaluator of Principal and Assistant PrincipalFor this section the term "Principal" includes Assistant Principal.

Site / workplace visits are a method by which the primary evaluator may gain insight into the principal's practice inrelation to the standards. During a site visit, the primary evaluator will discuss various aspects of the job with the principal and will use the principal's responses to determine issues to further explore with the faculty and staff.

Additionally, the principal may explain the successes and trials the school community has experienced in relation to Improvement.

Required

- Site visits will be conducted at least twice each year with the results reported on the district-approved forms. (Formal site-visits are not required for the assistant principal)
- A Principal hired after the start of the school year will be required to complete both site visits. Both could be conducted during the second semester if the hire date prohibited the Superintendent or designee from completing the first site visit during the first semester.
- Principals will evaluate Assistant Principals using the district-approved form.

Principal Workplace Visit Conferencing

At least 3 conferences will take place between Primary Evaluator and Evaluatee throughout the year.

- 1. Beginning of the Year Collaborative Conference (Conducted by September 30.)
- Purpose of the Meeting
- Discuss reflections of data
- Discuss reflections of the PSEL
- Discuss and come to agreement on the Professional Growth Plan
- Questions/Concerns/Comments

- Set tentative date for first site visit
- All documentation completed on district approved forms and a copy provided to the evaluatee
- 2. 1st Site Visit Post-Conference (To be completed within 30 calendar days of first site visit.)
 - · Purpose of Meeting
 - Discuss first observation/site visit and provide feedback
 - Discuss documentation of each standard-determine if any other documentation is needed
 - Questions/Concerns/Comments
 - Set tentative date for second site visit
 - All documentation completed on district approved forms and a copy provided to the evaluatee
- 3. 2nd Site Visit Post-Conference (To be completed within 30 calendar days of the second site visit.)
 - Purpose of Meeting
 - Discuss second observation/site visit and provide feedback
 - · Review connections to PSEL
 - Share progress toward Professional Growth Plan
 - · Discuss progress of each standard-determine if any other documentation is needed
 - Questions/Concerns/Comments
 - Overall Performance Category determined, and Summative Evaluation completed. A copy shall be provided to the evaluatee.

Completed by July 31	Superintendent assigns Primary Evaluator for each Principal
Completed by August 15	Primary Evaluator reviews expectations of PEP
Completed by September 30 (All documentation completed, and Primary Evaluator approval given through district approved form.)	Conference with Principal to develop their Professional Growth Plan Goal(s), connections to Principal Performance Standards, and discuss site visit as well as modify any strategies.
August to November (All documentation completed, and Primary Evaluator approval given through district approved form.)	Primary Evaluator Conducts a 1st Site Visit / Workplace Visit
(Post site visit / Workplace visit conferences conducted within 30 calendar days)	1st Site Visit / Workplace Visit post-conference with Principal to review Professional Growth Plan Goal(s), connections to Principal Performance Standards, and discuss site visit as well as modify any strategies.
Completed by March 30 (All documentation completed, and Primary Evaluator approval given through district approved form.)	Primary Evaluator completes 2nd Site Visit / Workplace Visit
(Post site visit / workplace visit conferences conducted within 30 calendar days)	2nd Site Visit / Workplace Visit post-conference with Principal to review their Professional Growth Plan Goal(s), connections to Principal Performance Standards, and discuss site visit as well as modify any strategies. Summative Evaluation completed on District approved form.
Completed by June 15	Paperwork filed with Personnel Office

Additional Conferences may be held as deemed necessary to monitor PGP process. All dates are tentative based on the adjustment of the school calendar. The District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact will notify all staff if any adjustments are made to the evaluation timeline(s).

Principals shall adhere to the same evaluation timeline for evaluating their Assistant Principals.

Formative Evaluation Rating (Principals, Assistant Principals)

Evidence documenting professional practice is situated within the ten PSEL arranged in the four performance measures of Planning, Environment, Instruction, and Professionalism. Performance is rated for each performance measure according to four performance levels: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accomplished(A), and Exemplary (E). Multiple sources of evidence shall be used to the formative rating. Sources of evidence will be sited on the formative evaluation document.

Each performance measure will be holistically scored using the Principal Performance Standards. Primary evaluators will be using the *Decision Rules for All Certified Educator's Evaluation Rating* to assign an overall rating for the formative evaluation.

Decision Rules for All Certified Educator's Evaluation Rating

If	Then
Environment and Instruction are rated INEFFECTIVE	Evaluation Rating shall be INEFFECTIVE
Planning and Professionalism are rated INEFFECTIVE	Evaluation Rating shall NOT be EXEMPLARY
Environment and Instruction are rated DEVELOPING	Evaluation Rating shall NOT be higher than DEVELOPING
Two Measures are rated DEVELOPING (cannot be Environment and Instruction – see above), and twoMeasures are rated ACCOMPLISHED	Evaluation Rating shall be ACCOMPLISHED
Two Measures are rated DEVELOPING (cannot be Environment and Instruction), and two MEASURES rated EXEMPLARY	Evaluation Rating shall be ACCOMPLISHED
Environment and Instruction are rated ACCOMPLISHED, and Planning and Professionalismare EXEMPLARY	Evaluation Rating shall be ACCOMPLISHED
Environment and Instruction are rated EXEMPLARY, Planning and Professionalism are rated ACCOMPLISHED	Evaluation rating shall be EXEMPLARY

Performance Measures	Planning	Environment	Instruction	Professionalism
Performance Criteria: Kentucky Principal Performance Standards	Mission, Vision, and Core Values Operations and Management Oschool Improvement	3. Equity and Culturally Responsiveness 7. Professional Community for Teachers and Staff	4. Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment 5. Community of Care and Support for Students 6. Professional Capacity of School Personnel	2. Ethics and Professional Norms 8. Meaningful Engagement ofFamilies and Community

Required

- PGP
- Site / Workplace Visit
- Post Site Visit Conference Self Reflection
- Other Sources of Evidence

Summative Evaluation Rating

(Principals, Assistant Principals, and Deans)

- The summative evaluation conference shall be held at the end of the evaluation cycle. During the summative conference, observation results from site/workplace visits and other evidence in the cycle will be reviewed so that an overall performance rating for all principal performance measures can be determined.
- The summative evaluation form will be completed by June 15 of each year. The summative evaluation form will include an overall rating for each standard as well as an overall performance rating for the evaluation cycle.
- The summative evaluation form shall be signed by both primary evaluator and evaluatee. The summative evaluation form shall be included in the district personnel file by June 15 of each year. The evaluatee will also be provided with a copy of the summative evaluation form.

For Principals

• The Superintendent/designee will determine the overall performance rating for each Principal on each performance measure. Performance is rated for each performance measure according to four performancelevels: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accomplished (A), and Exemplary (E). Multiple sources of evidence

shall be used to determine the summative rating. The Superintendent/designee will adhere to the PEP Timeline.

Required

- PGP
- Formative Evaluation results

Optional

- · Additional sources of evidence from page 8 of the CEP.
- Evidence provided by evaluator or evaluatee.

For Assistant Principals

• The principal will determine the overall performance measure for each Assistant Principal. Performance is rated for each performance measure according to four performance levels: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accomplished (A), and Exemplary (E). Multiple sources of evidence shall be used to determine the Summative rating. The principal will adhere to the PEP Timeline.

Required

- PGP
- Formative Evaluation results

Optional

- Additional sources of evidence from page 8 of the CEP.
- Evidence provided by evaluator or evaluatee.

Corrective Action/Assistance Plans for Principal/Assistant Principal

A corrective action plan is to be completed by the evaluator (with discussion and assistance from the evaluatee) asit relates to an inadequate or "ineffective" on any one Standard on the PSEL. from the Workplace Visit Formative Evaluation. The evaluator and evaluatee must identify corrective action goals and objectives; procedures and activities designed to achieve the goals; and targeted dates for appraising the evaluatee's improvement of the

standard. It is the evaluator's responsibility to document all actions taken to assist the evaluatee in improving his/her performance.

*Corrective action plans may be implemented at superintendent or superintendent designee discretion if a pattern of "developing" ratings is received over time.

See Corrective Action/Assistance Plan Documents on page 71.

District Certified Positions below the Superintendent

(Assistant Superintendent, Director of Pupil Personnel, Director of Federal Programs, Director of Exceptional Children, Instructional Supervisor, Educational Consultant)

Overview of District Certified Personnel Evaluation

Evidence documenting professional practices for District Certified Personnel below the level of Superintendent are situated within the Professional Standards for Educational Leaders (PSEL) standards arranged in the four performance measures of Planning, Environment, Instruction, and Professionalism. Performance is rated for each performance measure according to four performance levels: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accomplished(A), and Exemplary (E). Multiple sources of evidence shall be used to the formative rating. Sources of evidence will be sited on the formative evaluation document. Each performance measure will be holistically scored using the PSEL standards. Primary evaluators will be using Decision Rules for All Certified Educator's Evaluation Rating chart to assign an overall rating.

Professional Growth Planning

Completed by District Certified Personnel below the Superintendent

The Professional Growth Plan (PGP) will address realistic, focused, and measurable professional goals. The plan willconnect data from multiple sources including site-visit conferences, data on student growth and achievement, and professional growth needs identified through self-assessment and reflection. Self-reflection improves practice through ongoing, careful consideration of the impact of leadership practice on student growth and achievement

Directed Growth Plan

Goal(s) are determined by the primary evaluator and shall focus on an area of professional practice.

Self-Directed Growth Plan

Goal(s) are set by the employee, with input from the primary evaluator.

Required

- All principals and assistant principals will participate in self-reflection and professional growth planning each year. Professional growth plans will include professional growth goals. Late hires must have their PGP submitted within 30 days of hire.
- Employees who do not fulfill their contractual obligations for completing professional development or EILAhours shall be placed on a corrective action plan.

Site Visit/Workplace Visit

Completed by Primary Evaluator of the District Certified Employee

Site / workplace visits are a method by which the primary evaluator may gain insight into the employee's practice inrelation to the standards. During a site visit, the primary evaluator will discuss various aspects of the job with the employee and will use the employee's responses to determine issues to further explore.

Additionally, the employee may explain the successes and trials that have been experienced in relation to Improvement.

Required

• Site visits will be conducted at least once a year with the results reported on the district-approved forms.

District Certified Positions Workplace Visit Conferencing

At least 2 conferences will take place between Primary Evaluator and Evaluatee throughout the year.

- 1. Beginning of the Year Collaborative Conference (Conducted by September 30.)
 - Purpose of the Meeting
 - · Discuss reflections of data
 - Discuss reflections of the PSEL
 - Discuss and come to agreement on the Professional Growth Plan
 - Questions/Concerns/Comments
 - Set tentative date for site visit
 - All documentation completed on district approved forms and a copy provided to the evaluatee
- 2. Site Visit Post-Conference (To be completed within 30 calendar days of first site visit.)
 - Purpose of Meeting
 - Discuss first observation/site visit and provide feedback
 - Discuss documentation of each standard-determine if any other documentation is needed
 - Questions/Concerns/Comments
 - All documentation completed on district approved forms and a copy provided to the evaluatee

Additional Conferences may be held as deemed necessary to monitor PGP process. All dates are tentative based on the adjustment of the school calendar. The District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact will notify all staff if any adjustments are made to the evaluation timeline(s).

Required:

- Site-Visit
- Conference
- Professional Growth Plan

Performance Measures	Planning	Environment	Instruction	Professionalism
Performance Criteria: PSEL Standards	1.Mission, Vision, and Core Values 9. Operations and Management 10. School Improvement	3.Equity and Cultural Responsiveness 7. Professional Community for Teachers and Staff	4.Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment (Culture & Instructional Program) 5.Community of Care and Support for Students 6.Professional Capacity of School Personnel	3. Ethic and Professional Norms 8. Meaningful Engagement of Families and Community

Corrective Action/Assistance Plan for District Certified Employees

A corrective action plan is to be completed by the evaluator (with discussion and assistance from the evaluatee) as it relates to an inadequate or "ineffective" on any one Standard on the PSEL. from the Workplace Visit Formative Evaluation.

The evaluator and evaluatee must identify corrective action goals and objectives, procedures and activities designed to achieve the goals; and targeted dates for appraising the evaluatee's improvement of the standard. It is the evaluator's responsibility to document all actions taken to assist the evaluatee in improving his/her performance.

*Corrective action plans may be implemented at superintendent or superintendent designee discretion if a pattern of "developing" ratings is received over time.

See Corrective Action/Assistance Plan Documents on page 71.

Summative Evaluation

Decision Rules for All Certified Educator's Evaluation Rating

If	Then
Environment and Instruction are rated INEFFECTIVE	Evaluation Rating shall be INEFFECTIVE
Planning and Professionalism are rated INEFFECTIVE	Evaluation Rating shall NOT be EXEMPLARY
Environment and Instruction are rated DEVELOPING	Evaluation Rating shall NOT be higher thanDEVELOPING
Two Measures are rated DEVELOPING (cannot be Environment and Instruction – see above), and two Measures are rated ACCOMPLISHED	Evaluation Rating shall be ACCOMPLISHED
Two Measures are rated DEVELOPING (cannot be Environment and Instruction), and two MEASURES rated EXEMPLARY	Evaluation Rating shall be ACCOMPLISHED
Environment and Instruction are rated ACCOMPLISHED, and Planning and Professionalismare EXEMPLARY	Evaluation Rating shall be ACCOMPLISHED
Environment and Instruction are rated EXEMPLARY, Planning and Professionalism are rated ACCOMPLISHED	Evaluation rating shall be EXEMPLARY

The summative evaluation form will be completed by June 15 of each year. The summative evaluation form will include an overall rating for each standard. The summative evaluation form shall be signed by both primary evaluator and evaluatee. The summative evaluation form shall be included in the district personnel file by June 15 of each year. The evaluatee will also be provided with a copy of the summative evaluation form.

Teacher and Other Professionals Evaluation Documents

Self-Reflection and Professional Growth Goal Setting Teacher Formative Evaluation Form
Teacher Summative Evaluation Form Other
Professional Summative Evaluation Form
Guidance Counselor Formative Evaluation Form
Librarian Formative Evaluation Form School
Psychologist Formative Evaluation Form
Therapeutic Specialist Formative Evaluation Form
OPTIONAL:

Peer Observation Documentation to Evaluator Peer Observation Post Conference

Primary evaluators may contact the District Evaluation Plan Point of Contact foraccess to electronic versions of selected evaluation plan documents.

OPTIONAL Peer Observation - Documentation to **Evaluator**

(Submit this ONE PAGE to primary evaluator)

Teacher/Other Professional Name:			
Observation Date:			
School:			
Grade level/Subject:			
Peer Observer:			
Date of post-conference:			
 Peer observation Peer observation area(s) theteacher Post conferency teacher/other professional has 	on occurred with peer look her/other professional seed of the discussion was held worked to the discussion with feedback mand were signed, and betwee the discussion of the di	any requested pre- poking for evidence a specified. where peer observe ck from lesson. both the peer and te rds.	er could provide
Teacher/Other Professiona	al's Signature	Date	
Peer Observer's Signature		Date	
Received by primary	evaluator:		
Evaluator's Signature		 Date	

	OPTIONAL: Peer Observation - Post Conference							
Teacher/Other ProfessionalName:		Sch	ool:					
Observation date:		Gra	de level/subject:					
Peer observer:		Date of conference:						
For each of the following standard	ls, reflect on the lesson that	was obser	ved using the following gu	ding question	s to focus your reflections:			
In general, how successful was students achieve any learning ta know, and what will you do for the not?	rgets? How do you							
In addition to the student work wobserver, what other student woor artifacts assisted you in making for question one?	rk samples, evidence,							
To what extent did classroom pr conduct, and physical space cor student learning?								
Did you depart from your plan?	If so, why, and how?							
If you had an opportunity to tead the same group of students, who differently, and why?	ch this lesson again to at would you do							
What do you see as the next stee professional growth for addressi identified throughpersonal reflections.	ng the needs you have							
Peer Observers Fe	edback for Domains 2 & 3	(for formative		her professional o	nly using the Ky.			
Domain 2: Classroom Environment	Evidence	Do	omain 3: Instruction		Evidence			
A. Creating an Environment of Respectand Rapport		А	Communicating with Students					
B. Establishing a Culture of Learning		D	e. Questioning and Discussion Discussion					
C. Managing Classroom Procedures		S	c. Engaging students in earning					
D. Managing Student Behavior). Using Assessment Instruction					
E. Organizing Physical Space		F	. Demonstrating lexibility and desponsiveness					
*For other professionals, use	appropriate Framework 8	Guidance	9					
Teacher Signature:	 Date:	Peer C	Observer Signature:		Date:			

Todd County Self-Reflection and Professional Growth Planning

School Year 20_- 20 __

Teacher	
School	
Grade Level/Subject(s)	

Self-Reflection - Establishing Priority Growth Needs

Domain Components			-Asse	ssme	nt	Rationale
Domain 1: Planning and	A. Demonstrating Knowledge ofContent and Pedagogy	I	D	Α	E	
Preparation	B. Demonstrating Knowledge ofStudents	I	D	Α	Е	
	C. Selecting Instructional Outcomes	I	D	Α	E	
	D. Demonstrating Knowledge ofResources	1	D	Α	E	
	E. Designing Coherent Instruction	I	D	Α	E	
	F. Designing Student Assessment	I	D	Α	E	

Domain Components			-Asse	ssme	nt	Rationale
Domain 2: Classroom Environment	A. Creating an Environment ofRespect and Rapport	I	D	Α	E	
	B. Establishing a Culture for Learning	1	D	Α	Е	
	C. Managing Classroom Procedures	I	D	Α	Е	
	D. Managing Student Behavior	I	D	Α	Е	
	E. Organizing Physical Space	I	D	Α	E	

Domain Components			-Asse	ssme	nt	Rationale
Domain 3:	A. Communicating with Students	I	D	Α	Е	
instruction	B. Using Questioning and Discussion	I	D	Α	Е	
	C. Engaging Students in Learning	1	D	Α	E	
	D. Using Assessment in Instruction	I	D	Α	E	
	E. Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness	I	D	Α	E	

Domain Components		Self-Assessment				Rationale
Domain 4: Professional	A. Reflecting on Teaching	I	D	Α	Е	
Responsibilities	B. Maintaining Accurate Records	I	D	Α	Е	
	C. Communicating with Families	I	D	Α	Е	
	D. Participating in a ProfessionalCommunity	I	D	Α	E	
	E. Growing and Developing Professionally	I	D	Α	Е	
	F. Demonstrating Professionalism	I	D	Α	E	

Professional Learning, Growth Goal, and Action Planning

Totocolonal Zourining, Crownii Godi, and Action Flamming							
Domain	Component for Professional Growth Circle/Highlight Professional Growth Priority Components from Self Reflection				owth	owth	Select <u>ONE</u> component from those circled for focused professional growth goal development
1: Planning and Preparation	1A	1B	1C	1D	1F	1G	
2: Classroom Environment	2A	2B	2C	2D	2F		
3: Instruction	3A	3B	3C	3D	3F		
4: Professional Responsibilities	4A	4B	4C	4D	4F	4G	

Circle or Highlight Current Level of Performance for the Selected Growth Goal Component	ı	D	Α	Е
---	---	---	---	---

Professional Growth Goal

*What do I want to change about my inst will effectively impact student learning?	ruction that							
*What is my personal learning necessary change?	to make that							
*What are the measures of success?								
Professional Development/Learning Action Plan								
Steps for Professional LearningToward Goal	Resources/Support	Target Completion Date						
Measures of Goal Attainment (Tools/Instruments)								
Expected Student Learning Impact								
Identify (by circling/highligh	Demonstration of Goal ting) the documentation integrates professional growth.	nded to demonstrate your						
Artifacts	Data Analysis	Ongoing Self Reflection						
Certificate of Completion	Collaboration with Colleagues	Observation/Walkthrough						
PLC Documents	Student Work	Other:						
Professional Dev	velopment/Learning Goal a Approval	and Action Plan						
Teacher Signature:		Date:						
Administrator Signature:		Date:						

Review and Reflection Meetings - Progress Toward Professional Goal

(optional)								
Reflection Questions		Reflections						
*What progress are you making toward your goal?		r						
*What part of the action plan has helped with progress toward the goal? Do you need to add to ormodify the action plan?								
			ı					
Date	Status of Professional Growth Goal		Revisions/Modifications		ions	Admin initials	Teacher initials	
End-of-Year Reflection Meeting - Level of Attainment for Professional Growth Goal								
	Reflection Questions End-of-Year Reflection on Progress Toward Professional Goal				I			
*To what yourgoal	extent did you achieve ?							
Next Steps/Notes for Future Professional Growth								
End-of-Year Reflection Meeting								
Teacher Signate	ure:				Date:			
Administrator S	Signature:				Date:			

TODD COUNTY SCHOOLS PRE-OBSERVATION DOCUMENT

Teacher	
School	
Grade Level/Subject(s)	
Observer	
Date of Conference	

Preconference (Planning Conference)

Questions for Discussion:	Notes:
What is your identified student learning target(s)?	
To which part of the standard does this lesson relate?	
How does this learning fit in the sequence of learning for this class?	
Briefly describe the students in this class, including those with special needs.	
How will you engage the students in the learning? What will you do? What will the students do? Will the students work in groups, or individually?	
How will you differentiate instruction for individuals or groups of students?	
How and when will you know whether the students have achieved the learning target(s)?	
Is there anything that you would like me to specifically observe during the lesson?	
Explain how you communicate with families other than district planned parent/teacher conference days.	

Teacher **Formative** Evaluation Document

Employee Name / Employee ID#						
Cycle						
School						
Grade Level/Subject(s)						
Observer						
Date of Observation						
Date of Post Observation Conference						
Indicate the overall rating for each Performance M	easure: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Ac	complished (A), or Exemp	lary (E)		
		I	D	Α	E	
Planning and Preparation (for full ev	aluations only)					
1B - Demonstrating Knowledge of Students 1C - Setting Instructional Outcomes	1C - Setting Instructional Outcomes 1D - Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources 1E - Designing Coherent Instruction					
Sources:						
Comments:						
		ı	D	Α	E	
Classroom Environment						
2A - Creating an Environment of Respect ar 2B - Establishing a Culture for Learning 2C - Managing Classroom Procedures 2D - Managing Student Behavior 2E - Organizing Physical Space	nd Rapport					
Sources:						
Comments:						

	I	D	Α	E
Instruction				
3A - Communicating with Students 3B - Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques 3C - Engaging Students in Learning 3D - Using Assessment in Instruction 3E - Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness				
Sources:				
Comments:				
	I	D	Α	E
Professional Responsibilities (for full evaluations only)				
4A - Reflecting on Teaching 4B - Maintaining Accurate Records 4C - Communicating with Families 4D - Participating in the Professional Community 4E - Growing and Developing Professionally 4F - Showing Professionalism				
Sources:				
Comments:				
Additional Comments:				
Professional Growth Plan Review:				
Summary Primary Evaluator Comments:				
Summary Evaluatee Comments:				
Evaluatee's Signature Date Evaluator's Signature		Date		

POST-OBSERVATION DOCUMENT

	DOCUM	MENT
Teacher		
School		
Grade Level/Subject(s)		
Observer		
Date of Conference		
_		ct on the lesson that was observed using ns to focus your reflections:
In general, how successful was the lesso students achieve the learning targets? Ho know, and what will you do for those students?	ow do you	
In addition to the student work witnessed observer, what other student work sample artifacts assisted you in making your dete question one?	es, evidence or	

To what extent did classroom procedures, student conduct, and physical space contribute to or hinder

Did you depart from your plan? If so, how, and why?

If you had an opportunity to teach this lesson again to the same group of students, what would you do

What do you see as the next step(s) in your professional growth for addressing the needs you have identified

student learning?

differently, and why?

throughpersonal reflection?

Evaluatee's Formative Observation Rating:

	Ra	ting :		Domain 3: Instruction		Ra	ting :	
_	D	A	E	A: Communicating withStudents	ı	D	A	E
ı	D	A	E	B: Using Questioningand Discussion Techniques	ı	D	A	E
_	D	A	E	C: Engaging Students inLearning	ı	D	A	E
ı	D	A	E	D: Using Assessment in Instruction	ı	D	A	E
-	D	A	E	E: Demonstrating Flexibility	ı	D	A	E
	1	I D I D	I D A I D A	I D A E I D A E	I D A E A: Communicating with Students B: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques C: Engaging Students in Learning D: Using Assessment in Instruction E: Demonstrating	I D A E Susing Questioning and Discussion Techniques I D A E Communicating with Students I B: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques C: Engaging Students in Learning I D: Using Assessment in Instruction I E: Demonstrating	Instruction A: Communicating with Students I D A E Students I D D A E Students I D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	Instruction I

Teacher's Signature* Date Observer's/Evaluator's Date Signature

^{*}Denotes sharing of results, not necessarily agreement with the formative rating

Teacher Employee **Summative** Evaluation Form

Employee Name / Employe	ee ID#					
Cycle						
School						
Grade Level/Subject(s)						
Observer						
Date of Observation (s)						
Date of Summative Confere	ence					
Indicate the overall rating for each	ch Performance Measure	: Ineffective (I), Developing	(D), Accomp	lished (A), or	Exemplary	/ (E)
			I	D	Α	E
Planning and Preparation						
Classroom Environment						
Instruction						
Professional Responsibili	ties					
Overall Rating:						
Professional Growth Plan	Review:					
Summary Primary Evaluator	Comments:					
Summary Evaluatee Comme	nts:					
Evaluatee (please check a	appropriate line):	I agree with this s I disagree with th			on	
 Evaluatee's Signature	 Date	 Evaluator's Si	gnature	 Da		

Other Professional Summative Evaluation Form

Employee Name / Employe	ee ID#					
Cycle						
School						
Grade Level/Subject(s)						
Observer						
Date of Observation (s)						
Date of Summative Confere	ence					
Indicate the overall rating for each	ch Performance Measure:	Ineffective (I), Developing (I	D), Accomp	lished (A), or	Exemplar	y (E)
			ı	D	Α	Е
Planning and Preparation	1					
Environment						
Instruction (Delivery of So	ervice)					
Professional Responsibil	ities					
Overall Rating:						
Professional Growth Plan	Review:					
Summary Primary Evaluator	Comments:					
Summary Evaluatee Comme	ents:					
Evaluatee (please check a	appropriate line):	I agree with this su I disagree with this			on	
Evaluatee's Signature	 Date	 Evaluator's Sigi	nature	 Da		

Guidance Counselor Formative Evaluation Document

Employee Name / Employee ID#							
Cycle							
School							
Grade Level/Subject(s)							
Observer							
Date of Observation							
Date of Post Observation Conference							
Indicate the overall rating for each Performance	e Measure: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accompli	shed (A), or	Exemplary	(E)		
		I	D	Α	E		
Planning and Preparation (for full ev	aluations only)						
1B - Demonstrating knowledge of child and adolescent development 1C - Establishing goals for the counseling program appropriate to the setting and the students served 1D - Demonstrating knowledge of state and federal regulations and of resources both within and beyond the school and district 1E - Plan in the counseling program integrated with the regular school program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the counseling program Sources:							
Comments:							
		ı	D	Α	E		
Environment							
2A - Creating an environment of respect and rapport 2B - Establishing a culture for productive communication 2C - Managing routines and procedures 2D - Establishing standards of conduct and contributing to the culture for student behavior throughout the school 2E - Organizing physical space							
Sources:							
Comments:							

	I	D	Α	E
Instruction (Delivery of Instruction)				
3A - Assessing student needs 3B - Assisting students and teachers in the formulation of academic personal based on knowledge of student needs 3C - Using counseling text makes an individual and classroom programs 3D - Brokering resources to meet needs 3E - Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness	social and care	er plans		
Sources:				
Comments:				
	ı	D	Α	E
Professional Responsibilities (for full evaluations only)				
4A - Reflecting on practice 4B - Maintaining records and submitting them in a timely fashion 4C - Communicating with families 4D - Participating in a professional community 4E - Engaging in professional development 4F - Showing professionalism				
Sources:				
Comments:				
Additional Comments:				
Professional Growth Plan Review:				
Summary Primary Evaluator Comments:				
Summary Evaluatee Comments:				
valuatee's Signature Date Evaluator's Signature		Date		

Guidance Counselor Summative Evaluation Form

Employee Name / Employ	ee ID#					
Cycle						
School						
Grade Level/Subject(s)						
Observer						
Date of Observation (s)						
Date of Summative Confer						
Indicate the overall rating for ea	ch Performance Measure:	Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accomp	lished (A), oı	Exemplar	y (E)
			I	D	Α	E
Planning and Preparation	1					
Environment						
Instruction (Delivery of S	ervice)					
Professional Responsibi	lities					
Overall Rating:						
Professional Growth Plan	n Review:					
Summary Primary Evaluato	r Comments:					
Summary Evaluatee Commo	ents:					
Evaluatee (please check	appropriate line):	l agree with this s l disagree with thi				
Evaluatee's Signature	Date	_ Evaluator's Siç	ınature		ate	

TODD COUNTY SCHOOLS Librarian Formative Evaluation Document

Employee Name / Employee ID#						
Cycle						
School						
Grade Level/Subject(s)						
Observer						
Date of Observation						
Date of Post Observation Conference						
Indicate the overall rating for each Performance	e Measure: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accompli	shed (A), or	Exemplary	/ (E)	
		I	D	Α	E	
Planning and Preparation (for full ev	aluations only)					
1A - Demonstrating Knowledge of Content Curriculum and Process 1B - Demonstrating Knowledge of Students 1C - Supporting Instructional Goals 1D - Demonstrating Knowledge and Use of Resources 1E - Demonstrating a Knowledge of Literature and Lifelong Learning 1F - Collaborating in the Design of Instructional Experiences						
Sources:						
Comments:						
		I	D	Α	Е	
Environment						
2A- Creating an environment of respect a	and rapport					
2B - Establishing a Culture for Learning 2C - Managing Library Procedures 2D - Managing student behavior 2E - Organizing physical space						
Sources:						
Comments:						

				D	Α	E
Instruction (Delivery of	Instruction)					
3A - Communicating Clearly and Factoring Questioning and Factoring Clearly and Factoring	Research Techniques earning on (whole class, one-on-one a	nd small group				
Sources:						
Comments:						
			I	D	Α	E
Professional Responsibi	lities (for full evaluations	only)				
4A - Reflecting on Practice 4B - Maintaining Accurate 4C - Communicating with 4D - Participating in a Prof 4E - Growing and Develop 4F - Collection Developme 4G - Managing the Library 4H - Managing Personnel 4I - Professional ethics	Records School Staff and Communifessional Community Sing Professionally Ent and Maintenance	ty				
Sources:						
Comments:						
Additional Comments:						
Professional Growth Plan R	eview:					
Summary Primary Evaluat	tor Comments:					
Summary Evaluatee Com	ments:					
Evaluatee's Signature	- — Date	_ Evaluator's Sig	nature		ate	

School Psychologist Formative Evaluation Document

Employee Name / Employee ID#						
Cycle						
School						
Grade Level/Subject(s)						
Observer						
Date of Observation						
Date of Post Observation Conference						
Indicate the overall rating for each Performance	e Measure: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accompli	shed (A), or	Exemplary	(E)	
Г		l	D	Α	E	
Planning and Preparation (for full ev	aluations only)					
1B - Demonstrating knowledge of child and 1C - Establishing goals for the psychology p 1D - Demonstrating knowledge of state and the school and district 1E - Planning the psychology program integ individual students and including prevention 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the psychology	rogram appropriate to the setting and federal regulations and the resource rated with the regular school progran	d the student s both within	and beyon	d		
Sources:						
Comments:						
		I	D	Α	E	
Environment						
2A - Establishing rapport with students 2B - Establishing a culture for positive mental health throughout the school 2C - Establishing and maintaining clear procedures for referrals 2D - Establishing standards of conduct in the testing center 2E - Organizing physical space for testing the students and storage of materials						
Sources:						
Comments:						

	<u> </u>	D	Α	E
Instruction (Delivery of Service)				
3A - Responding to referrals consulting with teachers and administrators 3B - Evaluating student needs and compliance with national Association of sc 3C - Chairing evaluation team 3D - Planning interventions to maximize student's likelihood of success 3E - Maintaining contact with physicians and community mental health service 3F - Demonstrating flexibility and responsiveness		ists NASPg	uidelines	
Sources:				
Comments:				
	Į I	D	Α	E
Professional Responsibilities (for full evaluations only)				
4A - Reflecting on practice 4B - Communicating with families 4C - Maintaining accurate records 4D - Participating in a professional community 4E - Engaging in professional development 4F - Showing professionalism Sources:				
Comments:				
Additional Comments:				
Professional Growth Plan Review:				
Summary Primary Evaluator Comments:				
Summary Evaluatee Comments:				
valuatee's Signature Date Evaluator's	Signature	 Da		

TODD COUNTY SCHOOLS Therapeutic Specialist Formative Evaluation Document

Employee Name / Employee ID#						
Cycle						
School						
Grade Level/Subject(s)						
Observer						
Date of Observation						
Date of Post Observation Conference						
Indicate the overall rating for each Performance	e Measure: Ineffective (I), Developing ((D), Accompl	ished (A), or	Exemplary	/ (E)	
		ı	D	Α	Е	
Planning and Preparation (for full ev	aluations only)					
1A - Demonstrating knowledge and skill in the 1B - Establishing goals for the therapy program 1C - Demonstrating knowledge of District standard 1D - Demonstrating knowledge of resources 1E - Planning the therapy program integrate 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the therapy Sources:	ram appropriate to the setting and the ate and federal regulations and guide s both within and beyond the school a ed with the regular school program to	e students s elines and district	erved		ents	
Comments:						
		I	D	Α	E	
Environment						
2A - Establishing rapport with students 2B - Organizing time effectively 2C - Establishing and maintaining clear procedures for referrals 2D - Establishing standards of conduct in the treatment center 2E - Organizing physical space for testing of students and providing therapy						
Sources:						
Comments:						

			I	D	Α	E
Instruction (Delivery of I	nstruction)					
3A - Responding to referrals a 3B - Developing and impleme 3C - Communicating with fam 3D - Collecting information; was 3E - Demonstrating flexibility a	nting treatment plans to lilies riting reports		s			
Sources:						
Comments:						
			1	D	Α	E
Professional Responsibil	ities (for full evaluati	ions only)				
4B - Collaborating with teat 4C - Maintaining an effectiv 4D - Participating in a profe 4E - Engaging in profession 4F - Showing professionalis Sources:	ve data management s essional community nal development	system	ng confider	ntiality		
Comments:						
Additional Comments:						
Professional Growth Plan Re	eview:					
Summary Primary Evaluate	or Comments:					
Summary Evaluatee Comm	nents:					
valuatee's Signature	Date	Evaluator's Sig	nature	 Da	ite	

Instructional Specialist Formative Evaluation Document

Employee Name / Employee ID#					
Cycle					
School					
Grade Level/Subject(s)					
Observer					
Date of Observation					
Date of Post Observation Conference					
Indicate the overall rating for each Performanc	e Measure: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accompli	shed (A), or	Exemplary	' (E)
		I	D	Α	E
Planning and Preparation (for full ev	aluations only)				
1A - Demonstrating knowledge of current treat 1B - Demonstrating knowledge of the school 1C - Establishing goals for the instructional 1D - Demonstrating knowledge of resources 1E - Planning the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instruction 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instruction 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instruction 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instruction 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instruction 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instructi	ol's program and levels of teacher ski support program appropriate to the se s both within and beyond the school a ram integrated with the overall schoo	ill in deliverinetting and thand district	ng that prog		
Comments:					
		I	D	Α	E
Environment					
2A - Creating an environment of trust and re 2B - Establishing a culture for ongoing instru 2C - Establishing clear procedures for teach 2D - Establishing and maintaining norms of 2E - Organizing physical space for workshop	uctional improvement ners to gain access to the instructional behavior for professional interactions				
Sources:					
Comments:					

			I	D	Α	E
Instruction (Delivery of Se	ervice)					
3A - Collaborating with teachers 3B - Engaging teachers in learn 3C - Sharing expertise with staf 3D - Locating resources for teac 3E - Demonstrating flexibility ar	ning new instructional ff chers to support instru	skills		•		
Sources:						
Comments:						
			I	D	A	E
Professional Responsibilit	ties (for full evalua	tions only)				
4A - Reflecting on practice 4B - Preparing and submittir 4C - Coordinating work with 4D - Participating in a profes 4E - Engaging in professional 4F - Showing professionalisi	other instructional s ssional community al development	specialists				
Comments:						
Additional Comments:						
Professional Growth Plan Rev	riew:					
Summary Primary Evaluator	r Comments:					
- Cammary Francis						
Summary Evaluatee Comme	ents:					
valuatee's Signature	 Date	_ Evaluator's Sig		 		

Principal Performance Standards and Evaluation Documents

Principal Professional Growth Plan
Principal Performance Standards
Principal Formative Workplace Visit
Principal Summative Evaluation Form
District Administrator Formative Evaluation District
Administrator Summative Evaluation Form

Principal Professional Growth Plan

School	Year:

Employee #:	
Primary Evaluator:	
e Area:	
Date/Timeline	Training Impact / Evidence
	Primary Evaluator:e

The employee and the supervisor shall sign this document to indicate that it has been reviewed and discussed. Keep one for your file. An additional copy should be submitted to your supervisor.

Date

Evaluatee Signature

Primary Evaluator's Signature

Date

Standard Specific Information from Formative Evaluation/Observation *See also PSEL Guidance for Growth & Evaluation, Appendix

Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Core Values	Standard 2: Ethicsand Professional Norms	Standard 3: Equity and Cultural Responsivenes s	Standard 4: Curriculum, Instruction and Assessment	Standard 5: Community of Careand Support for Students
a) Develops an educational mission for the school to promote the academic success andwell-being of each student	a) Acts ethically and professionally in personal conduct, relationships with others, decision- making, stewardship of the school's resources, and all aspects of school leadership.	a) Ensures that each student is treated fairly, respectfully, and with an understanding of each student's culture and context.	a) Implements coherent systems of curriculum, instruction, and assessment that promote the mission, vision, and core values of the school, embody high expectations for student learning, align with academic standards, and are culturally responsive.	a) Builds and maintains a safe, caring, and healthy school environment that meets the academic, social, emotional and physical needs of eachstudent.
b) In collaboration with members of the school and the community and using relevant data, develops and promotes a vision for the school on the successful learning and development of each child and on instructional and organizational practices that promote such success.	b) Acts according to and promotes the professional norms ofintegrity, fairness, transparency, trust, collaboration, perseverance, learning, and continuous improvement.	b) Recognizes, respects, and employseach student's strengths, diversity, and culture as assets for teaching and learning.	b) Aligns and focuses systems of curriculum, instruction, and assessment within and across grade levels to promote student academic success, love of learning, the identities and habits of learners, and a healthy sense of self.	b) Creates and sustains a school environment in whicheach student is known, accepted, and valued, trusted and respected, cared for, and encouraged to be an active and responsible member of the school community.
c) Articulates, advocates, and cultivates core values that define the school's culture and stress the imperative of child-centered education; high expectations and student support; equity, inclusiveness, and social justice; openness, caring, and trust; and continuous improvement.	c) Places children at the center of education and accepts responsibility for each student's academic success and well- being.	c) Ensures that each student has equitable access to effective teachers, learning opportunities, academic and social support, and other resources necessary for success.	c) Promotes instructional practice that is consistent with knowledge of child learning and development, effective pedagogy, and the needs of each student.	c) Provides coherent systems of academic and social supports, services, extracurricular activities, and accommodations to meet the range of learning needs of each student.

d) Strategically develops, implements, and evaluates actions to achieve the vision forthe school.	d) Safeguards and promotes the values of democracy, individual freedom and responsibility, equity, social justice, community, and diversity.	d) Develops student policies and addresses student misconduct ina positive, fair, and unbiased manner.	d) Ensures instructional practice that is intellectually challenging, authenticto student experiences, recognizes student strengths, and is differentiated and personalized.	d) Promotes adult- student, student- peer, and school- community relationships that value and support academic learning and positive social and emotional development.
e) Reviews the school's mission and vision and adjust them to changing expectations and opportunities for the school and changingneeds and situations of students.	e) Leads with interpersonal and communication skills, social emotional insight, and understanding of all students' and staff members' backgrounds and cultures.	e) Confronts and alters institutional biases of student marginalization, deficit-based schooling, and low expectations associated with race, class, culture and language, gender andsexual orientation, and disability or special status.	e) Promotes the effective use of technology in the service of teaching and learning.	e) Cultivates and reinforces student engagement in school and positive student conduct.
f) Develops shared understanding of and commitment to mission, vision, and core values within theschool and the community.	f) Provides moral direction for the school and promotes ethical and professional behavioramong faculty and staff.	f) Promotes the preparation of all students to live productively in and contribute to the diverse cultural contexts of a global society.	f) Employs valid assessments that are consistent with knowledge of child learning and development and technical standards ofmeasurement.	f) Infuses the school'slearning environmentwith the cultures and languages of the school's community.
g) Models and pursues the school's mission, vision, and core values in all aspects of leadership.		g) Acts with cultural competence and responsiveness in their interactions, decision-making, and practice.	g) Uses assessment data appropriately and within technical limitations to monitorstudent progress and improve instruction.	
		h) Addresses mattersof equity and cultural responsiveness in all aspects of leadership.		

Standard 6 – Professional Capacity of School Personnel	Standard 7 – Professional Community for Teachers and Staff	Standard 8 – Meaningful Engagement ofFamilies and Communitie s	Standard 9 – Operations and Management	Standard 10 -School Improveme nt
a) Recruits, hires, supports, develops, and retains effective and caring teachers and other professional staff andforms them into an educationally effective faculty.	a) Develops workplaceconditions for teachers and other professional staff that promote effective professional development, practice, and student learning.	a) Is approachable, accessible, and welcoming to families and members of the community.	a) Institutes, manages, and monitors operations and administrative systems that promote the mission and vision of the school.	a) Seeks to make school more effective for each student, teachers and staff, families, and the community.
b) Plans for and manages staff turnover and succession, providing opportunities for effective induction and mentoring of newpersonnel.	b) Empowers andentrusts teachers and staff with collective responsibility for meeting the academic, social, emotional, and physical needs of each student, pursuant to the mission, vision, and core values of the school.	b) Creates and sustains positive, collaborative, and productive relationships with families and the community for the benefit of students.	b) Strategically manages staff resources, assigning and scheduling teachers and staff toroles and responsibilities that optimize their professional capacityto address each student's learning needs.	b) Uses methods of continuous improvement to achieve the vision, fulfill the mission, and promote the core values of the school.
c) Develops teachers' and staff members' professional knowledge, skills, and practice through differentiated opportunities for learning and growth, guided by understanding of professional and adultlearning and development.	c) Establishes and sustains a professional culture of engagement and commitment to shared vision, goals, and objectives pertaining to the education of the whole child; high expectations for professional work; ethical and equitable practice; trust and open communication; collaboration, collective efficacy, and continuous individual and organizational learning and improvement.	c) Engages in regular and open two-way communication with families and the community about the school, students, needs, problems, and accomplishments.	c) Seeks, acquires, andmanages fiscal, physical, and other resources to support curriculum, instruction, and assessment; student learning community; professional capacity and community; and family and community engagement.	c) Prepares the school and the community for improvement by developing strategies to promote readiness, instill mutual commitment and accountability, and develop the knowledge, skills, and motivation to succeed in improvement.

d) Fosters continuous improvement of individual and collective instructional capacity to achieve outcomes envisioned for each student.	d) Promotes mutual accountability among teachers and other professional staff for each student's success and the effectiveness of the school as a whole.	d) Maintains a presence in the community to understand its strengths and needs, develops productive relationships, and engages its resources for the school.	d) Is a responsible, ethical, and accountable stewardof the school's monetary and non-monetary resources, engaging ineffective budgeting and accounting practices.	d) Engages others in an ongoing process of evidence-based inquiry, learning, strategic goal setting, planning, implementation, and evaluation for continuous school and classroom improvement.
e) Delivers actionable feedback about instruction and other professional practice through valid, research-anchored systems of supervision and evaluation to support the development of teachers' and staff members' knowledge, skills, and practice.	e) Develops and supports open, productive, caring, and trusting working relationships among leaders, faculty, and staff to promote professional capacity and the improvement of practice.	e) Creates means for the school community to partner with families to support student learning in and out of school.	e) Protects teachers' and other staff members' work andlearning from disruption.	e) Employs situationally- appropriate strategies for improvement, including transformational and incremental, adaptive approaches and attention to different phases of implementation.
f) Empowers and motivates teachers and staff to the highest levels of professional practice and to continuous learning and improvement.	f) Designs and implements job-embedded and other opportunities for professional learning collaboratively with faculty and staff.	f) Understands, values, and employs the community's cultural, social, intellectual, and political resources to promote student learning and school improvement.	f) Employs technology to improve the quality and efficiency of operations and management.	f) Assesses and develops the capacity of staff to assess the value and apply appropriate emerging educational trends and the findings of research for the school and its improvement.
g) Develops the capacity, opportunities, and support for teacher leadership and leadership from other members of the school community.	g) Provides opportunities for collaborative examination of practice, collegial feedback, and collective learning.	g) Develops and provides the school as a resource for families and the community.	g) Develops and maintains data and communication systems to deliver actionable information for classroom and school improvement.	g) Develops technically appropriate systems of data collection, management, analysis, and use, connecting as neededto the district office and external partnersfor support in planning, implementation, monitoring, feedback,and evaluation.

h) Promotes the personal and professional health, well-being, and work-life balance of faculty and staff.	h) Encourages faculty-initiated improvementof programs and practices.	h) Advocates for the school and district and for the importance of education and studentneeds and priorities to families and the community.	h) Knows, complies with, and helps the school community understand local, state, and federal laws, rights, policies, and regulations so asto promote student success.	h) Adopts a systems perspective and promotes coherence among improvement efforts and all aspects of school organization, programs, and services.
i) Tends to their ownlearning and effectiveness through reflection, study, and improvement, maintaining a healthy work-life balance.		i) Advocates publiclyfor the needs and priorities of students, families, and community.	i) Develops and manages relationships with feeder and connecting schools forenrollment management and curricular and instructional articulation.	i) Manages uncertainty, risk, competing initiatives, and politics of changewith courage and perseverance, providing support andencouragement, and openly communicating the need for, process for, and outcomes of improvement efforts.
		j) Builds and sustainsproductive partnerships with public and private sectors to promote school improvement and student learning.	j) Develops and manages productive relationships with thecentral office and theschool board.	j) Develops and promotes leadership among teachers and staff for inquiry, experimentation and innovation, and initiating and implementing improvement.
			k) Develops and administers systems for fair and equitable management of conflict among students, faculty and staff, leaders, families, and community.	
			I) Manages governance processes and internal and external politics toward achieving the school's mission and vision.	

Principal Formative Workplace Visit Form

Employee Name / Employee ID#					
School					
Duty Assignment					
Observer					
Date of Observation					
Date of Post Observation Conference					
Indicate the overall rating for each Performance	e Measure: Ineffective (I), Developing (D), Accompli	shed (A), or D	Exemplary A	(E) E
Planning					
Standard 1 – Mission, Vision, and Core				•	•
ValuesStandard 9 – Operations and					
Management					
Standard 10 - School Improvement					
Sources:					
Comments:					
		I	D	Α	E
Environment					
Standard 3 – Equity and Cultural Respons	siveness				
Standard 7 – Professional Community for	r Teachers and Staff				
Sources:					
Comments:					
		I	D	Α	E
Instruction					
Standard 4 – Curriculum, Instruction and	Assessment				

Standard 5 – Community of Care and Support for Students					
Standard 6 – Professional Capacity of School Personnel					
Sources:					
Comments:					
		I	D	Α	E
Professionalism					
Standard 2 – Ethics and Professional Norms					
Standard 8 – Meaningful Engagement of Families and C	ommunity				
Sources:					
Comments:					
Additional Comments:					
Professional Growth Plan Review:					
Summary Primary Evaluator Comments:					
Summary Evaluatee Comments:					
Evaluatee's Signature Date	Evaluator's Sig	nature	Da	nte	

Summative Evaluation FormPrincipal Evaluation Plan

Employee Name / Employe	ee ID#					
School						
Duty Assignment						
Observer						
Date of Observation						
Date of Post Observation C	Conference					
Indicate the overall rating for ea	ch Performance Measure	: Ineffective (I), Developin	g (D), Accomp	lished (A), o	r Exemplar	y (E)
			1	D	Α	E
Planning						
Environment						
Instruction						
Professionalism						
Overall Rating:						
			•	•		1
Professional Growth Plan Re	eview:					
Summary Primary Evaluate	or Comments:					
Summary Evaluatee Comm	nents:					
Evaluatee's Signature	 Date	 Evaluator's S			ate	

District Administrator Evaluation Documents

District Level Certified Administrators/Directors/Educational FacilitatorsFormative Evaluation Document

Employee Name / Employee ID#					
Cycle					
School					
Grade Level/Subject(s)					
Observer					
Date of Observation					
Date of Post Observation Conference					
Indicate the overall rating for each Performanc	e Measure: Ineffective (I), Developing (I				
Г		ı	D	Α	E
Planning and Preparation (for full ev	aluations only)				
served 1D - Demonstrating knowledge of resources 1E - Planning the instructional support program 1F - Developing a plan to evaluate the instru Sources: Comments:	ram integrated with the overall school				
		<u> </u>	D	Α	E
Environment					
2A - Creating an environment of trust and re 2B - Establishing a culture for ongoing instru 2C - Establishing clear procedures for teach students 2D - Establishing and maintaining norms of 2E - Organizing physical space for workshop Sources:	uctional improvement and/or support the support the support to gain access to the instructional behavior for professional interactions	ıl support an		t for care o	of
Comments:					

			ı	D	Α	Е
Instruction (Delivery of Se	ervices)					
3A - Collaborating with teachers for students 3B - Engaging teachers in learn students 3C - Sharing expertise with stat 3D - Locating resources for teach students 3E - Demonstrating flexibility and	ning new instructional ff chers to support instru	skills and/or support service	es for	ssons and/o	r support s	ervices
Sources:						
Comments:						
			I	D	Α	E
Professional Responsibilit	ies (for full evalua	itions only)				
4A - Reflecting on practice 4B - Preparing and submittir 4C - Coordinating work with 4D - Participating in a profes 4E - Engaging in professionalism	other specialists ssional community al development					
Sources:						
Comments:						
Additional Comments:						
Professional Growth Plan Rev	iew:					
Summary Primary Evaluator	· Comments:					
Summary Evaluatee Comme	ents:					
L						
Evaluatee's Signature	 Date	 Evaluator's Sig	nature	 Da	ite	

District Level Certified Administrators/Directors/Educational FacilitatorsSummative Evaluation

Employee Name / Employee ID#					
Cycle					
School					
Grade Level/Subject(s)					
Observer					
Date of Observation					
Date of Post Observation Conference					
Indicate the overall rating for each Performance Measur	e: Ineffective (I), Developing	(D), Accomp	lished (A), o	r Exemplar	y (E)
		ı	D	Α	E
Planning and Preparation					
Environment					
Instruction (Delivery of Services)					
Professional Responsibilities					
Additional Comments:					
Professional Growth Plan Review:					
Summary Primary Evaluator Comments:					
Summary Evaluatee Comments:					
Evaluatee's Signature Date	Evaluator's Sid	nature		ate	

Appeals Process Statute and Forms

2025-2026 Appeals Committee

District Certified (Kim Justice, DOSE) Kayla Willis (voted by certified employees) Lindsey Sisco (voted by certified employees)

Appeals Process

POLICY STATEMENT ON LOCAL APPEALS PANEL AS REQUIRED BY KRS 156.557(9) and 704 KAR 3:370(17

INTRODUCTION

The State Legislature amended KRS 156.101 (11) to read as follows:

The local board of education shall establish an evaluation appeals panel for certified personnel, which shall consist of two (2) members elected by the certified employees of the district and one (1) member appointed by the board of education who is a certified employee of the board. Certified employees who feel that they were not fairly evaluated may submit an appeal to the panel for a timely review of their evaluation.

LENGTH OF TERM

The beginning date shall be July 1 and the end date shall be June 30. If an appeal is pending at the end of a panel's term, said appeal shall be completed by that panel.

ELECTION OF APPEALS PANEL MEMBERS

The election of two members of the Appeals Panel by certified staff shall be accomplished by using the following process:

- 1. The Superintendent or designee shall appoint two certified people to serve as election officers to conduct the process.
- 2. By the first day of September the election officers, using a nomination form, shall seek nominations of persons whose names will be placed on a ballot unless the nominee(s), when contacted, indicate(s) otherwise.
- 3. Ballots shall be prepared by the election officers and distributed to each certified staff member. Elections shall be by secret ballot. Elections shall be completed, and ballots counted by the election officers on or before September 15th of each year. The two persons receiving the highest number of votes respectively shall serve on the panel. The two persons receiving the next highest number of votes respectively shall serve as alternates. The election officers shall certify the results to the Superintendent.
- 4. The chairperson of the panel shall be the certified employee appointed by the Board.

APPOINTMENT OF CERTIFIED STAFF MEMBERS TO APPEALS PANEL

Upon the recommendation of the Superintendent, the Board shall appoint one appeals panel member at the regular June Board meeting.

PROCEDURES FOR APPEAL AND TIMELINES

Any certified employee who believes that he or she was not fairly evaluated on the summative evaluation may appeal to the panel within Five (5) working days of the receipt of the summative evaluation. The certified employeemay review any evaluation material related to him/her. Both the evaluator and the evaluatee shall be given the opportunity to review documents to be given to the hearing committee reasonably in advance of the hearing and may have representation of their choosing.

The panel shall present their findings within 15 calendar days after the appeal is officially filed with them to the Superintendent. The findings of the panel are advisory in nature.

The panel's review shall determine whether or not the evaluation has a factual basis. This means that if facts exist on which the evaluator could reasonably base his/her decision, then the Appeals Panel cannot find the conclusion in error merely because they would prefer a different result. The evaluatee or appealing employee would have the

burden of proof and shall be required to submit proof to the panel that would compel the panel to find that the evaluation was not factually based.

Mere claims would not be sufficient; the evaluator/appealing employee must submit compelling proof that the evaluation is not factually based. The Appeals Panel, in addition to reviewing written appeals and responses to said appeals, may request oral information from both parties as well as further written interrogatories if the situation warrants such.

When hearings warrant additional information being presented, the Appeals Panel shall extend the timeline by providing notification to all parties in writing.

The Superintendent shall have 45 calendar days from the receipt of the written findings of Appeals Panel to review the matter and take final action. A certified employee who feels that the local district is not properly implementing the evaluation plan according to the way it was approved by the Kentucky Department of Education shall have the opportunity to appeal to the Kentucky Board of Education.

Certified Personnel Evaluation Appeal Form

INSTRUCTIONS

This form is to be used by certified employees who wish to appeal their current summative evaluation. If you feel that you were not fairly evaluated, you may submit an appeal to the Advisory Appeal Panel by completing this formand returning it to the Chairman of the panel within fifteen (15) working days of the receipt of your summative evaluation.

Date of your summative evalu	ation:	
Date Appeal received by Pane	el:	
Employee's Name:	Employee II	Number:
Home Address:		
City, State, Zip Code:		
Title:	_School/Work Location:	Grade or Department:
What specifically do you objec	et to or why do you feel you were n	ot fairly evaluated?
Name of evaluator:		
that by filing this appeal I hereb	by give my consent for my evaluation	ring before the Appeal Panel. I understand on records to be presented to the members appear before the Panel if requested.
Please check one:	I desire a hearing l	pefore the Appeals Panel
	I do not desire a h	earing before the Appeal Panel
Employee's Signature		 Date

Certified Employee Corrective Action/Assistance Plan

EMPLOYEE ASSISTANCE/CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

The performance of all certified personnel is to be evaluated annually for all non-tenured personnel and administrators and once every five years for tenured personnel. When unsatisfactory behavior is noted, the following process will be used by the evaluator to aid the evaluatee in improving performance:

- 1. Evaluation contacts become more frequent and formal.
- 2. The primary evaluator notifies the evaluatee that his or her performance is not meeting District standards.
- Specific data is shared with the evaluatee that identifies the deficiencies and verifies the situation.
- 4. An Individualized Corrective Action Plan is developed by the evaluator and evaluatee. This plan shall address the performance area, goals and activities that achieve the desired performance and target dates.
- 5. If necessary, an Intensive Assistance Team supplements the work of the primary evaluator. The Intensive Assistance is a team effort to supply skills, knowledge, and time of several supervisory personnel. An example of an Intensive Assistance Team may consist of:
- Primary Evaluator
- Instructional Supervisor
- Personnel Director
- Others as agreed upon by all team members
 - 6. Evaluatee is given help to improve.
 - 7. Evaluatee is given time to improve.
 - 8. Evaluatee is given progress reports.
 - 9. Evaluator stresses job expectations.
 - 10. Evaluator discusses further action if evaluatee fails to comply.
 - 11. Evaluations are to be in narrative style.
 - 12. The deficiency evaluation process should begin in sufficient time to be completed and appropriate forms submitted by April 15.

Instructions for Completing the Individual Corrective Action Plan

This plan is to be completed by the evaluator (with discussion and assistance from the evaluatee) as it relates to an inadequate or "ineffective" on one or more components from the KY Framework for Teaching from the Formative Evaluation. The evaluator and evaluatee must identify corrective action goals and objectives, procedures and

activities designed to achieve the goals; and targeted dates for appraising the evaluatee's improvement of the standard. It is the evaluator's responsibility to document all actions taken to assist the evaluatee in improving his/her performance.

1. Component Number

Identify the specific Component(s) from the Formative Evaluation Form that has an "Ineffective" rating assigned.

2. Present Professional Development Stage

Select the stage of professional development that best reflects the evaluatee's level:

- I = Ineffective
- D = Developing
- A = Accomplished
- E = Exemplary

3. Growth/Objective(s) Goals

Growth objectives and goals must address the specific standard(s) rated as "Ineffective" on the Formative Evaluation document. The evaluatee and the evaluator work closely to correct the identified weakness(es).

4. Procedures and Activities for Achieving Goal(s) and Objective(s)

Identify and design specific procedures and activities for the improvement of performance. Include supportpersonnel, when appropriate.

5. Appraisal Method and Target Dates

List the specific target dates and appraisal methods used to determine improvement of performance. Exact documentation and record keeping of all actions must be provided to the evaluatee.

6. Documentation of all reviews, corrective actions, and evaluator's assistance must be provided periodically (as they occur) to the evaluatee.

INDIVIDUAL CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN FOR:

Employee Name

Date: Work Site:					
Standard	PG Stage	Growth Objective/Goal(s) (Describe desired outcomes)	Procedures and Activities for Achieving Goals and Objectives (Including support personnel)	Appraisal Methodand Target Dates	
Evaluatee's Co	omments:				
Evaluator's Co	omments:				
Plan Develo	pped:		Review of goals:_AchievedRevised	dContinued	
Em	iployee's Signa	ture Date	Employee's Signature	Date	
Sup	pervisor's Signa	ature Date	Supervisor's Signature	Date	

*Professional Growth Plan Stages:

A = Accomplished

D = Developing

I = Ineffective

E = Exemplary

ASSISTANCE TEAM LOG OF ACTIVITIES

(To be completed for every assistance activity)

TEACHER:			
DATE OF			
CONTACT/OBSERVATION:			
PERSONS PRESENT:			
SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES:			
SUMMART OF ACTIVITIES.			
RECOMMENDATIONS:			
REGOMMENDATIONS.			
NEXT MEETING:			
Employee's Signature	Date	 Contact's Signature	 Date