Jefferson County Public Schools - Standard Operating Procedure

| Title | School Capacity | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|------------|---|--|
| SOP Document # | OPS001 | Revision # | 1 | |
| Approved By | Rob Fulk, Dena Dossett, and Amanda Averette-Bush | | | |
| Department | Operations | | | |
| Audience | Leaders of JCPS Operations, ARSI, and Schools Divisions, the Office of School Choice, and Student Assignment | | | |
| Effective Date | May 14, 2025 Next Revision Due June 2026 | | | |
| Revision History | Initial: 12-1-24, Revised on: 4-7-25 | | | |
| Developed By | Brent West and Amy Stokes-Levine | | | |
| JCBE Review Date | May 13, 2025 | | | |
| Administrative Procedure # | 09.112 AP.1 | | | |

Table of Contents

| I. Purpose | | |
|--|--|--|
| II. Assumptions | | |
| III. Scope / Audience | | |
| IV. Procedures | | |
| Integrated Decision-Making Team | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| /III. Terminology, Glossary, Definitions | | |
| Tim Torminology, Closcaly, Delinidolis | | |

| Approval Signature: | Date: | |
|---------------------|-------|--|
|---------------------|-------|--|

Effective Date: May 1, 2025



I. Purpose

- Safety for Students & Staff and Effective Use of Facilities The district employs various metrics to assess the effective use of school facilities, ensuring optimal conditions for both student and staff safety, well-being, and equitable access to learning opportunities.
- Ongoing Monitoring & Response Guidelines for annual evaluation metrics and strategies to maintain optimal capacity are established.
- **Communication Plan** A communication plan for conveying capacity status and associated actions is outlined, recognizing that school capacity affects multiple departments across JCPS.

II. Assumptions

- School capacity is systematically tracked, projected, and monitored to ensure student and employee safety, as well as the efficient allocation of resources.
- 2 Buildings should operate within 75% to 115% of their optimal capacity.

III. Scope / Audience

Actions taken as a result of the strategies outlined in Section IV, Procedures, 4, may affect the following groups:

- a. K-12 Principals
- b. Assistant Superintendents and Zone Leaders
- c. Early Learning Centers
- d. School Choice
- e. Schools Division
- f. Operations Division
- g. Human Resources Division
- h. Finance Division

IV. Procedures

1 Integrated Decision-Making Team

A district team, jointly led by the Chief Operations Officer and the Chief of Accountability, Research, and Systems Improvement typically includes staff from Geographic Information Systems (GIS), School Choice, Safety, Facilities, Transportation, Schools Division, and other departments as assigned such as ML, ECE, and/or Early Childhood.

2 Timeline

School capacity is estimated in the **fall**, usually in November, when enrollment projections are developed for the upcoming school year, along with an annual room usage survey.

Proposed mitigation strategies for schools operating at or above 115% or below 75% capacity for one year are typically shared in December.



3 Measurement Factors

The collaborative decision-making team analyzes current enrollment, projected enrollment, school size, and school usage to determine capacity limits. Buildings for which enrollment exceeds 115% of optimal capacity for one year are analyzed for causes, which may include boundary allotment, student transfers, choice zone enrollment, magnet enrollment, etc.

The team will provide both long- and short-term response strategies to reduce student enrollment for these buildings (outlined in Section 4) while considering that a solution strategy for one building may cause a capacity issue for another building.

4 Strategies for Maintaining Optimal Capacity

Strategies to address school capacity overages will be prioritized, beginning with those that present the least implementation complexity and are most applicable to the situation. Mitigation strategies to maintain optimal capacity may include the following:

| Mitigation Strategies for Maintaining Optimal Enrollment | | | Impact Lead Time | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Implementation Difficulty | Department | Strategy | Enroll- ment Goal | 0-6 months | 6-12 months | 1-2 years | More than 2 years |
| Low | Student Assignment | Halt student transfers if filled to 105% or greater capacity | Reduce | х | х | | |
| Low | Student Assignment | Increase student assignment if 75% or less | Increase | х | Х | | |
| Low | Schools | Change Grade Configurations | Reduce | | | х | |
| Low | Operations | Portable classrooms | Reduce | No Longer an Option | | | |
| Medium | Schools | Reduce or eliminate magnet programs | Reduce | | х | | |
| Medium | Operations & Schools | Relocate Early Childhood or other programs | Reduce | х | х | | |
| High | Operations & Schools | School merger | Increase | | х | х | |
| High | Operations & Schools | Boundary or zone configuration changes | Reduce / Increase | | | х | х |
| High | Operations | Renovation of existing facility | Reduce | | | х | х |
| High | Operations | Addition to existing facility | Reduce | | | | х |
| High | Operations | Build new facility | Reduce | | | | х |



5 Communication Plan

Two communication cycles will occur: one for confirming school capacity estimates and a second to recommend mitigation strategies for schools 115% or over capacity.

| Group | Approximate Annual Notification Month | Purpose |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| All Schools | November | Confirmation of school capacity estimations. |
| Schools with enrollment at or over 115% or below 75%. | December | Proposed mitigation strategies |

Following decisions for recommended mitigation strategies, the School Capacity Team will communicate expected capacity counts annually each November.

Both communication cycles are shared in order to verify final counts or acknowledge mitigation shared with the following groups in the order noted:

- 1. School Choice for review beyond leadership members
- 2. Assistant Superintendents
- 3. School Leaders

Each group is asked to provide feedback and corrections are made before sharing with the next group.

This process is repeated each fall for the upcoming school year.

| V. | Follow-up Procedures |
|----|---|
| | Decisions for Individual Schools |
| 1 | Decision points are established for each school that receives proposed mitigation strategies. |
| | Schools Division |
| 2 | The implications of mitigation strategy changes are outlined for the Schools Division. |
| 3 | Office of School Choice |
| | The implications of mitigation strategy changes are outlined for School Choice. |



| VI. | Roles and Responsibilities |
|-----|---|
| 1 | Chief Accountability, Research, and Systems Improvement - Collaborates with parties outlined in Section IV-1 concerning School Capacity by providing any student data or research relevant to the issue. |
| 2 | Chief Operating Officer - Collaborates with parties outlined in Section IV-1 concerning School Capacity by providing any infrastructure data relevant to the issue. |
| 3 | Geographic Information Systems (GIS) - Collaborates with parties outlined in Section IV-1 concerning School Capacity by providing any population growth data relevant to the issue. |
| 4 | School Choice - Collaborates with parties outlined in Section IV-1 concerning School Capacity by providing any student enrollment pattern data relevant to the issue. |
| 5 | Safety - Collaborates with parties outlined in Section IV-1 concerning School Capacity by providing any safety data relevant to the issue. |
| 6 | Facility Maintenance - Collaborates with parties outlined in Section IV-1 concerning School Capacity by providing any infrastructure data relevant to the issue. |
| 7 | Transportation - Collaborates with parties outlined in Section IV-1 concerning School Capacity by providing any transportation data relevant to the issue. |
| 8 | Chief Information Officer - Collaborates with School Choice, Routing Team, GIS, and others as needed to update technology systems for schools, families, and students to reflect any changes relevant to the issue. |

| VII. | Related Documents |
|------|--|
| 1 | Standard Operating Procedure – <u>School Boundary</u> |
| 2 | Schools Division: https://www.jefferson.kyschools.us/page/schools-division |
| 3 | Office of School Choice: https://www.jefferson.kyschools.us/page/office-of-school-choice |

| VIII. | Terminology, Glossary, Definitions |
|-------|---|
| 1 | Optimal Capacity - The ideal number of students based on the design of the building. |
| 2 | Program Capacity - The ideal number of students in a building based on how the school uses the rooms. |