Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CDIP)

Rationale

District improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, district funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CDIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Districts, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- There are seven (7) required district goals:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - English Learner Progress
 - Quality of School Climate and Safety
 - Postsecondary Readiness
 - o Graduation Rate

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive district improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Districts

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Districts that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Writing, Social Studies, and Science proficiency at all levels (Elementary, Middle, and High)

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the District Key Elements Template that the district will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Continued Professional Learning in both Reading and Math while adding Science, Social Studies and Writing and continued data analysis during PLC time

Indicator

List the overall scores of status and change for each level – elementary school (ES), middle school (MS) and high school (HS) on each indicator.

Explanations/Directions

| Indicator | Status – ES/MS/HS | Change – ES/MS/HS |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics | 76.5/69.0/68.2 | 2/.7/10.9 |
| State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing | 64.8/55.3/46.3 | -3.8/-4.4/-6.4 |
| English Learner Progress | 54.6/NA/31.8 | -22.0/NA/4.9 |
| Quality of School Climate and Safety | 85.7/63.8/60.3 | 3.6/1.1/1.5 |
| Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only) | 98.6 | 7.2 |
| Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only) | 89.5 | -1.2 |

Updated June 2023 1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal): By May 2026 HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments as follows. Reading- Elementary from 51.0% in 2022 to 65.7%; Middle from 51.0% in 2022 to 72.3%; High- from 49.0% in 2022 to 56.6% Math- Elementary 49.0% in 2022 to 72.5%; Middle from 51.0% in 2022 to 71.0%; High- from 44.0% in 2022 to 50.9%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Objective 1: HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments by 2025 in reading as follows: | Design and Deploy Standards Through the development of curriculum maps and pacing guides using state standards, teachers will be able to execute standards | Monitor and evaluate for effectiveness using evidence-Reading Diagnosticed core literacy series (such as FPC, UFLI, & SAAVAS) that aligns with KAS. | Continue use of district ELA series at all levels; learning trajectory documents | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | \$500,000 General Fund \$250,000 Title I |
| Elementary from 58.0% to 63.7% Middle from 52.0% to 57.0% High- from 53.0% to 56.6%through instructional objective learning trajectories.Design and Deliver Instruction Teachers and staff will implement | through instructional objectives and learning trajectories. Design and Deliver Instruction Teachers and staff will implement appropriate literary strategies to | Continue ongoing professional learning aligned with KAS that emphasizes critical and higher-order thinking, reading strategies in the content areas, and academic vocabulary in instructional practice. Conduct collaborative professional learning with teachers among schools. | Reading Professional Development, Foundational reading FPC & UFLI - ELEM; My Perspectives from Savaas Learning - MS & HS | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Instructional Coaches | \$300,000 General Fund \$90,000 Title I |
| | reading. | Continue evidence-Reading Diagnosticed instructional strategies and use coaching strategies to increase teacher effectiveness/efficacy. | Monitored through instructional walkthroughs in schools by district and school administration. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| | | Continue with annual revision, implementation, and monitoring of district literacy plans, and state-required school writing plans. This will also include updated literacy plans that schools will incorporate. | Each school has a literacy plan, which is approved by SBDM Council and has a deadline for submission to KDE. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); District Literacy Coach; Principals | No funding required |
| | | At the secondary level, reading, including vocabulary skills, will be taught in all classes across content areas. | Lesson plans are reviewed every week at the secondary level. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); Secondary Principals; Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| | | Implement 120 minutes of direct reading instruction at K-3 and 100 minutes at grades 4-5. | Reading walkthroughs Principal observations Master schedule | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals | No funding required |

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal):

By May 2026 HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments as follows.

Reading- Elementary from 51.0% in 2022 to 65.7%; Middle from 51.0% in 2022 to 72.3%; High- from 49.0% in 2022 to 56.6%

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | Implement 90 minutes daily of direct ELA instruction for grades 6-8 and 50 minutes for grades 9-12. | | | |
| | Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy Using a balanced assessment system, teachers will be able to inform their instruction and help students self-regulate their own learning. | The district will continue monitoring evidence-Reading Diagnosticed supplemental reading and intervention materials to be used district-wide. (i.e. Accelerated Reader, STAR, Reading A-Z, Leveled Literacy Intervention, Edgenuity, Heggerty's Phonemic Awareness, Lexia, Benchmark Assessment System, Imagine Learning, Freckle, Reading Plus, Saxon Phonics, etc.) The district will financially support, as applicable and as available, district-required programs. | PLC data discussions around student data. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Director Instructional Technology; Director of Special Education | \$100,000 Title I Part A, General Fund |
| | | Elementary district ELA Reading Diagnostic will be administered to monitor curriculum implementation, inform instruction, and measure achievement. Reading Diagnostic (K-5) administration minimum two times per year. The middle schools and high school use common assessments. | Reading walkthroughs; Reading Diagnostic, District benchmark & ELA assessments given then analyzed through GradeCam, Formative, and Edcite with teachers & instructional coaches | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| | | GradeCam, K - 5 Reading Diagnostic, and Formative, Edcite, & MAP analysis of data will be used to inform instruction, assessment, and student achievement. | Analysis of data is ongoing and occurs at all levels. Instructional coaches report to Assistant Superintendent. Reading Diagnostic- compiled & analyzed and shared with principals, by District Literacy Coach. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Director of Teaching & Learning; Principals; Instructional Coaches; Teachers; District Literacy Coach | \$10,000 Title I Part A |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply Data | Continue technology support and maintenance for hardware and software | Director of Technology will meet with STA's/STC's | Monitored through district instructional walkthroughs | \$300,000 General Fund |

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal):

By May 2026 HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments as follows.

Reading- Elementary from 51.0% in 2022 to 65.7%; Middle from 51.0% in 2022 to 72.3%; High- from 49.0% in 2022 to 56.6%

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|---------------------|
| | reading assessments, we will identify students not yet reaching proficiency in grade level standards and refine instructional strategies Reading Diagnosticed on patterns in the data. Data analysis of nationally normed assessments will help to discover skill deficits of students and differentiated instruction will be planned Reading Diagnosticed on | that supports a future-ready classroom. Instructional coaches will continue to provide support and embedded professional learning for teachers. | regularly. Instructional coaches have scheduled meetings with all teachers to provide technology support and training. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Director of Technology; Instructional Coaches; Principals | |
| | | RTI team will meet at least two times per month to analyze assessment data and identify tier needs for individual students, as identified by the following data: MAP, common assessments, Reading Diagnostic, STAR, classroom performance. | Interventions are scheduled in every school on a daily/weekly Reading Diagnosticis. School master schedules include intervention blocks. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent of Teaching & Learning (Elementary & Secondary); Director of Special Education; Principals | No funding required |
| | | Data meetings with district and school administrators to analyze & discuss assessment results. | Meetings will be held 3 times per year (Fall, Winter, & Spring) | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent of Teaching & Learning (Elementary & Secondary); Director of Special Education; Principals; Director of Accountability & Assessment | No funding required |
| | Design, Align, and Deliver Support Services help provide opportunities to our students who need additional assistance. | Provide reading intervention sessions with individual students or small student groups as identified by data (STAR Early Literacy Reading, MAP, common assessments, RIC, teacher observation, Cambridge, ACT, Reading Recovery, or administrative observation). | Intervention schedules in schools | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Director of Special Education; Principals; Interventionists | \$900,000 Title I |
| | | Elementary/Middle school teachers, school leaders and/or district leaders will model constructed response questions with classes at all levels to make sure students in 3rd-8th grades are hearing a consistent message with respect to expectationsat each school for ERQs/constructed response questions. | Writing folders for all elementary teachers with student work as evidence. Middle school submissions of student work samples in Google drive. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent of Teaching & Learning (Elementary & Secondary); Instructional Coaches; Principals April 1: Ongoing August 1: Ongoing | No funding required |
| | | District K-5 literacy committee, led by district literacy coach, evaluates effectiveness of programs and processes in | The Literacy Committee will meet yearly to assess and | Person(s) Responsible: District Literacy Coach April 1: Implemented | \$5,000 Title I |

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal):

By May 2026 HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments as follows.

Reading- Elementary from 51.0% in 2022 to 65.7%; Middle from 51.0% in 2022 to 72.3%; High- from 49.0% in 2022 to 56.6%

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| | | order to improve literacy achievement and number of students reading and writing on grade level. | reflect on literacy instruction in schools. | | |
| | | Continue position of District Literacy Coach to support teachers in instructional strategies. | Meets regularly to report to Asst. Supt. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent of Teaching & Learning (Elementary) | \$60,000 Title I |
| | | Continue activities to promote literacy among families, Imagination Library promotion, Title I family literacy nights, book walks, Halloween story time, #HCS Reads, Read 20 challenges, Reading is Fundamental, etc. | Literacy Nights at all schools - Title 1 digital folder as evidence | Person(s) Responsible: District Literacy Coach; Public Information Officer; Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Title I Liaison; Principals | \$15,000 Title I |
| | | Each school will implement a school-wide program that will promote and support literacy development (i.e. Accelerated Reader, monthly reading challenges, etc.) | Monitored through reports, lesson plans, newsletters, PBIS plans, etc. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Media Specialists; Teachers | \$20,000 Title I Part A, School Council Funds |
| Objective 2: HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments by 2025 in math as follows: Elementary from 59.0% to 64.0% | Design and Deploy Standards Through development of curriculum maps and pacing guides using state standards, teachers will be able to execute standards through instructional objectives and learning | Continue position of District Math Consultant to work with all levels in improving math instruction, student achievement, and provide professional learning in mathematical reasoning and the 8 mathematical practices. | District Math Consultant meets regularly with Instructional Coaches to know what each school needs in order to provide math support to teachers. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent | \$60,000 Title I |
| Middle from 51.0% to 55.0% High from 49.0% to 53.7% | trajectories. Design, Align, and Deliver Support | Conduct Math intervention sessions with individual students or small groups as identified by data (Aimsweb, MAP, common assessments, teacher observation, or administration observation). | Intervention schedules and team meetings in schools. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; District Math Consultant; Director of Special Education | \$300, 000 Title I |
| | District level administrators provide support and feedback to teachers in order to improve teacher effectiveness and student learning. | District will evaluate for effectiveness K-5 Math in Focus and in-house created calendar curricula. The district will provide curriculum resources for spiral review (K-8) | Instructional Coaches and math teachers will meet with the District Math Consultant for reports on | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); District Math Consultant | \$90,000 General Fund |

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal):

By May 2026 HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments as follows.

Reading- Elementary from 51.0% in 2022 to 65.7%; Middle from 51.0% in 2022 to 72.3%; High- from 49.0% in 2022 to 56.6%

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|--|---|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | | and problem talks; mathematical strategies | MIF & Sec. Math. The | | |
| | | and mathematical reasoning. | District Math Consultant | | |
| | | | will meet with secondary | | |
| | | | math teacher groups to | | |
| | | | guide math instruction in 8 | | |
| | | | mathematical practices and | | |
| | | | math strategies. | | |
| | | Students in grades 6-8 will receive 84 | School schedules & coaches | Person(s) Responsible: | \$200,000 General Fund |
| | | minutes of daily math instruction. | report on Fridays. | Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & | |
| | | Students in K-5 will receive 90 minutes of | | Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | |
| | | daily math instruction (60 minutes Math in | | | |
| | | Focus (main math instruction with | | | |
| | | approved curricula); 30 minutes calendar). | | | |
| | | Elementary will administer common , | Content work days | Person(s) Responsible: | No funding required |
| | | modified versions of Math in Focus unit | including District Math | Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); | |
| | | assessments. Data will be analyzed by the | Consultant, Instructional | Principals; Instructional Coaches; District | |
| | | school and district. | Coaches, and lead math | Math Consultant | |
| | | | teachers. Instructional | | |
| | | | coaches must provide | | |
| | | | agendas & teacher | | |
| | | | evaluations. | | |
| | | Continue ongoing professional learning | Content area professional | Person(s) Responsible: | \$33,000 Title II Part A |
| | | aligned with KAS that emphasizes critical | learning with District Math | Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & | |
| | | and higher order thinking, math strategies, | Consultant. Align math | Secondary); District Math Consultant | |
| | | and the 8 KY mathematical practices and | practices with standards | | |
| | | mathematical reasoning. | and instruction; | | |
| | | | Mathematical Reasoning | | |
| | | Identify evidence-Reading Diagnosticed | Monitored through | Person(s) Responsible: | No funding required |
| | | instructional strategies and use coaching | instructional walkthroughs | Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & | |
| | | strategies to increase mathematical | in schools by district and | Secondary); Instructional Coaches | |
| | | reasoning and teacher | school administration. | | |
| | | effectiveness/efficacy; peer observations | | | |
| | | within schools and in other schools. | | | |
| | | Elementary common assessments and | Completed K-5, analyzed, | Person(s) Responsible: | \$5,000 Title 1 |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply Data | secondary benchmarks and common | data is collected to create | Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & | |
| | Through analysis of a variety of math | summative assessments will be | trends for instructional | Secondary); District Math Consultant; | |
| | assessments, we will identify | administered to monitor curriculum | coaches. 9-12 every unit | Instructional Coaches | |

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal):

By May 2026 HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments as follows.

Reading- Elementary from 51.0% in 2022 to 65.7%; Middle from 51.0% in 2022 to 72.3%; High- from 49.0% in 2022 to 56.6%

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | students not yet reaching proficiency in grade level standards and refine instructional strategies Reading Diagnosticed on patterns in the data. Data analysis of nationally normed | implementation, inform instruction and measure achievement. | analyzed. 6-8 each school gives its own common assessments and benchmark tests and analyzes at school level. | | |
| | assessments will help to discover skill deficits of students and differentiated instruction will be planned Reading Diagnosticed on the results. | The RTI team will meet monthly to analyze assessment data and identify their needs for individual students, as identified by the following data: MAP, common assessments, Reading Diagnostic, STAR, AIMSWeb, classroom performance, Benchmark tests, MIF chapter tests, etc. | Interventions are scheduled in every school on a daily/weekly Reading Diagnosticis. School master schedules include intervention blocks | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Director of Special Education; Principals; District Math Consultant | \$80,000 Title I |
| | Design, Align, and Deliver Support Support services help provide opportunities to our students who need additional assistance. | Data from analysis of student work will be used to inform instruction and assessment through PLCs. | Regularly scheduled grade level or content area meetings with instructional coaches/department leads | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; District Math Consultant; Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| | | Provide opportunities for additional support with math skills through ESS. | Analysis of data | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; ESS Coordinators | ESS funds |
| | Establishing Learning Culture & Environment Establishing a learning culture helps to partner students, staff, and parents in order to aim for a collaborative goal. | Continue family nights in math for elementary schools that include, Math, STEM, STEAM. | All Elementary held Math nights. Title 1 binder evidence & reports from coaches, and evidence of purchase orders. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Elementary); Elementary Principals; District Math Consultant | \$15,000 Title 1 Parent Involvement funds |

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal):

By May 2026 HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments as follows. **Writing**- Elementary from 38.0% in 2022 to 58.0%; Middle from 44.0% in 2022 to 61.0%; High from 35.0% in 2022 to 71.1%. **Science**- Elementary from 33.0% in 2022 to 49.2%; Middle from 30.0% in 2022 to 45.5%; High from 12.0% in 2022 to 47.4%. **Social Studies** - Elementary from 38.0% in 2022 to 68.1%; Middle from 40.0% in 2022 to 67.8%; High from 30.0% in 2022 to 49.0%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|---|---|--|--|---------------------|
| Objective 1: HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments by 2025 in writing as follows: | Design, Align, and Deliver Support District level administrators provide support and feedback to teachers in order to improve teacher | Continue evidence-Reading Diagnosticed instructional strategies and use coaching strategies to increase teacher effectiveness/efficacy. | Monitored through instructional walkthroughs in schools by district and school administration. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary), Principals, and Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| Elementary from 39.0% to 44.0% Middle from 51.0% to 55.0% High from 37.0% to 41.0%. | effectiveness and student learning. | Continue with annual revision, implementation and monitoring of the district literacy plan. This will also include updated literacy plans that schools will incorporate that will include writing. | Each school has a literacy & writing plan, which has a deadline for submission to KDE. | Person(s) Responsible: District Literacy Coach | No funding required |
| | Design and Delivery Assessment Literacy Using a balanced assessment system, teachers will be able to inform their instruction and help | Monitor writing opportunities for students through the collection of student work samples. | Writing tasks will be completed at school and monitored by Principals/instructional coaches. | Person(s) Responsible: Principals & Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| | students self-regulate their own learning. | Data from analysis of student work will be used to inform instruction and assessment. | Grade level PLCs with instructional coaches | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| | | Elementary/Middle school teachers, school leaders and/or district leaders will model Short Answer and Extended Response Questions and On Demand Writing with classes at all levels to make sure students in 3rd-8th grades understand the expectations. | Grade level PLCs with instructional coaches; strategies used and modeled are shared during PLCs. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| | <u>Review, Analyze, and Apply Data</u> Through analysis of a variety of writing pieces, we will identify students not yet reaching proficiency in grade level standards and refine instructional strategies Reading Diagnosticed on patterns | At the secondary level, reading and writing strategies, including vocabulary skills, will be taught in all classes across content areas. | Lesson plans; monitored through instructional walkthroughs and content area PLCs | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); Secondary Principals; Instructional Coaches | \$3,000 Title 1 |

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal):

By May 2026 HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments as follows.

Writing- Elementary from 38.0% in 2022 to 58.0%; Middle from 44.0% in 2022 to 61.0%; High from 35.0% in 2022 to 71.1%.

Science- Elementary from 33.0% in 2022 to 49.2%; Middle from 30.0% in 2022 to 45.5%; High from 12.0% in 2022 to 47.4%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|--|--|--|---|---------------------------|
| | in the data. Data analysis of nationally normed assessments will help to discover skill deficits of students and differentiated instruction will be planned Reading Diagnosticed on the results. | | | | |
| | Design and Deliver Instruction Teachers and staff will implement appropriate teaching strategies to | Administer common formative and summative assessment analysis to inform instruction, assessment, and student achievement. | Lesson plans; analysis of student work during PLCs with Instructional Coach | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); Secondary Principals; Instructional Coaches | \$5,000 Title I |
| | increase student achievement in Si writing. | Schools conduct weekly RTI sessions with individual students or small student groups as identified by data. | Secondary name/claim and RTI classes - weekly per coaches | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals | No funding required |
| | | | Elementary looks at ongoing data to determine which students need to receive additional support. | | |
| Objective 2:Review, Analyze, and Apply DataHCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments by 2025 in science as follows: Elementary from 43.2% to 46.2% Middle from 23.0% to 27.0% High from 6.0% to 11.0%Review, Analyze, and Apply Data Through analysis of a variety of science assessments, we will identify students not yet reaching proficiency in grade level standards and refine instructional strategies Reading Diagnosticed on patterns in the data. Data analysis of nationally normed assessments will help to discover skill deficits of students and differentiated instruction will be planned Reading Diagnosticed on the results. | Data from analysis of student work will be used to inform instruction and assessment. | Schools will analyze science assessments in PLCs to identify trends | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | No funding required | |
| | proficiency in grade level standards and refine instructional strategies Reading Diagnosticed on patterns in the data. Data analysis of nationally normed assessments will help to discover skill deficits of | Continue technology support and maintenance for hardware and software that supports a future-ready classroom. Instructional coaches will continue to provide support and embedded professional learning for teachers. | Director of Technology will meet with STA's/STC's regularly. Instructional coaches have scheduled meetings with all teachers to provide technology support and training. | Person(s) Responsible: Director of Technology; Instructional Coaches | \$300,000 General Fund |
| | | District will monitor and provide resources, as available and as needed, for implementation of programs for science such as Generation Genius (K-5) & Amplify Mystery Science. | Amplify Mystery Science resources for grades 3, 4, and 6 - 8. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Elementary) | \$19,000 General Fund |

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal):

By May 2026 HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments as follows.

Writing- Elementary from 38.0% in 2022 to 58.0%; Middle from 44.0% in 2022 to 61.0%; High from 35.0% in 2022 to 71.1%.

Science- Elementary from 33.0% in 2022 to 49.2%; Middle from 30.0% in 2022 to 45.5%; High from 12.0% in 2022 to 47.4%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|---|---|---|--|--------------------------|
| | | STEAM activities/Makers Space exploration through media centers. | Balance STEAM materials and book selection Reading Diagnosticed on standards within library time at elementary. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Media Specialists | \$100,000 General Fund |
| | Design, Align, and Deliver Support District level administrators provide support and feedback to teachers | District supports and provides resources for Student Technology Leadership Program clubs for K-12 schools. | Student participation in STLP will continue to grow and each school will have an active STLP team. | Person(s) Responsible: Director of Technology; STLP Coaches; Principals | \$20,000 General Fund |
| | in order to improve teacher effectiveness and student learning. | Identify evidenced-Reading Diagnosticed instructional strategies and use coaching strategies to increase teacher effectiveness/efficacy; peer observations within schools and in other schools. Embedded PD for collaboration opportunities when available. | Monitored through instructional walkthroughs in schools by district and school administration. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent of Teaching & Learning (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| Objective 3: HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments by 2025 in social studies as follows: Elementary from 39.0% to 44.0% | Design and Deliver Instruction Teachers and staff will implement inquiry-Reading Diagnosticed teaching strategies to increase student achievement in social | Continue ongoing professional learning with high school teachers aligned with KAS that emphasizes critical and higher order thinking, reading strategies in the content areas and academic vocabulary in instructional practice. | Content area professional learning and PLCs | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); Principles; Instructional Coaches | \$33,000 Title II Part A |
| Middle from 32.0% to 35.0% High from 33.0% to 37.0% | studies. | Continue research-Reading Diagnosticed instructional strategies and use coaching strategies to increase teacher effectiveness/efficacy. (i.e. Claim/Evidence/Reasoning) | Instructional coaches monitor ELA/Social Studies student work and PLCs | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| | | District will monitor and provide resources, as available and as needed, for implementation of programs for social studies (i.e. Teacher Created Materials, SAVAAS, etc.) | Monitored through instructional walkthroughs in schools by district and school administration. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| | | School benchmarks will be administered to monitor curriculum implementation, | Principals will monitor implementation and effectiveness through | Person(s) Responsible: | \$5,000 Title I |

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal):

By May 2026 HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments as follows.

Writing- Elementary from 38.0% in 2022 to 58.0%; Middle from 44.0% in 2022 to 61.0%; High from 35.0% in 2022 to 71.1%.

Science- Elementary from 33.0% in 2022 to 49.2%; Middle from 30.0% in 2022 to 45.5%; High from 12.0% in 2022 to 47.4%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|---------------------------|
| | | inform instruction and measure achievement. | lesson plans, observations, and student work, and PLCs | Assistant Superintendent of Teaching & Learning (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | |
| | Design, Align, and Deliver Support District level administrators provide support and feedback to teachers in order to improve teacher | Benchmark assessments (MC, SA, ERQ) will be conducted and results analyzed to improve instruction for middle schools and elementary schools. | Principals will monitor implementation and effectiveness through lesson plans, observations, and student work. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| | effectiveness and student learning. | Continue to enhance and refine middle and high school summative and formative assessments within units | GoFormative. Secondary Coaches' reports, Pear Assessments, PLCs | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | \$20,000 ESSER |
| | | Continue technology support and maintenance for hardware and software that supports a future-ready classroom. Instructional coaches will continue to provide support and embedded professional learning for teachers. | Director of Technology will meet with STA's/STC's regularly. Instructional coaches have scheduled meetings with all teachers to provide technology support and training. | Person(s) Responsible: Director of Technology; Instructional Coaches | \$300,000 General Fund |
| | | Data from analysis of student work will be used to inform instruction and assessment. | PLCs, reports from coaches & coaches calendars. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | \$300,000 General Fund |
| | | Secondary conduct weekly RTI sessions with individual student or small student groups as identified by data (common assessments, teacher observation, or administrative observation). | RTI built in schedule daily. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | No funding required |
| | | Elementary/Middle school teachers, school leaders and/or district leaders will model Short Answer and Extended Response Questions and On Demand Writing with classes at all levels to make sure students in | Students will be able to write an ERQ at least to proficiency, PLCs, common assessments with rubrics. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | No funding required |

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal):

By May 2026 HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient or above on state assessments as follows.

Writing- Elementary from 38.0% in 2022 to 58.0%; Middle from 44.0% in 2022 to 61.0%; High from 35.0% in 2022 to 71.1%.

Science- Elementary from 33.0% in 2022 to 49.2%; Middle from 30.0% in 2022 to 45.5%; High from 12.0% in 2022 to 47.4%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|----------|---|----------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | | 3rd-8th grades understand the | | | |
| | | expectations. | | | |
| | | Identify research-Reading Diagnosticed | Monitored through | Person(s) Responsible: | No funding required |
| | | instructional strategies and use coaching | instructional walkthroughs | Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & | |
| | | strategies to increase teacher | in schools by district and | Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches | |
| | | effectiveness/efficacy; peer observations | school administration. | | |
| | | within schools and in other schools. | | | |

3: Achievement Gap

Districts are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, districts must establish yearly targets (objectives).

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Objective 1: HCS will improve the percentage of students within the following gap groups scoring proficient or above on state assessments by 2024 as follows. | Design and Deliver Instruction Teachers and staff will implement appropriate teaching strategies to increase student achievement in math. | District will continue to ensure that each school implements research-Reading Diagnosticed strategies and curriculum to address needs of gap and tier status students. | LLI, Aimsweb, IXL, Reading Diagnostic, Lexia; Title 1 plan through GMAP, Reading Improvement Plans, Renaissance, Star Math, & Star Reading | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Director of Special Education; Director of Assessment & Accountability | \$900,000 Title I |
| Reading Elementary African Americans from 34.0% to 38.0%; Hispanics from 49.0% to 55.8%; two or more races from 50.0% to 53.9%; English learners plus monitored from 42.0% to 47.0%; economically disadvantaged | | District will provide a universal benchmark assessment system and progress monitoring system for tier status students. District will review programs for effectiveness and impact. Current programs: MAP, Aimsweb, LLI, Cambridge, STAR Reading, STAR Early Literacy, Reading Diagnostic | Analysis of all data tools used | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Director of Special Education; Instructional Coaches; Director of Assessment & Accountability | \$60,000 Title I |
| from 48.0% to 54.6%; students with disabilities from 29.0% to 34.4% . Middle African Americans from 39.0% to 43.8%; Hispanics from 39.0% to 43.4%; two or more races from 42.0% to 47.0%; English learners | | All schools will conduct RTI sessions regularly with individual students or small student groups as identified by data (MAP, common assessments, KSA, Reading Diagnostic, Cambridge, teacher or administrative observation). Elementary schools utilize an aligned intervention program (LLI) along with their core (Tier 1) FPC program. | RTI is scheduled in every school on a daily/weekly Reading Diagnosticis. School master schedules with RTI blocks | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Director of Assessment & Accountability | \$900,000 Title I |
| plus monitored from 23.0% to 26.1%; economically disadvantaged from 42.0% to 47.2%; students with disabilities from 16.0% to 20.5%. | | Continue evidence-Reading Diagnosticed instructional strategies and use coaching strategies to increase teacher effectiveness/efficacy. K-5 walkthroughs and reading observations; district reading coordinator will support this process. | Walkthroughs and coaching with principal & instructional coach | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary); Director of Special Education; Instructional Coaches; District Literacy Coach | \$60,000 General Fund |
| High African Americans from 26.0% to 30.0%; Hispanics from 40.0% to 44.0%; two or more races from 57.0% to 61.0%; English learners plus monitored from 54.0% to 57.0%; economically disadvantaged | | Tier 1 core instruction K-8 will ensure 80% of students will master grade level standards as evidenced by assessment data. | MAP data; district common assessments; school common formative assessments | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary; Principals; Instructional Coaches; Assistant Principals; Teachers | \$47 million General Fund, Title funds, state grants |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| from 42.0% to 46.0%; students with disabilities from 11.0% to 16.0%. Math Elementary African Americans from 34.0% to | | Use Imagine Learning web-Reading Diagnosticed program with English Learners levels 3 and below K-12 for improving reading, writing, speaking, and listening skills through language and literacy and math. | Program reports; student performance on WIDA ACCESS | Person(s) Responsible: Director of Assessment & Accountability; EL teachers; EL Assistants | \$14,500 Title III \$9,000 Title I |
| 38.0%; two or more races from 10.0% to 56.5%; Hispanics from 42.0% to 46.0%; English learners from 33.0% to 37.0%; economically | | Rosetta Stone (English) for EL newcomers grades 6-12 will be used to acquire skills in reading, writing, listening, and speaking. (3-year contract) | Program reports; student performance on WIDA ACCESS | Person(s) Responsible: Director of Assessment & Accountability; EL teachers; EL Assistants | \$7200 Title III |
| disadvantaged from 49.0% to 55.4%; students with disabilities from 26.0% to 30.0%. Middle African Americans from 37.0% to 410%; Hispanics from 42.0% to | | Implement minority teacher recruitment plan. | Documentation of professional learning; school mentoring programs; documentation on teacher recruitment and numbers of diverse teacher population | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Director of Human Resources; Principals | \$5000 Title II |
| 47.4%; two or more races from 40.0% to 46.9%; English learners plus monitored from 25.0% to 31.6%; economically disadvantaged from 40.0% to 43.6%; students with disabilities from 15.0% to 20.9% . High African Americans from 23.0% to | Design and Delivery Assessment Literacy Using a balanced assessment system, teachers will be able to inform their instruction and help students self-regulate their own learning. | Common formative and ELA assessments gradesK-12 will be administered to monitor curriculum implementation, inform instruction and measure achievement. | Analyze common assessment at PLCS | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Instructional Coaches; Teachers; Assistant Principals | No funding required |
| 28.0%; Hispanics from 37.0% to 42.0%; two or more races from 45.0% to 49.0%; English learners blus monitored from 50.0% to 53.0%; economically disadvantaged | <u>Review, Analyze, and Apply Data</u> Through analysis of a variety of assessments, we will identify students not yet reaching | Data from common formative and summative assessment analysis will be used to inform instruction, assessment, and student achievement. | GradeCam and Pear Assessment used 6 - 12 on all common summative assessments | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; Assistant Principals; Instructional Coaches; Teachers | No funding required |
| from 37.0% to 41.0%; students with disabilities from 5.0% to 10.0%. | proficiency in grade level standards and refine instructional strategies Reading Diagnosticed on patterns in the data. Data analysis of nationally normed assessments will help to discover skill deficits of | Aimsweb Plus/STAR Assessments/MAP Screeners/Edcite will be used to monitor student progress for those in RTI programs in grades K-8. | Aimsweb reports; STAR reports, MAP Screeners | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & Secondary); Director of Special Education; Principals; Assistant Principals; Instructional Coaches; Teachers | \$6,000 Title I |
| | students and differentiated | Special Education program (with emphasis on co-teaching model) will be implemented | Professional learning ongoing; walkthroughs for | Person(s) Responsible: Director of Special Education; Principals | \$2,000 IDEA B |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|---------------------|
| Science | instruction will be planned Reading | with continued monitoring and teacher | monitoring; analysis and | | |
| lementary | Diagnosticed on the results. | development and support. | monitoring of student placement/services through | | |
| frican Americans from 23.0% to | | | IC reports | | |
| 7.0%; Hispanics from 47.0% to | | All schools will continue implementation of | Professional learning on | Person(s) Responsible: | General Fund |
| 1.0%; two or more races from | Design. Align. and Deliver Support | | de-escalation, TIC; behavior | Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & | |
| 0.0% to 35.0%; English learners | Support services help provide | includes PBIS, TIC, Mental Health First Aid, | RTI protocols revised; all | Secondary); Director of Special Education; | |
| lus monitored from 48.0% to | opportunities to our students who | parent workshops, crisis counseling, | schools implement PBIS | Mental Health Director; Principals; Assistant | |
| 3.0%; economically disadvantaged | need additional assistance. | Collaborative Partners, behavior charts, | | Principals; School Counselors | |
| rom 36.0% to 40.0%; students with isabilities from 26.0% to 30.0%. | | etc. | | | |
| ISabilities 110111 20.0% to 50.0%. | | All students who meet the criteria for | Monthly list of students | Person(s) Responsible: | \$5,000 Title 1 |
| ſiddle | | homelessness will be provided resources | who receive services | Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & | |
| frican Americans from 11.0% to | | and services as required by the Title X, Part | | Secondary); Director of Pupil Personnel; | |
| 6.0%; Hispanics from 16.0% to | | C -McKinney-Vento Homeless Education | | FRYSC; School Counselors | |
| 0.0%; two or more races from | | Assistance Improvement Act. Tutoring, | | | |
| 4.0% to 18.1%; English learners | | referral to health services, obtaining | | | |
| lus monitored from 0.0% to 7.6%; | | pertinent student records and helping parents understand rights and linking them | | | |
| | | to local services. | | | |
| conomically disadvantaged from 4.0% to 18.0%; students with | | ESS funds, including daytime waivers, will | Monitor ESS program | Person(s) Responsible: | \$275,000 ESS Grant |
| isabilities from 4.0% to 10.9%. | | be used to enhance reading and math | through written plans, ESS | Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); | |
| 15abilities 11011 4.0% to 10.5%. | | achievement for identified low-performing | timesheets, waiver | Principals | |
| | | students. | documentation, PLP tab | - I | |
| ligh | | | date entries | | |
| African Americans from 0.0% to | | Each school will continue to address the | Monitor SEL through | Person(s) Responsible: | ESSER, AWARE Grant, |
| .0%; Hispanics from 2.0% to 7.0%; | | social and emotional learning (SEL) of all | regular MTSS committee | Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); | General Fund |
| wo or more races from 3.0% to | | students through the use of a SEL | meetings | Principals; Assistant Principals; FRYSC, School | |
| .0%; English learners plus | | curriculum (Character Strong), guidance | | Psychologist, Mental Health Counselors, | |
| nonitored from 0.0% to 7.6%; | | classes, SEL activities, individual and small | | School Counselors | |
| conomically disadvantaged from | | groups, and school culture. | | | |
| .0% to 6.0%; students with isabilities from 0.0% to 5.0%. | | | | | |
| 15abilities 11011 0.0% to 5.0%. | | | | | |
| Social Studies | | | | | |
| lementary | | | | | |
| frican Americans from 21.0% to | | | | | |
| 6.0%; Hispanics from 19.0% to | | | | | |
| 4.0%; two or more races from | | | | | |
| 2.0% to 26.0%; English learners | | | | | |
| lus monitored from 0.0% to 5.0%; | | | | | |
| conomically disadvantaged from | | | | | |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|----------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| 32.0% to 36.0%; students with | | | | | |
| disabilities from 10.0% to 16.0%. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Middle | | | | | |
| African Americans from 17.0% to | | | | | |
| 21.0%; Hispanics from 26.0% to | | | | | |
| 30.0%; two or more races from | | | | | |
| 29.0% to 34.0%; English learners | | | | | |
| plus monitored from 2.0% to 6.0%; | | | | | |
| economically disadvantaged from | | | | | |
| 24.0% to 28.0%; students with | | | | | |
| disabilities from 2.0% to 8.0% | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| High | | | | | |
| African Americans from 21.0% to | | | | | |
| 25.0%; Hispanics from 24.0% to | | | | | |
| 28.0%; two or more races from 21.0% to 25.0%; English learners | | | | | |
| plus monitored from 0.0% to 5.0%; | | | | | |
| economically disadvantaged from | | | | | |
| 21.0% to 25.0%; students with | | | | | |
| disabilities from 10.0% to 16.0% | | | | | |
| Writing | | | | | |
| Elementary | | | | | |
| African Americans from 19.0% to | | | | | |
| 24.8%; Hispanics from 19.0% to | | | | | |
| 24.0%; two or more races from | | | | | |
| 24.0% to 28.0%; English learners | | | | | |
| plus monitored from 16.0% to | | | | | |
| 20.0%; economically disadvantaged | | | | | |
| from 27.0% to 31.0%; students with disabilities from 3.0% to 8.0%. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Middle | | | | | |
| African Americans from 38.0% to | | | | | |
| 43.0%; Hispanics from 45.0% to | | | | | |
| 50.0%; two or more races from | | | | | |
| 40.0% to 45.0%; English learners | | | | | |
| plus monitored from 0.0% to 5.0%; | | | | | |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|------------------------------------|----------|------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| economically disadvantaged from | | | | | |
| 42.0% to 46.0%; students with | | | | | |
| disabilities from 6.0% to 11.8%. | | | | | |
| High | | | | | |
| African Americans from 18.0% to | | | | | |
| 24.0%; Hispanics from 27.0% to | | | | | |
| 34.2%; two or more races from | | | | | |
| 24.0% to 30.0%; English learners | | | | | |
| plus monitored from 6.0% to | | | | | |
| 14.0%; economically disadvantaged | | | | | |
| from 23.0% to 27.0%; students with | | | | | |
| disabilities from 5.0% to 10.0%. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Updated June 2023 4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English learner goal):

By May 2026, HCS will improve the percentage of students scoring proficient on the WIDA ACCESS Assessment as follows.

Elementary - from 27.6% in 2022 to 71.0%

Middle School - from 25.0% in 2022 to 36.5%

High School - from 20.8% in 2022 to 33.0%

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|--|---|---|---|---------------------|
| Objective 1: By May 2025 , 100% of our English Learners at each level (elementary, middle, and high) will show growth on the WIDA ACCESS assessment. | Design and Deliver Instruction Teachers and staff will implement appropriate teaching strategies to increase student achievement in English proficiency. | Use Imagine Learning web-Reading Diagnosticed program with English Learners levels 3 and below K-12 for improving reading, writing, speaking, and listening skills through language and literacy at a minimum of 40 minutes per week. | Imagine Learning reports; student performance on WIDA ACCESS | Person(s) Responsible: Director of Assessment & Accountability; EL Teachers | \$14,500 Title III |
| WIDA ACCESS discossment. | <u>Review, Analyze, and Apply Data</u> Through analysis of a variety of assessments and software programs, instructional strategies | Rosetta Stone (English) for EL newcomers grades 6-12 will be used to acquire skills in reading, writing, listening, and speaking. (3-year contract) | Rosetta Stone reports; student performance on WIDA ACCESS | Person(s) Responsible: Director of Assessment & Accountability; EL Teachers | \$7200 Title III |
| | will be refined Reading Diagnosticed on patterns in the data for English Learners not reaching English proficiency. Data analysis will help to discover skill deficits of students and differentiated instruction will be planned Reading Diagnosticed on the results. | EL teachers will use the ELD curriculum during resource time. EL Team will develop an ELD based curriculum that will be followed district-wide. | Formative assessments, student writing samples | Person(s) Responsible: EL Teachers | \$2000 Title III |
| Objective 2: By May 202, HCS will improve | Design, Align, and Deliver Support Support services help provide | K-5 English Learners may receive guided and/or resource reading instruction that targets specific needs. | Master schedule, Reading Diagnostic Assessments, Lesson Plans | Person(s) Responsible: EL Teachers, Gen. Ed. Teachers, Principals | No funding required |
| the percentage of students meeting proficiency on the WIDA ACCESS assessment: | opportunities to our students who need additional assistance in learning the English language. | EL teachers/EL Instructional Assistant co-teaches with general education teachers during core instruction. | Master schedule, Lesson Plans | Person(s) Responsible: EL Teachers, Gen. Ed. Teachers, Principals | No funding required |
| Elementary from 47.4% to 51.0% Middle from 28.6% to 33.7% High from 32.1% to 35.0% | | English Learners may receive tier II or III instruction in the areas of reading and/or math. | Schedule, progress monitoring | Person(s) Responsible: Teachers/Interventionist | No funding required |

Updated June 2023 5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|---|---|--|--|---------------------|
| Objective 1:Design and Delivery AssessmentBy May 2025, each level will maintain or increase one performance rating level.Literacy Using a balanced assessment system, teachers able to inform their instruction help students self-regulate the own learning.Elementary: Very High Middle: Medium High: HighEstablishing Learning Culture Environment Establishing a learning culture | Design and Delivery Assessment Literacy Using a balanced assessment system, teachers will be able to inform their instruction and help students self-regulate their | A district-wide character education program, Character Strong, will be implemented and monitored for fidelity. This will connect to our district- wide strategic plan. | Percent increase on the annual QSCS (Quality of School Climate and Safety) survey | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary) | General Fund |
| | own learning. Establishing Learning Culture & Environment Establishing a learning culture helps to partner students, staff, and parents in order to aim for a | Each school will implement SEL programs appropriate to the level and needs of the school. (Ex. Second Step, Sources of Strength, Character Strong) Develop a survey where students can give specific feedback in order to improve the safety and climate of individual schools. Administer the Youth Needs Survey twice per year to get feedback on student connectivity and school safety and climate | Percent increase on the annual QSCS (Quality of School Climate and Safety) survey | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary) & AWARE Grant Director | Grants |
| | | For every student, an inventory of school engagement will be given. This will help staff to identify students who are not engaged and then implement interventions to get all students connected. | Percent increase on the annual QSCS (Quality of School Climate and Safety) survey | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary) & Principals | No funding required |
| | | | Percent increase on the annual QSCS (Quality of School Climate and Safety) survey | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary) & AWARE Grant Director | No funding required |

Updated June 2023 6: Postsecondary Readiness

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal):

By May 2026, the postsecondary readiness percentage will increase from 93.4% in 2022 to 110%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|--|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| By May 2025, the Establishing a learning culture | Establishing a learning culture helps to partner students, staff, and parents in order to aim for a | All schools will promote the importance of transition readiness as an attainable goal through increased opportunities (i.e. college/industry tours, college/career speakers, college spirit days, etc.) emphasized by student participation in the completion of graduation plans and ILPs. | Continue implementation of new Postsecondary Readiness & graduation requirements | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary); Multicultural Coordinator; School Counselors; Community Relations Specialist; Director of Public Information | \$10,500 General Fund |
| | | Communicate with students and parents to inform them of the need for academic interventions. Teachers and leaders will monitor student progress and inform parents and students at periodic intervals. | RTI communication with all parents whose children receive intervention. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary); Principals; Teachers; School Counselors | No funding required |
| | | Communicate with all students the availability of scholarships (Rotary Colonels to College, Work Ready, etc.) | Students are applying and receiving more scholarship monies. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary); Principals; Teachers; School Counselors; FRYSC | No funding required |
| Sup opp the | Design, Align, and Deliver Support Support services help provide opportunities to our students as they transition from one level to another. | By collaborating with teachers, community, and parents, clear, measurable expectations will be set to assure an effective transition from middle school to high school to communicate and monitor effective academic or career readiness to meet graduation qualifiers. | Communications through social media, parent links, meetings with families, counselors to middle schools; agendas | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary); Secondary Principals | No funding required |
| | | Promote dual credit to all students to enhance students' learning and opportunities. | Monitor & record # of dual credit; IC reports; state reports of data | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary); Principals; School Counselors | No funding required |
| | | Transition visits at critical times for students at Pre-K to K; 5 th to 6 th , and 8 th to 9 th for all schools. | Schedules of visits from all levels | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Elementary & Secondary); Principals; School Counselors | No funding required |
| | | HCHS will use tools from Infinite Campus to determine which students are at risk of not meeting academic benchmarks or in need of additional support. Using this report, a team will work on implementing and | DPP runs reports quarterly; HCHS Friday meetings; retention data, chronic absenteeism data, Cambridge data, and IC | Person(s) Responsible: Director of Pupil Personnel; High School Administration; AP & CTE Teachers | No funding required |

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal):

By May 2026, the postsecondary readiness percentage will increase from 93.4% in 2022 to 110%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | monitoring interventions for students. HCHS monitors CTE industry Cambridgeifications and program assessments to determine if students are making progress toward completion. | Tableau Visualization data will also be used. | | |
| | Review, Analyze, and Apply Data Through analysis of a variety of assessments, we will identify students not yet reaching proficiency in grade level standards and refine instructional strategies Reading Diagnosticed on patterns in the data. Data analysis of nationally normed assessments will help to discover skill deficits of students and differentiated instruction will be planned Reading Diagnosticed on the results. | HCHS analyzes AP data to determine student access and performance on AP exams. HCHS examines best-practices with the intention of assuring AP program remains strong and that more students gain access and succeed in the P program. | Monitored by meetings with HS administration and AP coordinator; required AP reports & pass rates. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Middle & Secondary); High School Principals | No funding required |
| | Design and Deliver Instruction Teachers and staff will implement appropriate teaching strategies to | Opportunities for middle school students to earn high school credits in English and math. | IC rosters for credits earned | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary); School Counselors | \$180,000 General Fund |
| | increase student achievement in both reading and math. | Early college opportunities for high school students with Henderson Community College. | Continue collaboration with HCC to increase dual credit opportunities. | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary); High School Administration; Henderson Community College Staff | No funding required/Supported by Rotary Colonels to College |
| | | Communicate to students the avenues to Postsecondary Readiness. <u>College:</u> ACT, KYOTE, Ed Ready, Dual Credit, AP Course, Accuplacer <u>Career:</u> Dual Credit, Industry Cambridgeification, End of Program Assessment, Work Reading Diagnosticed Learning | Monitor the number of students that meet benchmark/standard | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendent (Secondary); High School Administration; TEDS Coordinator; TCA Coordinator; Dual Credit Coordinator | Perkins Funding |

Updated June 2023 7: Graduation Rate

Goal 7 (State your graduation rate goal): By May 2026, HCS will improve the graduation rate from 89.6% in 2022 to 91.7%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Objective 1: By May 2025, HCS will improve the graduation rate from 88.3% to 91.5%.Review, Analyze, and Apply Data Through analysis of a variety of pieces of data, we will identify students not yet reaching proficiency in grade-level standards and refine instructional strategies Reading Diagnosticed on patterns in the data. Data analysis of nationally normed assessments will help to discover skill deficits of students and differentiated instruction will be planned Reading Diagnosticed on the results.Design and Deliver Instruction Teachers and staff will implement appropriate teaching strategies to increase student achievement in CTE.Design Align, and Deliver Support Support services help provide opportunities to our students who need additional assistance. | Through analysis of a variety of pieces of data, we will identify | Ensure all 6th-12th grade students complete yearly components of HCS ILP. | Monitor completion by school | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); Principals; School Counselors April 1: Ongoing August 1: Ongoing | \$12,000 |
| | proficiency in grade-level standards and refine instructional strategies Reading Diagnosticed on patterns in the data. Data analysis of nationally normed assessments will help to discover skill deficits of students and differentiated instruction will be planned Reading | Review career pathways with middle school students so they can make good elective choices when entering high school. Review career pathway information to ensure high school students are aware of the course opportunities (i.e. Early College; college application week, KHEAA activities, dual credit, AP, and college classes). HCHS to HCC visits. School counselors will have individual session to discuss/reevaluate pathway options. | Middle school visits to HCHS, HCHS counselors to middle schools 2x. Individual Learning Plans (ILPs) | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); Secondary Principals; School Counselors | \$10,000 |
| | Teachers and staff will implement appropriate teaching strategies to increase student achievement in | Career and Technical Education will continue to offer courses in all career pathways so that students can pursue a variety of career majors and earn industry Cambridgeificates and/or become end of pathway Cambridgeified. | Coursebook; enrollment in career pathway; Postsecondary Readiness indicator; number of industry Cambridgeifications | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); High School Principal; CTE Unit Principal | \$900,000 Perkins Funds, General Fund |
| | Run monthly reports in IC to identify who is most at risk. Meet with a team to develop targeted interventions to prevent students from dropping out. Identified students receive job placement opportunities at the age of 16. | IC report; teams meets on a monthly Reading Diagnosticis; reduction in the dropout rate | Person(s) Responsible: Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); High School Administration; School Counselors, FRYSC | No funding required | |

Goal 7 (State your graduation rate goal): By May 2026, HCS will improve the graduation rate from 89.6% in 2022 to 91.7%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| | | • TCA non - CTE co-op opportunities | | | |
| | | Collaboration between HCHS and | | | |
| | | TCA to identify students at-risk of | | | |
| | | not graduating to develop | | | |
| | | individualized plans to support the | | | |
| | | students ability to remain enrolled | | | |
| | | in school. | | | |
| | | Continue public relations activities with | CTE tours, CTE parent night, | Person(s) Responsible: | No funding required |
| | Establishing Learning Culture & | elementary and middle school students | CTE community open house | Assistant Superintendents (Secondary); | |
| | Environment Establishing a | about CTE opportunities at the high school | | Principals | |
| | learning culture helps to partner | by conducting CTE tours, elementary career | | | |
| | students, staff, and parents in order | fairs, being guest speakers at the middle | | | |
| | to aim for a collaborative goal. | schools, hosting parent nights for incoming | | | |
| | | freshmen, and assisting them with their ILP | | | |
| | | planning. Financial literacy for students | | | |
| | | and parents. Field and Main Bank financial | | | |
| | | literacy program with HCHS. | | | |
| | | Building a Better Graduate 5 world class | P-12 implementing | Person(s) Responsible: | \$24,000 General Fund |
| | | skills: collaboration, communication, | activities using | Assistant Superintendents (Elementary & | |
| | | innovation, critical thinking, and initiative. | definitions/rubrics for | Secondary); Director of Administration; | |
| | | Definitions, rubrics, and activities to | collaboration, critical | Principals; Instructional Coaches; Teachers | |
| | | demonstrate P-12 Implementation; | thinking, communication. | | |
| | | students in grades 5, 8, and 12 present | Student evidence will be | | |
| | | defenses of skills for transition to the next | collected by all teachers in | | |
| | | level of learning. | BaBG folders; grades 5, 8, | | |
| | | | 12 defenses; | | |
| | | | implementation of | | |
| | | | authentic learning | | |
| | | Identify & analyze the students that did not | Graduation rate will | Person(s) Responsible: | No funding required |
| | | graduate. Ask why? Was there anything | improve at both Henderson | HCHS and Central principals | |
| | | that HCS could have done differently? | County High School and The | | |
| | | | Central Academy. | | |
| | | Survey the students to see what incentives | | | |
| | | interest them. | | | |

Special Considerations for Districts with Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

Districts with a school identified for TSI (including ATSI) must monitor and provide support to the school to ensure the successful implementation of the school improvement plan (703 KAR 5:280(10)). The local board of education must review and approve the revised school improvement plan for TSI (including ATSI) schools (KRS 160.346(4)(a)).

Monitoring and Support

Consider: Describe the district's plan for monitoring and supporting the school improvement plan of any school identified for TSI/ATSI. Include in your response information regarding the process for local board review and approval.

Response:

Our secondary schools are identified as TSI schools (SMS - disability; NMS - African American; HCHS - English Learners plus Monitored) and will include specific activities they will implement and monitor to ensure their TSI groups are making progress. These activities will be specified in each schools' Comprehensive School Improvement Plan that will be approved by our board.

If schools identified for TSI do not make adequate performance progress, as defined by the department, the local school district shall take additional action to assist and support the school in reaching performance goals (KRS 160.346 (4)(c)). Also, when a school is identified for ATSI, the district shall take more rigorous district-determined action to assist the school in reaching performance goals (KRS 160.346 (5)).

Additional/More Rigorous Actions

Consider: List any school(s) that failed to exit TSI status this year. What additional actions and supports will be provided? Who will provide the support? List any school(s) identified for ATSI this fall. What more rigorous actions will the district take to assist and support the school(s)? Who will be responsible for those actions? **Response:**

North Middle School (African American)

| Indicators | 2022 | 2023 |
|---|------|------|
| Reading & Math Indicator | 37.3 | 32.1 |
| Science, Social Studies, & Writing Indicator | 24.5 | 23.1 |
| Quality of Safety and Climate Survey (QSCS) Indicator | 54.2 | 63.8 |

| Updated June 20 |)23 |
|-----------------|-----|
|-----------------|-----|

Additional/More Rigorous Actions

South Middle School (Disability)

| Indicators | 2022 | 2023 |
|---|------|------|
| Reading & Math Indicator | 31.3 | 41.3 |
| Science, Social Studies, & Writing Indicator | 29.8 | 5.4 |
| Quality of Safety and Climate Survey (QSCS) Indicator | 67.6 | 66.3 |
| Overall Indicator Score | 32.1 | 25.3 |

HCHS (English Learner plus Monitored)

| Indicator | 2022 | 2023 |
|--------------------------|------|------|
| English Learner Progress | 16.7 | 38.3 |

Additional Supports:

North & South: As we complete winter MAP testing, the scores for each group mentioned above will be pulled separately to compare their winter scores to the fall to see if these students are progressing. This information will be shared with school leaders during our winter data meetings in order to discuss these students specifically and what they are doing at the school level to support these students. The school administrators along with SPED Coach will be responsible for monitoring the progress of these specified groups.

HCHS:

Our English Learner teachers will meet with our EL students individually to discuss their score reports from last years' WIDA ACCESS, focusing on skills at each level of the 4 different assessments (Listening, Speaking, Reading, & Writing). The students will then set goals for this years' ACCESS. EL students need target support in content learning and language development. HCHS incorporates intentional and separate intervention classes for EL students. The school administrators along with SPED Coach will be responsible for monitoring the progress of these specified groups.