Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for **elementary/middle schools** include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety

- The required goals for **high schools** include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety
 - o Postsecondary Readiness
 - o Graduation Rate

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive school improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Schools

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Schools that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

-NMS Two or More Races subgroup increased Science Novice from 26% in 2022-2023 to 64% in 2023-2024. -NMS Two or More Races subgroup decreased Science Proficient from 30% in 2022-2023 to 4% in 2023-2024. -NMS Two or More Races subgroup increased Writing Novice from 17% in 2022-2023 to 32% in 2023-2024.

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the School Key Elements Template that the school will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

-NMS ELA and Math teachers continue to make adjustments and align new curriculum with Kentucky Standards and other materials with curriculum maps. -NMS PLC meetings with Instructional Coach occur every week, collaboratively reviewing formative and summative assessments to determine Tier 1, 2, and 3 groups and targeted instruction/interventions.

-NMS is implementing Jostens Renaissance and Character Strong focusing all students' social-emotional well-being, a relationship driven culture and student connectedness.

Indicator Scores

List the overall scores of status and change for each indicator.

Indicator	Status	Change
State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics	Medium	Increased
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing	Medium	Declined
English Learner Progress	NA	NA
Quality of School Climate and Safety	Medium	Increased
Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only)	NA	NA
Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only)	NA	NA

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three- to five-year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, and quality of school climate and safety. High schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, quality of school climate and safety, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rate. Long-term goals should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon <u>Kentucky's six (6) Key</u> <u>Core Work Processes</u> or another established improvement approach (i.e. <i>Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge,</i> <i>etc.).</i>	Describe the actionable steps the school will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and must indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: For the 2024-	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and	MAP testing will occur 3 times a	MAP administered	Instructional Coach	Title 1 funding for
2025 school year, North	Apply Data	year	August, December and		portion of
Viddle School will increase			May		Instructional Coach
he percentage of students					salary
coring		During PLC meetings summative	PLC minutes	Instructional Coach	RTI Scheduler: \$3,400
Proficient/Distinguished in		assessment data is reviewed. RTI		Content/Department Leaders	Title 1 Funds
Reading from 48% to 51%.		groups are formed to provide re-	RTI Scheduler to track	Teachers	
		teach or enrichment for students	students		
		identified. Students are chosen by			
		teachers for RTI four days a week			
		using RTI Scheduler, where they will			
		work on skills with content/grade			
		level teacher.			
		Students who fall between the 35 th	ELA/Reading	Guidance Counselors	ESS and Title 1 funding
		to 69 th percentile (according to MAP	Intervention rosters		for Interventional
		data) and who do not have special			Teacher 5 days a weel
		education reading goals participate			
		in (ELA/Reading Intervention Tier 3)			
		in place of an elective 5 days a			
		week. Class size will be a maximum			
		of 20 students.			
		Students who fall below the 20 th	IXL Diagnostic and	Instructional Coach	Aimsweb
		percentile (according to MAP data)	Progress Monitoring	Guidance Counselors	District Funds
		and who do not have special	Data		
		education goals participate in			IXL for Math and ELA:
		Reading and Math intervention two	Aimsweb Progress		\$11,762.50
		days a week for a nine week	Monitoring Data		Title 1 Funds
		duration.	, č		
		Teachers utilize PEAR Assessment to	Common assessments	ELA Classroom Teachers	PEAR Assessment
		assess and track student progress	every 3 weeks.	Instructional Coach	District Funds
		on content standards. PLCs meet to			

Cool 1 (State din al), Dy 2027 North Middle Cohool will in oficion+/dictin/ $400/ \pm 0.70$ مزالتير ام +6 wich ad students fr -I ... - 1-4: <u>د</u> ... **н**Г.,

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		analyze data and plan	PEAR Assessment		
		reteach/enrichment.	tracking data		
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	All students with disabilities with	Ongoing progress	Special Education Building Coach	
	Instruction	identified reading needs are placed	monitoring; monthly;	Teacher of Record	
		in co-teach ELA classes. Students	weekly planning with		
		with disabilities needing more	co-teachers and		
		intensive instruction in reading may	general education		
		be pulled for resource classes	teachers		
		during the afternoon ELA class to			
		recoup skills, access re-teaching and			
		additional practice opportunities as			
		well as receive specially designed			
		instruction tailored to their specific			
		needs. By doing this, students			
		needing the most support are			
		receiving instruction in the general			
		education classroom and also			
		additional intervention and re-			
		teaching for targeted deficits. Co-			
		teachers will plan with general			
		education teachers a minimum of			
		once a week to design coherent co-			
		teaching/resource instruction.			
	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver	Real time diagnostic data and	PEAR Assessment	ELA Teachers	PEAR Assessment
	Assessment Literacy	personalized guidance from staff	Tracking data	Instructional Coach	District Funds
	,	help students know exactly what to			
		do to improve, fill knowledge gaps,			Gimkit: \$1,000
		and learn from where they are,			Title 1 Funds
		while comprehensive curriculum			
		and actionable analytics provide			Flocabulary:
		teachers with content and skills for			\$3,953.12
		any lesson, assisting them make			Title 1 Funds
		effective instructional decisions.			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Gimkit- Tool to use to instructional engagement. Live gameplay for formative assessments and review.	100% of teachers will utilize instructional technology to enhance student engagement		
		Flocabulary- interactive lessons, videos, gamification and activities to increase content vocabulary	Lesson plans		
		Blooket, Quizzizz, Quizlet Live for introduction and review of concepts			
		Commonlit- online based literacy resource featuring reading lessons and benchmark assessments			
	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards	Vertical and Content Grade Level Team curriculum alignment/review	Agenda and sign-ins Curriculum alignment documents	Instructional Coach ELA Department Leaders Grade Level/Content Teams	
	KCWP 5: Design, Align, and Deliver Support Processes	Two times a month Academic RTI Meeting Two times a month Behavior RTI Meeting	Meeting agendas and minutes for each team/meeting	Principal/Assistant Principals Counselors Special Education Building Coach School Psychologist Instructional Coach	
		Two times a month Coalition Team Meeting		Team Leaders Grade Level Leaders	
		Weekly Administrative Leadership Team Meeting After School Intervention (ASI) for	ASI weekly attendance	Assistant Principal	\$16,000 District
		students who need additional small	and progress	ESS Coordinator	Funding for ESS

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		group or individual intervention. Students identified each week based on data and self, parent, or teacher referrals.	monitoring data for each child assigned.	ASI Instructors	
		Use Destiny Follett to share print and digital library resources with students.	Media Center circulation data	Media Specialist	Destiny Follett: \$1, 259.23 Title 1 Funds
		STREAM Night (Family Literacy Night) held for all stakeholders- parents, students, families, staff	25% of our students/families will participate in STREAM night	Instructional Coach Title 1 Liaison	\$14,000 Title 1 Parent Engagement Funds
Objective 2: For the 2024- 2025 school year, North Middle School will increase the percentage of students scoring	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data	MAP testing will occur three times a year	MAP administered August, December and May	Instructional Coach	Title 1 funding for portion of Instructional Coach salary
Proficient/Distinguished in Math from 44% to 48%.		During PLC meetings summative assessment data is reviewed. RTI groups are formed to provide re- teach or enrichment for students identified. Students are chosen by teachers for RTI four days a week utilizing RTI Scheduler, where they will work on skills with content/grade level teacher.	PLC minutes RTI Scheduler to track students	Instructional Coach Content/Department Leaders Teachers	RTI Scheduler: \$3,400 Title 1 Funds
		Students who fall below the 20 th percentile (according to MAP data) and who do not have special education goals participate in Reading and Math intervention two days a week for a nine week duration.	IXL Diagnostic and Progress Monitoring Data Aimsweb Progress Monitoring Data	Instructional Coach Guidance Counselors	Aimsweb District Funds IXL for Math and ELA: \$11,762.50 Title 1 Funds

Updated June 2023

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Students who fall between the 35 th	Math Intervention	Guidance Counselors	ESS and Title 1 funding
		to 69th percentile (according to	rosters		for Interventional
		MAP data) and who do not have			Teacher 5 days a week
		special education reading goals			
		participate in (Math Intervention			
		Tier 3) in place of an elective 5 days			
		a week. Class size will be a			
		maximum of 20 students.			
		Teachers use PEAR Assessment to	Common Assessments	Instructional Coach	PEAR Assessment –
		assess students and track student	every 3 weeks.	Classroom Teachers	District Funds
		progress on content standards. PLCs	,		
		meet to analyze and plan re-	PEAR Assessment		
		teaching/enrichment.	tracking data		
			5		
			PLC meeting minutes		
	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Math in Focus curriculum	Teachers utilize Math	Instructional Coach	
	Standards	Implemented- includes online	in Focus to design	Math Teachers	
		resources, textbooks, and	curriculum in math		
		workbooks	classrooms.		
			Curriculum Alignment		
			document. Lesson		
			plans and pacing		
			guides.		
		District Math Leadership Team	Sign-in Sheet	District Math Coach	\$1,000
		representatives attended the	Agenda for PD	Math Teachers	PD Funds/SBDM
		"Building a Thinking Classroom"	5		,
		Professional Development			
		Opportunity offered by WKEC.			
		,,,,,,			
		Vertical and Content Grade Level	Agenda and sign-ins	Instructional Coach	
		Team Curriculum Alignment		Math Teachers	
		Documents			

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): By 2027, North Middle School will increase the reading percentage of proficient/distinguished students from 46% to 70.7%, and will increase the math percentage of proficient/distinguished students from 39% to 66.4% as measured by the KSA. Measure of Success **Progress Monitoring** Objective Strategy Activities Funding Curriculum alignment documents Instructional Coach IXL for Math and ELA: KCWP 2: Design and Deliver IXL used for Tier 1, 2, and 3 math IXL Diagnostic and students. Real-time diagnostic and **Progress Monitoring** \$11,762.50 Math Teachers Instruction personalized guidance help Title 1 Funds Data KCWP 3: Design and Deliver students know exactly what to do to Assessment Literacy learn where they are, while Math Teacher's Lesson comprehensive curriculum and Plans/Pacing Guides actionable analytics provides teachers with content and skills for any lesson, and real time insights to inform effective instructional decisions. Gimkit: \$1,000 Gimkit- Tool used for instructional 100% of teachers will engagement. Live gameplay for utilize instructional Title 1 Funds formative assessment and review. technology to enhance student engagement All students with disabilities with KCWP 2: Design and Deliver **Ongoing progress** Special Education Building Coach identified math needs are in co-Teachers of Record Instruction monitoring; weekly teach math class. Students with planning with codisabilities needing more intensive teachers and general instruction in math may be pulled education teachers. for resource classes during the second math class to recoup skills, access re-teaching and additional practice opportunities, and receive specially designed instruction tailored to their specific needs. By doing this, students needing the most support are receiving instruction in the general education classroom and also additional

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	KCWP 5: Design, Align, and Deliver Support Processes	 intervention and re-teaching for targeted deficits. Co- teachers will plan with general education teachers a minimum of once a week to design coherent/resource instruction. Two times a month Academic RTI Meeting Two times a month Behavior RTI Meeting Two times a month Coalition Team Meeting Weekly Administrative Leadership Team Meeting 	Meeting agendas and minutes for each team/meeting	Principal/Assistant Principals Counselors Special Education Building Coach School Psychologist Instructional Coach Team Leaders Grade Level Leaders	
		After School Intervention (ASI) for students who need additional small group or individual intervention. Students identified each week based on data and self, parent or teacher referral.	ASI weekly attendance and progress monitoring data for each child assigned.	Assistant Principal ESS Coordinator ASI Teachers	\$16,000 District Funding for ESS

2: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Middle School will increase th		2027, North Middle School will increase oficient/Distinguished students from 30 d by the KSA.		÷	
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective Objective 1: For the 2024- 2025 school year, North Middle School will increase the percentage of students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in Science from 22% to 27%.	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data	During PLC meetings summative assessment data is reviewed. RTI groups are formed to provide re- teach or enrichment for students identified. Students are chosen by teachers for RTI four days a week utilizing RTI Scheduler, where they will work on skills with content/grade level teacher.	PLC minutes RTI Scheduler to track students	Instructional Coach Content/Department Leaders Teachers	RTI Scheduler: \$3,400 Title 1 Funds
		Teachers use Study Island and Amplify (modeled on KSA) to assess students and track student progress on content standards.	Common Assessments given every three weeks Study Island and Amplify tracking data PLC meeting and minutes	Instructional Coach Science Teachers	Study Island (for Science and Social Studies): \$7,939.00 SBDM Funds or Title 1
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Use standards aligned lessons and assessments in classrooms for Tier 1 interventions.	Lesson plans/Pacing Guides	Instructional Coach Science Teachers	
	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy	Incorporate Amplify into all Science classrooms to align teaching to standards which provides standards based aligned materials, formative and summative assessments, and virtual concept modeling and simulation.	100% of teachers will use instructional technology to enhance student engagement.	Instructional Coach Science Teachers	Amplify District Funds

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): By 2027, North Middle School will increase the Science percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 27% to 46.4%; North Middle School will increase the Social Studies percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 30% to 60.4%; North Middle School will increase the Writing percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 30% to 60.4%; North Middle School will increase the Writing percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 30% to 60.4%; North Middle School will increase the Writing percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 41% to 50% as measured by the KSA.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Gimkit- Tool to use for instructional	Lesson plans/Pacing	Instructional Coach	Gimkit: \$1,000
		engagement. Live gameplay for	guides	Science Teachers	Title 1 Funds
		formative assessments and review.			
		Kahoot- Tool used for instructional	100% of teachers will		
		engagement. Live gameplay for	use instructional		
		formative assessment and review	technology to enhance		
			student engagement.		
		Ed Puzzle and Generation Genius			
		incorporate video and increase			
		student engagement with standards			
		aligned lessons.			
	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Vertical and Content Grade Level	Agenda and sign-ins	Instructional Coach	
	Standards	Team curriculum alignment/review		Science Department Chair	
			Curriculum alignment	Grade Level/ Content Team	
			documents		
		Science Teachers will attend the	Science Teachers will	Instructional Coach	\$5,000 funded by
		KSTA Conference	attend Science based	Science Teachers	SBDM and PD funds.
			Professional		
			Development		
	KCWP 5: Design, Align and	Two times a month Academic RTI	Meeting agendas and	Principal/Assistant Principals	
	Deliver Support Processed	Meeting	minutes for each	Counselors	
			team/meeting	Special Education Building Coach	
		Two times a month Behavior RTI		School Psychologist	
		Meeting		Instructional Coach	
				Team Leaders	
		Two times a month Coalition Team		Grade Level Leaders	
		Meeting			
		Weekly Administrative Leadership			
		Team Meeting			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		After School Intervention (ASI) for	ASI weekly attendance	Assistant Principal	\$16,000 District
		students who need additional small	and progress	ESS Coordinator	Funding for ESS
		group or individual intervention.	monitoring data for	ASI Teachers	
		Students identified each week based	each child assigned.		
		on data and self, parent or teacher			
		referral.			
Objective 2: For the 2024-	KCWP4: Review, Analyze and	During PLC meetings summative	PLC minutes	Instructional Coach	RTI Scheduler:
2025 school year, North	Apply Data	assessment data is reviewed. RTI		Content/Department Leaders	\$3,400 Title 1 Funds
Middle School will increase		groups are formed to provide re-	RTI Scheduler to track	Teachers	
the percentage of students		teach or enrichment for students	students		
scoring		identified. Students are chosen by			
Proficient/Distinguished in		teachers for RTI four days a week			
Social Studies from 27% to		using RTI Scheduler, where they will			
31%.		work on skills with content/grade			
		level teacher.			
		Teachers utilize PEAR Assessment to	Common assessments	ELA Classroom Teachers	PEAR Assessment
		assess and track student progress	every 3 weeks.	Instructional Coach	District Funds
		on content standards. PLCs meet to			
		analyze data and plan	PEAR Assessment		
		reteach/enrichment.	tracking data		
	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Social Studies teachers continue	100% of social studies	Instructional Coach	
	Standards	implementing new Social Studies	teachers will	Social Student Department Chair	
		curriculum through SAAVAS,	implement the new		
		including Active Classroom online	social studies		
		resources and texts.	curriculum with Active		
			Classroom online		
			resources and texts.		

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): By 2027, North Middle School will increase the Science percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 27% to 46.4%; North Middle School will increase the Social Studies percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 30% to 60.4%; North Middle School will increase the Writing percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 30% to 60.4%; North Middle School will increase the Writing percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 30% to 60.4%; North Middle School will increase the Writing percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 30% to 60.4%; North Middle School will increase the Writing percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 41% to 50% as measured by the KSA.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Social Studies teachers attend PD	All Social Studies		No cost to attend
		for "Worksheets Don't Grow	Teachers will attend		virtual PD through
		Dendrites" and "KSA Workshop for	the virtual PD offerings		WKEC
		New Teachers" provided by the	to support the work in		
		WKEC.	their classroom.		
			PD Agenda and Sign-ins		
		Vertical and Content Grade Level	Agenda and sign-ins	Instructional Coach	
		Team curriculum alignment/review		Social Studies Department Leader	
			Curriculum alignment documents	Grade Level/Content Teams	
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Social Studies teachers utilize teacher designed lessons based on content standards through Study	Lesson Plans	Instructional Coach Social Studies Teachers	Study Island (Science and Social Studies): \$7,939.00
		Island, Ed Puzzle, Blooket, Kahoot and iCivics.			<i>\$1,555.</i> 00
		Quizziz- Tool used to instructional	100% of teachers will	Instructional Coach	Gimkit: \$1,000
		engagement/vocabulary	use Instructional	Social Studies Teachers	Title 1 Funds
		comprehension.	Technology to enhance		
			student engagement.		
		Gimkit- Tool to use for instructional engagement. Live gameplay for			
		formative assessment and review.			
Objective 3: For the 2024-	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and	During PLC meetings summative	PLC minutes	Instructional Coach	RTI Scheduler:
2025 school year, North	Apply Data	assessment data is reviewed. RTI		Content/Department Leaders	\$3,400 Title 1 Funds
Aiddle School will increase		groups are formed to provide re-	RTI Scheduler to track	Teachers	
he percentage of students		teach or enrichment for students	students		

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): By 2027, North Middle School will increase the Science percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 27% to 46.4%; North Middle School will increase the Social Studies percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 30% to 60.4%; North Middle School will increase the Writing percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 30% to 60.4%; North Middle School will increase the Writing percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 30% to 60.4%; North Middle School will increase the Writing percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 30% to 60.4%; North Middle School will increase the Writing percentage of Proficient/Distinguished students from 41% to 50% as measured by the KSA.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
scoring		identified. Students are chosen by			
Proficient/Distinguished in		teachers for RTI four days a week			
Vriting from 39% to 43%.		using RTI Scheduler, where they will			
		work on skills with content/grade			
		level teacher.			
	KCWP 5: Design and Deliver	ELA Department teachers follow	Lesson Plans with	Instructional Coach	
Instruction	Instruction	their developed writing plan with a	Argumentative Writing	ELA Department Chair	
		focus on Argumentative writing. ELA	Lessons		
		classrooms at each grade level teach			
		students skills/writing strategies			
		building upon the prior year.			
		All Departments implement one	Lesson Plans with	Instructional Coach	Brisk: \$2,000
		writing assignment per nine weeks	Writing Lessons	Assistant Principal	SBDM funds
		into curriculum. Teachers will utilize			
		Artificial Intelligence Scoring	Teachers will provide		Pear Assessment
		platform to provide feedback to	evidence of the		District Funds
		students. Teachers will utilize Pear	following: writing		
		Assessment to grade writings	prompt, rubric, three		
		submitted by students.	examples of writing per		
			class (high, medium		
			and low)		
KCWP 1: Des Standards	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Vertical and Content Grade Level	Lesson Plans/Pacing	Instructional Coach	
	Standards	Team curriculum alignment/review	Guides	Social Studies Department Leader	
			Sign- ins	Grade Level/Content Teams	

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: For the 2024-	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and	All teachers reviewed KSA data and	Teachers Name and	Instructional Coach	Title 1 funding for
2025 school year, North	Apply Data	personally claimed students	Claim List	Teachers	portion of
Middle School will increase		targeted for improvement (all			Instructional Coach
the percentage of students		students were claimed in this	Progress monitoring		salary
scoring		process)			
Proficient/Distinguished in		During PLC meetings summative	PLC minutes	Instructional Coach	RTI Scheduler:
Reading from 48% to 51%.		assessment data is reviewed. RTI		Content/Department Leaders	\$3,400 Title 1 Funds
		groups are formed to provide re-	RTI Scheduler to track	Teachers	
		teach or enrichment for students	students		
		identified. Students are chosen by			
		teachers for RTI four days a week			
		using RTI Scheduler, where they will			
		work on skills with content/grade			
		level teacher.			
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	All students with disabilities with	Ongoing progress	Special Education Building Coach	
	Instruction	identified reading needs are placed	monitoring; monthly;	Teacher of Record	
		in co-teach ELA classes. Students	weekly planning with		
		with disabilities needing more	co-teachers and		
		intensive instruction in reading may	general education		
		be pulled for resource classes during	teachers		
		the afternoon ELA class to recoup			
		skills, access re-teaching and			
		additional practice opportunities as			
		well as receive specially designed			
		instruction tailored to their specific			
		needs. By doing this, students			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		needing the most support are			
		receiving instruction in the general			
		education classroom and also			
		additional intervention and re-			
		teaching for targeted deficits. Co-			
		teachers will plan with general			
		education teachers a minimum of			
		once a week to design coherent co-			
		teaching/resource instruction.			
Objective 2: For the 2024-	KCWP 5: Design, Align and	Two times a month Academic RTI	Meeting agendas and	Principal/Assistant Principals	
2025 school year, North	Deliver Support Services	Meeting	minutes for each	Counselors	
Middle School will increase		Two times a month Behavior RTI	team/meeting	Special Education Building Coach	
the percentage of students		Meeting		School Psychologist	
scoring				Instructional Coach	
Proficient/Distinguished in		Two times a month Coalition Team		Team Leaders	
Math from 44% to 48%.		Meeting		Grade Level Leaders	
Objective 3: For the 2024-		Weekly Administrative Leadership			
2025 school year, North		Team Meeting			
Middle School will increase		C C			
the percentage of students					
scoring					
Proficient/Distinguished in		After School Intervention (ASI) for	ASI weekly attendance	Assistant Principal	\$16,000 District
Science from 22% to 27%.		students who need additional small	and progress	ESS Coordinator	Funding for ESS
		group or individual intervention.	monitoring data for	ASI Instructors	
For the 2024-2025 school		Students identified each week based	each child assigned.		
year, North Middle School		on data and self, parent, or teacher			
will increase the percentage		referrals.			
of students scoring		Implement Jostens Renaissance and	Calendar of Activities	Renaissance Team	\$15,000 Title 1 and
Proficient/Distinguished in		Character Strong curriculum. Staff	throughout the year.		SBDM funds

Updated June 2023

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Social Studies from 27% to	KCWP 6: Establish Learning	focus on SEL lessons, relationship	Lessons available on		
31%.	and Culture Environment	driven campus culture and student cohesiveness.	the living calendar		
For the 2024-2025 school year, North Middle School will increase the percentage of students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in Writing from 39% to 43%.					

4: English Learner Progress

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
bjective 1: By May 2024,	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Use Imagine Learning web based	Imagine Learning	Director of Accountability and	Title III/ELL
00% of our English Learners	Instruction	program with English Learners level	Reports	Assessment	
t the middle school level will		3 and below for improving reading,			
ncrease performance.		writing, speaking and listening skills	Student Performance	EL Teacher(s)	
		through language and literacy.	on WIDA ACCESS		
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and	Rosetta Stone (English) for	Rosetta Stone reports	Director of Accountability and	Title III/ELL
	Apply Data	newcomers grades 6-8 will be used		Assessment	
		to acquire skills in reading, writing,	Student performance		
		listening and speaking.	on WIDA ACCESS	EL Teacher(s)	
		EL teachers will use ELD curriculum	Formative assessment		
		during resource time			
			Imagine Learning		
			Listening, Reading,		
			Writing, and Speaking		
			activities		
	KCWP 5: Design, Align, and	English Learners at levels 1-2 will	Schedule Assessment	EL Teachers	
	Deliver Support Processed	receive an EL resource class daily for			
		one class period with the EL Teacher	Imagine Learning		
			Listening, Reading,		
			Writing, and Speaking		
			activities		
		English Learners at levels 3-4 will	Schedules	Principal	
		receive full immersion in all content			
		classes. General Education teachers	Assessments	General Education Teachers	
		will implement EL strategies during			
		core instruction.	Lesson Plans	EL Teachers(s)	
		English Learners may receive Tier III	Schedule	EL Teacher(s)	
		instruction in the areas of reading			
		and/or math	Progress Monitoring	Intervention Teacher(s)	

Updated June 2023

Goal 4 (State your English Learn	Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal.): By May 2027, North Middle School will improve the percentage of students scoring Proficient on the WIDA ACCESS assessment from 15% to 35%.							
Objective	Strategy	rategy Activities Measure of Success Progress Monitoring Funding						

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By May 2025,	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and	NMS staff will be trained and	Maintain Jostens	Principal	\$20,000 Title 1 and
Iorth Middle School students	Apply Data	implement elements of Jostens	Renaissance Platinum		SBDM funding.
vill increase their score from		Renaissance as well as Character	Level of Distinction	Jostens Committee Leaders	
6.5 to 72 on the Climate	KCWP 6: Establishing	Strong curriculum, including sub-			
ortion of the Quality of	Learning, Culture and	committees, focusing on students'			
chool Climate and Safety	Environment	social-emotional well-being, a			
ndicator on the 2025		relationship driven campus, and			
entucky Summative		student connectedness.			
Assessment.		Students heterogeneously assigned	CIA rosters	Counselors	
		to CIA groups, which meet 1 day a			
		week for SEL lessons and activities.			
		These are small, mixed grade level			
		groups, grades 6-8 to provide a			
		"home-based" check and connect			
		support system for all students.			
		Teachers and staff will implement	Maintain or Exceed	All Administration	Eos \$4,000
		PBIS expectations daily through our	PBIS Silver Recognition		Title 1 Funding
		"C-A-D-E-T-S" acronym. Cadets		All Staff	
		being "Caring, Accountable,	Eos employability point		
		Dependable, Exceptional, Timely,	tracking data		
		and Safe". Teachers will utilize the			
		Eos employability tracking system to			
		track school wide expectations.			
		Students will earn PBIS points for	Increased number of	Student Ambassador Sponsor	
		following PBIS expectations and	students receiving		
		earn PBIS rewards from the school	rewards.		
		PBIS store.			
		Students receive weekly SEL lessons	SEL Lesson	Guidance/Mental Health Counselors	
		through MHC/guidance in CIA and	Plans/Schedule		
		check and connect, small group, and	Check and Connect		
		Counseling logs			

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.):By May 2027, North Middle School students will increase their score on the Quality of School Climate and Safety Survey from 65.2 to 73.2 on the

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.):By May 2027, North Middle School students will increase their score on the Quality of School Climate and Safety Survey from 65.2 to 73.2 on the KSA.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		individual counseling services are			
		provided.			
		Students' interests are surveyed and	Survey results	Instructional Coach	
		that information is used for 9 weeks			
		rewards and "special" days for	Increased participation	Student Group Sponsors/Teachers	
		students.	in reward days		
		Youth Service Center staffed full	YSC logs of services	YSC Director	
		time to reduce barriers for students	provided/number of		
		and families.	students and families		
			served		
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and	NMS staff will be trained and	Maintain Jostens	Principal	\$20,000 Title 1 and
	Apply Data	implement elements of Jostens	Renaissance Platinum		SBDM funding.
bjective 2: By May 2024,		Renaissance as well as Character	Level of Distinction	Jostens Committee Leaders	
Iorth Middle School students	KCWP 6: Establishing	Strong curriculum, including sub-			
vill increase their score on	Learning, Culture and	committees, focusing on students'			
he Safety portion of the	Environment	social-emotional well-being, a			
Quality of School Climate and		relationship driven campus, and			
Safety Indicator on the		student connectedness.			
Centucky Summative		Students heterogeneously assigned	CIA rosters	Counselors	
Assessment.		to CIA groups, which meet 1 day a			
		week for SEL lessons and activities.			
		These are small, mixed grade level			
		groups, grades 6-8 to provide a			
		"home-based" check and connect			
		support system for all students.			
		Students receive weekly SEL lessons	SEL Lesson	Guidance/Mental Health Counselors	
		through MHC/guidance in CIA and	Plans/Schedule		
		check and connect, small group, and	Check and Connect		
		individual counseling services are	Counseling logs		
		provided.			
		Teachers and staff will implement	Maintain or Exceed	All Administration	Eos \$4,000
		PBIS expectations daily through our	PBIS Silver Recognition		Title 1 Funding
		"C-A-D-E-T-S" acronym. Cadets		All Staff	

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.):By May 2027, North Middle School students will increase their score on the Quality of School Climate and Safety Survey from 65.2 to 73.2 on the KSA.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		being "Caring, Accountable,	Eos employability point		
		Dependable, Exceptional, Timely,	tracking data		
		and Safe". Teachers will utilize the			
		Eos employability tracking system to			
		track school wide expectations.			
		Students will earn PBIS points for	Increased number of	Student Ambassador Sponsor	
		following PBIS expectations and	students receiving		
		earn PBIS rewards from the school	rewards.		
		PBIS store.			
		The Open-Gate (metal detector)	100% of students	All Administration	
		System will be utilized for screening	entering building are		
		all students upon entrance to North	screened	Staff on morning duty at entrances	
		Middle School in the morning.		School	
				School Resource Officer	
		School Resource Office in the			
		building every day to promote the			
		feeling of safety at school.			
		Students and staff will practice	Safety drill log	Principal	
		safety drills monthly.			
		Youth Service Center staffed full	YSC logs of services		
		time to reduce barriers for students	provided/number of		
		and families.	students and families		
			served		

Updated June 2023

6: Postsecondary Readiness (High School Only)

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):							
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding		
Objective 1							
Dbjective 2							

7: Graduation Rate (High School Only)

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					
	-				

8: Other (Optional)

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
bjective 2					

Addendum for Schools Identified for Targeted or Comprehensive Support

In accordance with 703 KAR 5:280, a school improvement plan means the plan created by schools identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI) or additional targeted support and improvement (ATSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(4)-(5) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225. A turnaround plan means the plan created by schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(8)(g) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225.

All TSI/ATSI improvement plans and CSI turnaround plans are required to address all components of the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP), including all diagnostics associated with the development of that plan, as well as additional specific requirements. The following pages outline specific requirements to be addressed by identified schools that must be embedded in the strategies and activities detailed within the indicator goals developed throughout the previous pages of this goal template. Evidence-based practices and activities chosen to address any goal area or additional requirement must be informed by the Needs Assessment for Schools and feedback from any on-site review conducted by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE).

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:

Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups?

Response:

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. **Response:**

Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students

Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance.

Response:

Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:

Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity?

Response:

Complete the table on the next page to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the CIP.

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "<u>Compliance Requirements</u>" resource available on KDE's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	\boxtimes

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team: Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process Response:

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. **Response:**

CSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the Continuous Improvement Platform (CIP).

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "<u>Compliance Requirements</u>" resource available on KDE's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	