Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for **elementary/middle schools** include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety

- The required goals for high schools include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety
 - o Postsecondary Readiness
 - o Graduation Rate

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive school improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Schools

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Schools that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Reading: The percent of students scoring below proficient in reading is 48% for all students but is 75% for students with disabilities.

Math: The percent of students scoring below proficient in math is 52% for all students but is 73% for students with disabilities.

Combined Writing: The percent of students scoring below proficient in combined writing is 67% for all students.

Social Studies: The percent of students scoring below proficient in social studies is 66% for all students

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the School Key Elements Template that the school will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

- Quarterly student data meetings with school admin and intervention team
- PLC data sessions
- Curriculum alignment to resources-specifically in writing and social studies

Indicator Scores

List the overall scores of status and change for each indicator.

Indicator	Status	Change
State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics	69.8	-2.1
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing	61.5	-2.9
English Learner Progress	n/a	n/a
Quality of School Climate and Safety	79.9	3.7
Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only)	n/a	n/a
Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only)	n/a	n/a

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three- to five-year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, and quality of school climate and safety. High schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, quality of school climate and safety, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rate. Long-term goals should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes or another established improvement approach (i.e. Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.).	Describe the actionable steps the school will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and must indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): From 2022-2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 52% to 72% and in Math from 45% to 65%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2025,	KCWP 1	Ensure the fidelity of following	Pacing guides	PLC minutes document implementation of	Professional
students scoring		curriculum maps/pacing guides so that	Lesson plans	curriculums; lesson plans are compared	development
proficient/distinguished		all students receive the knowledge and	PLC minutes	against pacing guides and monitored	Title I
in reading will increase		skills they need to be successful		during walkthroughs	
_		readers.			
from 50.3% to 62%.		PLCs			
		 Pacing guides 			
		 Lesson plans on team drive 			
		 Alignment to resources (Orton 			
		Gillingham, Morphology,			
		iReady, Amplify Reading,			
		Magnetic Reading, StoryWorks,			
		etc)			
	KCWP2	Plan strategically in the selection of	Increase in students	Measured three times per year (fall,	Professional
		high-yield instructional strategy usage	performing at or above	winter, and spring) with iReady data,	development funds
		within lessons. Model lessons and	grade level as measured	district phonics continuum and district	Title I
		professional development in high-yield	by iReady, phonics	fluency assessments.	
		instructional strategies. Focus on the	continuums, and fluency		
		following strategies:	assessments.	Walkthroughs in Perform	
		Learning Targets/Success			
		Criteria	Walkthroughs		
		 Questioning and discussion 	Vancentoughs		
		techniques			
		Compare and contrast			
		Vocabulary strategies			
		Kagan structures			
		Thoughtful Education			
KCV		strategies			
	KCWP5	Create and monitor a watch list for	Novice Reduction forms	Monitored quarterly using MTSS progress	Title I
	I KCVVF 3	students performing below proficiency	Novice neduction forms	monitoring and iReady scores	Title I
		and increase collaboration in data	WIN time groupings	inomiconing and ineady scores	
		analysis and student progress towards	with time groupings		
		, , ,	Percent of students		
		standards mastery, including			
			exiting interventions		

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): From 2022-2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 52% to 72% and in Math from 45% to 65%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		identification of students in need of intervention supports. • What I Need Time (intervention/enrichment time daily for ALL students) • Intervention support staff (reading, math, writing, behavior, speech/language) • MTSS plan and quarterly student data meetings with school intervention team • Extended school opportunities (before/after school programs, daytime waiver, summer learning)	Increase in iReady scores (Growth report)		
Objective 2: By 2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in math will increase from 42% to 55%	KCWP1	Ensure the fidelity of following curriculum maps/pacing guides so that all students receive the knowledge and skills they need to be successful readers. PLCs Pacing guides Lesson plans on team drive Alignment to resources (Ready Math Common Core, Reflex, Frax, iReady math; Foundational Numeracy)	Pacing guides Lesson plans PLC minutes	PLC minutes document implementation of curriculums; lesson plans are compared against pacing guides and monitored during walkthroughs	Professional development Title I
	KCWP2	Plan strategically in the selection of high-yield instructional strategy usage within lessons. Model lessons and professional development in high-yield instructional strategies. Focus on the following strategies: • Learning targets/success criteria	Increase in students performing at or above grade level as measured by iReady math. Walkthroughs	Measured three times per year (Fall, Winter, Spring) with iReady data, mastery learning checks, district math fact fluency Walkthroughs results in Perform	Professional development Title I

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): From 2022-2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 52% to 72% and in Math from 45% to 65%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		 Questioning and discussion 			
		techniques			
		 Compare and contrast 			
		 Vocabulary strategies 			
		 Foundational Numeracy team 			
		(SLICE protocol)			
		 Math Discourse Process (Try It, 			
		Discuss It, Connect It)			
	KCWP5	Create and monitor a watch list for	Novice Reduction forms	Monitored quarterly using MTSS progress	Title I
		students performing below proficiency		monitoring and iReady scores	
		and increase collaboration in data	WIN time groupings		
		analysis and student progress towards			
		standards mastery, including	Percent of students		
		identification of students in need of	exiting interventions		
		intervention supports.			
		What I Need Time	Increase in iReady scores		
		(intervention/enrichment time	(Growth report)		
		daily for ALL students)			
		 Intervention support staff 			
		(reading, math, writing,			
		behavior, speech/language)			
		 MTSS plan and quarterly 			
		student data meetings with			
		school intervention team			
		 Extended school opportunities 			
		(before/after school programs,			
		daytime waiver, summer			
		learning)			

2: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): From 2022-2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Science will increase from 37% to 57%, from 46% to 66% in Social Studies, and from 45% to 65% in Combined Writing.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2025,	KCWP1	Ensure regularly-scheduled curriculum	Lesson plans	TCTS in writing folder-checked twice	Title I
students scoring		meetings to review the alignment	Pacing guides	annually	
proficient/distinguished		between standards, learning targets,	Student work	Mystery Science & Generation Genius	
in science will increase		and assessment measures.	Program usage reports	lesson usage monitored	
		 Through Course Task student 			
from 41% to 57%.		work analysis			
		 Science pacing guides 			
		 Alignment to resources 			
		(Generation Genius, Mystery			
		Science)			
		 Edulastic usage for 			
		assessments			
	KCWP2	Ensure ongoing professional	Lesson plans	Walkthrough results in Perform	Title I
		development/modeling in the area of	Student work		
		best practice/high yield instructional	Walkthroughs		
		strategies to aid in curricular			
		adjustments when students fail to			
		meet mastery.			
		 Learning targets/success 			
		criteria			
		 Questioning and discussion 			
		techniques			
		 Compare and contrast 			
		 Vocabulary strategies 			
Objective 2: By 2025,	KCWP1	Ensure regularly-scheduled curriculum	Lesson plans	PLCs conducted with school leadership	Title I
students scoring		meetings to review the alignment	Pacing guides		
proficient/distinguished		between standards, learning targets,	Student work		
in social studies will		and assessments measures.	Program usage reports		
		 KDE standards resources 			
increase from 34% to		 Pacing guides 			
66%.		 Alignment to resources (Social 			
		Studies Alive, Social Studies			
		Weekly)			

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): From 2022-2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Science will increase from 37% to 57%, from 46% to 66% in Social Studies, and from 45% to 65% in Combined Writing.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		 Edulastic usage for 			
		assessments			
	KCWP2	Ensure ongoing professional	Lesson plans	Walkthrough results from Perform	Title I
		development/modeling in the area of	Student work		
		best practice/high yield instructional	Walkthroughs		
		strategies to aid in curricular			
		adjustments when students fail to			
		meet mastery.			
		Learning targets/success			
		criteria			
		Questioning and discussion			
		techniques Compare and contrast			
		Vocabulary strategies			
Objective 3: By 2024,	KCWP2	Plan strategically in the selection of	Lesson plans	Writing portfolio checks conducted twice	Title I
, ,	NCVVI Z	high yield instructional strategy usage	Writing portfolios	annually	Title
students scoring		within lessons. Focus on the following	PLC minutes	amadny	
proficient/distinguished		strategies:	PLD/faculty meeting	Student work analysis evidenced in PLCs,	
in combined writing will		 Alignment to writing resources 	agendas	PLDs, or faculty meetings	
increase from 31% to 65%.		(Zaner Bloser)			
11010030 110111 3170 10 0370.		• 1.4, 2.6, 3.8 paragraphs for			
		informational writing and			
		OREO for persuasive writing			
		 On demand writing checklist 			
		Ensure that formative assessment	Edulastic reports	Writing portfolio checks conducted twice	Title I
		practices allow students to understand	Writing portfolios	annually	
		where they are going, where they	PLC minutes	Edulastic reports on editing and	
		currently are, and how they can close	Student work samples	mechanics assessments	
		the gap.			
		Edulastic usage for			
		assessments On domand writing prompts			
		 On-demand writing prompts and opportunities 			
		Provide meaningful feedback			
		to students			
		to students		<u> </u>	ļ.

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): From 2022-2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Science will increase from 37% to 57%, from 46% to 66% in Social Studies, and from 45% to 65% in Combined Writing.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		 Analyze writing samples across grade levels 			

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2025, the percent of students with disabilities scoring novice in reading will decrease from 25% to 21%.	KCWP4	Implement formal and informal processes that teachers and students utilize to gather evidence to directly improve the learning of students assessed. • MTSS Plan and Quarterly student data meetings with school intervention team • PLC data sessions • iReady data analyzing • Student Goal Setting Sessions • Co-teaching models	PLCs Lesson plans Walkthrough data Goal setting schedule	PLC minutes Co-teach walkthrough data collected annually Goal setting data	SPED
	KCWP5	Monitor that accommodations are provided for every instructional task. Ensure that all students are provided access to grade level standards. • Extended school opportunities • Practice accommodations with students (KSA simulations)	Pear assessments (KSA simulations) Accommodation plans	Measured using Pear assessments	SPED Professional development funds
Objective 2: By 2024, the percent of students with disabilities scoring novice in math will decrease from 50% to 23%.	KCWP4	Implement formal and informal processes that teachers and students utilize to gather evidence to directly improve the learning of students assessed. • MTSS Plan and Quarterly student data meetings with school intervention team	PLCs Lesson plans Walkthrough data Goal setting schedule	PLC minutes Co-teach walkthrough data collected annually Goal setting data	SPED

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		 PLC data sessions iReady data analyzing Student Goal Setting Sessions Co-teaching model 			
	KCWP5	Monitor that accommodations are provided for every instructional task. Ensure that all students are provided access to grade level standards. • Extended school opportunities • Practice accommodations with students (KSA simulations)	Pear assessments (KSA simulations) Accommodation plans	Measured using Pear assessments	SPED Professional development funds

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal.): By 2026, the English Learner Progress Indicator will increase from 59.3/High to 69.3/Very High.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2025, the	KCPW2	Ensure that curricular and	ACCESS Testing	ACCESS Testing in January 2025	Title I
English Learner Progress		assessment measures provide for	i-Ready Diagnostic	I-Ready and Phonics data	Title II
Indicator will increase from		all pertinent information needs for	Phonics Continuums	measured three times per year	Title III
60.5/High to 65/Very High.		students.		(Fall, Winter, Spring)	ESS
		• MTSS			
		Orton Gillingham			
		• i-Ready			

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.): By 2026, the quality school climate and safety overall indicator score will increase 76.2/medium to 82/very high.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2025, the climate indicator will increase by 5 points.	KCWP6	Ensure that students have work ethic skills that demonstrate leadership in the school and community. • Work ethic standards (Great 8) • Work ethic certification (Grade 5)	Report card	Work ethic monitored on quarterly report cards	Title I
Objective 2: By 2025, the safety indicator will increase by 5 points.	KCWP5	Assure consideration and addressment of non-academic barriers to learning • Social Emotional Learning • Close Gap	Close Gap data	Counselor monitors Close Gap data	Title I Title II
	KCWP6	Ensure the expectations of students are clearly defined and that group norms have been established within the classroom. • Positive Behavior and Intervention Support Systems • Discipline Policy	PBIS data Monthly discipline report	Measured monthly using PBIS data report Discipline report shared at monthly learning environment committee meeting	Title I

6: Postsecondary Readiness (High School Only)

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

7: Graduation Rate (High School Only)

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

8: Other (Optional)

Goal 8 (State your separate goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

Addendum for Schools Identified for Targeted or Comprehensive Support

In accordance with 703 KAR 5:280, a school improvement plan means the plan created by schools identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI) or additional targeted support and improvement (ATSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(4)-(5) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225. A turnaround plan means the plan created by schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(8)(g) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225.

All TSI/ATSI improvement plans and CSI turnaround plans are required to address all components of the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP), including all diagnostics associated with the development of that plan, as well as additional specific requirements. The following pages outline specific requirements to be addressed by identified schools that must be embedded in the strategies and activities detailed within the indicator goals developed throughout the previous pages of this goal template. Evidence-based practices and activities chosen to address any goal area or additional requirement must be informed by the Needs Assessment for Schools and feedback from any on-site review conducted by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE).

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:

Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups?

Response:

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.

Response:

Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students

Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance.

Response:

Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:

Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity?

Response:

Complete the table on the next page to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the CIP.

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "Compliance Requirements" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	\boxtimes

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

urnaround Team:
onsider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process esponse:
dentification of Critical Resources Inequities:
onsider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how lentified resource inequities will be addressed. esponse:

CSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the Continuous Improvement Platform (CIP).

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "Compliance Requirements" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	