2024-25 RINEYVILLE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for **elementary/middle schools** include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety

- The required goals for **high schools** include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - Quality of School Climate and Safety
 - o Postsecondary Readiness
 - o Graduation Rate

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive school improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Schools

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Schools that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

- 1. Students with disabilities in Reading: As a whole, students scored 59% P/D in Reading, while students with disabilities scored 19% P/D. This is a difference of: 40%.
- 2. Students with disabilities in Math: As a whole, students scored 55% P/D in Math, while students with disabilities scored 26% P/D. This is a difference of: 29%.
- 3. Reducing novice in Social Studies: 21% of students scored Novice overall, while 55% scored P/D. This was the lowest category overall

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the School Key Elements Template that the school will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction

Does our instructional program include consistent implementation of evidence-based instructional practices essential for academic, behavioral, and social-emotional competencies that are aligned to Kentucky Academic Standards and current research?

Grades K-3 teachers are trained in Orton Gillingham reading strategies.

- -Grades 3-5 teachers have been trained in OG morphology reading strategies.
- -All K-5 teachers are trained and implementing the Ready Math Curriculum
- -All teachers are using data from iReady to provide gap support and enrichment support to students on their individual level.

Indicator Scores

List the overall scores of status and change for each indicator.

Indicator	Status	Change
State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics	74.8	-0.6
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing	62.4	-12.4
English Learner Progress	N/A	N/A
Quality of School Climate and Safety	76.3	-1.5
Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only)	N/A	N/A
Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only)	N/A	N/A

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three- to five-year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, and quality of school climate and safety. High schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, quality of school climate and safety, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rate. Long-term goals should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes or another established improvement approach (i.e. Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.).	Describe the actionable steps the school will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and must indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.):

By Spring 2026, Rineyville Elementary's Reading scores will increase from 59% P/D to 79% P/D and Math scores will increase from 55% P/D to 75% P/D.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1:	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	Plan strategically in the selection of	-PLC minutes	-Spring 2025 State Assessment Data	PD
By May 2025, Rineyville's	Instruction	high yield instructional strategy	-Common Assessments	- Reading iReady reports	Title I
Reading scores will increase		usage within lessons. Model	designed by teacher	-Student Growth Reports	
from 61%P/D to 71% P/D.		lessons and professional	-Common Assessments	-Student Projected Proficiency Reports	
		development in high yield	from Edulastic	-MTSS Growth Reports	
		instructional strategies. Focus on	-KAGAN strategies	-OG Assessment Data	
		the following strategies:	-Walkthrough Data		
		1. Orton-Gillingham phonemic	-Professional Learning		
		awareness, phonics, fluency, and	-State test scores		
		comprehension skills strategies.	-iReady data		
		2. KAGAN Cooperative Learning			
		Strategies	Person Responsible:		
		3. Orton-Gillingham instruction	Classroom teachers		
		provided to target students.	Principal		
		4. Orton Gillingham Fluency	PD committee		
		passages	MTSS teacher		
			District Academic		
			support		
Objective 2:	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	Plan strategically in the selection of	-Walkthrough Data	-Spring 2025 State Assessment Data	PD
By May 2025, Rineyvile's	Instruction	high yield instructional strategy	-State test scores	- Math iReady reports	Title 1
Math scores will increase		usage within lessons. Model	-Reflex Math Data	-Student Growth Reports	
from 52%P/D to 62% P/D.		lessons and professional	- Math iReady Data	-Student projected proficiency reports	
		development in high yield		-MTSS Math Growth Reports	
		instructional strategies. Focus on	Person Responsible:		
		the following strategies:			

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.):

By Spring 2026, Rineyville Elementary's Reading scores will increase from 59% P/D to 79% P/D and Math scores will increase from 55% P/D to 75% P/D.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		1. Math Vocabulary Strategies	Instructional		
		2. Ready Math strategies	Committee		
		3. Use of Math Manipulatives	Principal		
		4. Math talks at all grade levels.	Classroom Teachers		
			MTSS Teacher		

2: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):

By 2026, Rineyville's Science scores will increase from 42% P/D to 62% P/D.

By 2026, Rineyville's Social Studies scores will increase from 55% P/D to 75% P/D.

By 2026, Rineyville's Combine Writing scores will increase from 69% P/D to 89% P/D.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1:	KCWP1	Ensure that vertical curriculum	-Lesson plans	-Spring 2025 State Assessment Data	Title I
By May 2025, Rineyville's		planning is occurring to identify	-Pacing guides	-Grade level teacher-created common	PD
Science scores will increase		instructional gaps, including	-PLC minutes	assessments	
from 32%% P/D to 42% P/D.		planning for the introduction of the	-Committee minutes	-Through Course Tasks data	
		standard, development and gradual			
		release phases, and arrival at	-Person responsible:		
		standards mastery.	Classroom teachers		
		1. Pacing guides	Science Lab Teacher		
		2. Alignment to Resources (Mystery	4 th Grade Science		
		Science and reading materials)	teachers		
		3. Reading Research Centers	Instructional		
		4. Through Course Tasks per grade	Committee		
		level			
Objective 2:	KCWP2	Plan strategically in the selection of	-Walkthrough Data	Spring 2025 State Assessment Data	Title I
By May 2025, Rineyville's		high yield instructional strategy	-Lesson plans	Grade level teacher-created common	PD
Social Studies scores will		usage within lessons. Model	-State test scores	assessments	
increase from 41% P/D to		lessons and professional learning in	-Common assessment		
51% P/D.		high yield instructional strategies.	designed by teacher		
		Focus on the following strategies.	and Edulastic results		
		1. Reading comprehension	Person Responsible:		
		strategies	Classroom teachers		
		2. Vocabulary Strategies	Instructional		
			Committee		

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):

By 2026, Rineyville's Science scores will increase from 42% P/D to 62% P/D.

By 2026, Rineyville's Social Studies scores will increase from 55% P/D to 75% P/D.

By 2026, Rineyville's Combine Writing scores will increase from 69% P/D to 89% P/D.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Dbjective 3:	KCWP1	Ensure that vertical curriculum	Lesson plans	Spring 2025 State Assessment Data	Title I
By May 2025, Rineyville's	KCWP2	mapping is occurring to identify	-Writing plan	Grade level teacher-created common	PD
Combined Writing scores will		instructional gaps, including	-PLC minutes	assessments	
increase from 40% P/D to		planning for the introduction of the	-Work samples		
50% P/D.		standard, development and gradual	-State test scores		
,		release phases, and arrival at			
		standards mastery.	-Person responsible:		
		School-wide writing plan	Principal		
		reviewed and updated annually	Instructional		
		2. Alignment to resources	Committee		
			Classroom teachers		
		Plan strategically in the selection of			
		high yield instructional strategy			
		usage within lesson. Model lessons			
		and professional learning in high			
		yield instructional strategies. Focus			
		on the following strategies:			
		1. 4-square writing			
		2. On-Demand Writing checklists			
		and live scoring sessions			
		3. Peer editing			

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1:	KCW2	Ensure the instructional	iReady projected	Spring 2025 State Assessment Data	Title I
By May 2025, Rineyville's		modifications are made based upon	proficiency	iReady reports	
students with disabilities with		the immediate feedback gained	reports/decile charts	Student Growth Reports	
accomodations scoring		from formative assessments.	Online software	Student Projected Proficiency Reports	
Novice in Reading will be		1. My Path Reading	updates	Decile Charts 3 times per year	
reduced by 10%.		2. Lexia	Orton Gillingham red		
		3. District non-negotiable tests	words and fluency		
			Person responsible:		
			Special Education		
			Teachers		
			Principal		
			Classroom teachers		
			MTSS Teacher		
Objective 2:	KCW2	Ensure that instructional	iReady projected	Spring 2025 State Assessment Data	Title I
By Spring 2025, Rineyville's		modifications are made based upon	proficiency	iReady reports	
students with disabilities with		the immediate feedback.	reports/decile charts	Student Growth Reports	
accommodations scoring		1. My Path Math	Online software	Decile Charts 3 times per year	
Novice in Math will be		2. Reflex Math	updates		
reduced by 10%.		3. Ready Math classroom	Fast fact fluency		
		manipulatives	assessments		

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
			Person responsible:		
			Special Education		
			Teachers		
			Principal		
			Classroom teachers		
			MTSS Teacher		

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal.):
By 2026, Rineyville Elementary's English Learner Progress Indicator will increase from 59.3/High to 69.3/Very High.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By Spring 2025, the English Learner Progress Indicator will increase from 60.5/High to 65/Very High.	KCWP2: Design & Deliver	Ensure that curricular delivery and assessment measures provide for all pertinent information needs for students. (To include but not limited to: EL Academy, Technology, GRREC, Cooperative Learning Strategies, Orton-Gillingham, Fluency, PLCs, ESS, MTSS, I-Ready, Common Assessments, Professional Learning, Educational/Technology Resources, Classroom Visits, Thoughtful Education) Carousel of Ideas (Elementary Curriculum)	State Assessment Results ACCESS Test Results MODEL Assessment I-Ready Results PLC Agendas Professional Learning Opportunities Walkthroughs Data/Monitoring Forms	-Spring 2025 State assessment results -School report card -ATSI/CSI Identification Quarterly: District Assessment Data	Title II Title III ESS District Instructional Funds

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.):

By 2026, Rineyville will raise its current status from 76.3 Medium to 86.3 very high.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1:	KCWP 6: Establish Learning	PBIS	State Assessment	Fall 2024 testing results	Title I Funds
By Spring 2025, Rineyville will	Culture and Environment	SEL Lessonss	Results		General Funds
raise it's Climate Index from		Character Education			
78.9 High to 82,9 Very High.		KARE Committee			
		Behavior Data			
		Counselor Referrals			
Objective 2:	KCWP 6: Establish Learning	PBIS	State Assessment	Fall 2024 testing results	Title I Funds
By Spring 2025 Rineyville will	Culture and Environment	SEL Lessonss	Results		General Funds
raise it's Safety Index from		Character Education			
73.6 Medium to 80.6/High.		KARE Committee			
		Behavior Data			
		Counselor Referrals			

6: Postsecondary Readiness (High School Only)

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

7: Graduation Rate (High School Only)

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

8: Other (Optional)

Goal 8 (State your separate goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

Addendum for Schools Identified for Targeted or Comprehensive Support

In accordance with 703 KAR 5:280, a school improvement plan means the plan created by schools identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI) or additional targeted support and improvement (ATSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(4)-(5) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225. A turnaround plan means the plan created by schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(8)(g) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225.

All TSI/ATSI improvement plans and CSI turnaround plans are required to address all components of the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP), including all diagnostics associated with the development of that plan, as well as additional specific requirements. The following pages outline specific requirements to be addressed by identified schools that must be embedded in the strategies and activities detailed within the indicator goals developed throughout the previous pages of this goal template. Evidence-based practices and activities chosen to address any goal area or additional requirement must be informed by the Needs Assessment for Schools and feedback from any on-site review conducted by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE).

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:

Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups?

Response:

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.

Response:

Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students

Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance.

Response:

Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:

Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity?

Response:

Complete the table on the next page to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the CIP.

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "Compliance Requirements" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	\boxtimes

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team:
Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process Response:
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. Response:

CSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the Continuous Improvement Platform (CIP).

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "Compliance Requirements" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	