

## Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

### Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. **No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.**

### Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for **elementary/middle schools** include the following:
  - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
  - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
  - Achievement Gap
  - English Learner Progress
  - Quality of School Climate and Safety
- The required goals for **high schools** include the following:
  - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
  - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
  - Achievement Gap
  - English Learner Progress
  - Quality of School Climate and Safety
  - Postsecondary Readiness
  - Graduation Rate

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive school improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Schools

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Schools that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the School Key Elements Template that the school will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Indicator Scores

List the overall scores of status and change for each indicator.

Indicator	Status	Change
State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics		
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing		
English Learner Progress		
Quality of School Climate and Safety		
Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only)		
Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only)		



Explanations/Directions

**Goal:** Schools should determine long-term goals that are three- to five-year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, and quality of school climate and safety. High schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, quality of school climate and safety, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rate. Long-term goals should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon <a href="#">Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes</a> or another established improvement approach (i.e. <i>Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldrige, etc.</i> ).	Describe the actionable steps the school will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and must indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): From 2022 to 2025, all students will increase from 56.6% proficiency in Reading to 68.4%. By 2025, all students will increase from 51.6% proficiency in Math to 64.8%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2025, all students will increase from 50% proficiency in Reading to 52%.	Design & Deliver Instruction	Ensure formative assessment measures are within lesson planning practices for each phase of Explicit Instruction (Before, During, and After).	PLC agendas Professional Learning Opportunities Walkthroughs  Principal, AP, Instructional staff	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General Funding
		Ensure that instructional modifications are made based upon the immediate feedback gained from formative assessments · (Assessments for Learning)	PLC agendas Professional Learning Opportunities Walkthroughs  Principal, AP, Instructional staff	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General Funding
	Design & Deliver Instruction	Administration will conduct scheduled and unscheduled walkthroughs and observations to evaluate the alignment of standards to objectives, congruency of student work to learning targets, questioning, differentiation, and the use of engaging instructional strategies.	PLC agendas Professional Learning Opportunities	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General Funding
		Teachers will integrate student use of technology into instruction and students will have opportunity to use programs targeted towards individualized student needs.	PLC agendas Professional Learning Opportunities	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General Funding Title I Funding
Objective 2	Design & Deliver Instruction	Teachers will utilize varied instructional practices, questioning techniques, IReady data and other assessment	PLC agendas Professional Learning Opportunities	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	Professional Learning Funds, General Funds

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): From 2022 to 2025, all students will increase from 56.6% proficiency in Reading to 68.4%. By 2025, all students will increase from 51.6% proficiency in Math to 64.8%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
By 2025, all students will increase from 48% proficiency in Math to 50%.		data, to create personalized learning for students based on their needs.	Walkthroughs  Principal, AP, Instructional staff		
		Teachers will engage in professional development including evidence-based strategies and professional learning to observe peers both inside the building as well as other local schools.	PLC agendas Professional Learning Opportunities Walkthroughs  Principal, AP, Instructional staff	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	Professional Learning Funds, General Funds
	Establishing Learning Culture & Environment	Teachers and administration will develop and deliver monthly common assessments. Staff will work together to analyze and review results with students. Students who score proficient or distinguished will be recognized.	PLC agendas Professional Learning Opportunities Walkthroughs  Principal, AP, Instructional staff Administration, Instructional Staff	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	Professional Learning Funds, General Funds
		Admin team and instructional staff will meet with all students grades 3-5 and perform goal setting and data analysis three times a year.	PLC agendas  Principal, AP, Instructional staff Administration, Instructional Staff	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	Professional Learning Funds, General Funds

2: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Goal 2: From 2022 to 2025, all students will increase from 40% proficiency in Science to 60%. By 2025, all students will increase from 41% proficiency in Social Studies to 61%. By 2025, all students will increase from 52.8% proficiency in Writing to 65.7%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2025, all students will increase from 41 % proficiency in Science to 43%.	Design & Deploy Standards	Ensure that vertical curriculum mapping is occurring to identify instructional gaps, including planning for the introduction of the standard, development and gradual release phases, and arrival at standards mastery. Pacing Guides Lesson Plans on Team Drive Alignment to Resources	Lesson Plans Pacing Guides PLC Minutes	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funding
	Design & Deliver Instruction	Teachers will integrate student use of technology (IReady, Reading Counts, etc) into instruction and students will have opportunity to use programs targeted towards individualized student needs.	PLC agendas Professional Learning Opportunities	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funding Title I Funding
Objective 2 By 2025, all students will increase from 49% proficiency in Social Studies to 51%.	Design & Deploy Standards	Ensure that vertical curriculum mapping is occurring to identify instructional gaps, including planning for the introduction of the standard, development and gradual release phases, and arrival at standards mastery. Pacing Guides Lesson Plans on Team Drive Alignment to Resources (Mystery Science)	Lesson Plans Pacing Guides PLC Minutes	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funding
Objective 3	Design & Deliver Instruction	Plan strategically in the selection of high yield instructional strategy usage	Lesson Plans Pacing Guides	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card	General funding Title I & II funds

Goal 2: From 2022 to 2025, all students will increase from 40% proficiency in Science to 60%. By 2025, all students will increase from 41% proficiency in Social Studies to 61%. By 2025, all students will increase from 52.8% proficiency in Writing to 65.7%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
By 2025, all students will increase from 40% in Writing to 42%		within lessons. Model lessons and professional development in high yield instructional strategies. Focus on the following strategies: 4-Square Writing On-Demand Writing Checklists and Live Scoring Sessions	PLC Minutes	IReady data	



### 3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school’s underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school’s climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
<b>Objective 1</b> By 2025, all students identified as student with a disaibility will increase in proficiency from 13% in Reading to 15%. The same gap group will increase from 20% proficiency in Math to 22%.	Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	A tiered system of research-based interventions will be utilized to support student academic and behavioral needs.	January, 2024 –May, 2025 PLC Minutes Principal, Interventionist, Instructional Staff	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funds Title I funds
		PLCs will meet bi-monthly during school, in addition to bi-monthly meetings after school, to analyze pre & post test data, MAP, results and other data to identify students needing additional interventions and design instruction to best meet the needs of all students.	December 2024 –May 2025 Instructional staff, Administration	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funds
	Design & Deliver Instruction	Incorporating the Collaborative model in all reading classrooms, utilizing small group learning and chunking centers with Instructional Assistants and Title I aides.	August 2024-May 2025 PLC Minutes Walkthroughs Administration, ISD	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data Walkthrough Data PLC mins	General funds Title I funds
<b>Objective 2</b> By 2025, all students identified as economically disadvantaged in Reading will increase from 40% to 42%. The same gap group will increase from 38% in Math to 40%.	Review, Analyze and Apply Data	A tiered system of research-based interventions will be utilized to support student academic and behavioral needs.	January, 2025 –May, 2025  PLC Minutes Principal, Interventionist, Instructional Staff	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funds Title I funds
		PLCs will meet bi-monthly during school, in addition to bi-monthly meetings after school, to analyze pre &	January, 2025 –May, 2025	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funds

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		post test data, MAP, results and other data to identify students needing	PLC Minutes Principal, Interventionist,		
	Design & Deliver Instruction	Incorporating the Collaborative model in all reading classrooms, utilizing small group learning and chunking centers with Instructional Assistants and Title I aides.	August 2024-May 2025 PLC Minutes Walkthroughs Administration, ISD	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funds Title I funds
	Design & Deliver Instruction	Incorporating the Collaborative model in all reading classrooms, utilizing small group learning and chunking centers with Instructional Assistants and Title I aides.	August 2024-May 2025 PLC Minutes Walkthroughs Administration, ISD	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funds Title I funds
	Design & Deliver Instruction	Teachers will integrate technology that individualizes instruction to meet the needs of all students in the areas of reading and math.	August 2024-May 2025 PLC Minutes Walkthroughs Administration, ISD	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funds Title I funds
	Design & Deliver Instruction	ESS coordinator and classroom teachers will work together to ensure students are scheduled at most opportune time to maximize student academic needs for interventions.	August 2024-May 2025 PLC Minutes Walkthroughs Administration, ISD	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funds Title I funds ESS funds
		After school homework help and tutoring will be offered for targeted students in the areas of reading and math.	August 2024-May 2025 PLC Minutes Walkthroughs Administration, ISD	Fall 2025 State Assessment School Report Card IReady data	General funds Title I funds ESS funds

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4: Between 2024 and 2027, the English Learner Progress status/level will increase from 55.3/medium to 65.0/high.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 Objective: By 2025, the English Learner Progress status/level will increase from 55.3/medium to 58.0/high	Design & Deliver Instruction	Ensure that curricular delivery and assessment measures provide for all pertinent information needs for students. (To include but not limited to: EL Academy, Technology, GRREC, Cooperative Learning Strategies, Orton-Gillingham, Fluency, PLCs, ESS, MTSS, IReady)	State Assessment Results ACCESS Test Results MODEL Assessment IReady Results PLC Agendas Professional Learning Opportunities Walkthroughs Data/Monitoring Forms	Fall 2024: State Assessment Score Release School Report Card	Title I Title II Title III ESS District Instructional Funds
Objective 2					

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5: Between 2024 and 2027, the Quality of School Climate and Safety index will increase from79% to 83%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2025, the Climate index will increase from 80.2% to 82%.	Establish Learning Culture and Environment	Ensure the delivery of strategies such PBIS, SEL, Character Education with fidelity, review, and revision as needed.	State Assessment Results PLC/BIT agendas PBIS Committee Walkthroughs	Infinite Campus Behavior Data KARES Survey	General Funding
Objective 2 By 2025, the Safety index will increase from 74% to 77%.	Establish Learning Culture and Environment	Ensure the delivery of strategies such PBIS, SEL, Character Education with fidelity, review, and revision as needed.	State Assessment Results PLC/BIT agendas PBIS Committee Walkthroughs	Infinite Campus Behavior Data KARES Survey State Safety Audit GRECC Safety Audit	General Funding

6: Postsecondary Readiness (High School Only)

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

7: Graduation Rate (High School Only)

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

8: Other (Optional)

Goal 8 (State your separate goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

## Addendum for Schools Identified for Targeted or Comprehensive Support

In accordance with 703 KAR 5:280, a school improvement plan means the plan created by schools identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI) or additional targeted support and improvement (ATSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(4)-(5) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225. A turnaround plan means the plan created by schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(8)(g) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225.

All TSI/ATSI improvement plans and CSI turnaround plans are required to address all components of the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP), including all diagnostics associated with the development of that plan, as well as additional specific requirements. The following pages outline specific requirements to be addressed by identified schools that must be embedded in the strategies and activities detailed within the indicator goals developed throughout the previous pages of this goal template. Evidence-based practices and activities chosen to address any goal area or additional requirement must be informed by the Needs Assessment for Schools and feedback from any on-site review conducted by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE).



Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

<b>Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:</b>
<b>Consider:</b> How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups? <b>Response:</b>
<b>Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:</b>
<b>Consider:</b> Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. <b>Response:</b>
<b>Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students</b>
<b>Consider:</b> Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance. <b>Response:</b>
<b>Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:</b>
<b>Consider:</b> Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity? <b>Response:</b>
Complete the table on the next page to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the CIP.

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the “[Compliance Requirements](#)” resource available on KDE’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). Marking the “Uploaded in CIP” box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school’s turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

<b>Turnaround Team:</b>
<b>Consider:</b> Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school’s turnaround process <b>Response:</b>
<b>Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:</b>
<b>Consider:</b> Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. <b>Response:</b>

CSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the Continuous Improvement Platform (CIP).

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the “[Compliance Requirements](#)” resource available on KDE’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). Marking the “Uploaded in CIP” box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>