

Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. **No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.**

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for **elementary/middle schools** include the following:
 - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - Achievement Gap
 - English Learner Progress
 - Quality of School Climate and Safety
- The required goals for **high schools** include the following:
 - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - Achievement Gap
 - English Learner Progress
 - Quality of School Climate and Safety
 - Postsecondary Readiness
 - Graduation Rate

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive school improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Schools

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Schools that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the School Key Elements Template that the school will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Indicator Scores

List the overall scores of status and change for each indicator.

Indicator	Status	Change
State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics		
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing		
English Learner Progress		
Quality of School Climate and Safety		
Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only)		
Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only)		

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three- to five-year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, and quality of school climate and safety. High schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, quality of school climate and safety, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rate. Long-term goals should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes or another established improvement approach (i.e. <i>Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldrige, etc.</i>).	Describe the actionable steps the school will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and must indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.) Between 2022- 2025, students performing proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 52% to 72% and in Math from 45% to 65%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 43% to 53%.	KCWP # 2: Design and Deliver instruction	Resources Instructional resources, activities and training that are necessary to increase proficiency are aligned to the curriculum, Common Core and/or National Standards will be funded (i.e. textbooks, technology, programs, chromebooks, etc.)	Department Budgets PLC minutes	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	District Title 1 KETS ESSER
		Professional Learning Communities All core content area teachers create and analyze common formative assessments. PLC's will provide data driven instruction based on assessments. Training to sustain this process will be provided as needed. Leadership decisions are focused on student academic performance and are data-driven (assessment results, placement tests, iReady tests, PLC minutes & A-Team minutes) and collaborative.	Assessment Results Placement tests PLC minutes A-Team minutes	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	
		Reading Specialist/Teacher Sustain use of a reading specialist/teacher to instruct ninth and tenth grade students who need assistance in reading to include using the Literacy First Program. Continue to have Developmental Reading classes and utilize an additional reading coach assistant to help with intensive pull outs and reading progress assessments.	Master Schedule	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	Title I
		English Instructional Specialist. An English interventionist will oversee all areas of English intervention.	Master Schedule	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	Title I

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.) Between 2022- 2025, students performing proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 52% to 72% and in Math from 45% to 65%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 2 By 2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Math will increase from 35% to 45%.	KCWP #5 Design, Align and Deliver Supports				
	KCWP #5 Design, Align and Deliver Supports	Student Tutors/Peer Mentors Provide student tutors in core mathematics and biology classrooms as well as classes with ESL students.	Student schedules	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	
		FLIP class: Students not passing Algebra I, 2A, may be placed in a non-traditional, intervention focused FLIP classroom which allows for more individualized and differentiated remediation via the use of iPads and Chromebooks and recorded teacher instruction.	Master schedule (math teachers) Student schedules	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	KETS
		ALEKS: A math program used with all freshmen for remediation and enrichment	Reduction of failure rates. Increase of math scores.	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	District
		Administrative and Peer Walkthroughs Teachers will receive a walkthrough monthly from administration with feedback, and bi-annually teachers will observe and in turn be observed by a peer.			

2: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):Between 2022-2025 students performing proficient/distinguished in Science will increase from 17% to 37% and in Social Studies from 40% to 60% and in Writing from 54% to 74%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Science will be (data suppressed) to 15%	KCWP #2: Design and Deliver Instruction and KCWP #3 Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy	Utilize knowledge of best practice/high yield instructional strategies to aid in curricular adjustments when students fail to meet mastery. Teachers are administering common assessments aligned with NGSS standards and KSA to indicate standard changes and increased rigor	Curriculum map Common assessments State assessments	Fall 2025 Assessment Results	
		Teachers will be trained in and implement Project Based Learning	PBL projects designed		District
Objective 2 By 2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Social Studies will increase from 38% to 48%.	KCWP #2: Design and Deliver Instruction and KCWP #3 Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy	Inquiry Based Instruction/Assessments Teachers will work together to create and implement inquiry based assessments that are aligned with KSA.	Curriculum Maps PLC minutes	Fall 2025 Assessment Results	
		Teachers will be trained in and implement Project Based Learning	PBL projects designed		District
Objective 3: By 2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Writing will increase from 46% to 56%.	KCWP #2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Writing Models: Teachers will follow the school writing policy. A writing policy and program are implemented and periodically reviewed and analyzed to make adjustments as needed to improve student writing. A writing/curriculum specialist will be	Curriculum maps Student/teacher writing folder	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	Title 1

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):Between 2022-2025 students performing proficient/distinguished in Science will increase from 17% to 37% and in Social Studies from 40% to 60% and in Writing from 54% to 74%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		hired as needed for consult to assist teachers in developing writing activities	State Assessments		
		No Red Ink: Embedded practice in instruction at all grade levels. All core English teachers will use No Red Ink to increase student performance in usage and mechanics.	No Red Ink assessment reports	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	District
		Writing Instruction: The On-Demand writing process is taught in a scaffold approach in the 9th, 10th and 11th grade English classes.	Curriculum maps Department meeting minutes PLC minutes	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school’s underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school’s climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2025, the percent of IEP students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 14% to 20% Objective 2 By 2025, the percent of IEP students scoring proficient/distinguished in Math to 10%	KCWP #5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Classroom Activities	Support Services The school provides organizational structures to reduce barriers to learning (e.g. health education, one-on-one health counseling, immunizations, screenings, emergency and first aid services.) Structures are in place to ensure that all students have access to all of the curriculum (e.g. Intervention Assistance Program, character education, preventative education addressing pregnancy, HIV, and STD;s, individual mental health counseling, support for pregnant teens and teen mothers, Anger Management groups, transitional support groups, Grief Counseling, and at-risk support groups).			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		<p>Activity: ESSExtend ESS beyond the district program schedule throughout the school year and include math tutoring two mornings (as-needed).</p> <p>Activity: MTSSAn Intervention Assistance Team/MTSS team meeting will be held to assist identified at-risk student needs</p> <p>Activity: Power HourAdditional time for students to seek help and/or have extended research/computer time is available every day from</p> <p>Activity: Stakeholder InvolvementOpportunities are provided to families and the community to be active partners in the educational process and work with the school to promote programs and services for all students</p>	<p>ESS budget</p> <p>ESS attendance log</p> <p>Guidance</p> <p>MTSS meeting minutes</p> <p>Power Hour schedule</p> <p>Committee minutes</p>	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	State Fund General Fund
	KCWP 2: Design & Deliver Instruction	<p>Implementation and Intervention Strategies in Special Education Special Education teachers will focus on activities and strategies to help individually target the academic growth of students on their caseload</p>	PLC minutes	<p>Fall 2025 State Assessment Results</p> <p>Fall 2025 State Assessment Results</p>	

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Co-Teaching PD Teams of regular ed. and collab teachers will share and implement training, Station Teaching, to strengthen their teamwork skills and to expand their knowledge of engaging instructional strategies.	PD Plan walkthrough data	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results iReady diagnostics	
		Target Math Interventionist A math interventionist/tutor uses the school day to pull out students that are failing or are in danger of failing Algebra I, for very individualized one on one tutoring. This intervention is sustained until the student is able to meet academic expectations in the class.	Interventionist schedule iReady results		
		Trauma Informed Care All teachers have been trained and updated in Trauma Informed Care so they are more aware of the type of barriers that students in the achievement gap may be dealing with.	PD Plan	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	
		Equity Institute Training All teachers have attended the Equity Institute training to help identify and assist at-risk students.	PD Plan	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 3					

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal.): By 2026, the English Learner Progress Indicator will increase from 24.1/medium to 45/very high.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2025, the English Learner Progress Indicator will increase from 24.1/medium to 31 high	KCWP 2: Design & Deliver Instruction	Ensure that curricular delivery and assessment measures provide for all pertinent information needs for students. <i>(To include but not limited to: EL Academy, Technology, GRREC, Cooperative Learning Strategies, Orton-Gillingham, Fluency, PLCs, ESS, MTSS, I-Ready, Common Assessments, Professional Learning, Educational/Technology Resources, Classroom Visits, College Prep, Master Schedules, Thoughtful Education)</i>	State Assessment Results ACCESS Test Results MODEL Assessment I-Ready Results PLC Agendas Professional Learning Opportunities Walkthroughs Data/Monitoring Forms	Fall 2025: State Assessment Score Release School Report Card ATSI/CSI Identification Quarterly: District Assessment Data	Title I Title II Title III ESS District Instructional Funds
		ELL Development: Teachers of ELL students are updated via a short training as needed with current resources and best practice for ELL students. The ESL district teacher has a calendar and rotates among all ESL students.	ESL teacher calendar		
Objective 2					

Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal.): By 2026, the English Learner Progress Indicator will increase from 24.1/medium to 45/very high.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.): By 2026 we will increase from 57.9/low to 64.0/high					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2025, the Climate index score of 62 will increase to 63.	KCWP 6: Establish Learning Culture and Environment	The school actively monitors PBIS data and engages in PBIS activities with students.	SBDM minutes PBIS report	Fall 2025 Assessment Results	District
		MTSS is followed for behavior interventions.			
		YSC activities for character building and advisory	YSC report		
Objective 2 By 2025, the Safety index score of 54.4 will increase to 57.		The district provides an SRO that is available to our students at all times		Fall 2025 Assessment Results	District
		The building has cameras in both the interior and exterior.			District
		SchoolGate Guardian is used to screen visitors to the school			District
		Green Dot: Students learn how to be active bystanders through the 3 D's: Direct, Distract, and Delegate. A "Green Dot" is any behavior or choice that promotes safety for all.	YSC report		FRYSC

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.): By 2026 we will increase from 57.9/low to 64.0/high					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.): By 2026 the Postsecondary Readiness will go from a status score 99 to 110.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2025, the postsecondary readiness status will increase from 99 to 102.4	KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support	Incorporate professional knowledge of best practice and high yield strategies with knowledge of personalized student needs to procure a unique match that will propel student achievement	Administration Counselors Teachers Course Guide Handbook/Master schedule	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	District Federal
		Advanced classes to accelerate towards college preparedness (Advanced Placement Classes, Dual Credit classes, EC3 career pathways/industry certificates, Hardin County schools Early College Program)			
			Classes to accelerate towards a career or military. (EC3 career pathways/industry certificates and JROTC program)Assure consideration and addressment of non-academic barriers to learning.		Fall 2025 State Assessment Results
		Career fair, Major Clarity, Scheduling Information Sessions, Financial Aid seminar, FAFSA Assistance Day, One to One scheduling, open houses,various informational sessions for parents, work ethic, college/career mentoring)	Administration Counselors Events Calendar work ethic certification	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.): By 2026 the Postsecondary Readiness will go from a status score 99 to 110.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Activity: Intensive College Readiness class Focus on increasing ACT score	Administration/ Counselors ACT	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	District
		CERT All freshmen, sophomores and juniors will take the CERT(college equipped readiness tool) test twice a year. It targets English, reading, math and science. Teachers will analyze results which give very specific performance feedback in regards to specific standards and skill sets.	Administration Freshmen, Sophomore and Junior teachers	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	District
		Transition Coach Oversees and assists students in becoming post-secondary ready.		Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	District
Objective 2					

7: Graduation Rate (High School Only)

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.):By 2026 the graduation rate will go from a status score 98 to 99					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2026 the 5 year graduation rate will increase from 99 to 100 By 2025 the 4 year graduation rate will increase from 98 to 99	KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support	Increase collaboration in data analysis and student progress towards standards mastery, including identification of students in need of intervention support. Activities: Apex, credit recovery, dropout prevention, PBIS, community mentor, etc.	Graduation Reports Apex data YSC plans & minutes	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	District FRYSC
		Increase collaboration in data analysis and student progress towards standards mastery, including identification of students in need of intervention support. Activities: Apex, credit recovery, dropout prevention, PBIS, community mentor, etc. Graduation Reports	Graduation Reports Apex data YSC plans & minutes	Fall 2025 State Assessment Results	District FRYSC

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.):By 2026 the graduation rate will go from a status score 98 to 99					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Apex data YSC plans & minutes Fall 2023 State Assessment Results District FRYSC Incorporate professional knowledge of best practice and high yield strategies with knowledge of personalized student needs to procure a unique match that will propel student achievement. Activities: Apex, credit recovery, dropout prevention, PBIS, community mentor, etc.			

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.):By 2026 the graduation rate will go from a status score 98 to 99					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 2					

8: Other (Optional)

Goal 8 (State your separate goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

Addendum for Schools Identified for Targeted or Comprehensive Support

In accordance with 703 KAR 5:280, a school improvement plan means the plan created by schools identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI) or additional targeted support and improvement (ATSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(4)-(5) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225. A turnaround plan means the plan created by schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(8)(g) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225.

All TSI/ATSI improvement plans and CSI turnaround plans are required to address all components of the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP), including all diagnostics associated with the development of that plan, as well as additional specific requirements. The following pages outline specific requirements to be addressed by identified schools that must be embedded in the strategies and activities detailed within the indicator goals developed throughout the previous pages of this goal template. Evidence-based practices and activities chosen to address any goal area or additional requirement must be informed by the Needs Assessment for Schools and feedback from any on-site review conducted by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE).

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:
Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups? Response:
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. Response:
Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students
Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance. Response:
Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:
Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity? Response:
Complete the table on the next page to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the CIP.

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the “[Compliance Requirements](#)” resource available on KDE’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). Marking the “Uploaded in CIP” box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school’s turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team:
Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school’s turnaround process Response:
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. Response:

CSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the Continuous Improvement Platform (CIP).

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the “[Compliance Requirements](#)” resource available on KDE’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). Marking the “Uploaded in CIP” box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>