

Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. **No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.**

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for **elementary/middle schools** include the following:
 - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - Achievement Gap
 - English Learner Progress
 - Quality of School Climate and Safety
- The required goals for **high schools** include the following:
 - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - Achievement Gap
 - English Learner Progress
 - Quality of School Climate and Safety
 - Postsecondary Readiness
 - Graduation Rate

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive school improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Schools

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Schools that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

The overall percentage of students scoring Proficient or Distinguished in Reading in 22-23 was 46% and in 23-24 was 44.6%. The overall percentage of students scoring Proficient or Distinguished in Math in 22-23 was 41% and in 23-24 was 40.6%. Although both of these were decreases, we are confident that by being more consistent with the fidelity of implementation of research based curriculum our percentages will increase. Our sub-category of SPED scored a 38.2 index rating on combined reading and math KSA which categorizes those students as low.

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the School Key Elements Template that the school will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Design and deliver assessment literacy and review, analyze, and apply data to design instruction to maximize every student's potential.

Indicator Scores

List the overall scores of status and change for each indicator.

Indicator	Status	Change
State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics	63.6	-1.3
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing	69.8	13.4
English Learner Progress		
Quality of School Climate and Safety	79.6	1
Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only)		
Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only)		

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three- to five-year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, and quality of school climate and safety. High schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, quality of school climate and safety, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rate. Long-term goals should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky’s six (6) Key Core Work Processes or another established improvement approach (i.e. <i>Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.</i>).	Describe the actionable steps the school will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and must indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): From 2022 - 2025 the percentage of students scoring Proficient and Distinguished on Reading on KSA will increase from 33% to 53%. By 2025 the percentage of students scoring Proficient and Distinguished in Math on KSA will increase from 30% to 50%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 From 24- 2025 the percentage of students scoring Proficient or Distinguished in Reading on KSA will increase from 44.6% to 53%.	KCWP 2. Design and Deploy instruction	-Utilize knowledge of best practice/high yield instructional strategies to aid in curricular adjustments with students fail to meet mastery. (Orton-Gillingham, Thoughtful Ed, Kagan, Fontas and Pinnell) -Plan for and implement active student engagement strategies. (iReady, Thoughtful Ed, Kagan, Fontas and Pinnell.)	KSA	iReady, District and School Continuums, walk-through data, WOW Writing data	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS
	KCWP 1. Design and Deploy Standards	Ensure regularly scheduled curriculum meetings to review the alignment between standards, learning targets, and assessment measures.	KSA	iReady, District and School Continuums, walk-through data, WOW Writing data	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS
		Use summative evidence ELA continuums K-5 to inform what comes next for individual and groups of students.	KSA	iReady, District and School Continuums, walk-through data, WOW Writing data	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS
Objective 2 From 24- 2025 the percentage of students scoring Proficient and Distinguished in Math on	KCWP 2. Design and Deliver Instruction	-Utilize knowledge of best practice/high yield instructional	KSA	iReady, District and School Continuums, walk-through data	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): **From 2022 - 2025 the percentage of students scoring Proficient and Distinguished on Reading on KSA will increase from 33% to 53%. By 2025 the percentage of students scoring Proficient and Distinguished in Math on KSA will increase from 30% to 50%.**

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
KSA will increase from 40.6% to 50%		strategies to aid in curricular adjustments when students fail to meet mastery (iReady, Thoughtful Ed, Kagan, and SLICE)			
		-Plan for and implement active student engagement strategies. (iReady, Thoughtful Ed, Kagan, SLICE.)	KSA	iReady, District and School Continuums, walk-through data	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS
	KCWP 1. Design and Deploy Standards	Ensure regularly scheduled curriculum meetings to review the alignment between standards, learning targets, and assessment measures.	KSA	iReady, District and School Continuums, walk-through data	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS
		Use summative evidence Math continuums K-5 to inform what comes next for individual and groups of students.	KSA	iReady, District and School Continuums, walk-through data	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS

2: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):From 22- 2025 the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished in Science on KSA will increase from 30% to 50%. By 2025 the percentage of students scoring proficient and distinguished in Social Studies on KSA will increase from 23% to 43%. By 2025 the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished in Writing on KSA will increase from 17% to 37%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 From 24- 2025 the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished in Science on KSA will increase from 34% to 35%	KCWP 1. Design and Deploy Standards	Ensure that curriculum mapping is occurring to identify instructional gaps during PL opportunities and Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment SBDM committee	KSA	Walk-through, Edulastic, TCT checklists, Released Items practice tests.	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS
		Ensure ongoing PD in the area of high-yield engagement strategies- TCTs and Thoughtful Ed.	KSA	Walk-through, Edulastic, TCT checklists, Released Items practice tests.	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS
	KCWP 2. Design and Deliver Instruction	Utilize knowledge from best practices and professional development to implement high yield instructional strategies. Implement a vertically aligned Science resource for grades K-2 (Amplify) and 3-5 (TCI)	KSA	Walk-through, Edulastic, TCT checklists, Released Items practice tests.	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS
		Analysis and alignment of school schedule to create dedicated instructional time K-5 in the area of Science.	KSA	Walk-through, Edulastic, TCT checklists, Released Items practice tests.	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS
Objective 2 From 24-2025 the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished in Social Studies on KSA will increase from 36% to 38%	KCWP 1. Design and Deploy Standards	Ensure that curriculum mapping is occurring to identify instructional gaps during PL opportunities and Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment SBDM committee	KSA	Walk-through, Edulastic, TCT checklists, Released Items practice tests.	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS
			KSA	Walk-through, Edulastic, TCT checklists, Released Items practice tests.	

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):From 22- 2025 the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished in Science on KSA will increase from 30% to 50%. By 2025 the percentage of students scoring proficient and distinguished in Social Studies on KSA will increase from 23% to 43%. By 2025 the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished in Writing on KSA will increase from 17% to 37%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 3: From 24- 2025 the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished in Writing on KSA will maintain 47% or higher%		Ensure ongoing PD in the area of high-yield engagement strategies- TCTs and Thoughtful Ed.			
	KCWP 2. Design and Deliver Instruction	Utilize knowledge from best practices and professional development to implement high yield instructional strategies. Implement a vertically aligned SS resource for grades K-2 (Amplify) and 3-5 (TCI) and DBQ (5 th)	KSA	Walk-through, Edulastic, TCT checklists, Released Items practice tests. Walk-through, Edulastic, TCT checklists, Released Items practice tests.	
	KCWP 1. Design and Deploy Standards KCWP 2. Design and Deliver Instruction	Continue the school-wide creative writing program for 23-24 with a You-Do coaching model for K-5.	KSA	Walk-through, Edulastic, TCT checklists, Released Items practice tests.	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS
		-Implement the school-wide writing checklist for types of writing each grade level implements for the school year. -WOW Writing monthly grades 3-5	KSA	Walk-through, Edulastic, TCT checklists, Released Items practice tests.	District, Title 1, PPA, ESS

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school’s underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school’s climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By 2024, we will decrease the number of Special Education students scoring Novice in Science from 67%. – MET in 23-24 (63%). Continue by 2025 to decrease from 63%	KCWP: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Increase dedicated instructional time to Science K-5</div>	KSA	<div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Walk-through, Edulastic, Released Items practice tests.</div>	PPA, Title 1, ESS
		Provide resources to grades K-5 to provide aligned and rigorous content.	KSA	<div>Walk-through, Edulastic, Released Items practice tests.</div>	PPA, Title 1, ESS
		<div><ul style="list-style-type: none">● Implement PD Thoughtful Ed strategies in Science.</div>	KSA	Walk-through, Edulastic, Released Items practice tests.	PPA, Title 1, ESS
Objective 2 Increase the KSA indicator of SPED students scoring proficient or distinguished on combined reading and math from 38.2 by 2025	KCWP: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Provide resources to grades K-5 to provide aligned and rigorous content.	KSA	Walk-through, Edulastic, Released Items practice tests.	
		Use data from WOW Writing to provide specific interventions regarding utilizing accommodations appropriately, self-advocacy, and test taking strategies.	KSA	Edulastic, Released Items practice tests.	

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal.): From 22- 2025 the English Learner Progress Indicator will increase from 59.3/High to 69.3/ Very High.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 From 24-25 the English Learner Progress Indicator will increase from 59.3/High to 65/Very High Objective 1	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Ensure that curricular delivery and assessment measures provide for all pertinent information needs for students. (To include but not limited to EL academy, Tech, GRECC, Orton Gillingham, Fluency, PLCs, ESS, MTSS, IReady, Common Assessments, Professional Learning, Educational/ Tech Resources, Classroom Visits, Thoughtful Ed.) Carousel of Ideas	State assessment results. ACCESS Test Results Model Assessments iReady Results PLC agendas Professional learning opportunities Walk-throughs Data/monitoring forms	Fall 2024 State assessment score release, School report card, ATSI/CSI identification Quarterly: District Assessment Data	Title 1, Title 2, Title 3, ESS, District instructional funds
Objective 2					

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.): By 2025, CES will increase from 78.6/High to 82/Very High as measured by KSA.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 From 24- 2025, the Climate Index will increase from 81.6 to 83.4.	KCWP 6. Establish Learning Culture and Environment	PBIS Committee Implementation	KSA	KSA	District, PPA
		CARE Committee Trauma Informed Care			
		Guidance monthly lesson			
		Conscious Discipline for Tier 3			
Objective 2 From 24- 2025 the Safety Index will increase from 76.5 to 78.	KCWP 6 Establish Learning Culture and Environment	PBIS Committee Implementation	KSA	KSA	District, PPA
		CARE Committee Trauma Informed Care			
		Guidance monthly lesson			
		Conscious Discipline for Tier 3			

6: Postsecondary Readiness (High School Only)

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

7: Graduation Rate (High School Only)

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

8: Other (Optional)

Goal 8 (State your separate goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

Addendum for Schools Identified for Targeted or Comprehensive Support

In accordance with 703 KAR 5:280, a school improvement plan means the plan created by schools identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI) or additional targeted support and improvement (ATSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(4)-(5) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225. A turnaround plan means the plan created by schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(8)(g) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225.

All TSI/ATSI improvement plans and CSI turnaround plans are required to address all components of the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP), including all diagnostics associated with the development of that plan, as well as additional specific requirements. The following pages outline specific requirements to be addressed by identified schools that must be embedded in the strategies and activities detailed within the indicator goals developed throughout the previous pages of this goal template. Evidence-based practices and activities chosen to address any goal area or additional requirement must be informed by the Needs Assessment for Schools and feedback from any on-site review conducted by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE).

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:
Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups? Response:
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. Response:
Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students
Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance. Response:
Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:
Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity? Response:
Complete the table on the next page to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the CIP.

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the “[Compliance Requirements](#)” resource available on KDE’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). Marking the “Uploaded in CIP” box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school’s turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team:
<p>Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school’s turnaround process</p> <p>Response:</p>
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
<p>Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.</p> <p>Response:</p>

CSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the Continuous Improvement Platform (CIP).

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the “[Compliance Requirements](#)” resource available on KDE’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). Marking the “Uploaded in CIP” box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>