

February 28, 2024

Mr. Christopher Brady, Superintendent
Mr. Scott Spalding, Finance Director
Marion County School District
755 E. Main Street
Lebanon, KY 40033

Re. Municipal Advisory Services Agreement

Dear Mr. Brady and Mr. Spalding:

On behalf of Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated (“we” or “Baird”), we wish to thank you for the opportunity to serve as municipal advisor to the Marion County School District (“you” or the “Client”) with respect to the financing of various renovation projects at the Marion Middle School, Marion County Technology Center, and the Glasscock Annex (old bus garage), which will be funded by one or more series of bonds. Upon your acceptance, this engagement letter (“Agreement”) will establish the mutual terms and conditions under which Baird will provide municipal advisory services to the Client in connection with the proposed financing(s) (each a “Financing” and collectively, the “Financings”), effective on the date this Agreement is executed by the Client.

1. Scope of Services.

(a) Municipal Advisory Services to be Provided by Baird. The Client hereby engages Baird to serve as municipal advisor with respect to the Financing(s), and in such capacity Baird agrees to provide advice as to the structure, timing, terms and other matters regarding the Financing(s), including the following services, if and as requested by the Client:

- Evaluate possible options, vehicles and structures or alternatives for the Financing(s)
- Assist the Client in establishing the structure, timing, terms and other similar matters concerning the Financing(s)
- Advise the Client as to the methods and types of financing that are available and appropriate to the Client
- Assist the Client in developing and designing the terms and features of the plan of financing and prepare the financing schedule(s)
- Provide assistance as to scheduling, coordinating and meeting procedural requirements relating to any required bond referendum, other than through cash or in-kind contributions with respect to such referendum
- Consult and meet with representatives of the Client and its agents or consultants with respect to the Financing(s)
- Review recommendations made by other parties to the Client with respect to the Financing(s)
- Review financial and other information regarding the Client, the Financing(s) and any source of repayment of or security for the Financing(s)
- Attend meetings of Client’s governing body, as requested
- Advise Client on the manner of sale of the proposed issue.
- Assist in the gathering of information with respect to financial, statistical and factual information relating to Client in connection with the preparation of the preliminary and final official statement for the proposed issue(s)
- For a competitive bid where the Client has not engaged disclosure counsel to prepare the preliminary and final official statement, assist the Client in preparing the preliminary and final official statement and the bid package, obtain CUSIP numbers and provide an electronic version of the official statement to the winning underwriter
- Arrange and facilitate visits to, prepare materials for, and make recommendations to Client in connection with credit ratings agencies, insurers and other credit or liquidity providers

- Work with bond counsel and other transaction participants to prepare and/or review necessary authorizing documentation of Client and other documents necessary to finalize and close the proposed issue(s)
- Coordinate working group sessions, closing, delivery of a proposed issue and transfer of funds
- Prepare a closing memorandum or transaction summary for the Client with respect to such matters as sources and uses of funds disposition of close, debt service repayment schedule, etc., and otherwise coordinate or assist with, the closing and delivery of the Financing and transfer of funds.
- If requested by Client, assist and provide guidance with respect to the investment of bond proceeds. If such services are provided by Baird, a separate schedule of fees for such services will be provided to Client, but in no case will said fees exceed fees as promulgated by the United States Treasury Department
- Arrange for the purchase of escrow securities, including but not limited to, State and Local Government Securities (“SLGS”) and Open Market Securities (“OMS”), on behalf of the Client, as applicable for refunding bond issues.
- Consult with and/or advise the Client on actual or potential changes in marketplace practices, market conditions, regulatory requirements or other matters that may have an impact on the Client and its financing plans
- Advise the Client as to strategies for obtaining the financing
- Review financial and other information regarding the Client and Financing
- Assist in the preparation and/or review and distribution of documents pertaining to the Financing(s)
- Respond to questions and requests from bidders, underwriters or potential investors and other possible financing sources
- Prior to the time of sale, provide the Client with relevant data on comparable issues recently or currently being sold nationally and by comparable clients
- Advise the Client with respect to recommendations made by the underwriters and other interactions between the Client and the underwriters
- Review required underwriter disclosures to the Client
- Make arrangements for printing, advertising and other vendor services necessary or appropriate in connection with the Financing(s)
- Advise the Client with regard to any continuing disclosure undertaking required to be entered into in connection with the Financing(s), including advising on the selection of a dissemination agent
- Assist the Client in selecting legal and other professionals (such as trustee, escrow agent, accountant, feasibility consultant, etc.) to work on the Financing(s)
- Such other usual and customary municipal advisory services as may be requested by the Client

limitations: (b) Limitations on Scope of Services. The Scope of Services is subject to the following

- i.) The Scope of Services is limited solely to the services described herein and is subject to any limitations set forth within the description of the Scope of Services.
- ii.) Unless otherwise provided in the Scope of Services described herein, Baird is not responsible for preparing any preliminary or final official statement, or for certifying as to the accuracy or completeness of any preliminary or final official statement, other than with respect to any information about Baird provided by Baird for inclusion in such documents.
- iii.) The Scope of Services does not include tax, legal, accounting or engineering advice with respect to any Financing or in connection with any opinion or certificate rendered by counsel or any other person at closing and does not include review or advice on any feasibility study.
- iv.) Baird will not have the authority to bind, commit or act on behalf of the Client in connection with any Financing, or to otherwise act as agent for the Client in the Scope of Services provided.

- v.) As municipal advisor, Baird will not be able to provide underwriting or placement agent services to the Client in connection with any Financing.

2. Regulatory Duties. Under MSRB Rule G-23, Baird will not be able to serve as underwriter or placement agent for any notes, bonds or other securities to be issued and sold as part of the Financing. Baird is registered as a municipal advisor with the Securities Exchange Commission and Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. As municipal advisor to the Client in connection with the proposed Financing, Baird will have fiduciary duties, including a duty of care and a duty of loyalty. Baird is required to act in the Client's best interests without regard to its own financial and other interests.

MSRB Rule G-42 requires that Baird make a reasonable inquiry as to the facts that are relevant to the Client's determination whether to proceed with a course of action or that form the basis for any advice provided by Baird to the Client. The rule also requires that Baird undertake a reasonable investigation to determine that it is not basing any recommendation on materially inaccurate or incomplete information. Baird is also required under the rule to use reasonable diligence to know the essential facts about the Client and the authority of each person acting on the Client's behalf.

The Client agrees to cooperate, and to cause its agents to cooperate, with Baird in carrying out these regulatory duties, including providing to Baird accurate and complete information and reasonable access to relevant documents, other information and personnel needed to fulfill such duties. In addition, the Client agrees that, to the extent the Client seeks to have Baird provide advice with regard to any recommendation made by a third party, the Client will provide to Baird written direction to do so as well as any information it has received from such third party relating to its recommendation.

3. Fees and Expenses. For its municipal advisory services, Baird shall be entitled to a fee (the "Municipal Advisory Fee") for each Financing, which will be based on the fee schedule below and paid by the Client. This fee shall be paid to Baird upon the sale and delivery of each Financing. While this form of compensation is customary in the municipal securities market, it presents a conflict of interest because it may give Baird an incentive to recommend to the Client a Financing that is unnecessary or to recommend that the size of the transaction be larger than is necessary. Baird mitigates this conflict by adhering to its fiduciary duty to the Client, which includes a duty of care and a duty of loyalty to the Client, in performing all municipal advisory activities for the Client. The duty of care obligates Baird to not only possess the degree of knowledge and expertise needed to provide the Client with informed advice but also have a reasonable basis for any advice provided to or on behalf of the Client. The duty of loyalty obligates Baird to deal honestly and with the utmost good faith with the Client and to act in the Client's best interests without regard to Baird's financial or other interests. This conflict is also mitigated by the fact that Baird is a broker-dealer with significant capital due to the nature of its overall business and that the success and profitability of Baird is not dependent on maximizing short-term revenue generated from individual offerings or individualized recommendations to its clients but instead is dependent on long-term profitability built on a foundation of integrity, quality of service and strict adherence to its obligations to clients.

In compliance with 750 KAR 1:010, Baird will utilize the fee schedule below to calculate the gross Municipal Advisory Fee based on the par amount of bonds issued. Bond counsel and legal expenses will be paid from the gross Municipal Advisory fee. The remaining net fee will be paid to Baird upon closing of each Financing.

<u>Principal Amount of Bonds Actually Issued</u>	<u>Fees & Expenses</u>
First \$1,000,000	\$11.00 per \$1,000 (\$7,500 minimum)
Second \$1,000,000	\$10.00 per \$1,000
All over \$2,000,000	\$ 4.00 per \$1,000

4. Term and Termination. The term of this engagement shall extend from the date of this Agreement to the closing of the final Financing. Notwithstanding the foregoing, either party may terminate Baird's engagement at any time without liability of penalty upon at least 30 days' prior written notice to the other party.

5. Limitation of Liability. The Client agrees that neither Baird nor its employees, officers, agents or affiliates shall have any liability to the Client in the absence of willful misconduct, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of obligations or duties hereunder for any act or omission in the course of, or connected with, rendering services hereunder, or for any error of judgment or mistake of law, or for any loss arising out of any issuance of municipal securities, or for any financial or other damages resulting from the Client's election to act or not to act, as the case may be, contrary to any advice or recommendation provided by Baird to the Client. No recourse shall be had against Baird for loss, damage, liability, cost or expense (whether direct, indirect or consequential) of the Client arising out of or in defending, prosecuting, negotiating or responding to any inquiry, questionnaire, audit, suit, action, or other proceeding brought or received from the Internal Revenue Service in connection with any Financing or otherwise relating to the tax treatment of any Financing, or in connection with any opinion or certificate rendered by counsel or any other party. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing contained in this paragraph or elsewhere in this Agreement shall constitute a waiver by the Client of any of its legal rights under applicable U.S. federal securities laws or any other laws whose applicability is not permitted to be contractually waived, nor shall it constitute a waiver or diminution of Baird's fiduciary duty to the Client under Section 15B(c)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the rules thereunder.

6. Required Disclosures. Accompanying this letter is a disclosure document describing the material conflicts of interest and information regarding certain legal events and disciplinary history related to the securities proposed to be sold in the Financing as required by MSRB Rule G-42.


7. Miscellaneous. This Agreement shall be governed and construed in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Client and Baird, their respective successors and permitted assigns; provided however, neither party may assign or transfer any of its rights or obligations hereunder without the prior written consent of the other party. This Agreement contains the entire agreement between the parties relating to the rights granted herein and obligations assumed herein. This Agreement and the Scope of Services provided hereunder may not be amended, supplemented or modified except by means of a written instrument executed by both parties hereto. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be an original, but which taken together, shall constitute one and the same instrument.

If there is any aspect of this Agreement that you believe requires further clarification, please do not hesitate to contact us. If the foregoing is consistent with your understanding of our engagement, please sign and return the enclosed copy of this Agreement. The undersigned represents and warrants that (s)he has full legal authority to execute this Agreement on behalf of the Client.

Again, we thank you for the opportunity to assist you with the Financing and the confidence you have placed in us.

Very truly yours,

ROBERT W. BAIRD & CO. INCORPORATED

By:  _____
Managing Director

Accepted this ___ day of _____, 2024

MARION COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

By: _____

Title: _____

DISCLOSURES BY MUNICIPAL ADVISOR PURSUANT TO MSRB RULE G-42

On behalf of Robert W. Baird & Co. Incorporated, we wish to provide you with certain disclosures relating to the Securities, as required by Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“MSRB”) Rule G-42 as set forth in MSRB Notice 2016-03. All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Municipal Advisory Services Agreement (the “Agreement”).

I. **Services to be Provided by Baird.** Baird is delighted to be serving as municipal advisor to you, in connection with the Securities. Baird is a municipal advisor registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the MSRB.

II. **Required Disclosures.** MSRB Rule G-42 requires that Baird provide you with the following disclosures of material conflicts of interest and of information regarding certain legal events and disciplinary history.

Disclosures of Conflicts of Interest. MSRB Rule G-42 requires that municipal advisors provide to their clients disclosures relating to any actual or potential material conflicts of interest, including certain categories of potential conflicts of interest identified in Rule G-42, if applicable. If no such material conflicts of interest are known to exist based on the exercise of reasonable diligence by the municipal advisor, municipal advisors are required to provide a written statement to that effect.

Accordingly, Baird makes the following disclosures with respect to material conflicts of interest in connection with the Services under the Agreement, together with explanations of how Baird addresses or intends to manage or mitigate each conflict. To that end, with respect to all the conflicts disclosed below in this Municipal Advisor Disclosure Statement, Baird mitigates such conflicts by adhering to its fiduciary duty to the Client, which includes a duty of care and a duty of loyalty to the Client, in performing all municipal advisory activities for the Client. The duty of care obligates Baird to not only possess the degree of knowledge and expertise needed to provide the Client with informed advice but also have a reasonable basis for any advice provided to or on behalf of the Client. The duty of loyalty obligates Baird to deal honestly and with the utmost good faith with Client and to act in Client’s best interests without regard to Baird’s financial or other interests. In addition, because Baird is a broker-dealer with significant capital due to the nature of its overall business, the success and profitability of Baird is not dependent on maximizing short-term revenue generated from individualized recommendations to its clients but instead is dependent on long-term profitability built on a foundation of integrity, quality of service and strict adherence to its fiduciary duty. Furthermore, Baird’s municipal advisory supervisory structure, leveraging our long-standing and comprehensive broker-dealer supervisory processes and practices, provides reasonable and industry standard safeguards against individual representatives of Baird potentially departing from their regulatory duties due to personal interests. The disclosures below describe, as applicable, any additional mitigations that may be relevant with respect to any specific conflict disclosed below.

Baird is a full-service securities firm and as such Baird and its affiliates may from time to time provide advisory, brokerage, consulting and other services and products to its clients, including municipalities, other institutions, and individuals and the Client, certain Client officials or employees, and potential purchasers of the Securities for which Baird may receive customary compensation; however, such services are not related to the proposed offering. Such services may also include the buying and selling of new issue and outstanding securities and providing investment advice in connection with securities (including the Securities), may be undertaken on behalf of, or as counterparty to, Client, personnel of Client, and current or potential investors in the securities of Client. Baird may have previously served as underwriter, placement agent or municipal advisor on other bond offerings and financings for or for the benefit of Client and expects to serve in such capacities in the future. Baird may have previously or is currently providing consulting services to the Client that are not related to the Securities or any particular offering. Baird may also be engaged from time to time by the Client to manage investments for the Client (including the proceeds from the proposed offering) through a separate contract that sets forth the fees to be paid to Baird. Baird manages various mutual funds, and from time to time those funds may own bonds and other securities issued by or for the benefit of Client (including the Securities). Additionally, clients of Baird may from time to time purchase, hold and sell bonds and other securities issued by or for the benefit of the Client (including the Securities). These other clients may, from time to time and depending on the specific circumstances, have interests in conflict with those of Client, such as when their buying or selling of Client’s securities may have an adverse effect on the market

for Client's securities, and the interests of such other clients could create the incentive for Baird to make recommendations to Client that could result in more advantageous pricing for the other clients. Furthermore, any potential conflict arising from Baird effecting or otherwise assisting such other clients in connection with such transactions is mitigated by means of such activities being engaged in on customary terms through departments of Baird that operate independently from Baird's municipal advisory business, thereby reducing the likelihood that the interests of such other clients would have an impact on the services provided by Baird to Client under this Agreement.

In the ordinary course of fixed income trading business, Baird may purchase, sell, or hold a broad array of investments and may actively trade securities and other financial instruments, including the Securities and other municipal bonds, for its own account and for the accounts of customers, with respect to which Baird may receive a mark-up or mark-down, commission or other remuneration, and therefore Baird could have interests in conflict with those of Client with respect to the value of Client's Securities while held in inventory. Such investment and trading activities may involve or relate to the financing or other assets, securities and/or instruments of the Client and/or persons and entities with relationships with the Client. In particular, Baird or its affiliates may submit orders for and acquire Client's securities issued in an Offering under the Agreement from members of the underwriting syndicate, either for its own account or for the accounts of its customers. This activity may result in a conflict of interest with Client in that it could create the incentive for Baird to make recommendations to Client that could result in more advantageous pricing of Client's bond in the marketplace. Any such conflict is mitigated by means of such activities being engaged in on customary terms through departments of Baird that operate independently from Baird's municipal advisory business, thereby reducing the likelihood that such investment activities would have an impact on the services provided by Baird to Client under the Agreement.

Baird serves a wide variety of other clients that may from time to time have interests that could have a direct or indirect impact on the interests of Client. For example, Baird serves as municipal advisor to other municipal advisory clients and, in such cases, owes a regulatory duty to such other clients just as it does to Client under the Agreement. These other clients may, from time to time and depending on the specific circumstances, have competing interests, such as accessing the new issue market with the most advantageous timing and with limited competition at the time of the offering. In acting in the interests of its various clients, Baird could potentially face a conflict of interest arising from these competing client interests. In other cases, as a broker-dealer that engages in underwritings of new issuances of municipal securities by other municipal entities, the interests of Baird to achieve a successful and profitable underwriting for its municipal entity underwriting clients could potentially constitute a conflict of interest if, as in the example above, the municipal entities that Baird serves as underwriter or municipal advisor have competing interests in seeking to access the new issue market with the most advantageous timing and with limited competition at the time of the offering. None of these other engagements or relationships would impair Baird's ability to fulfill its regulatory duties to Client.

In addition to the above, Baird's Municipal Advisory Fee presents a potential conflict of interest as indicated below:

- Municipal Advisory Fees contingent upon completion of a Financing and based on the size of the issuance, while customary in the municipal security market, may give Baird an incentive to recommend to the Client a Financing that is unnecessary or to recommend that the size of the transaction be larger than is necessary. This conflict of interest is mitigated by the general mitigations described herein.
- Municipal Advisory Fees contingent upon completion of a Financing and in a fixed amount may give Baird an incentive to recommend to the Client a Financing that is unnecessary or to recommend less time-consuming alternatives or limit its analysis of alternatives because fixed fees are usually based upon an analysis by the Client and Baird of, among other things, the expected duration and complexity of the transaction and the Scope of Services to be performed by Baird and if the transaction requires more work than originally contemplated, Baird may suffer a loss. This conflict of interest is mitigated by the general mitigations described herein.
- Municipal Advisory Fees based on hourly fees of Baird's personnel, with the aggregate amount equaling the number of hours worked by such personnel times an agreed-upon hourly billing rate, presents a potential conflict of interest if the Client and Baird do not agree on a reasonable maximum amount at the outset of the engagement, because Baird does not have a financial incentive to recommend alternatives that

would result in fewer hours worked. This conflict of interest is mitigated by the general mitigations described herein.

Baird is registered with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“MSRB”) and the SEC. The MSRB website is www.msrb.org. Two investor brochures, Information for Municipal Securities Investors and Information for Municipal Advisory Clients, describe the protections that may be provided by the MSRB’s rules. The brochures are available on the MSRB website. The MSRB website also contains information about how to file a complaint with an appropriate regulatory authority.

While we do not believe that the following creates a conflict of interest on Baird’s part, we note that spouses or other relatives of Baird Associates may serve as an officer, employee or official of Client. Client may wish to consider any impact such circumstances may have on how it conducts its activities with Baird under this Agreement.

Baird associates, including Baird Public Finance associates, may have made or may make political contributions to elected officials and/or candidates for office, including officials of the Client in compliance with MSRB Rule G-37 and Baird’s internal policies and pre-clearance requirements.

Baird has not identified any additional potential or actual material conflicts that require disclosure other than those we may have previously provided you. If potential or actual conflicts arise in the future, we will provide you with supplemental disclosures about them.

Disclosures of Information Regarding Legal Events and Disciplinary History. MSRB Rule G-42 requires that municipal advisors provide to their clients certain disclosures of legal or disciplinary events material to its client’s evaluation of the municipal advisor or the integrity of the municipal advisor’s management or advisory personnel.

Accordingly, Baird sets out below required disclosures and related information in connection with such disclosures. Baird discloses the following legal or disciplinary events that may be material to Client’s evaluation of Baird or the integrity of Baird’s management or advisory personnel:

- In September 2023, Baird entered into an Offer of Settlement with the SEC (the “Settlement”), in which it admitted that it violated Section 17(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) and Rule 17a-4(b)(4) thereunder and Section 204 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the “Advisers Act”) and Rule 204-2(a)(7) thereunder for failing to maintain records of certain business-related communications made by Baird associates when they used their personal devices (“off-channel communications”) and for failing to supervise its associates’ business-related communications. The Settlement was related to an SEC risk-based initiative, whereby the SEC investigated a large number of financial services firms to determine whether those firms were properly retaining business-related text and instant messages and other off-channel communications sent and received on employees’ personal devices. Following the commencement of the SEC’s initiative, Baird cooperated with the SEC and conducted voluntary interviews of a sampling of Baird supervisors to gather and review messages found on their personal devices. While Baird had policies and procedures in place prohibiting such off-channel communications, it was discovered that certain Baird supervisors communicated off-channel using non-Baird approved methods on their personal devices about Baird’s broker-dealer and investment adviser businesses, and the findings were reported to the SEC. Baird took steps prior to and after the SEC’s review, including implementing a new communication tool designed for Baird associates’ personal devices, conducting training, and periodically requiring requisite associates to provide an attestation relating to their business-related communications. As part of the Settlement, Baird was censured and ordered to cease and desist from future violations of Section 17(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 17a-4(b)(4) thereunder and Section 204 of the Advisers Act and Rule 204-2(a)(7) thereunder and to pay a civil monetary penalty of \$15 million. In addition, Baird agreed to certain undertakings, including retaining an independent compliance consultant to conduct a review of Baird’s policies and procedures, training, surveillance program, technology solutions and similar matters related to off-channel communications. The details of this matter are available under “Regulatory – Final” in Baird’s BrokerCheck report available at <https://brokercheck.finra.org/>.
- In September 2022, Baird, without admitting or denying the findings, consented to the entry of findings of FINRA, which found that it charged certain customers an unfair commission by charging its published

minimum commission amount of \$100 on certain small equity trades and failed to establish and maintain a supervisory system reasonably designed to prevent charging a customer a commission that is unreasonable or unfair in violation of FINRA Rules. The findings related to FINRA's routine examination of Baird in 2020. In response to the routine examination, Baird modified its minimum commission schedule and supervisory procedures, and took steps to make payments to the affected customers. Baird also continues to make efforts to ensure that it charges fair prices and commissions on all securities transactions with its customers. This matter relates primarily to Baird's Private Wealth Management department and does not involve or pertain in any way to Baird's Public Finance business or municipal advisory activities or services. The details of this matter are available under "Regulatory – Final" in Baird's BrokerCheck report available at <https://brokercheck.finra.org/>.

- In December 2021, Baird, without admitting or denying the findings, consented to the sanctions and findings of New York Stock Exchange LLC (the "NYSE") that, on June 11, 2018 Baird received orders from an institutional customer to buy an equity security and routed those orders to different NYSE floor broker firms, in violation of NYSE Rule 122, which prohibits firms from sending to more than one floor broker orders at the same price for the purchase or sale of the same security with knowledge that such orders are for the account of the same customer. In connection with its violation, Baird failed to establish and maintain a supervisory system and written supervisory procedures reasonably designed to achieve compliance with NYSE Rule 122. This matter relates to Baird's Institutional Equity Trading department and does not involve or pertain in any way to Baird's Public Finance business or municipal advisory activities or services. The details of this matter are available in item 11.E (2) and the accompanying Regulatory Action DRP on Baird's Form ADV available at <http://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>.
- In June 2019, Baird, without admitting or denying the findings, consented to the sanctions and findings of FINRA that, between April and July 2013, Baird published equity research reports about an issuer without disclosing that the research analyst who authored the reports was engaged in employment discussions with the issuer that constituted an actual, material conflict of interest and that the failure to disclose the research analyst's employment discussions with the issuer in the research reports made those reports misleading. In response, Baird took proactive measures to reiterate compliance policies pertaining to disclosures about material conflicts of interest in research reports. This matter relates to Baird's Equity Research department and does not involve or pertain in any way to Baird's Public Finance business or municipal advisory activities or services. The details of this matter are available in item 11.E (2) and the accompanying Regulatory Action DRP on Baird's Form ADV available at <http://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>.
- In March 2019, Baird, without admitting or denying the findings, consented to an order of the SEC, which found that it violated Sections 206(2) and 207 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (the "Advisers Act") for making inadequate disclosures to advisory clients about mutual fund share classes. The order was part of a voluntary self-reporting program initiated by the SEC called the "Share Class Selection Disclosure (or SCSD) Initiative." Under the program, investment advisory firms were offered the opportunity to voluntarily self-report violations of the federal securities laws relating to mutual fund share class selection and related disclosure issues and agree to settlement terms imposed by the SEC, including returning money to affected investment advisory clients. The central issue identified by the SEC was that, in many cases, investment advisory firms bought for or recommended to their investment advisory clients mutual fund share classes that had distribution or service fees (commonly known as 12b-1 fees) paid out of fund assets to the firms when lower cost share classes were available to those advisory clients, and the investment advisory firms did not adequately disclose their receipt of 12b-1 fees and/or the conflict of interest associated with those 12b-1 paying share classes. Baird and many other firms self-reported under the program and entered into substantially identical orders. By self-reporting and consenting to the order, Baird agreed to a censure and to cease and desist from committing or causing any violations and future violations of Sections 206(2) and 207 of the Advisers Act. Baird also agreed to establish a distribution fund and to deposit into that fund the improperly disclosed 12b-1 fees received by Baird plus prejudgment interest, which will be paid to affected advisory clients. In response, Baird has made changes to its Private Wealth Management advisory programs in which mutual funds available in those programs do not include share classes that pay 12b-1 fees, and the share classes that are available to clients in those programs are generally those that are the lowest cost share classes most widely available by each mutual fund family across its various funds to most types of eligible investors. This matter relates primarily to Baird's Private

Wealth Management department and does not involve or pertain in any way to Baird's Public Finance business or municipal advisory activities or services. The details of this matter are available in item 11.E (2) and the accompanying Regulatory Action DRP on Baird's Form ADV available at <http://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>.

- Baird was among numerous municipal securities underwriters and municipal issuers that voluntarily participated in the Municipal Continuing Disclosure Cooperation Initiative (MCDC) facilitated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in March 2014. Under the MCDC, underwriters and issuers were requested to submit reports to the SEC identifying municipal securities offerings for which the official statement did not accurately describe prior instances of the issuer's material non-compliance with its continuing disclosure requirements required by SEC Rule 15c2-12. By voluntarily participating in the MCDC, Baird and other underwriters and issuers agreed to accept standardized settlement terms. In June 2015, the SEC issued Orders to 36 participating municipal underwriters, including Baird, setting forth the agreed upon settlement terms. Subsequently, the SEC issued similar Orders to additional participating underwriters. Each Order, including the one applicable to Baird, contains a finding, which the underwriter neither admits nor denies, that Baird did not conduct adequate due diligence to ensure that the issuers' representations in the official statements about their past compliance with continuing disclosures were accurate and therefore violated Section 17(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. Each Order requires the underwriter to cease and desist from committing or causing any violations or future violations of Section 17(a)(2), to pay a civil monetary penalty (which, in Baird's case, is \$500,000), to retain an independent consultant to review Baird's municipal underwriting due diligence policies and procedures, and to adopt the consultant's final recommendations for changes in or improvements to those policies and procedures. The details of this matter are available in item 11.C (2), 11.C (4) and 11.C (5) and the accompanying Regulatory Action DRP on Baird's Form ADV available at <http://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>.
- In September 2016, Baird, without admitting or denying the findings, consented to the sanctions and findings of the SEC that Baird violated Section 206(4) of the Advisers Act and Rule 206(4)-7 thereunder by failing to adopt and implement adequate policies and procedures to track and disclose trading away practices by certain of the subadvisors participating in Baird's wrap fee programs offered through its Private Wealth Management department. Through these programs, Baird's advisory clients pay an annual fee in exchange for receiving access to select subadvisors and trading strategies, advice from Baird's financial advisors, and trade execution services through Baird at no additional cost. However, if a subadvisor chooses not to direct the execution of particular equity trades through Baird in order to fulfill its best execution obligation and the executing broker charges a commission or fee, Baird's advisory clients often are charged additional commissions or fees for those transactions, which is often embedded in the price paid or received for the security. This practice is referred to as "trading away" and these types of trades are frequently called "trade aways." Baird was found to have failed to adopt or implement policies and procedures designed to provide specific information to Baird's clients and financial advisors about the costs of trading away. Baird agreed to provide additional disclosure to clients and review and, as necessary, update its policies and procedures. This matter relates to Baird's Private Wealth Management department and does not involve or pertain in any way to Baird's Public Finance business or municipal advisory activities or services. The details of this matter are available in item 11.E (2) and the accompanying Regulatory Action DRP on Baird's Form ADV available at <http://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>.
- In August 2013, Baird was involved in a regulatory matter with respect to Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) Rule G-14 involving trade reporting of municipal bond transactions executed by Baird for internal money managers on behalf of their clients. Baird reported the transactions on a bunched order quantity basis instead of individually by amount of allocation. Baird has since reviewed its municipal bond trade reporting methodology in this context and has revised its processes to more clearly reflect the requirements of the rule interpretations. The details of this matter are available in item 11.E (2) and the accompanying Regulatory Action DRP on Baird's Form ADV available at <http://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>.
- In June 2013, Baird was involved in a regulatory matter involving a small number of transactions in comparison to Baird's total fixed income trading volume with respect to the purchase of municipal securities for its own account from customers and/or sold municipal securities for its own account to

customers that was allegedly not fair and reasonable, taken into account all relevant factors related to MSRB Rules G-17 and G-30(A). Baird has taken steps to address this matter, including improving its systems to better monitor and document Baird's compliance with its best execution obligations; providing additional training to Baird's fixed income traders on their obligations to document the prices, quotations or indications received from counterparties to reflect for firm records the pricing in markets at the time of each transaction; and providing additional training to traders on their best execution obligations. The details of this matter are available in item 11.E (2) and the accompanying Regulatory Action DRP on Baird's Form ADV available at <http://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>.

- In November 2011, Baird was involved in a regulatory matter involving late submissions to the MSRB and the Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA). In response, all personnel in the municipal underwriting and public finance departments responsible for the filings attended additional MSRB training on primary market and advance refunding MSRB G-32 submissions, and Baird has amended its MSRB G-32 procedures by publishing additional guidance to appropriate personnel and installing new mechanisms to monitor the required filing and closing dates. The details of this matter are available in item 11.E (2) and the accompanying Regulatory Action DRP on Baird's Form ADV available at <http://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>.
- The SEC permits certain items of information required on Form MA or MA-I to be provided by reference to such required information already filed by Baird in its capacity as a broker-dealer on Form BD or Form U4 or as an investment adviser on Form ADV, as applicable. If any of the above DRPs provides that a DRP has been filed on Form ADV, BD, or U4 for the applicable event, information provided by Baird on Form BD or Form U4 is publicly accessible through reports generated by BrokerCheck at brokercheck.finra.org, and Baird's most recent Form ADV is publicly accessible at the Investment Adviser Public Disclosure website at <http://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>. For purposes of accessing such BrokerCheck reports or Form ADV, Baird's CRD number is 8158.

How to Access Form MA and Form MA-I Filings. Baird's most recent Form MA and each most recent Form MA-I filed with the SEC are available on the SEC's EDGAR system at <https://www.sec.gov/cgi-bin/browse-edgar?CIK=000009211>. Information regarding legal or disciplinary events can be found in Item 9 of the Form MA and Item 6 of the Form MA-I.

Most Recent Change in Legal or Disciplinary Event Disclosure. The date of the last material change to a legal or disciplinary event disclosure on any Form MA or Form MA-I filed by Baird with the SEC is October 18, 2023, which change consists of the SEC's investigation of off-channel communications and the Settlement related thereto, as described above.

Future Supplemental Disclosures. Baird has not identified any additional legal and disciplinary events that require disclosure. If material events arise in the future, we will provide you with supplemental disclosures about them.

If there is any aspect of the foregoing disclosures that requires further clarification, please do not hesitate to contact us. In addition, please consult your own financial and/or municipal, legal, accounting, tax and other advisors as you deem appropriate.