Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CDIP)

Rationale

District improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, district funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CDIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Districts, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- There are seven (7) required district goals:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety
 - o Postsecondary Readiness
 - o Graduation Rate

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive district improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Districts

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Districts that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Reading: The percentage of students at proficient or above decreased from 58% to 51% in elementary and 55% to 45% in high school. This decrease of P/D is also reflected in gap populations.

Reading: The percentage of students at novice increased from 18% to 22% in elementary, 22% to 23% in middle school, and 22% to 29% in high school. This increase of P/D is also reflected in gap populations with the exception of Hispanic students at middle school

Math: The percentage of students at proficient or above decreased from 53% to 52% in elementary and 47% to 32% in high school.

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the District Key Elements Template that the district will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

The following Key Core Work Processes are incorporated:

KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards; KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction; KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy; KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, Apply Data Results; KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Processes; KCWP 6: Establish Learning Environment and Culture

Indicator

List the overall scores of status and change for each indicator and select which indicator(s) will be of priority focus through the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

Indicator	Status (Elem/Middle/High)	Change (Elem/Middle/High)
State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics	69.7/69.2/55.8	-3.5/+2.5/-11.9
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing	67/61.9/53.2	-3.5/-1.6/7.4
English Learner Progress	66.4/19.3/21.5	+17.7/+1.5/+9.4
Quality of School Climate and Safety	76.5/63.6/60.1	-1.0/-3.1/-0.5
Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only)	92.1	+7.4
Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only)	96.1	+0.1

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Districts should determine long-term goals that are three- to five-year targets for each required district level indicator. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Districts.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Districts should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Districts. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes or another established improvement approach.	Describe the actionable steps the district will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative.

1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.):

By May of 2025, 75% of students in grades 3-10 will reach the Proficient or Distinguished level in reading as measured by the Kentucky Summative Assessment.

By May of 2025, 70% of students in grades 3-10 will reach the Proficient or Distinguished level in math as measured by the Kentucky Summative Assessment.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By April of 2024, 58% of students in grades 3-8 will reach the Proficient or Distinguished level in reading as measured by the i-Ready Diagnostic (3-5) and the Mastery View Predictive Assessment (6-8), and CERT (10).	CCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards CCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction CCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy	Evaluate and select a common comprehensive K-5 reading program that is determined to be reliable, valid, and aligned to reading and writing standards. In addition, provide professional development to support implementation. Provide ongoing coaching and support K-12 focused on the alignment of instruction to the rigor of the standards. Provide ongoing coaching and support K-12 focused on key principles of effective lesson design. Administer i-Ready in grades K-5 to monitor ELA standard mastery and adjust instruction/supports. In addition, provide implementation professional development. Administer MVPA in grades 6-8 to monitor ELA standard mastery and adjust instruction/supports. In addition, provide implementation professional development.	Adoption of high-quality instructional resources aligned to the Kentucky Academic Standards (KAS) for Reading and Writing. Implementation of deeper learning classroom experiences and instructional strategies. Implementation of instructional framework that supports active engagement and visible thinking. Assessment to gauge students' progress at key points during the school year and align instructional support. Assessment to gauge students' progress at key points during the school year and align instructional support.	High Quality Instructional Resources (HQIR) Process School-level Walkthroughs District-level Eleot Learning Walks Universal Screening Data (i-Ready, MVPA, and CERT) School-level Walkthroughs District-level Eleot Learning Walks Universal Screening Data (i-Ready, MVPA, and CERT) Universal Screening Data (i-Ready, MVPA) Universal Screening Data (i-Ready, MVPA)	General Fund - Curriculum & Instruction Budget (Approx. \$150,000) ESSER (Approx. \$14,000) ESSER (Approx. \$14,000) General Fund - Assessment Budget ESSER (Approx. \$50,000) General Fund - Assessment Budget (Approx. \$50,000)

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.):

By May of 2025, 75% of students in grades 3-10 will reach the Proficient or Distinguished level in reading as measured by the Kentucky Summative Assessment. By May of 2025, 70% of students in grades 3-10 will reach the Proficient or Distinguished level in math as measured by the Kentucky Summative Assessment.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	KCWP 5: Design, Align and	Continued implementation of	Improvement of	Universal Screening Data (i-Ready)	KEBTP Funds (Approx.
	Deliver Support Processes	Kentucky Equity-Based Tutoring	literacy skills for		\$50,000)
		Program (KEBTP) in partnership	selected primary grade	Progress monitoring Data (AimsWeb+,	
		with Pearson Learning including	students by developing	Fastbridge)	
		both school year and summer	a high-quality		
		programming.	equity-based	, and the second	
			intervention program		
			that includes specific		
			data-based decisions		
			and prescribed		
			intervention for		
	KOMB 2. Desire and Deliver	Describe a service and the service	identified students.	Calcad In ad Mall Haranaka	ECCED /A
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Provide ongoing coaching and	Implementation of	School-level Walkthroughs	ESSER (Approx.
	Instruction	support K-12 focused on the alignment of instruction to the rigor	deeper learning	District-level Eleot Learning Walks Universal Screening Data (i-Ready,	\$14,000)
Objective 2:		of the standards.	classroom experiences and instructional	MVPA, and CERT)	
By April of 2024, 60% of		of the standards.	strategies.	WIVEA, and CERT)	
students in grades 3-5 will			strategies.		
reach the Proficient or		Provide ongoing coaching and	Implementation of	School-level Walkthroughs	ESSER (Approx.
Distinguished level in math		support K-12 focused on key	instructional	District-level Eleot Learning Walks	\$14,000)
as measured by the i-Ready		principles of effective lesson design.	framework that	Universal Screening Data (i-Ready,	711,000)
Diagnostic.		principles of endeate research design.	supports active	MVPA, and CERT)	
			engagement and	, , , , , ,	
By April of 2024, 58% of			visible thinking.		
students in grades 6-8 and 10			_		
will reach the Proficient or	KCWP 5: Design, Align and	Continued implementation of	Improvement of	Universal Screening Data (i-Ready)	KEBTP Funds (Approx.
Distinguished level in math	Deliver Support Processes	Kentucky Equity-Based Tutoring	foundational math		\$50,000)
as measured by the Mastery		Program (KEBTP) in partnership	skills for selected	Progress monitoring Data (AimsWeb+,	
View Predictive Assessment		with Pearson Learning including	primary grade students	Fastbridge)	
(6-8) and CERT (10).		both school year and summer	by developing a		
		programming.	high-quality		

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.):

By May of 2025, 75% of students in grades 3-10 will reach the Proficient or Distinguished level in reading as measured by the Kentucky Summative Assessment. By May of 2025, 70% of students in grades 3-10 will reach the Proficient or Distinguished level in math as measured by the Kentucky Summative Assessment.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
			equity-based		
			intervention program		
			that includes specific		
			data-based decisions		
			and prescribed		
			intervention for		
			identified students.		
	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver	Administer i-Ready in grades K-5 to	Assessment to gauge	Universal Screening Data (i-Ready,	General Fund -
	Assessment Literacy	monitor ELA standard mastery and	students' progress at	MVPA)	Assessment Budget
		adjust instruction/supports. In	key points during the		(Approx. \$50,000)
		addition, provide implementation	school year and align		
		professional development.	instructional support.		
		Administer MVPA in grades 6-8 to	Assessment to gauge	Universal Screening Data (i-Ready,	General Fund -
		monitor math standard mastery and	students' progress at	MVPA)	Assessment Budget
		adjust instruction/supports. In	key points during the		(Approx. \$26,000)
		addition, provide implementation	school year and align		
		professional development.	instructional support.		

2: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):

By May of 2025, 55% of students in grades 4, 7 and 11 will reach the Proficient or Distinguished level in science as measured by the assigned Kentucky Summative Assessment. By May of 2025, 65% of students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will reach the Proficient or Distinguished level in social studies as measured by the assigned Kentucky Summative Assessment.

By May of 2025, 70% of students in grades 5, 8, and 11 will reach the Proficient or Distinguished level in writing as measured by the assigned Kentucky Summative Assessment.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Review and revise social studies	Guaranteed and viable	Social Studies Curriculum Maps and	General Fund - C&I
By May of 2024, 55% of	Standards	curriculum K-12 utilizing the phases	social studies	Supporting Documents	Budget (Approx.
students in grade 5, 45% in		of the Model Curriculum	curriculum K-12.		\$5,000-\$10,000)
grade 8, and 50% in grade 11		Framework process.		Social Studies Assessment data	
will reach the Proficient or			Evidence-based social	including MVPA	
Distinguished level in social			studies instruction and		
studies as measured by the			practices.		
Kentucky Summative					
Assessment.			High quality social		
			studies instructional		
			resources		
	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver	Administer MVPA in grade 8 to	Assessment to gauge	Universal Screening Data (MVPA)	General Fund -
	Assessment Literacy	monitor social studies standard	students' progress at		Assessment Budget
		mastery and adjust instruction/	key points during the		(Approx. \$26,000)
		supports.	school year and align		
			instructional support.		
Objective 2	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Implement Amplify Science as the	Consistent science	Science Assessment data including	General Fund - C&I
By May of 2023, 45% of	Instruction	core curriculum resource in K-8.	curriculum core	MVPA	and STEM Budgets
students in grade 4, 35% in			resource K-8.		(Approx. \$9,000)
grade 7, and 20% in grade 11					
will reach the Proficient or	KCWP 3: Design and Deliver	Administer MVPA in grade 7 to	Assessment to gauge	Universal Screening Data (MVPA)	General Fund -
Distinguished level in science	Assessment Literacy	monitor Science standard mastery	students' progress at		Assessment Budget
as measured by the assigned		and adjust instruction/supports.	key points during the		(Approx. \$26,000)
Kentucky Summative			school year and align		
Assessment.			instructional support.		

3: Achievement Gap

Districts are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, districts must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objectives:	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and	Implement structures/processes to	Evidence of monthly	DEI Review Process completed by	General Fund
By May of 2024, reduce the	Apply Data Results	intentionally analyze demographic	MTSS structures in	Equity Team	
percentage of novice		data for academic and behavioral	action (agendas,		(Approx. \$2000)
students with disability-IEP	KCWP 5: Design, Align and	trends of all subgroups. Include	specific data, data	Universal Screening Data (i-Ready,	
by 15% in both reading and	Deliver Support Processes	systematic problem solving to	analysis) to	MVPA, and CERT)	
math.		develop intentional actions to	intentionally analyze	·	
		address inequities found in data.	demographic data for		
By May of 2023, reduce the			academic and		
percentage of novice English			behavioral trends of all		
Language Learner students			subgroups. In addition,		
by 15% in both reading and			there is evidence of		
math.			Systematic Problem		
			Solving (SPS		
By May of 2023, increase the			documentation) to		
percentage of proficient/			develop intentional		
distinguished economically			actions to address		
disadvantaged students by			inequities found in		
10% in both reading and			data.		
math.	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and	Communicate and implement a	A variety of sources	Universal Screening Data (i-Ready,	N/A
	Apply Data Results	sustainable district-wide system for	are used to ensure a	MVPA, and CERT)	
		reviewing, analyzing, and applying	balanced approach to		
		all relevant ELL progress data.	data analysis.	ACCESS Results	
		The state of the s			

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English learner goal.):

By May of 2025, the elementary English Learners Progress Indicator will reach an index score of 65.0 as measured by the ACCESS English Language Proficiency Assessment.

By May of 2025, the middle school and high school English Learners Progress Indicator will reach an index score of 45.0 as measured by the ACCESS English Language Proficiency Assessment.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Provide ongoing PD/training on	Utilization of	PLC discussion	General Fund - ELL
By May of 2024, the	Instruction	ELLevation Strategies.	evidence-based ELL	Evidence in walkthroughs	Budget (Approx.
elementary English Learners			strategies in		\$1500)
Progress Indicator will reach			classrooms.	*	
an index score of 70 as					
measured by the ACCESS					
English Language Proficiency					
Assessment.					
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and	Facilitate individual goal setting for	System in place to	ACCESS Results	N/A
Objective 2	Apply Data Results	ELL students.	ensure that students		
By May of 2024, the middle			are actively involved in		
school English Learners Progress Indicator will reach			knowing their own data and making		
an index score of 24.0 as			decisions about their		
measured by the ACCESS			own learning.		
English Language Proficiency	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and	Communicate and implement a	A variety of sources	Universal Screening Data (i-Ready,	N/A
Assessment.	Apply Data Results	sustainable district-wide system for	are used to ensure a	MVPA, and CERT)	14/73
	7 (5) (7) (7) (7)	reviewing, analyzing, and applying	balanced approach to	,	
Objective 3		all relevant ELL progress data.	data analysis.	ACCESS Results	
By May of 2024, the high					
school English Learners					
Progress Indicator will reach					
an index score of 31.0 as	KCWP 5: Design, Align and	Implementation of Juntos Club 4-H:	Increased parent and	Monitoring of Family Engagement by	Title 1, Part C -
measured by the ACCESS	Deliver Support Processes	A program that helps Latino youth	guardian participation	ELL Families	Migrant Ed Program
English Language Proficiency		(grades $8 - 12$) and their families	in the educational		(Approx \$1000)
Assessment.		gain the knowledge and skills they	setting.		
		need to bridge the gap between			
		high school and higher education.			

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.):

By May of 2025, the elementary QSCS indicator score will reach 85.

By May of 2025, the middle school and high school QSCS indicator scores will reach 75 and 68 respectively.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	KCWP 6: Establishing	Continuous growth and	Positive school culture	Behavior Data	Title 4
By May of 2024, the	Learning Culture and	development of PBIS structures in	and climate with	Counselor Referral Data	
elementary QSCS indicator	Environment	schools including:	systematic supports in		(Approx. \$8000)
score will reach 80.		- Tiered Fidelity Inventory and	place for students in		
		related action items	need.		
By May of 2024, the middle		 Quarterly School Lead 			
and high school QSCS		meetings			
indicator scores will reach 68		 Participation in national PBIS 			
and 64 respectively.		conference			
	KCWP 6: Establishing	Develop and support school-based	A learning	Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Rubric	General Fund - C&I
	Learning Culture and	Equity Teams to sustain diversity,	environment that is	completed for each school	Budget (Approx.
	Environment	equity, and inclusion efforts.	intentionally designed		\$3000)
			to be inclusive and		
			accessible for all		
			students.		

6: Postsecondary Readiness

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):

By May of 2025, Woodford County High School will increase its postsecondary readiness rating to 100.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1 By May of 2024, the postsecondary readiness indicator rating will reach 95.	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, Apply Data Results	Implement monitoring system of student progress toward post-secondary readiness.	Students earn a diploma/alternative diploma AND meet one type of readiness (Academic or Career)	Transition Spreadsheet	N/A
	KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Processes	Employ a Career Readiness Coordinator to facilitate	Increase of students meeting	End of Program Assessment Data	LAVEC Grant
	''	programming including, but not limited to, CTE scheduling and tracking and work-based learning/internships.	postsecondary readiness benchmarks.	Internship/work-based learning placement data TEDS data	(Approx. \$70,000)
	KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Processes	Launch "My Portrait Plan"using Pathful platform to implement a more cohesive, vertically aligned Individual Learning Plan process 6-12.	Students will: -Engage in their educational planning -Explore careers that match their skills and interests -Establishing personal goals and revisiting these as they progress through school	Individual Learning Plans (6-12)	General Fund - Curriculum & Instruction Budget
	KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Processes	Continued implementation and growth of the Jobs for America's Graduates (JAG) program.	Assist students of promise in overcoming barriers to learning so they will graduate from high school and transition successfully into the workforce, military, or post-secondary.	JAG data management system	JAG Grant (\$40,000)

7: Graduation Rate

Goal 7 (State your graduation rate goal.): By May of 2025, the 4-year graduation rate will be 98%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	Design, Align and Deliver	Continued implementation and	Comprehensive	Course/credit completion data	Title 4
By May of 2024, the 4-year	Support Processes	growth of the BARR Program	method to meet	Behavior data	KDE Stronger
graduation rate will be 97%.		(Building Assets, Reducing Risk) at	students' academic,		Connections Grant
		WCHS. Implementation at WCMS	social, and emotional		(Approx. \$80,000)
		to begin in Fall 2024.	needs. BARR helps		
			educators better		
			connect with their		
			students and address		
			the issues that cause		
			students to drop out,		
			fail courses, and/or		
			engage in harmful		
			behavior.		

Special Considerations for Districts with Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

Districts with a school identified for TSI (including ATSI) must monitor and provide support to the school to ensure the successful implementation of the school improvement plan (703 KAR 5:280(10)). The local board of education must review and approve the revised school improvement plan for TSI (including ATSI) schools (KRS 160.346(4)(a)).

Monitoring and Support

Consider: Describe the district's plan for monitoring and supporting the school improvement plan of any school identified for TSI/ATSI. Include in your response information regarding the process for local board review and approval.

Response:

Woodford County Public Schools primarily utilizes Multi-Tiered Systems of Support structures as the framework to review and analyze data, problem solve, and develop next steps. As outlined in our MTSS Handbook, the District MTSS Team includes representation from both the district and school levels. Data is reviewed on a monthly basis to inform ongoing continuous improvement planning. This structure is replicated at the school level and team representation includes administration, teacher, counselor, and support staff.

In addition to this structure, a CSIP development and progress monitoring process has been put in place for 2023-2024. A district-level team comprised of Superintendent, Chief Academic Officer, and Director of Student Achievement has established progress meetings with WCHS leadership to consult on the CSIP development and implementation as well as review of relevant progress monitoring data and information.

Multiple steps will be implemented to support WCHS:

- Provided Kentucky Summative Assessment graph and analysis package from KASC.
- Action planning meetings facilitated by district administrators with the ELL department.
- Purchase of curriculum resources (ELLevation)
- TSI planning meetings among district and school leadership at WCHS to review action plans developed by departments and draft relevant CSIP goals and actions.
- The local board will approve CDIP and review school CSIPs through the monthly meeting agenda in both December and January.

Additional/More Rigorous Actions

Consider: List any school(s) that failed to exit TSI status this year. What additional actions and supports will be provided? Who will provide the support? List any school(s) identified for ATSI this fall. What more rigorous actions will the district take to assist and support the school(s)? Who will be responsible for those actions?

Response:

Woodford County High School remains in TSI status for a second year for English Learner plus Monitored (EL+M) students. The EL+M group had an Overall Score of 30.9, which is below the bottom 5% cut score of 40.0 at the high school level. Although the group did make progress from last year (Overall Score of 12.1 in 2022), they were still below the cut for the second year in a row, which for this year, designated them as TSI.

The Overall Score (OS) is what is used to determine any federal classification status. It is composed of data from all available indicators with weights applied. While other groups have multiple indicators, the EL+M students only have data in the EL Progress indicator; therefore, the OS for that group is solely based on the ELP indicator.