Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for **elementary/middle schools** include the following:
 - State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety

- The required goals for high schools include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety
 - o Postsecondary Readiness
 - o Graduation Rate

Updated June 2023

Alignment to Needs:

Results of the Phase Two needs assessment process should inform the development of the comprehensive school improvement plan. List the identified priorities below to be addressed in order to build staff capacity and increase student achievement.

Priorities/Concerns from Needs Assessment for Schools

List two or three of the greatest areas of weakness identified in question #5 of the Needs Assessment for Schools that will be thoroughly addressed in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

1. In reading, 77% of students in the achievement gap (disabilities) scored below proficiency in KSA as opposed to 66% of non-gap learners

2. In math, 75% of students in the achievement gap (disabilities) score below proficiency in KAS as opposed to 70% of non-gap learners.

3. Continue momentum of increase in ALL learners in the areas of Reading and Math.

Processes, Practices, or Conditions to be Addressed from Key Elements Template

List two or three of the processes, practices, or conditions identified on the School Key Elements Template that the school will focus its resources and efforts upon and thoroughly address in the strategies and activities outlined in this template.

- 1. KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards Discussion/clarification of standards at PLCs
- 2. KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction Regular curriculum walk throughs by administration and district instructional coach
- 3. KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment Ensure an inclusive and accessible environment for all students within a culture where learning and continued growth is the primary focus and the foundation for all actions by purposefully focusing on concepts within the Climate and Safety surveys.

Indicator	Status	Change
State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics	49.5	7.1
State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing	50.7	3.5
English Learner Progress		
Quality of School Climate and Safety	72.3	-0.9
Postsecondary Readiness (high schools and districts only)	N/A	N/A
Graduation Rate (high schools and districts only)	N/A	N/A

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three- to five-year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, and quality of school climate and safety. High schools must address state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in reading and mathematics, state assessment results in science, social studies and writing, achievement gap, English learner progress, quality of school climate and safety, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rate. Long-term goals should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. Objectives should address state assessment results and/or aligned formative assessments. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon <u>Kentucky's six (6) Key</u> <u>Core Work Processes</u> or another established improvement approach (i.e. <i>Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge,</i> <i>etc.).</i>	Describe the actionable steps the school will take to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the specific federal, state, or local funding source(s) used to support each improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and must indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

1: State Assessment Results in Reading and Mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1:	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Plan strategically in the selection of	Walk through data	Weekly	PD
	Instruction Does our	high yield instructional strategy			Title I
Reading: By Spring of 2024,	instructional program include	usage within lessons. Model lessons	Lesson Plans		
students scoring	consistent implementation of	and PD in high yield instructional			
Proficient/Distinguished in	evidence-based instructional	strategies. Focus on the following			
reading will increase 8.5%	practices essential for	strategies:			
from 34% to 42.5% as	academic, behavioral, and	 Reading comprehension 			
evidenced by KSA.	social-emotional	strategies			
	competencies that are	Kagan cooperative learning			
	aligned to Kentucky	strategies			
	Academic Standards and	iReady – iReady diagnostics will be	iReady Reports	iReady diagnostics – Fall, 2023, Winter,	PPA
	current research?	utilized three times yearly (could be	includy hepoints	2023, Spring, 2024	
		full assessment or screener). Data	PLC minutes	2023, 501116, 2024	
	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	will be analyzed by scale score and		Monitoring – Weekly (PLCs)	
	Standards Does our school	percentile and small group skill-	Lesson plans		
	continually assess, review,	based activities will be developed			
	and revise school curricula to	for small group reading instruction			
	support the assurance that	and for the 30 minute enrichment			
	all students have the	period			
	knowledge, skills, and dispositions for future	Student Progress Monitoring and	-iReady reports	iReady diagnostics – Fall, 2023, Winter,	
	success?	goal setting -iReady scores will	, ,	2023, Spring, 2024	
	KCWP 5: Design, Align and	measure gains three times yearly	-MTSS Progress		
	Deliver Support Has our	(could be full assessment or	Monitoring data	Monitoring – Weekly (PLCs)	
	school established a	screener); however students who			
	framework that organizes	do not meet the specified norm	-Senate Bill 9 Reading		
	systems, data, and practices	(measured by HCS cut points) at	Improvement Plans		
	to promote positive,	their grade levels in reading will be	(grades 1-3)		
		discussed for PLC monitoring or			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	equitable and inclusive	placed in the MTSS process	-PA/Phonics		
	learning experiences for all		Continuum		
	students?	Admin Walk Throughs – Admin	Walk through data	Weekly	
		and/0r ISD staff will participate in			
		weekly walk throughs providing	PLC minutes		
		feedback to individual teachers and			
		conferencing to plan next steps.			
		PLCs will determine areas of focus			
		and goals based on that data.			
		Orten Gillingham (comprehensive	-PA Continuums	Weekly	
		and morphology) instruction			
			-Phonics Continuums		
			-Morphology		
			Continuums		
		Ensure that vertical curriculum	-Completed pacing	Quarterly	
		mapping is occurring to identify	guides		
		instructional gaps, including			
		planning for the introduction of the	-Lesson plans		
		standard, development and gradual			
		release phases, and arrival at	-PLC minutes		
		standards mastery.			
		• Pacing guides, HCS Curriculum			
		Maps			
		Lesson planning stored on			
		team drives			
		Alignment to resources			
		(Magnetic Reading, Lexia,			
		Ready Math, Reflex, etc.)			
		Walk-Throughs/Fidelity Checks –	-Walk Through data	Weekly	

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Admin will conduct walk-throughs,			
		fidelity checks, mini-observations or	-Fidelity check data		
		formal observations weekly (or a			
		combination) to monitor	-Mini-observation data		
		implementation of strategies			
		developed by PLCs.	-Lesson plans		
			-Formal observation		
			data		
		Ensure that students and staff have	-Walk through data	Weekly	KETS
		access to 21 st Century technology			
		resources and tools to enhance	-Google Educator		Title 1
		reading instruction and promote	Certifications		
		student engagement.			
		Enrichment Period – After	iReady Reports		
		evaluating individual iReady results,			
		teachers will group students by	Lesson Plans		
		strand for the 30 minute			
		enrichment period and assess			
		according to the content delivered.			
		Teachers will implement			
		intervention programs (Lexia,			
		iReady, etc.). Title I assistants will			
		be used to reduce group size to aid			
		teachers in delivering effective			
		instruction.			
		iReady – All teachers will utilize	iReady Reports	Weekly lesson plan checks	Title I
		iReady and related materials as part			
		of the independent centers	Lesson Plans		
		rotations in small group reading.			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Additionally, when visiting the			
		computer lab, students will			
		alternate between reading and			
		math iReady.			
		Flexible small groups in reading	Lesson Plans	Weekly lesson plan checks	Title I
		instruction – For reading groups,			
		students will be assessed as	PA/Phonic	PA/Phonics Continuum	
		appropriate based on content	Continuums, standards		
		during small group time to	assessments		
		determine specific needed skills.			
		Title I instructional assistants will be			
		utilized to reduce group size to aid			
		teachers in delivering effective			
		instruction.			
		Eagle Academy (after school	iReady data	I Ready Benchmark diagnoses (fall,	21 st CCLC funding
		program 21 st CCLC) for qualified		winter, spring)	
		students	Teacher surveys		
Dbjective 2:	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Plan strategically in the selection of	Walk through data	Weekly	PD
	Instruction Does our	high yield instructional strategy			
Math : By Spring of 2024,	instructional program include	usage within lessons. Model lessons			Title I
tudents scoring	consistent implementation of	and PD in high yield instructional			
Proficient/Distinguished in	evidence-based instructional	strategies. Focus on the following			
nath will increase 6% from	practices essential for	strategies:			
30% to 36% as evidenced by	academic, behavioral, and	Math concrete to abstract			
ζSΑ.	social-emotional	strategies			
	competencies that are	Kagan cooperative learning			
	aligned to Kentucky	strategies			
	Academic Standards and	iReady – iReady diagnostics will be	iReady Reports	iReady diagnostics – Fall, 2023, Winter,	PPA
	current research?	utilized three times yearly (could be	PLC minutes	2023, Spring, 2024	
		full assessment or screener). Data	Lesson plans	2023, Spinig, 2024	
		run assessment of screener). Data	Lesson plans		

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		will be analyzed by scale score and		Monitoring - Weekly	
		percentile and small group skill-			
		based activities will be developed			
		for small group math instruction and			
		for the 30 minute enrichment			
		period			
		Student Progress Monitoring and	-iReady reports	iReady diagnostics – Fall, 2023, Winter,	
		goal setting -iReady scores will		2023, Spring, 2024	
		measure gains three times yearly	-MTSS Progress		
		(could be full assessment or	Monitoring data	Monitoring – Weekly (PLCs)	
		screener); however students who			
		do not meet the specified norm	-Common Assessments		
		(measured by HCS cut points) at			
		their grade levels in math will be			
		discussed for PLC monitoring or			
		placed in the MTSS process			
		Admin Walk Throughs – Admin	Walk through data	Weekly	
		and/Or ISD staff will participate in			
		weekly walk throughs providing	PLC minutes		
		feedback to individual teachers and			
		conferencing to plan next steps.			
		PLCs will determine areas of focus			
		and goals based on that data.			
	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Ensure that vertical curriculum	-Completed pacing	Quarterly	
	Standards Does our school	mapping is occurring to identify	guides		
	continually assess, review,	instructional gaps, including			
	and revise school curricula to	planning for the introduction of the	-Lesson plans		
	support the assurance that	standard, development and gradual			
	all students have the	release phases, and arrival at	-PLC minutes		
	knowledge, skills, and	standards mastery.			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	dispositions for future	Pacing guides, HCS Curriculum			
	success?	Maps			
		 Lesson planning stored on 			
		team drives			
		Alignment to resources(Ready			
		Math, Reflex, etc.)			
		Walk-Throughs/Fidelity Checks –	-Walk Through data	Weekly	
		Admin will conduct walk-throughs,			
		fidelity checks, mini-observations or	-Fidelity check data		
		formal observations weekly (or a			
		combination) to monitor	-Mini-observation data		
		implementation of strategies			
		developed by PLCs.	-Lesson plans		
			-Formal observation		
			data		
		Ensure that students and staff have	-Walk through data	Weekly	KETS
		access to 21 st Century technology			
		resources and tools to enhance	-Google Educator		Title 1
		math instruction and promote	Certifications		
		student engagement.			
	KCWP 5: Design, Align and	Enrichment Period – After	iReady Reports		Title 1
	Deliver Support Has our	evaluating individual iReady results,			
	school established a	teachers will group students by	Lesson Plans		
	framework that organizes	strand for the 30 minute			
	systems, data, and practices	enrichment period and assess			
	to promote positive,	according to the content delivered.			
	equitable and inclusive	Teachers will implement			
	learning experiences for all	intervention programs (iReady,			
	students?	etc.). Title I assistants will be used			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		to reduce group size to aid teachers			
		in delivering effective instruction.			
		iReady – All teachers will utilize	iReady Reports	Weekly lesson plan checks	Title I
		iReady and related materials as part			
		of the independent centers	Lesson Plans		
		rotations in small group math.			
		Additionally, when visiting the			
		computer lab, students will			
		alternate between reading and			
		math iReady.			
		Flexible small groups in math	Lesson Plans	Weekly lesson plan checks	Title I
		instruction – For math groups,			
		students will be assessed as	Fast Facts data		
		appropriate based on content			
		during small group time to	Unit assessments		
		determine specific needed skills.			
		Title I instructional assistants will be			
		utilized to reduce group size to aid			
		teachers in delivering effective			
		instruction.			
		Eagle Academy (after school	iReady data	I Ready Benchmark diagnoses (fall,	21 st CCLC fundir
		program 21 st CCLC) for qualified		winter, spring)	
		students	Teacher surveys		

2: State Assessment Results in Science, Social Studies and Writing

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):

Science: By Spring of 2025, students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in science will increase 20% from 14% to 34% as evidenced by KSA. Social Studies: By Spring of 2025, students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in social studies will increase 20% from 24% to 44% as evidenced by KSA. Writing: By Spring of 2025, students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in writing (combined) will increase 20% from 37% to 57% as evidenced by KSA.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1:	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Teachers will participate in Science	Lesson plans	Weekly lesson plan checks	
	Standards Does our school	PLCs at the district level			
Science: By Spring of 2024,	continually assess, review,	Plan strategically in the selection of	Lesson plans	Weekly lesson plan checks	DODEA Grant
students scoring	and revise school curricula to	high yield instructional strategy			
Proficient/Distinguished in	support the assurance that	usage within lessons. Model lessons	Pacing guides		
science will increase 5.5%	all students have the	and PD in high yield instructional			
from 23% to 29% as	knowledge, skills, and	strategies. Focus on the following	PLC minutes		
evidenced by KSA.	dispositions for future	strategies:			
	success?	Pacing guides			
		Kagan cooperative learning			
		strategies			
		Alignment to resources (Discovery			
		Education and Mystery Science			
		curriculum)			
		Determine if assessments reflect the	-Common Assessment	Student work	
		learning targets students have had	Data		
		the opportunity to learn		PLC minutes	
			-Unit assessment data		
Objective 2:	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Teachers will participate in Social	Lesson plans	Weekly lesson plan checks	
	Instruction Does our	Studies PLCs at the district level			

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):

Science: By Spring of 2025, students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in science will increase 20% from 14% to 34% as evidenced by KSA. Social Studies: By Spring of 2025, students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in social studies will increase 20% from 24% to 44% as evidenced by KSA. Writing: By Spring of 2025, students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in writing (combined) will increase 20% from 37% to 57% as evidenced by KSA.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Social Studies: By Spring of	instructional program include	Plan strategically in the selection of	Lesson plans	Weekly lesson plan checks	
2024, students scoring	consistent implementation of	high yield instructional strategy			
Proficient/Distinguished in	evidence-based instructional	usage within lessons. Model lessons	Pacing guides		
social studies will increase 9%	practices essential for	and PD in high yield instructional			
from 26% to 35% as	academic, behavioral, and	strategies. Focus on the following	PLC minutes		
evidenced by KSA.	social-emotional	strategies:			
	competencies that are	 Pacing guides 			
	aligned to Kentucky	Kagan cooperative learning			
	Academic Standards and	strategies			
	current research?	Alignment to resources (SS			
		curriculum)			
		,			
Objective 3:	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Ensure that vertical curriculum	Lesson plans	Weekly lesson plan checks	
	Standards Does our school	mapping is occurring to identify			
Writing: By Spring of 2024,	continually assess, review,	instructional gaps, including	Pacing guides		
students scoring	and revise school curricula to	planning for the introduction of the			
Proficient/Distinguished in	support the assurance that	standard, development and gradual	PLC minutes		
writing (combined) will increase 12% from 33% to	all students have the	release phases, and arrival at			
	knowledge, skills, and	standards mastery.			
45% as evidenced by KSA.	dispositions for future	Pacing guides			
	success?	 Lesson planning stored on team drive 			
		Alignment to resources (Magnetic			
		Reading, iReady Teacher Toolbox,			
		school writing plan)			
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Plan strategically in the selection of	Lesson plans	Weekly lesson plan checks	
	Instruction Does our	high yield instructional strategy			
	instructional program include	usage within lessons. Model lessons	Pacing guides		
	consistent implementation of	and PD in high yield instructional			

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.):

Science: By Spring of 2025, students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in science will increase 20% from 14% to 34% as evidenced by KSA. Social Studies: By Spring of 2025, students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in social studies will increase 20% from 24% to 44% as evidenced by KSA. Writing: By Spring of 2025, students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in writing (combined) will increase 20% from 37% to 57% as evidenced by KSA.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	evidence-based instructional	strategies. Focus on the following	PLC minutes		
	practices essential for	strategies:			
	academic, behavioral, and	 Pacing guides 	Walk Through Data		
	social-emotional	• 4 Square Writing			
	competencies that are	Kagan cooperative learning	12, 22, 30 point		
	aligned to Kentucky	strategies	checklist data		
	Academic Standards and	• On Demand Writing 12, 22, 30			
	current research?	point checklists			
		• Peer Editing			

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1:	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Specially Designed Instruction based	Completed IEP At a	Bi-weekly	
	Standards Does our school	on IEP At a Glance – special	Glance forms (from		
By Spring of 2024, the	continually assess, review,	education teachers will work with	Sped PLCs)		
percent of students with	and revise school curricula to	students on the caseload and keep			
disabilities scoring novice in	support the assurance that	routine data on progress of students			
reading will decrease from	all students have the	with disabilities:			
53% to 42% or below.	knowledge, skills, and	 iReady 			
	dispositions for future	Unit assessments			
	success?	PA/Phonics Continuums			
		Common Assessments			
		Ensure instructional modifications	Formative assessment	Bi-weekly	
		are made based upon the	data	,	
		immediate feedback gained from			
		formative assessments			
Objective 2:	KCWP 1 : Design and Deploy	Specially Designed Instruction based	Completed IEP At a	Bi-weekly	
	Standards Does our school	on IEP At a Glance Need – special	Glance forms (from		
By 2024, the percent of	continually assess, review,	education teachers will work with	Sped PLCs)		
students with disabilities	and revise school curricula to	students on the caseload and keep			
scoring novice in math will	support the assurance that	routine data on progress of students			
decrease from 65% to 50% or	all students have the	with disabilities:			
below.	knowledge, skills, and	iReady			
	dispositions for future	 Unit assessments 			
	success?	Fast Facts data			
		Reflex Data			

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
		Common Assessments			
Objective 3: By 2024, the percent of African American students scoring novice in reading will decrease from 56% to 44% or	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards Does our school continually assess, review, and revise school curricula to support the assurance that all students have the	Ensure instructional modifications are made based upon the immediate feedback gained from formative assessments All teachers will keep routine data on progress of students	Formative assessment data • iReady • Unit assessments • PA/Phonics Continuums • Common Assessments	Bi-weekly Bi-weekly	
below.	knowledge, skills, and dispositions for future success?	Ensure instructional modifications are made based upon the immediate feedback gained from formative assessments	Formative assessment data	Bi-weekly	
Objective 4: By 2024, the percent of African American students scoring novice in math will decrease from 60% to 46% or below.	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards Design and Deploy Standards Does our school continually assess, review, and revise school curricula to support the assurance that all students have the	All teachers will keep routine data on progress of students	 iReady Unit assessments PA/Phonics Continuums Common Assessments 	Bi-weekly	
Delow.	knowledge, skills, and dispositions for future success?	Ensure instructional modifications are made based upon the immediate feedback gained from formative assessments	Formative assessment data	Bi-weekly	

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal.):

By Spring of 2026, the English Learner Progress Indicator will increase from 59.3 (high) to 69.3 (very high)

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1:	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	Ensure that curricular delivery and	-State Assessment	Fall 2023:	Title I
	Instruction Does our	assessment measures provide for	Results	State Assessment Score Release,	Title II
By 2024, the English Learner	instructional program include	all pertinent information needs for	-ACCESS Test Results	School Report Card,	Title III
Progress Indicator will	consistent implementation of	students. (To include but not limited	-MODEL Assessment		ESS
increase from 59.3 (high) to 65 (very high)	evidence-based instructional practices essential for academic, behavioral, and social-emotional competencies that are aligned to Kentucky Academic Standards and	to: EL Academy, Technology, GRREC, Cooperative Learning Strategies, Orton- Gillingham, Fluency, PLCs, ESS, MTSS, I- Ready, Common Assessments, Professional Learning, Educational/Technology Resources, Classroom Visits, Thoughtful Education)	 -I-Ready Results -PLC Agendas -Professional Learning Opportunities -Walkthroughs -Data/Monitoring Forms 	Quarterly: District Assessment Data	District Instructional Funds
	current research?	Carousel of Ideas (Elementary Curriculum)			

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.):

By 2026, the Quality of School Climate and Safety indicator score will increase from 72.3 (low) to 77 (high)

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1:	KCWP 6: Establish Learning	Using the baseline data from	Monthly Leadership	Monthly	
	Culture and Environment Has	CloseGap, identify students who do	Meeting		
Safety: By 2023 the Safety	our school intentionally	not feel connected, and create an			
Index score will increase 5	designed the learning	action plan			
points from 68.9 to 73.9	environment to be inclusive	Develop and build social/emotional	Leadership SEL walk	Monthly	
	and accessible for all	skills for all students using Harmony	throughs		
	students within a culture	SEL during designated 15 minute			
	where learning and	"Behavior Core" time.			
	continued growth is the	Conduct morning check-ins based on	Monthly check in data	Monthly	
	primary focus and foundation	SEL colored cups (primary) and the	in spreadsheets		
	for all actions?	online Close Gap system			
		(intermediate).			
		Identify and support students who	-Monthly check in data	Weekly	
		have increased social and	in spreadsheets at -		
		emotional needs as reflected by	Leadership Meetings		
		scores on universal screener	and PLCs		
		PBIS initial training and reviewing of	-PLC agendas and	Weekly/monthly	
		PBIS and CHAMPs expectations of	minutes		
		staff.	-Staff meeting agendas		
			and minutes		
Objective 2:	KCWP 6: Establish Learning	Tier 2 and 3 small group and	Behavior MTSS teacher	Quarterly (or as often as other	
	Culture and Environment Has	individual implementation of	walk throughs	certified staff)	
Climate: By 2023 the Climate	our school intentionally	Second Steps/ Why Try SEL			
Index score will increase 5	designed the learning	programs			
points from 75.7 to 80.7.	environment to be inclusive	Leadership Team will analyze and	Minutes	Weekly/monthly	
	and accessible for all	discuss student data at weekly			
	students within a culture	leadership meetings.			
	where learning and	Certified staff will bring student	PLC agendas/minutes	Weekly	
	continued growth is the	concerns to weekly PLCs			

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.):

By 2026, the Quality of School Climate and Safety indicator score will increase from 72.3 (low) to 77 (high)

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	primary focus and foundation	Leadership Team and certified staff	PLC agendas/minutes	Weekly	
	for all actions?	will work collaboratively to create			
		individualized plans for students			
		based on the determined needs			

6: Postsecondary Readiness (High School Only)

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.):

<mark>N/A</mark>

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
bjective 2					

7: Graduation Rate (High School Only)

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.):

<mark>N/A</mark>

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

8: Other (Optional)

Goal 8 (State your separate goal.):

<mark>N/A</mark>

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

Addendum for Schools Identified for Targeted or Comprehensive Support

In accordance with 703 KAR 5:280, a school improvement plan means the plan created by schools identified for targeted support and improvement (TSI) or additional targeted support and improvement (ATSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(4)-(5) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225. A turnaround plan means the plan created by schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) pursuant to KRS 160.346(8)(g) and embedded in the comprehensive school improvement plan required pursuant to 703 KAR 5:225.

All TSI/ATSI improvement plans and CSI turnaround plans are required to address all components of the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP), including all diagnostics associated with the development of that plan, as well as additional specific requirements. The following pages outline specific requirements to be addressed by identified schools that must be embedded in the strategies and activities detailed within the indicator goals developed throughout the previous pages of this goal template. Evidence-based practices and activities chosen to address any goal area or additional requirement must be informed by the Needs Assessment for Schools and feedback from any on-site review conducted by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE).

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:

Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups?

Response:

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. **Response:**

Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students

Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance.

Response:

Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:

Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity?

Response:

Complete the table on the next page to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the CIP.

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "<u>Compliance Requirements</u>" resource available on KDE's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	\boxtimes

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team: Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process Response:

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. **Response:**

CSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices (EBP) and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into the Continuous Improvement Platform (CIP).

Specific directions regarding documentation requirements for each chosen EBP can be found in the "<u>Compliance Requirements</u>" resource available on KDE's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. Marking the "Uploaded in CIP" box indicates that you have uploaded required documentation along with this goal template into the platform.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in CIP
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	\square