23.24 Bellevue Independent Schools

Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CDIP)



GRANDVIEW

1: State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics

Goal 1: By 2026, the percentage of students scoring Proficient and Distinguished will be: Reading 57.3 %
Math 48.3 %

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|---|---|---|--|-----------------|
| Objective 1: By 2024- 2025, the percentage of students scoring Proficient and Distinguished will be: Reading 30% Math 25% | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards Ensure implementation of local curriculum and continually assess, review and revise curricula. | Essential standards have been identified in all content areas. We will continue to review and revise learning targets to ensure congruence to the KAS. Teachers will develop learning targets that are aligned with grade level standards. Their work during PLCs will include developing a deeper understanding of the essential standards. By analyzing student assessments, teachers will have deeper discourse around how to truly determine mastery of the standards. | Teachers will develop and implement learning targets that are aligned to the standards. Lesson plans, walkthroughs and pacing guides will provide evidence of rigorous, congruent instruction and assessment. | Teachers will collaborate during PLC time to review student assessment data and ensure instruction and assessment alignment to KAS. Walkthrough BISD Coaching documentation will be calibrated by the Administrative Team (Principal & Instructional Coaches). PLC minutes and agendas by Administrative Team. Student MAP data will demonstrate growth and the percentage of students projected proficient in math and reading. | Deeper Learning |
| | | Weekly, our teachers and school leaders collaborate during PLC time. These PLCs are used to discuss student formative and summative assessment results and embedded professional development. Our teachers plan instruction according to student performance results and mastery of the learning targets. Teachers include appropriate standards-based learning targets in each lesson. Our students are released early each Wednesday. At this time, our teachers meet for 1 hour in Professional Learning Communities. During PLCs | The workshop model for instruction provides the structure for teachers to implement individualized instruction. Teachers will use common assessments, as indicated on the pacing guides. Teachers will implement formative assessment strategies seamlessly in instruction. The | Student MAP data will demonstrate growth and the percentage of students projected proficient in math and reading. PLC assessment analysis tool from each grade level PLC PLC content focus schedule Teachers and instructional leaders will analyze common summative assessment data during PLCs. Assessment analysis tool from grade level PLCS will be reviewed by administrative team. | N/A |

Goal 1: By 2026, the percentage of students scoring Proficient and Distinguished will be: Reading 57.3 %
Math 48.3 %

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|----------|---|---|---|---------|
| | | teachers bring formative assessments, analyzing data, and finding common trends to inform individual student instruction. | formative assessment data will be utilized to plan lessons and differentiate instruction for personalized learning. | Walkthrough BISD Coaching documentation will be calibrated by the Administrative Team (Principal & Instructional Coaches). Structure of district walkthrough form will mirror the expectations of The Bellevue Classroom (Workshop Model) and district leadership will review quarterly. | |
| | | Purchase and implementation of Tier 3 math intervention program, including analysis of student data for MTSS | Increased success of Tier 3 students on progress monitoring and screeners | Progress monitoring data generated internally by the Tier 3 math intervention program will be reviewed and analyzed during MTSS meetings | SIF |

2: State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing

Goal 2 (*State your separate academic indicator goal*):
In 2026, the percentage of students scoring Proficient and Distinguished will be:
On Demand Writing will be 41.6%
Social Studies will be 35.7%

Science will be 40.5%

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|---|---|---|---|---------|
| Objective 1 In 2024 - 2025, the percentage of students scoring Proficient and Distinguished will be: On Demand Writing 25% Social Studies 25.0% Science 30.6% | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards Ensure implementation of local curriculum and continually assess, review and revise curricula. | Intentional schedule revisions in order to provide dedicated time to Science and Social Studies instruction at every grade level. Once a month, teachers bring student work samples from science and social studies instruction to PLCs and complete reflection tool. Once a month, teachers focus PLC conversations on writing. Teachers will identify supports needed at their grade level for writing curriculum implementation. | Students will be engaged in Science and Social studies instruction at every grade level every day. Admin will review reflection tools for curriculum implementation. Teachers bring multi-level student writing samples and collaborate to set expectations for proficient grade level writing. | District and school leadership teams will participate in quarterly walkthroughs. Walkthrough data will be analyzed by school and district leadership teams to help determine needs for professional learning surrounding evidence based practices. Through the work of creating grade level expectations, administrators will begin work on school-wide writing expectations, leading to revision of school writing policy. | N/A |
| | KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction Ensure implementation of evidence based curriculum and strategies with fidelity for academic instruction. | Instructional resources aligned to the standards in Social Studies, Science, and writing will be used by teachers. Teachers will follow created pacing guides for Science, Social Studies, and writing. | Students will demonstrate proficiency through common formative and summative assessment results. Teachers will demonstrate understanding of | District and school leadership teams will participate in quarterly walkthroughs. Walkthrough data will be analyzed by school and district leadership teams to help determine needs for professional learning surrounding evidence based practices. | N/A |

Goal 2 (*State your separate academic indicator goal*):
In 2026, the percentage of students scoring Proficient and Distinguished will be:
On Demand Writing will be 41.6%
Social Studies will be 35.7%

Science will be 40.5%

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|------------------|
| | | | standards during PLC conversations. | Teachers review common assessment data during grade level PLCs. | |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Utilize PLC meetings to ensure a uniform approach for examining and interpreting various data sources, pacing | Our teachers will participate in school wide Writers' Workshop professional development. Data and feedback will be used to determine next steps in instruction. | Student work samples will demonstrate increased student proficiency in writing. | Student writing prompts and work samples will be reviewed and analyzed by teacher PLC teams and principal for effectiveness of instruction and implementation. | KyCL grant funds |
| | guide implementation and effective use of data to determine priorities for individual student success. | Intentional writing block Coaching cycles continuing for the next two years | | Instructional coach will set goals with teachers in the coaching cycle and will review progress towards goals quarterly with teachers and instructional leaders. | |

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Goal 3: Gap

By 2026, the percentage of students with IEPs scoring Proficient and Distinguished will be:

Reading 26.3%

Math 17.7%

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------|
| Objective 1 | KCWP 1: Design and | Ensure regularly-scheduled PLC | Teachers will develop | Adhere to the walkthrough schedule, | N/A |
| By 2024- 2025 students with | Deploy Standards | meetings to review the alignment | and implement learning | providing consistent feedback to | |
| IEPs scoring proficient and | | between standards and learning | targets that are aligned | teachers using district developed | |
| distinguished will be | Ensure implementation of | targets, thinking strategies and | to the standards and | walkthrough tool. | |
| Reading 20.3% | local curriculum and | assessment measures. | adhere to the | | |
| Math 11.7% | continually assess, review and | | Grandview pacing | PLC teams will review formative | |
| | revise curricula. | | guides and curriculum | assessment and student work samples | |
| | | | documents. Lesson | to ensure progress towards proficiency | |
| | Ensure a uniform approach | | plans, walkthroughs | of grade level standards. | |
| | for examining and | | and pacing guides will | | |
| | interpreting various data | | provide evidence of | In addition to general education | |
| | sources and effectively use | | rigorous, congruent | classroom student data, special | |
| | data to determine priorities | | instruction and | education teachers will review | |
| | for individual student success. | | assessment. | progress toward IEP goals by | |
| | | | | analyzing progress monitoring data. | |
| | | | Students will be | | |
| | | | receiving differentiated | The MTSS team will review multiple | |
| | | | instruction each day in | sources of data (attendance, behavior, | |
| | | | appropriate content | SEL, academic) to monitor student | |
| | | | areas, dependent on | progress and make adjustments as | |
| | | | IEP goals. Students | needed. | |
| | | | will be able to discuss | | |

Goal 3: Gap

By 2026, the percentage of students with IEPs scoring Proficient and Distinguished will be:
Reading 26.3%
Math 17.7%

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|----------|------------|--|---------------------|---------|
| | | | learning goals and reflect on individual strengths and weaknesses. | | |

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal.):

By the 2026, 80% of students identified as English Learners will reach attainment status on the ACCESS test.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Objective 1: | KCWP 2: Design and Deliver | Collaborate with NKCES EL | ACCESS Testing results | Quarterly meetings with district EL | Title III and General |
| In the 2024 - 2025 school | Instruction | Consultant to provide resources and | | Coordinator and EL consultant | Funds |
| year, 18.2% of students | Ensure instructional | specially designed instruction to | | | |
| identified as English Learners | strategies and programs are | identified students | | | |
| will reach attainment on | implemented in classrooms | | | | |
| ACCESS testing | to address and support | | | | |
| | English Language Learners | | | | |

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety- ADD FRYSC AND PARENT INVOLVEMENT

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.):

By the 26/27 school year, at least 75% of students will agree that students being mean or hurtful to other students is NOT a problem for this school

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------------|
| GES: | KCWP 6: Establishing | Leadership team will analyze | A decrease in negative | The MTSS team will review behavior | SPF Grant |
| By the 2024 - 2025 school | Learning Culture and | Character Strong data to determine | interactions between | referral and SEB small group anecdotal | |
| year, less than 50% of | Environment | student needs and necessary | students | data every six to eight weeks. | |
| students will report they | | interventions | | District Leadership team reviews | |
| disagree with the following | Continue to cultivate an | | | Infinite Campus behavior referral data | |
| statement, "Students being | environment that supports | | | on a quarterly basis. | |
| mean or hurtful to other | staff and student needs and | SEL push in with counselor in | Students and parents | Weekly Administrative Team meetings | N/A |
| students is NOT a problem for | ensure success. | classrooms & common school | can articulate the | MTSS School Level team will collect | |
| this school." | | expectation language. | common school | and review data from SEL universal | |
| | | | expectation language. | screeners and submit that data to | |
| | | | | District Level MTSS Team | |
| | | Addition of Assistant Principal | Increased family | FRYSC data collection of family | FRYSC funds, SPF |
| | | position with an emphasis on SEB, | engagement; increase | involvement; IC early warning report; | grant, general funds |
| | | partnering with FRYSC coordinator | of family engagement | SEL reporting data reviewed | |
| | | to strengthen family/school | opportunities; positive | | |
| | | connections | shifts in SEL reporting | | |
| | | | data; decrease in | | |
| | | | behavior referrals | | |
| | | Mental health therapist and school | A decrease in negative | Monthly Care Team will review and | SPF Grant |
| | | counselor continue to implement | interactions between | analyze Infinite Campus Early Warning | |
| | | collaborative peer social groups. | students | report. | |
| | | | | District Level MTSS Team will meet to | |
| | | | | review and analyze early warning data | |
| | | | | quarterly | |

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team:

Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process **Response:**

Grandview Elementary School Level Turnaround Team

Ms. Heather Rabe, GES School Principal

- School instructional leader; develop systems and processes; monitor implementation and effectiveness; ensure student growth and success
- Hold teachers and staff accountable to their professional standards through the evaluation process

Mr. Brandon Forshey, Asst. Principal

- Carries out systems and processes; assists in monitoring the implementation and effectiveness; ensures student growth and success.
- Hold teachers and staff accountable to their professional standards through the evaluation process.

Ms. Sara Teegarden, Instructional Coach

- Instructional focus, supporting teachers in evidence based practices and curriculum implementation
- Provide coaching and support in classrooms for implementation of evidence-based instructional practices and differentiation strategies for student learning

Ms. Allison Craig, School Counselor

• SEL and Behavior focus: individual and small group counseling to remove social and emotional barriers to promote academic success, SEL curriculum implementation, behavior interventions, creates a positive culture for students and teachers

Ms. Kelsey Rozanski, Math Instructional Coach

- Math instructional focus, supporting teacher capacity and to strengthen Tier I math instruction and ensure student growth and success in math
- Provide coaching and support in classrooms for implementation of evidence-based instructional practices and differentiation strategies for student learning

BISD Level Turnaround Team: Provides direct supports to school

Ms. Misty Middleton, Superintendent

• District instructional leader; leading school and district leadership in the creation of district systems and processes that are aligned to the district's vision, mission, and core values. Holds school and district leaders accountable to their standards through the evaluation process.

Ms. Alison Teegarden, Director of Academics

• Ensure curriculum is aligned with KAS; guarantee high quality aligned curriculum documents for all content areas; secure teacher resources and professional learning that build teacher efficacy

Ms. Katrina Rechtin, Director of Student Services

• Monitor and relay attendance data and trends to district team; collect and use data as a tool for continuous improvement

Ms Tara Wittrock, Director of Special Populations

• Monitor alignment of special education expectations and instructional practices; collect and use data as a tool for continuous improvement

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.

Response:

GES has utilized a variety of processes for reviewing allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), and for any resource inequities that were used for identifying our under performance. In reflection, the school did not have curriculum documents and pacing guides that were systematic and utilized by all staff. This prohibited students from receiving effective and appropriate Tier 1 instruction to the level of standards. This also created a barrier for progress monitoring. Other processes and systems such as PLC's, walkthrough formats, and MTSS plans were not formalized. The school also did not have a formal math resource it was utilizing and had recently begun implementation of a new literacy program. High turnover also led to inconsistent professional learning opportunities for staff and proper training in the school's approved programs. Additionally, there was not consistent science and social studies instruction during the pandemic.

Improvement efforts were also determined through our school's needs assessment processes and protocols along with the school audit review data/feedback. In addition, the school's turnaround team has contributed critical feedback and input regarding improvement initiatives based on audit review information and our improvement priorities.

Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are

expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the "Compliance Requirements" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

| Evidence-based Activity | Evidence Citation | Uploaded in eProve |
|---|---|--------------------|
| Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies. | Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY. | |
| Professional Learning Communities | Hamilton, L., Halverson, R., Jackson, S., Mandinach, E., Supovitz, J., & Wayman, J. (2009). Using student achievement data to support instructional decision making (NCEE 2009-4067). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. | |
| Professional Development | Yoon, K. S., Duncan, T., Wen-Yu Lee, S., & Shapley, K. L. (2007). Reviewing the evidence on how teacher professional development affects student achievement. <i>Institute of Education Sciences</i> , <i>REL 2007</i> (33). https://doi.org/https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/southwest/pdf/rel_2007033.pdf | \boxtimes |
| Mathematics Response to Intervention (RTI) | Gersten, R., Beckmann, S., Clarke, B., Foegen, A., Marsh, L., Star, J. R., & Witzel, B. (2009). Assisting students struggling with mathematics: Response to Intervention (RtI) for elementary and middle schools (NCEE 2009-4060). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. | \boxtimes |
| | | |

BELLEVUE MIDDLE/ HIGH

1: State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): By 2026, the percentage of students scoring proficient and distinguished will be: Middle School: Reading – 54 Math – 44

| Middle School: Reading – 54 Math – 44 High School: Reading – 64 Math – 40 | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding | |
| By the end of the 2024 school year, the following scores will be achieved. (add 10 to the current reality - this is roughly 5 students) Middle: Reading - 38 Math - 35 High: Reading - 39 Math - 25 | KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction KCWP 4: Review and Analyze, and Apply PL Data | The Bellevue Classroom provides the instructional structure for all teachers to help focus their planning and instruction based on highly effective strategies to ensure Tier 1 instruction is high quality and aligned to Kentucky Academic Standards. -Book Study on Phenomenal Teaching -Monthly Professional Learning opportunities with all staff on Phenomenal Teaching -Implementation of Thinking Strategies and the Workshop model throughout the buildingLearning walks documentation on the components of the instructional protocolFeedback will be shared with teachers on the components of the instructional protocolAll staff participate in the Internal Lab job-embedded professional development process | Feedback from staff on implementing the monthly Professional Learning opportunities on Phenomenal Teaching Internal Labs documentation and feedback Learning walks data. Improved classroom instruction, planning, and use of teacher time | | Funds for Books & Stipends for Teachers for additional PD hours. | |

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): By 2026, the percentage of students scoring proficient and distinguished will be:

Middle School: Reading – 54 Math – 44

High School: Reading – 64 Math – 40

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|----------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | MTCC dal invalant and day day | F | Farance (0 and also in MTCC Marking a | Francisco Con Al DVC |
| | | MTSS model implemented so that | Fewer students require Tier II and III services | Every 6-8 weeks in MTSS Meetings | Funding for ALEKS & Reading Plus |
| | | students receive grade level support as well as remedial work. Tier III | in the middle school | ALEKS / Reading Plus Data | & Reading Plus |
| | | students receive additional | and high school. | ALEKS / Reading Flus Data | Funding for SOS |
| | | instructional time in a Tier I and | and high school. | | System System |
| | | Tier II setting. These courses | | | System |
| | | provide intensive interventions for | | | |
| | | both Reading and Math. We have | | | |
| | | established a schedule change | | | |
| | | where Tier II (FLEX) and Tier III | | | |
| | | (FOCUS) have a designated time in | | | |
| | | the schedule. | | | |

2: State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): By 2026, the percentage of students scoring proficient and distinguished will be:

Middle School: Social Studies – 68 Writing – 60 Science – 20

High School: Social Studies – 21 Writing – 72 Science – 26

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|-------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Objective 1: | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze | MTSS model implemented so that students | Fewer students | Every 6-8 weeks in MTSS | Funding for SOS |
| By the end of the 2024 school | and Apply Data | receive grade level support as well as | require Tier II | Meetings | System |
| year, the following scores will | KCWP 1: Design & Deploy | remedial work. Students are pulled during | services in the | | |
| be achieved. (add 10 to the | Standards | FLEX time (Tier II) to receive additional | middle school and | | |
| current reality - this is | | support in Social Studies, Science, and | high school. | | |
| roughly 5 students) | | Writing. | | | |
| Middle: Social Studies - 46 Writing - 50 Science - 20 | | The Science PLC will receive training from NKCES and Hallie Booth to begin implementing Open Sci Ed for the '24-'25 school year (after BOE approval). | All teachers trained (Shroyer, Whitling, Elsbernd, Hallman) | Teachers trained by Jan 2024 Pilot Lesson by April 2024 | Funding for Science Kits |
| High: Science - 12 Social Studies - 31 Writing - 46 | | | | | |

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Goal 3: By 2026, the percentage of free & reduced lunch students scoring proficient and distinguished will be:

Middle: Reading – 44 Math – 38 Social Studies – 60 Writing – 70 Science - 10 High: Reading – 52 Math – 30 Social Studies - 42 Writing – 48 Science – 10

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|-------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| By the end of the 2024 school | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze | MTSS model implemented so that | Fewer students require | Every 6-8 weeks in MTSS Meetings | Funding for ALEKS |
| year, the following scores will | and Apply Data | students receive grade level support | Tier II and III services | | & Reading Plus |
| be achieved. (add 10 to the | | as well as remedial work. Tier III | in the middle school | ALEKS / Reading Plus Data | |
| current reality - this is | | students receive additional | and high school. | | Funding for SOS |
| roughly 5 students) | | instructional time in a Tier I and | | | System |
| | | Tier II setting. These courses | | | |
| Middle: | | provide intensive interventions for | | | |
| Reading - 32 | | both Reading and Math. We have | | | |
| Math - 38 | | established a schedule change | | | |
| Social Studies - 40 | | where Tier II (FLEX) and Tier III | | | |
| Writing - 45 | | (FOCUS) have a designated time in | | | |
| Science - 6 | | the schedule. | | | |
| High: Reading - 36 Math - 22 Science - 10 Social Studies - 31 Writing - 34 | | The Bellevue Classroom Book Study & Walk-Throughs (refer to goal #1) to ensure high quality instruction in all Tier 1 classes. | | | |

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English Learner goal.):

By the 26/27 school year, 75 % of students identified as English Learners will reach attainment status on the ACCESS test.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|--|--|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| Objective: In the 22/23 school year, 50% of students identified as English Learners will reach attainment on ACCESS testing | KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction | Collaborate with NKCES EL Consultat to provide resources and specially designed instruction to identified students and supports to general education teachers. Ensuring newly adopted resources / curriculum options have a Spanish option available. | ACCESS Testing Results | Quarterly meetings with district EL Coordinator and EL consultant | Title III and General Funding |

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 - BM/HS - Currently, 64.5% of students disagree with the following statement, "Bullying is NOT a problem for this school."

By the 25/26 school year, less than 20% of students will report disagreeing with the following statement, "Bullying is NOT a problem for this school."

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------|
| BM/HS: By the end of 23/24 school year, less than 50% of | KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment | Character Strong Tier 1 Curriculum (including unit on cyber-bullying) | A decrease in referrals for bullying | A quarterly review of all referral data | Grant Funds for curriculum |
| students will report disagreeing with the following statement, "Bullying is NOT a problem for this school." | udents will report isagreeing with the ollowing statement, Bullying is NOT a problem | | A decrease in negative interactions between students | Weekly meetings with AP / Counselor (during FLEX) | Funding for Character Strong |
| | | Safety Audit with Kentucky Center for School Safety | | | |

6: Graduation Rate (high school only)

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.): By 2024, the percentage of students that graduate with their four and five year cohort will be 100%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|---|--|---|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Objective 1: To have a graduation rate that is at 100% following the four and five year cohorts at the end of the 2023-2024 school year. | KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support | The school has a Transition Readiness Coordinator that meets with students. Each senior, along with their guardians, work one-on-one with the coordinator to discuss postsecondary goals and develop a plan for transition readiness. | Students completing their required courses and identifying a next step after graduation | Ongoing | None |
| | | The school counselor and credit recovery teacher meets with any high school student who is not on grade level and develops a plan to recover needed credits to enable students to work towards graduation completion. The counselor, credit recovery, assistant principal, and principal use the Persistence to Graduation Report in IC. | Students complete required courses and attain on-grade level status in our credit recovery program. | Ongoing | Funding for Edgenuity |

7: Post Secondary Readiness

Goal 7 (State your post secondary readiness goal.):

By the end of 2025, 95% of graduating students will be transition ready as determined by the KY School Report Card.

| By the end of 2025, 95% of graduating students will be transition ready as determined by the KY School Report Card. | | | | | |
|---|-------------|---|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
| Objective 1: By spring of 2024, 90% of graduating students will qualify as transition ready. | Environment | The school has a Transition Readiness Coordinator that meets with students. Each senior, along with their guardians, work one-on-one with the coordinator to discuss postsecondary goals and develop a plan for transition readiness. The coordinator also schedules field trips to Gateway and the Campbell Co. ATC for all 10th graders to consider for their 11th - 12th Grade year. | Students completing their required courses and identifying a next step after graduation | Ongoing | None |
| | | The school counselor and credit recovery teacher meets with any high school student who is not on grade level and develops a plan to recover needed credits to enable students to work towards graduation completion AND transition readiness. | Students complete required courses and attain on-grade level status in our credit recovery program. | Ongoing | Funding for Edgenuity |
| | | For students not qualifying for transition readiness through college or career pathways, our school intentionally plans learning experiences to help prepare them for success on KYOTE and/ or ACT to combat the need for remedial courses upon entering post-secondary institutions. | Students meet benchmarks on KYOTE and/or ACT assessments. | Dec 2023 and March 2024 | Instructional Funds |