Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required goals for elementary/middle schools include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing
 - o Achievement Gap
 - o English Learner Progress
 - o Quality of School Climate and Safety
- The required goals for high schools include the following:
 - o State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics
 - o State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing

- o Achievement Gap
- o English Learner Progress
- o Quality of School Climate and Safety
- o Postsecondary Readiness
- o Graduation Rate

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three to five year targets for each required school level indic schools must address proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and growth. High schools must add academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness. Long-term targets should be informed Assessment for Schools.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monito
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon <u>Kentucky's six (6) Key Core</u> <u>Work Processes</u> or another established improvement approach (i.e. <i>Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.</i>).	Describe the actionable steps that will occur to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation the plan, the rate improvement, an the effectiveness the plan. Your description shou include the artifa to be reviewed, specific timelines and responsible individuals.

1: State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics

Goal 1 (State your proficiency goal): By May 2027, South Todd will increase the percentage of students scoring at the proficient/distinguished level on state to 67% and in Math from 41% to 61%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Me
Objective 1: By May 2023, 49.5% of K-3 students reach proficiency on the STAR Reading benchmark assessment.	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards	Teachers and administration will continue to implement a PLC process to deconstruct standards, design assessments, collaboratively plan lessons, and analyze data.	STAR, classroom assessments and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments Name & Claim docun
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Collaboration with GRREC to strengthen and vertically align primary reading instruction to align with the science of reading (to include PD during PLCs).	STAR, classroom assessments and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments Name & Claim docun
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Teachers and administration will use the PLC process to analyze data and target specific students who are below benchmark on each administration of STAR Reading (1 st - 3 rd grades) and Early Literacy (K) and discuss intervention(s).	STAR, classroom assessments and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments Name & Claim docun
Objective 2: By May 2023, 49.5% of 4 th and 5 th grade students will reach proficiency on the STAR Reading assessment and/or state Reading	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards	Teachers and administration will continue to implement a PLC process to deconstruct standards, design assessments, collaboratively plan lessons, and analyze data.	STAR, classroom assessments and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments Name & Claim docun
assessment.	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Implement and evaluate DBQ tasks	STAR, classroom assessments and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments Name & Claim docun
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Teachers and administration will use the PLC process to analyze data and target specific students who are below benchmark on each administration of STAR Reading	STAR, classroom assessments and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments Name & Claim docun

Goal 1 (State your proficiency goal): By May 2027, South Todd will increase the percentage of students scoring at the proficient/distinguished level on state to 67% and in Math from 41% to 61%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Me
Objective 3:	KCWP 1: Design and	Teachers and administration will continue	STAR, classroom	PLC agenda minutes
By May 2023, 45.1% of K-3	Deploy Standards	to implement a PLC process to deconstruct	assessments and	from benchmark and
students reach proficiency on the	KCWP 2: Design and	standards, design assessments,	observations	assessments
STAR Math benchmark assessment.	Deliver Instruction	collaboratively plan lessons, and analyze data.		Name & Claim docun
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Teachers and administration will use the PLC process to analyze data and target specific students who are below benchmark on each administration of STAR Math (1 st - 3 rd grades) and Early Literacy (K) and discuss intervention(s).	STAR, classroom assessments and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments Name & Claim docun
Objective 4: By May 2023, 45.1% of 4 th and 5 th grade students will reach proficiency on the STAR Math assessment and/or state Math	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards	Teachers and administration will continue to implement a PLC process to deconstruct standards, design assessments, collaboratively plan lessons, and analyze data.	STAR, classroom assessments and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments Name & Claim docun
assessment.	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Teachers and administration will use the PLC process to analyze data and target specific students who are below benchmark on each administration of STAR Math	STAR, classroom assessments and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments Name & Claim docun

2: State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Mor
Objective 1: By May 2023,	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Teachers and administration will	Classroom common	PLC agenda minutes to
students will increase on KSA	Standards	continue to implement a PLC process	assessments	from common assessm
in Science from 26% to 39%.	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	to deconstruct Science standards,		focus on the fourth gra
	Instruction	design assessments, collaboratively		common assessments.
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and	plan lessons, and analyze data.		
	Apply Data			
Objective 2: By May 2023,	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Teachers and administration will	Classroom common	PLC agenda minutes to
students will increase on KSA	Standards	continue to implement a PLC process	assessments	from common assessm
in Social Studies from 44% to	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	to deconstruct Social Studies		focus on the fifth grade
66%.	Instruction	standards, design assessments,		common assessments a
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and	collaboratively plan lessons including		
	Apply Data	DBQs, and analyze DBQ data.		
Objective 3: By May 2023,	KCWP 1: Design and Deploy	Teachers and administration will	Classroom assessments	PLC agenda minutes to
students will increase on KSA	Standards	continue to implement a PLC process	and observations	from benchmark and co
in Combined Writing from 23%		to deconstruct standards, design		assessments
to 34.5%.		assessments, collaboratively plan		Name & Claim docume
		lessons, and analyze data.		
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	3 rd -5 th grades bring at least two	Constructed response	PLC agenda minutes to
	Instruction	scored constructed responses turned	and OD data and next	from constructed respo
	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and	in each week during PLCs	steps	demand scoring and ne
	Apply Data	On-Demand practice - once a month		
		beginning second semester		
	KCWP 2: Design and Deliver	All students will utilize IXL to	IXL data	IXL utilization data
	Instruction	strengthen grammar skills		

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitor
Objective 1: We will decrease the achievement gap in Reading between the following groups: • African American (19%) and white (52%) on state assessments	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Identify students in gap group for intervention and progress monitoring.	STAR, classroom assessments, and observations	PLC agenda minutes to inc from benchmark and com assessments
 ELL (32%) and non-ELL (47%) on state assessments Economically Disadvantaged (ED) (33%) and non-ED (60%) 	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Monitor gap students identified during data analysis in PLCs.	STAR, classroom assessments, and observations	PLC agenda minutes to inc from benchmark and com assessments
Objective 2: We will decrease the achievement gap in Science	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Identify students in gap group for intervention and progress monitoring.	STAR, classroom assessments, and observations	PLC agenda minutes to inc from benchmark and comi assessments
between females (19%) and males (34%)	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data	Monitor gap students identified during data analysis in PLCs.	STAR, classroom assessments, and observations	PLC agenda minutes to inc from benchmark and com assessments

Updated December 2022

4: English Learner Progress

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress M
Objective 1: By May 2023, 50% of K-2 targeted students reach	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data KCWP 5: Strategic Design	Identify students in targeted group for intervention and progress monitoring.	STAR, classroom assessments, and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments
proficiency on the STAR Reading benchmark assessment.	TAR Align Deliver Support	Monitor identified students during data analysis in PLCs.	STAR, classroom assessments, and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments
		EL teacher offering Tier 2 and Tier 3 support	STAR, classroom assessments, and observations	Progress monitoring teacher ACCESS scores
		Classroom teachers increase an emphasis on vocabulary using content rich informational read alouds as well as using strategies to teach content and Tier 2 vocabulary	Classroom observations	Vocabulary use ACCESS scores
Objective 2: By May 2023, at least 50% of 3 rd -5 th grade students will	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data KCWP 5: Strategic Design	Identify students in targeted group for intervention and progress monitoring.	STAR, classroom assessments, and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments
score 60 or greater on the ACCESS assessment.	Align Deliver Support Processes	Monitor identified students during data analysis in PLCs.	STAR, classroom assessments, and observations	PLC agenda minutes from benchmark and assessments
		EL teacher offering Tier 2 and Tier 3 support	STAR, classroom assessments, and observations	Progress monitoring teacher ACCESS scores
		Classroom teachers increase an emphasis on vocabulary using content rich informational read alouds as well as using strategies to teach content and Tier 2 vocabulary	Classroom observations	Vocabulary use ACCESS scores

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monito
Objective 1: By May 2023, the	KCWP 6: Establishing Learning	SRO hired and monitoring school	MTSS behavior and	Monthly monitoring of da
school safety indicator will	Culture and Environment	grounds and common areas daily	referral data	
increase from 76.4 to 84.				
		SRO co-teaches with guidance		
		counselor during Friday specials as		
		needed on safety topics		
Objective 2: Only 45% of the	KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and	Guidance counselor will teach	MTSS behavior and	Monthly monitoring of da
students either agreed or	Apply Data	OLWEUS lessons during specials and	referral data	
strongly agreed that	KCWP 5: Strategic Design	during Town Meeting.		
"students being mean or	Align Deliver Support	Our lowest two areas were both		
hurtful to other students is	Processes	"NOT" questions on a Likert scale,		
NOT a problem for this	KCWP 6: Establishing Learning	so students will be explicitly taught		
school". By May 2023, 60% of	Culture and Environment	how to answer these trickier		
students will either agree or		statements.		
disagree.				

6: Postsecondary Readiness (high school only)

Goal 6 (State your postseconda	ary goal.):			
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monito
Objective 1				
1				ļ
1				ļ
			/	
1				
Objective 2				
1				
1				
1				
1				
1				

7: Graduation Rate (high school only)

Goal 7 (State your graduation goal.):				
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monito
Objective 1				
			/	
'	'	<u> </u>	!	
'			 	<u> </u>
'	'	<u> </u>	'	
Objective 2			'	
1	′		<u> </u> '	
'			· ['	
	'		'	
Г	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,	
1				

8: Other (Optional)

Goal 8 (State your separate goal.):				
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monito
Objective 1				
-				
_				
Objective 2				

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:

Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups?

Response:

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.

Response:

Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups of Students

Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance.

Response:

Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:

Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity?

Response:

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

TSI/ATSI Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the "<u>Compliance Requirements</u>" resource available on KDE's <u>Evidence-based Practices website</u>.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	\square

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for CSI schools in the following chart:

Turnaround Team:

Consider: Provide a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process **Response:**

Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:

Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. **Response:**

Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI, ATSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the "Compliance Requirements" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	\square