

# Kentucky State Board Self-Assessment

*December 7, 2022*  
*Paolo DeMaria, President & CEO*



# Self-Reflection Survey



**Mission, Priorities & Goals (10 questions)**



**Agendas, Meetings & Support (13 questions)**



**Collegiality & Collective Voice (6 questions)**



**Stakeholder Engagement & Public Interaction (10 questions)**

# Mission, Priorities and Goals:

Question	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	AGREE	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DISAGREE	Insufficient Knowledge
<b>Mission, Priorities and Goals</b>							
Understanding of Board mission & vision	100.0%		100.0%				
Understanding of United We Learn/Big Ideas	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%				
Goals are appropriate to KY students & schools	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%				
Goals focus on evidence-based decision making	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%				
Goals based on disaggregated data	54.6%	45.5%	100.0%				
Focus on equity in all decision making	100.0%		100.0%				
Board uses high-quality data to inform decisions	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%				
Communicates well with state commissioner	100.0%		100.0%				
Stakeholders understand/support board mission/goals	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%				

# Mission, Priorities and Goals

## Comments

- Desire for alignment and making connections among initiatives; how initiatives work together to support overall improvement and progress toward excellence.
- Continue effective communications
- Continue using data

## Key Takeaways

- Identify opportunities to build broader support for mission and goals
- Explore better use of disaggregated data

# Agendas, Meetings and Support

Question	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	AGREE	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DISAGREE	Insufficient Knowledge
<b>Agendas, Meetings and Support</b>							
Agendas reflect board's role as citizens' voice	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%				
Agendas reflect board mission/goals; aligned to United We Learn/Big Ideas	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%				
Agendas build on past work to move needle forward	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%				
Agendas prioritize student achievement & well-being	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%				
I understand process to submit items for agenda	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%				
Adequate time spent on right issues	45.5%	54.6%	100.0%				
Time that meeting takes place is right & accommodates my schedule	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%				
Frequency of board meetings is adequate	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%				
Enough time to review agenda before meeting	81.8%	18.2%	100.0%				
Sufficient resources and materials before meeting	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%				
I receive support needed to make informed decisions	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%				
Served well by staff of Department	100.0%		100.0%				

# Agendas, Meetings and Support

## Comments

- General support for two-day meeting structure
- Desire for better understanding of how issues are required to be addressed
- Initiative alignment
- Public input approaches and opportunities

## Key Takeaways

- More discussion needed to discern appropriate use of board meeting time
- Build board member understanding of rationale behind board actions
- Explain process for submitting agenda items

# Collegiality and Collective Voice

Question	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Somewhat Agree</i>	<b>AGREE</b>	<i>Somewhat Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<b>DISAGREE</b>	<i>Insufficient Knowledge</i>
<b>Collegiality and Collective Voice</b>							
Healthy, effective collaboration	100.0%		100.0%				
When disagreement, consensus can be reached	81.8%		81.8%				18.18%
Board operates in a non-partisan way	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%				
Board makes decisions effectively	100.0%		100.0%				
Easily engage in conversations/discussions during meeting	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%				

# Collegiality and Collective Voice

## Comments

- Many positive comments

## Key Takeaways

- Commendable consensus on collegiality and collective voice



# Stakeholder Engagement & Public Interaction

Question	<i>Strongly Agree</i>	<i>Somewhat Agree</i>	<b>AGREE</b>	<i>Somewhat Disagree</i>	<i>Strongly Disagree</i>	<b>DISAGREE</b>	<i>Insufficient Knowledge</i>
<b>Stakeholder Engagement and Public Interaction</b>							
Public provided with enough resources to understand and engage	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%				
Board convenes right groups to inform decisions	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%				
Board works well with other state agencies	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%				
Board has strategy for communicating with stakeholders	63.6%	27.3%	90.9%				9.09%
Receive valuable comments from public to make decisions	36.4%	63.6%	100.0%				
Meetings are effective and accessible to the public	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%				
Public engagement is easy and accessible	63.6%	36.4%	100.0%				
Meeting provides ample time for public engagement	90.9%	9.1%	100.0%				
Necessary stakeholders appropriately involved in policy process	72.7%	27.3%	100.0%				

# Stakeholder Engagement & Public Interaction

## Comments

- Desire to increase awareness of the board's work.
- Seek improved opportunities for public comment
- Ensure consultation with those less likely to be represented

## Key Takeaways

- Further discussion to discern ways to increase nature and value of public comments

# Other Comments

- Lots of positivity about what members are **pleased** with
  - Equity
  - Communication with the Commissioner and the Department
  - Progress on strategic plan and key goals
- Few **missed opportunities**
- Some desire for greater clarity on **where things are going**; address teacher shortage
- Compliments on **leadership**

# Further Processing, Pondering, and Planning Needed

What are the key takeaways from the survey?

What short-term actions do we need to take?

What long-term planning is required to improve board operations?

what's the  
opposite of  
pondering?



discard, ignore, disregard,  
forget, neglect, agree,  
go along



 Thesaurus.plus