Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

Operational Definitions

Goal: Long-term three to five year targets based on the required school level goals. Elementary/middle schools must have goals for proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and growth. High schools must have goals for proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective: Short-term target to be attained by the end of the current academic year. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.

Strategy: An approach to systematically address the process, practice, or condition that the school will focus its efforts upon in order to reach its goals or objectives. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes listed below or another established improvement approach (i.e. *Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.*).

Activity: Actionable steps used to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.

Key Core Work Processes: A series of processes identified by the Kentucky Department of Education that involve the majority of an organization's workforce and relate to its core competencies. These are the factors that determine an organization's success and help it prioritize areas for growth.

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy

- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Measure of Success: Criteria that shows the impact of the work. The **measures** may be quantitative or qualitative, but are observable in some way.

Progress Monitoring: Process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Should include timelines and responsible individuals.

Funding: Local, state, or federal funds/grants used to support (or needed to support) the improvement initiative.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- There are six (6) required district goals: proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, growth, and transition readiness.
- The required school goals include the following:
 - o For elementary/middle school, these include: proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and, growth.
 - o For high school, these include: proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness.

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Include long-term three to five year targets based on the required school level goals. Elementary/middle schools must have goals for proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and growth. High schools must have goals for proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective Schools.	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
	An approach to systematically address the process, practice, or condition that the school will focus its efforts upon in order to reach its goals or objectives. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes listed above or another established improvement approach (i.e. Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.).	Include actionable steps used to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that shows the impact of the work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative, but are observable in some way.	Discuss the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Should include timelines and responsible individuals. Progress monitoring ensures that plans are being revisited and an opportunity to determine whether the plan is working.	List the funding source(s) used to support (or needed to support) the improvement initiative.

1: Proficiency Goal

By 2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 64.5% to 73.5% and in Math from 63.9% to 72.9%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
By 2022, students scoring	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	- Orton Gillingham Phonics	-Walk-throughs	December 2021	Title I Textbook/
proficient/distinguished in	Instruction Classroom	- iReady diagnostic (reading	-Lesson Plans	May 2022	Instructional PPA
Reading will increase from	Activities	diagnostic)	- Curriculum Guides	December 2022	ESSER Funds
64.5% to 66.3%.		- MyPath for iReady leveled online	- iReady Data		District Funds
		program for grades 1-5	- Mypath for iReady		deficient skills, and
		- LEAD 21(Reading Program for 2-5) - Curriculum Associates: Ready Common Core (Supplemental ELA Program for 2-5) - Novel Studies - After-school program to practice specific skills - ESSER support educator for	leveled online program for grades 1-5 data - Benchmark Data -Data Team Assessment Results -Fluency monitoring -Common assessment		enrich proficient skills
	KCWP4: Review, Analyze	reading and math We will complete the Data Team for	iReady Diagnostic	Every other month	Title I Instructional
	and Apply Data Classroom	Learning process to collect and chart	Results Benchmark	Lvery other month	PPA District Funds
	Activities	data, analyze and prioritize the data,	Data Team Assessment		1171 District 1 unus
	Tienvines	select instructional strategies to	Results		
		determine results indicators, and			
		monitor and evaluate the results to			
		determine next steps.			
By 2022 students scoring proficient/distinguished in Math will increase from 63.9% to 65.7%.	KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Classroom Activities	- REFLEX (Supplemental online math resource for 1-5) - Everyday Math (Core Math Program for 1-5) - Prodigy (online review program) - iReady Daignostic (1-5) - MyPath online program tied to - iReady Diagnostic	Walk-throughs Lesson Plans Curriculum Guides Common Assessment Results iReady Diagnostic Benchmark Data Team Assessment Results	December 2021 May 2022 December 2022	Title I Textbook/ Instructional PPA District Funds ESSER Funds

	- ESSER support educator for reading and math.			
KCWP4: Review, And and Apply Data Class Activities	ž	Benchmark Data Team	every other month	Title I Instructional PPA District Funds

2: Separate Academic Indicator

Goal 2 (State your separate academic indicator goal.): By 2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Science will increase from 40% to 55%, from 64.6% to 73.6% in Social Studies, and from 69.9% to 84.9% in Writing.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
By 2022, students scoring	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	We have departmentalized upper	-Walk-throughs	December 2021	Title I Textbook/
proficient/distinguished in	Instruction Classroom	grades to ensure science instruction.	-Lesson Plans	May 2022	Instructional PPA
Science will increase from 40%	Activities	We are combining Science	-Benchmark Data	December 2022	District Funds
to 43%.		instruction into reading centers to	-Analysis of STEAM		
		ensure that curricular delivery and	product -Common		
		assessment measures provide for all	Assessment analysis		
		pertinent information needs for			
		students. Though the state is not			
		requiring TCTs, we are conducting			
		one to two per year. Implementation			
		of Mystery Science for engagement,			
		differentiation, and discovery			
		learning. We have a STEM related			
		arts teacher to help foster science			
		education in all grade levels.			
	KCWP4: Review, Analyze	We will complete the Data Team for	- Benchmark Data	every other month	Title I Textbook/
	and Apply Data Classroom	Learning process to collect and chart	- Data Team		Instructional PPA
	Activities	data, analyze and prioritize the data,	- Assessment Results		District Funds
		select instructional strategies to	-Common Assessment		
		determine results indicators, and	analysis		
		monitor and evaluate the results to			
		determine next steps.			
By 2022 students scoring	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	We have created a district-wide	- Walk-throughs	December 2021	Title I Textbook/
proficient/distinguished in	Instruction Classroom	cohort of Social Studies educators	- Lesson Plans	May 2022	Instructional PPA
Social Studies will increase from	Activities	where they meet on a regular basis	- Curriculum Guides	December 2022	District Funds
64.6% to 66.4%.		to discuss content, align their	- Benchmark Data		
		standards with resources, and	- Common Assessment		
		discuss assessments. We have	analysis		
		implemented a Geography Club to	- Analysis of Social		
		ensure enrichment of standards for	Studies products		
		some of the students. We have			
		created a school wide schedule that			

		includes time for Social Studies for all grade levels, ensuring the continuum is completed with fidelity.			
By 2022, students scoring proficient/distinguished in On Demand Writing will increase from 69.9% to 72.9%.	KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Classroom Activities	Plan strategically in the selection of high yield instructional strategy usage within lessons. Model lessons and professional development in high yield instructional strategies. Focus on the following strategies: - 4-Square Writing - On-Demand Writing Checklists and Live Scoring Sessions - Peer Editing - PLC meetings to discuss writing success - Follow the school writing plan - 3.8 paragraph structures (Abel and Atherton) - Developed a Literacy/Curriculum Committee	- Live Scoring with administration and district-level staff -Walkthroughs -Lesson Plans -Common Assessment Results (district assessments three times a year)	August 2021-May 2022	Title I Instructional PPA District Funds

3: Achievement Gap

Goal 3 (State your achievement gap goal.): By 2025, Economically Disadvantaged students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 56.5% to 67.5% and from 54.8% to 65.8% in Math.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
By 2022, Economically	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	- Literacy First (Literacy Process for	-Walk-throughs	December 2021	Title I Textbook/
Disadvantaged students scoring	Instruction Classroom	Grades 1-2)	-Lesson Plans	May 2022	Instructional PPA
proficient/distinguished in	Activities	- LEAD 21(Reading Program for	-Curriculum Guides	December 2022	District Funds
Reading will increase from		2-5)	-iReady Diagnostic		
56.5% to 58.7%.		- Curriculum Associates: Ready	-Benchmark Data		
		Common Core (Supplemental ELA	-Data Team		
		Program for 2-5)	Assessment Results		
		- iReady diagnostic (1-5) and			
		- MyPath individualized online			
		instruction.			
	KCWP4: Review, Analyze	We will complete the Data Team for	-iReady diagnostic	Every other month	Title I Textbook/
	and Apply Data	Learning process to collect and chart	-Benchmark Data		Instructional PPA
		data, analyze and prioritize the data,	-Data Team		District Funds
		select instructional strategies to	-Assessment Results		
		determine results indicators, and			
		monitor and evaluate the results to			
		determine next steps.			
By 2022, Economically	KCWP2: Design and Deliver	- REFLEX (Supplemental online	- Walk-throughs	December 2021	Title I Textbook/
Disadvantaged students scoring	Instruction Classroom	math resource for 1-5)	- Lesson Plans	May 2022	Instructional PPA
proficient/distinguished in Math will increase from 54.8% to	Activities	- Everyday Math (Core Math	- Curriculum Guides	December 2022	District Funds
57%.		Program for 1-5)	- iReady Diagnostic		
3770.		- Prodigy (online review program)	- Benchmark Data		
		iReady diagnostic tied to MyPath	- Data Team		
		online instruction (1-5)	Assessment Results		
	KCWP4: Review, Analyze	We will complete the Data Team for	- iReady Data	Every other month	Title I Textbook/
	and Apply Data Classroom	Learning process to collect and chart	1	-	Instructional PPA
	Activities	data, analyze and prioritize the data,	- Data Team		District Funds
		select instructional strategies to	Assessment Results		
		determine results indicators, and			

	monitor and evaluate the results to		
	determine next steps.		

4: Growth

Goal 4 (State your growth goal.): By 2025, the Growth rate for all students in Reading and Math will increase from 53.4 to 64.9.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
By 2022, the Growth rate for all	KCWP 5: Design, Align and	Utilize daily formative data	- Walkthroughs	February 2022	District Funds
students in Reading and Math	Deliver Support	collection tools, benchmark data,	- Lesson Plans	April 2022	Title I
will increase from 53.4 to 55.7.		summative data, non- academic	- iReady Data	September 2022	ESS
		data, formative and summative	- Benchmark Data	April 2023	Professional Learning
		teacher observations, and/or	- ESS Progress Reports		ESSER funds
		learning walk details to ensure high	- KSI Monitoring		
		levels of teacher effectiveness and			
		student achievement.	Responsible Persons		
		- Specific, targeted instruction from	- Leadership Team		
		ELL teacher	- Teachers		
		- Collaboration between ELL	- ESS Coordinator		
		teacher and homeroom teacher	- Title I Coordinator		
		- KSI Specialist (Targeting 1-5	- ELL Staff		
		students in Tier 2 and Tier 3)	- GT Teacher		
		- ESS Daytime Waiver (Reading and			
		Math)			
		- Gifted and Talented -ELL			
		Technology Integration (1:1			
		Classrooms)			
		- Professional Learning			
		Communities			
		- Title I Extension After-school			
		Program (1-5)			
		- Learning A to Z (Supplemental			
		resource to core programs)			
		- Assessments (iReady,			
		Benchmarks, Literacy First,			
		i-Ready)			

5: Transition Readiness

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding

6: Graduation Rate

Goal 6 (State your graduation rate goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

7: Other (Optional)

Goal 7 (State your separate goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) Schools

TSI schools must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components Of Turnaround Leadership Development And Support:
Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for
underperforming subgroups?
Response:
Identification Of Critical Resources Inequities:
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to
underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.
Response:

evidence-based practice(s) will the school inco practice to ensure it is implemented with fideli	by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). We proporte that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the exity? Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be active.	vidence-based
accommodate additional pieces of evidence. Response:		
Response.		
Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	\boxtimes
	•	-
Additional Actions That Address The	Causes Of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups Of Students	
	w the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of	

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the "Documenting Evidence under ESSA" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	