

Rineyville Elementary School Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. **No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.**

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- The required school goals include the following:
 - For elementary/middle school, these include proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and, growth.
 - For high school, these include proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness.

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three to five year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and growth. High schools must address proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes or another established improvement approach (i.e. <i>Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldrige, etc.</i>).	Describe the actionable steps that will occur to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the funding source(s) used to support (or needed to support) the improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and should indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

1: Proficiency

Goal 1 (State your proficiency goal.): By 2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 60.1% to 70.1% and in Math from 47.7% to 60.7%.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2022, Students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 60.1% to 62.1%.	KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Plan strategically in the selection of high yield instructional strategy usage within lessons. Model lessons and professional development in high yield instructional strategies. Focus on the following: 1. Orton Gillingham phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency and comprehension skills strategies. 2. KAGAN Cooperative Learning Strategies 3. Orton-Gillingham instruction provided to target students by Support Teacher 4. Dibels Fluency Passages	PLC minutes Common Assessments Walkthrough Data State test scores iReady Data Orton-Gillingham continuums Person Responsible: Principal Classroom Teachers PD committee Support Teacher District Instructional Support Staff	Fall 2022 State Assessment Data iReady reports (3 times this year) Student Growth Reports	PD Title I ESSR
	SCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction	Ensure that students and staff have access to 21 st Century technology resources and tools to enhance reading instruction and promote student engagement. (Implementation of SAMR) 1. HCS Innovate Fellow 2. Google Education Certification 3. My Path technology 4. Lexia Technology	PLC minutes Walkthrough Data Professional Learning Person Responsible: Classroom Teachers Technology Committee Media Specialist		KETS Title I ESSR

Objective 2: By 2022, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Math will increase from 47.7% to 50.3%.	KCWP2	Plan strategically in the selection of high yield instructional strategy usage within lessons. Model lessons and professional development in high yield instructional strategies. Focus on the following strategies: 1. Vocabulary Strategies 2. iReady Math Strategies	Walkthrough Data State test scores Reflex Math Data iReady Data My Path Data Person Responsible: Instructional Committee Principal Classroom Teachers	Fall 2022 State Assessment Data iReady Reports Student Growth Reports	PD Title I ESSR
	KCW1	Ensure the vertical curriculum mapping is occurring to identify curriculum gaps, including planning for the introduction of the standard, development and gradual phases, and arrival at standards mastery. 1. Alignment of Resources (Reflex and My Path). 2. Full implementation of District Expectations for Math 3. Implementation of iReady Math Curriculum.	Committee Minutes PLC Minutes District Expectation Results iReady Assessment results	Fall 2022 State Assessment Data iReady Reports Student Growth Reports	
		Ensure that all students and staff have access to 21 st Century technology resources and tools to enhance mathematics instruction and promote student engagement. 1. HCS Innovate Fellow 2. Google Educator Certification 3. Reflex Math 4. My Path	HCS Fellow Google Educator Certifications SAMR Walkthrough Data Reflex Data My Path Data	Fall 2022 State Assessment Data iReady Reports Student Growth Reports	KETS Title I PD ESSR

--	--	--	--	--	--

2: Separate Academic Indicator

Goal 2 (State your separate academic indicator goal.) : By 2025, students scoring proficient/distinguished in Science will increase from 30.5% to 47.5%, from 41.8% to 56.3% in Social Studies, and from 62.2% to 71.7% in Writing.					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2022, students scoring Proficient/Distinguished in Science will increase from 30.5% to 33.9%.	KCWP1	Ensure that vertical curriculum planning is occurring to identify instructional gaps, including planning for the introduction of the standard, development and gradual release phases, and arrival at standards mastery. 1. Pacing guides 2. Alignment of Resources (Mystery Science and reading materials. 3. Literacy Research Centers	Lesson plans Pacing guides PLC minutes Committee minutes Person Responsible: Classroom teachers Science Lab Teacher 4 th Grade Science teachers Science Committee	Fall 2022 State Assessment Data iReady Assessments	Title I PD
	KCWP1	Determine if assessments (Through Course Tasks) reflect the learning targets students have had the opportunity to learn. 1. TCT Student Work Analysis	PLC Minutes Student Work Faculty Meeting Agendas Person Responsible: Principal Science Committee Science Lab Teacher 4 th Grade Science Teachers		
Objective 2: By 2022, students scoring					

proficient/distinguished in Social Studies will increase from 41.8% to 44.7%.	KCWP2	Plan strategically in the selection of high yield instructional strategy usage within lessons. Model lessons and professional learning in high yield instructional strategies. Focus on the following strategies: 1. Reading Comprehension Strategies 2. Vocabulary Strategies	Walkthrough Data Lesson Plans State test scores Common Assessment Results Person Responsible: Classroom teacher		
Objective: By 2022, students scoring proficient/distinguished in On-Demand Writing will increase from 62.2% to 64.1%.	KCWP1	Ensure that vertical curriculum planning is occurring to identify instructional gaps, including planning for the introduction of the standard, development and gradual release phases, and arrival at standard mastery. 1. School-wide writing plan reviewed and updated annually 2. Alignment to resources.	Lesson plans Writing plan PLC Minutes Work samples Writing checklists State test scores Person responsible: Principal Writing Committee Classroom teachers	Fall 2022 State Assessment Data iReady assessment data	
	KCWP2	Plan strategically in the selection of high yield instructional strategy usage within lessons. Model lessons and professional learning in high yield instructional strategies. Focus on the following strategies: 1. 4-square writing 2. On-Demand Writing checklists and live scoring sessions 3. Peer editing	Walkthrough Data Lesson plans Person Responsible: Classroom teachers Principal Writing Committee		

3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school’s underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school’s climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Goal 3: By 2025, Students with Disabilities scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 26.7% to 44.7% and from 17.8% to 38.3% in Math.
By 2025, Economically Disadvantaged students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 50% to 62.5% and from 39.9% to 54.9% in Math.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2022, Students with Disabilities scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 26.7% to 30.3%.	KCWP2	Ensure the instructional modifications are made based upon the immediate feedback gained from formative assessments. 1. My Path 2. iRead for early readers 3. Lexia Reading 4. Orton-Gillingham reading instruction	iReady proficiency reports District Expectation data in Sight words and Fluency OG continuum data Person Responsible: MTSS Teacher Classroom Teachers Principal	Fall 2022 State Assessment Data iReady assessment results Student growth reports Orton Gillingham Continuums	Title I ESS
Objective 2: By 2022, Students with Disabilities scoring proficient/distinguished in Math will increase from 17.8% to 21.9%.	KCW2	Ensure that instructional modifications are made based upon the immediate feedback. 1. My Path 2. Reflex Math	iReady proficiency reports My Path reports Reflex Math reports District Expectation Reports	Fall 2022 State Assessment Data iReady Assessment Data Student Growth Reports	

			Person Responsible: MTSS Teacher Principal Classroom Teacher		
Objective 3: By 2022, Economically Disadvantaged students scoring proficient/distinguished in Reading will increase from 50% to 52.5%.	KCW2	Ensure the instructional modifications are made based upon the immediate feedback gained from formative assessments. 1. My Path 2. iRead for early readers 3. Orton Gillingham instruction 4. Lexia	iReady proficiency reports My Path and iRead reports District expectation reports for fluency and sight words Orton Gillingham continnums Person Responsible: MTSS Teacher Principal Classroom teachers	Fall 2022 State Assessment Data iReady Reports Student Growth Reports	Title I ESS
Objective 4: By 2022, Economically Disadvantaged students scoring proficient/distinguished in	KCW2	Ensure that instructional modifications are made based upon the immediate feedback gained from formative assessments. 1. iReady Assessments	iReady projected proficiency reports Reflex Math and My Path reports	Fall 2022 State Assessment Data iReady Reports Student Growth Reports	Title I ESS

Math will increase from 39.9% to 42.9%.		2. Reflex Math 3. My Path	District expectation reports for math fact mastery Person Responsible: MTSS Teacher Principal Classroom Teachers		
---	--	------------------------------	--	--	--

4: Growth

Goal 4 (State your growth goal.): By 2025, the Growth rate for all students in Reading and Math will increase from 53.5% to 65%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1: By 2022, the Growth Rate for all students in Reading and Math will increase from 53.5% to 55.8%.	KCWP5	Create and monitor a watch list for students performing below proficiency and increase collaboration in data analysis and students' progress towards standards mastery, including identification of students in need of intervention supports. 1. BLAST block (intervention/enrichment time daily for all grade levels.) 2. MTSS teacher, school counselor and speech teacher collaborate with classroom teachers for student support. 3. MTSS plan and quarterly student data meeting with MTSS teacher. 4. Extended opportunities (after school programs, daytime waiver, summer learning). 5. Orton-Gillingham instruction 6. Co-teach models at every grade level.	iReady Data Progress monitoring for all MTSS students Orton-Gillingham continuums Person Responsible: Classroom Teachers MTSS Teacher Speech Teacher Assistant Principal School Counselor K-2 OG trained staff	Fall 2022 State Assessment Data iReady Reports Student Growth Reports	Title I ESS ESSR
	KCWP6	Ensure the expectations of students are clearly defined, and that group norms have been established within the classroom. 1. PBIS support systems 2. Discipline policy	PBIS Discipline Data ABE Reports iReady Data Person Responsible: Principal	Title I FRC funds PBIS funds	

		3. KAGAN Win-Win Discipline 4. Small group and individual interventions provided by school counselor and family resource coordinator for social/emotional health. 5. Small group/co-teaching model used in classrooms with students identified as notice.	Assistant Principal School Counselor Family Resource Coordinator MTSS Teacher Special Education Teachers Classroom Teachers		
Objective 2					

5: Transition Readiness

Goal 5 (State your transition readiness goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

6: Graduation Rate

Goal 6 (State your graduation rate goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

7: Other (Optional)

Goal 7 (State your separate goal.):					
Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:
Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for underperforming subgroups? Response:
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed. Response:

Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:

Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity? Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Response:

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>

Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups Of Students

Consider: Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance.

Response:

Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school’s turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

Evidence-based Practices

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#). While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the “[Documenting Evidence under ESSA](#)” resource available on KDE’s [Evidence-based Practices website](#).

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>