# **Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)**

#### Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

While the focus of continuous improvement is student performance, the work must be guided by the aspects of teaching and learning that affect performance. An effective improvement process should address the contributing factors creating the learning environment (inputs) and the performance data (outcomes). Through the Needs Assessment for Schools, priorities were identified and processes, practices, and/or conditions were chosen for focus. This goal building template will assist your improvement team to address those priorities and outline your targets and the activities intended to produce the desired changes. Progress monitoring details will ensure that your plan is being reviewed regularly to determine the success of each strategy.

Please note that the objectives (short-term targets) set by your school under the Achievement Gap section of this planning template will be used by the district's superintendent to determine whether or not your school met its targets to reduce the gap in student achievement for any student group for two consecutive years as required by KRS 158.649. Likewise, operational definitions for each required planning component can be found on page 2 of the planning template.

For those schools operating a Title I Schoolwide Program, this plan meets the requirements of Section 1114 of the Every Student Succeeds Act as well as state requirements under 703 KAR 5:225. No separate Schoolwide Program Plan is required.

#### **Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan**

- The required school goals include the following:
  - o For elementary/middle school, these include proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and, growth.
  - o For high school, these include proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness.

# **Explanations/Directions**

**Goal**: Schools should determine long-term goals that are three to five year targets for each required school level indicator. Elementary/middle schools must address proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and growth. High schools must address proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Schools should determine short-term objectives to be attained by the end of the current academic year. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.	Describe your approach to systematically address a process, practice, or condition that was identified as a priority during the Needs Assessment for Schools. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes or another established improvement approach (i.e. Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.).	Describe the actionable steps that will occur to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.	List the criteria that will gauge the impact of your work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative but are observable in some way. Consider measures of input as well as outcomes for both staff and students.	Describe the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Your description should include the artifacts to be reviewed, specific timelines, and responsible individuals.	List the funding source(s) used to support (or needed to support) the improvement initiative. If your school is a recipient of Title I, Part A funds, your CSIP serves as your annual plan and should indicate how Title I funds are utilized to carry out the planned activities.

# 1: Proficiency

Goal 1: By the spring of 2023 Lincoln Elementary School will improve the average overall national percentile that students are scoring in grades 2 - 6 on the STAR Reading assessment from 23% to 43% and the STAR Math assessment from 35% to 55%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1:	Design, Align and Deliver	The Science of Reading - LES is	Increased Percentage		
Collaborate to increase the	Support Processes	collaborating with The Reading	of Students Scoring		
overall national percentile on		League to provide intentional	P/D on Reading KPREP		
the STAR Reading from 23%		professional learning on the science			
to 33%		of reading. All 24 hours of teachers			
		professional learning at LES will			
		focus on the science of reading such			
		as phonological awareness and			
		phonics			
	Establishing Learning Culture	<b>Equipped for Reading Success Book</b>	Increased Percentage		
	and Environment	Study - Teachers from LES	of Students Scoring		
		participated in a book study on the	P/D on Reading KPREP		
		book "Equipped for Reading			
		Success" over the summer. The			
		book focused on the science behind			
		reading and effective instructional			
		practices for teaching reading.			
		Teachers are referencing this book			
		throughout the school year.			
	Establishing Learning Culture	Individual and Team Coaching - The	Increased Percentage		
	and Environment	Reading League has partnered with	of Students Scoring		
		LES and they have provided a	P/D on Reading KPREP		
		Reading Instructional Coach who			
		meets with individual teachers and			
		grade level teams. This coach also			
		models lessons for teachers to			
		observe.			

		Curriculum Evaluation - LES will be	Adopted Reading	
		undergoing a curriculum evaluation	Program prior to next	
		for the current reading resources	school year AND	
			-	
		being used. Based on the work with	Increased Percentage	
		The Reading League, teachers will	of Students Scoring	
		determine which resources and	P/D on Reading KPREP	
		programs are most effective. This		
		evaluation will conclude with the		
		adoption of a new reading series.		
	Design and Deliver	<b>Lexia</b> - All students will participate	Increased Percentage	
	Instruction	in the Lexia Reading Program for 15	of Students Scoring	
		minutes a day/4 days a week. This	P/D on Reading KPREP	
		program continuously personalizes		
		reading instruction for student		
		growth.		
		Core Instruction - teachers are	Increased Percentage	
		currently using Heggerty, Words	of Students scoring	
		Their Way, and the Wonders	P/D on Reading KPREP	
		Reading Series for their core		
		reading time. Following the		
		curriculum evaluation, new		
		programs will be determined and		
		implemented that align with the		
		science of teaching reading.		
Objective 2	Design and Deliver	Envisions Math Program - this	Increased Percentage	
Collaborate to increase the	Instruction	school year all grade levels (K - 6)	of Students Scoring	
	Instruction		P/D on Math KPREP	
overall national percentile on the STAR Math from 35% to		will fully implement the Envisions	P/D OII MALII KPREP	
		Math Program focusing on problem		
45%		based learning and visual learning,		
	Design and Deliver	Successmaker - all students in	Increased Percentage	
	Instruction	grades K - 6 participate in 15	of Students Scoring	
		minutes daily in an adaptive	P/D on Math KPREP	
		learning program that continuously		
		personalizes math instruction for		
		student growth and differentiation.		

	Design and Deliver	Math Intervention Specialist - a	Increased Percentage	
	Instruction	Math Intervention Specialist has	of Students Scoring	
		been hired to provide in class	P/D on Math KPREP	
		modeling for teachers and provides		
		individual and small group		
		interventions to students.		

## 2: Separate Academic Indicator

Goal 2: By the spring of 2023, Lincoln Elementary School will improve the percentage of students scoring Proficient and/or Distinguished on the 4th grade KPREP science assessment from 9.6% to 30%; the percentage of students scoring Proficient and /or Distinguished on the 5th grade KPREP writing assessment from 43.1% to 60%; and the percentage of students scoring P/D on the KPREP Social Studies assessment to 50%.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	Design and Deliver	<b>Demonstrations of Writing</b> - Three	Increased Percentage		
Collaborate to increase the	Instruction AND Design and	times a year students in grades K - 3	of Students Scoring		
percentage of students	Deliver Assessment Literacy	will write a response to a narrative	P/D on Writing KPREP		
scoring P/D on the KPREP On		prompt, informational prompt and			
Demand Writing assessment		an opinion prompt. Students in			
from 43.1% to 50%.		grades 4 - 6 will write a persuasive			
		essay three times a year. These			
		responses will be reviewed by			
		teachers and administration to			
		determine strengths, areas of			
		growth and next steps.			
		Common Language and Writing	Increased Percentage		
		Structure - Teachers are utilizing	of Students Scoring		
		early release Wednesday meetings	P/D on Writing KPREP		
		and common planning time to			
		ensure all grade levels and content			
		areas are using common language			
		and common writing structures.			
	Design and Deliver	Criterion Writing - Teachers and	Increased Percentage		
	Instruction	students at LES are utilizing the	of Students Scoring		
		online writing tool Criterion to track	P/D on Writing KPREP		
		writing progress and administer			
		writing assignments.			
Objective 2	Design, Align, and Deliver	Collaboration Team Meetings -	Increased Percentage		
	Support Processes AND	Intermediate science and social	of Students Scoring		

	T	I	T = 1	
Collaborate to increase the	Establishing Learning Culture	studies teachers will utilize early	P/D on Science and	
percentage of students	and Environment	release Wednesday meetings on	Social Studies KPREP	
scoring P/D on the KPREP		science and social studies		
science assessment from		curriculum instruction and		
9.6% to 20%.		assessment development.		
		Curriculum Alignment - Teachers	Increased Percentage	
Collaborate to have 40% of		will focus curriculum development	of Students Scoring	
students score P/D on the		on the incorporation of the science	P/D on Science and	
new social studies		and social studies standards into	Social Studies KPREP	
assessment.		their reading and math instruction.		
		Intermediate teachers will focus		
		their curriculum development on		
		aligning the 4th, 5th, and 6th grade		
		science and social studies		
		curriculum.		
	Design and Deliver	Assessment Development -	Increased Percentage	
	Assessment Literacy	Teachers will utilize early release	of Students Scoring	
		Wednesday meetings and planning	P/D on Science and	
		time to develop inquiry based	Social Studies KPREP	
		assessments and document based		
		assessments to simulate the 4th		
		grade science assessment and 5th		
		grade social studies assessment.		
		Program Alignment - Teachers will	Increased Percentage	
		review the current programs being	of Students Scoring	
		used for science and social studies	P/D on Science and	
		and align those resources with the	Social Studies KPREP	
		standards and Dayton's curriculum.		
		·		
		1		

### 3: Achievement Gap

KRS 158.649 requires the school-based decision making (SBDM) council, or the principal if no council exists, to set the school's yearly targets for eliminating any achievement gap. The targets should be established with input from parents, faculty, and staff and submitted to the superintendent for consideration and the local board of education for adoption. In addition to being a statutory requirement, intentionally focusing on the achievement gaps that exist among a school's underserved student populations is also a vital component of the continuous improvement process. Schools should use a variety of measures and analysis when conducting its review of its achievement gaps, including a review of the school's climate and culture. Schools are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, schools must establish yearly targets (objectives).

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	<b>Progress Monitoring</b>	Funding
Objective 1	Design and Deliver	Benchmark Assessments/Next Step	Increased Percentage		
Collaborate to increase the	Assessment Literacy AND	Assessments - every LES student is	of Students with		
percentage of students with	Review, Analyze, and Apply	administered various benchmark	disabilities scoring P/D		
disabilities scoring P/D on the	Data	assessments three times a year. For	on Reading KPREP		
KPREP reading assessment		those struggling students,			
from 18.7% to 30%.		additional assessments will be			
		administered to pinpoint specific			
		deficiencies in their learning.			
		Reading Intervention Team - Using	Increased Percentage		
		multiple sources of student data,	of Students with		
		the intervention team determines	disabilities scoring P/D		
		the students that need additional	on Reading KPREP		
		support and interventions. Parents			
		are notified if their child is			
		participating in interventions.			
	Design and Deliver	Equipped for Reading Success -	Increased percentage		
	Instruction	Intermediate teachers will spend 5 -	of students with		
		10 minutes daily building	disabilities scoring P/D		
		phonological awareness by having	on Reading KPREP.		
		whole group instruction using the			
		one minute activities for the			
		Equipped for Reading Success book.			
	Design and Deliver	Six Step Lesson Plan - using the	Increased percentage		
	Instruction	Reading League six step lesson plan,	of students with		
		special education teachers will			

		design and implement explicit phonics and phonological awareness lessons to students during their resource time.	disabilities scoring P/D on Reading KPREP.	
Objective 2 Collaborate to increase the percentage of female students scoring P/D on the KPREP math assessment from	Design and Deliver Instruction	Envisions Math Program - this school year all grade levels (K - 6) will fully implement the Envisions Math Program focusing on problem based learning and visual learning,	Increased Percentage of Students Scoring P/D on Math KPREP	
14.4% to 30%.  Collaborate to increase the percentage of students with disabilities scoring P/D on the KPREP Math assessment		Successmaker - all students in grades K - 6 participate in 15 minutes daily in an adaptive learning program that continuously personalizes math instruction for student growth and differentiation.	Increased Percentage of Students Scoring P/D on Math KPREP	
from 8.5% to 20%.	Design and Deliver Instruction AND Review, Analyze and Apply Data	Math Intervention Specialist - a Math Intervention Specialist has been hired to provide in class modeling for teachers and provide individual and small group interventions to students.	Increased Percentage of Students Scoring P/D on Math KPREP	

# 4: Growth

Goal 4: By the spring of 2023, Lincoln Elementary School will increase the percentage of students meeting grade level benchmarks from 41% to 61% in reading and 57% to 77% in math.

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1	Design and Deliver	Benchmark Assessments/Next Step	Increased Percentage		
Collaborate to increase the	Assessment Literacy AND	<b>Assessments</b> - every LES student is	of Students Scoring		
percentage of students	Review, Analyze and Apply	administered various benchmark	P/D on Reading and		
meeting grade level	Data	assessments three times a year. For	Math KPREP		
benchmarks from 41% to		those struggling students,			
51% in reading and 57% to		additional assessments will be			
67% in math.		administered to pinpoint specific			
		deficiencies in their learning.			
		Reading/Math Intervention Team -	Increased Percentage		
		Using multiple sources of student	of Students Scoring		
		data, the intervention team	P/D on Reading and		
		determines the students that need	Math KPREP		
		additional support and			
		interventions. Parents are notified if			
		their child is participating in			
		interventions.			
	Review, Analyze and Apply	Intentional Data Points - Weekly	Increased Percentage		
	Data	progress monitoring is administered	of Students Scoring		
		and the data is reviewed and	P/D on Reading and		
		analyzed every 9 - 11 weeks to	Math KPREP		
		determine any needed intervention			
		changes for individual students.			
Objective 2					

		!

# **5: Transition Readiness**

Goal 5 (State your transition readiness goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

# **6: Graduation Rate**

Goal 6 (State your graduation rate goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

# 7: Other (Optional)

Goal 7 (State your separate goal.):

Objective	Strategy	Activities	Measure of Success	Progress Monitoring	Funding
Objective 1					
Objective 2					

## Special Considerations for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

TSI schools (including ATSI schools) must embed their subgroup(s) plan for improvement within their CSIPs. TSI stakeholders, including the principal and other school leaders, teachers, and parents, should carefully consider what must be done to ensure the subgroup(s) perform(s) at high levels in the state accountability system. In addition to identifying strategies and activities within the CSIP that address the specific needs of underperforming groups, provide narrative information regarding the additional requirements for TSI schools in the following chart:

Components of Turnaround Leadership Development and Support:
Consider: How will you ensure that school leadership has or develops the skills and disposition to achieve accelerated, meaningful, and sustainable increases in student achievement for
underperforming subgroups?
Response:
Identification of Critical Resources Inspections
Identification of Critical Resources Inequities:
Consider: Describe the process used to review the allocation and use of resources (people, time, and money), any resource inequities that were identified that may contribute to
underperformance, and how identified resource inequities will be addressed.
Response:

### **Targeted Subgroups and Evidence-Based Interventions:**

Consider: Identify the areas of need revealed by the analysis of academic and non-academic data that will be addressed through CSIP activities for your targeted subgroup(s). What evidence-based practice(s) will the school incorporate that specifically targets the subgroup(s) achievement that contributed to the TSI identification? How will we monitor the evidence-based practice to ensure it is implemented with fidelity? Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

#### Response:

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	$\boxtimes$

### **Additional Actions That Address the Causes of Consistently Underperforming Subgroups Of Students**

**Consider:** Describe the process used to review the learning culture related to your targeted subgroup(s) and any additional actions that were determined to address the causes of underperformance.

Response:

### **Special Considerations for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) Schools**

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) must complete the CSIP process and meet all applicable deadlines while identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI). Following the completion of the school audit, CSI schools must revise their CSIP to account for the improvement priorities identified by the audit team. The newly revised CSIP, referred to as a Turnaround Plan, must include the following items: (1) evidence-based interventions to be utilized to increase student performance and address the critical needs identified in the school audit, (2) a comprehensive list of persons and entities involved in the turnaround efforts and the specific roles each shall play in the school's turnaround process, and (3) a review of resource inequities, which shall include an analysis of school level budgeting to ensure resources are adequately channeled towards school improvement (703 KAR 5:280). Each of the three aforementioned requirements must be embedded throughout the CSIP document. Once the CSIP has been revised, the turnaround plan must be submitted to the LEA for approval before it is submitted to the Commissioner of Education for final approval.

#### **Evidence-based Practices**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (2015) created new expectations for evidence-based decision making at school and district levels. More specific information regarding evidence-based practices and requirements can be found on the Kentucky Department of Education's Evidence-based Practices website. While evidence documentation in the CSIP is only required for schools identified for Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), KDE encourages all school leaders to review evidence related to new programs, practices, or interventions being implemented in the school. In addition to documenting the evidence below, TSI and CSI schools are expected to upload a description of their evidence review process, the findings of their evidence review, and a discussion of the local implications into eProve. Specific directions regarding the documentation requirements can be found in the "Documenting Evidence under ESSA" resource available on KDE's Evidence-based Practices website.

Complete the table below to document the evidence that supports the Activities outlined in this plan. Additional rows may be added to accommodate additional pieces of evidence.

Evidence-based Activity	Evidence Citation	Uploaded in eProve
Train staff to implement inductive teaching strategies.	Hattie, J. (2009). Visible Learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge: New York, NY.	