

KENTUCKY MUNICIPAL ENERGY AGENCY



KYMEA FY2022 Weighted Voting Update

Doug Buresh

July 21, 2021

FY2022 Weighted Voting Update



- Weighted vote calculation is updated annually to reflect Members' annual energy requirements
- Calculation of weighted votes is determined by KYMEA Bylaws, Article II, Section 10
- For the primary delivery points, metered amounts are lossadjusted to transmission-level amounts
- FY2022 weighted votes have been updated to reflect purchases for 12 months ending June 2021
- Update shows very little change in FY2022 weighted votes versus FY2021 amounts

Calculation of Weighted Votes



Excerpt from KYMEA Bylaws – Article II, Section 10

Section 10. For the purpose of determining a Weighted Vote as described in Article III, Section 3 of the Agency Agreement, the number of weighted votes of each Member shall be based upon the following formula:

(MTER \div TERAM) x 100

For the purpose of the formula, "MTER" means a Member's total energy requirements purchased or consumed during the Agency's preceding fiscal year by such Member from any resource designated by the Agency as a resource controlled, managed or dispatched by the Agency measured at or adjusted to the points of delivery from the transmission system and "TERAM" means the sum of the MTER quantities determined for all Members for the preceding fiscal year of the Agency. Each Member's number of weighted votes as determined by the formula shall be rounded to the nearest one-tenth.

For the period prior to the provision of power supply to a Member by the Agency, the Member's energy requirements shall be based on the appropriate amounts purchased or consumed under the Member's existing power supply arrangements.

FY2022 Weighted Voting



Annual update of Weighted Voting for FY2022 is included on the following page

KYMEA Weighted Voting for FY2022



	All KYMEA		A Members	AR M	embers		
	Voting Membe	r	MWh	Votes	% of Total	Votes	% of Total
1	Barbourville		87,110	4.1	4.07%	4.1	7.21%
2	Bardwell		8,486	0.4	0.40%	0.4	0.70%
3	Benham		5,998	0.3	0.28%	-	n/a
4	Berea		124,813	5.8	5.83%	-	n/a
5	Corbin		81,182	3.8	3.79%	3.8	6.68%
6	Falmouth		18,387	0.9	0.86%	0.9	1.58%
7	Frankfort		658,267	30.7	30.74%	30.7	53.95%
8	Madisonville		266,729	12.5	12.46%	12.5	21.97%
9	Owensboro		794,714	37.1	37.11%	-	n/a
10	Paris		66,379	3.1	3.10%	3.1	5.45%
11	Providence		29,194	1.4	1.36%	1.4	2.46%
		TOTALS	2,141,259	100.0	100.00%	56.9	100.00%

Notes:

Weighted Votes effective July 1, 2021

Energy amounts above based on FY2021 Energy Requirements loss-adjusted to transmission service level

File: KYMEA_Member_Invoices_07-2021.xlsm

FY 2019-2021 Energy Purchases Comparison



Comparison of energy purchases for FY2019, FY2020, and FY2021 is included on the following page for information

Year-to-Year Comparison



Year-to-Year Comparison of Energy Purchases by Member

		FY2021		FY2020		FY2019	·
	Municipal	MWh	% of Total	MWh	% of Total	MWh	% of Total
1	Barbourville	87,110	4.1%	85,997	4.0%	89,248	4.0%
2	Bardwell	8,486	0.4%	8,532	0.4%	9,007	0.4%
3	Benham	5,998	0.3%	5,992	0.3%	6,277	0.3%
4	Berea	124,813	5.8%	126,025	5.9%	132,721	6.0%
5	Corbin	81,182	3.8%	81,352	3.8%	83,960	3.8%
6	Falmouth	18,387	0.9%	19,017	0.9%	19,242	0.9%
7	Frankfort	658,267	30.7%	655,213	30.6%	689,693	31.0%
8	Madisonville	266,729	12.5%	278,048	13.0%	289,666	13.0%
9	Owensboro	794,714	37.1%	795,871	37.1%	817,779	36.7%
10	Paris	66,379	3.1%	60,211	2.8%	60,247	2.7%
11	Providence	29,194	1.4%	26,745	1.2%	27,614	1.2%
	TOTALS	2,141,259	100.0%	2,143,003	100.0%	2,225,454	100.0%

Year-to-Year Change

		FY2021 - FY2020		FY2020 -	FY2020 - FY2019		FY2019 - FY2018	
	Municipal	Diff (MWh)	Diff (%)	Diff (MWh)	Diff (%)	Diff (MWh)	Diff (%)	
1	Barbourville	1,113	0.06%	(3,251)	0.00%	(2,644)	-0.04%	
2	Bardwell	(46)	0.00%	(475)	-0.01%	31	0.01%	
3	Benham	6	0.00%	(285)	0.00%	(272)	-0.01%	
4	Berea	(1,212)	-0.05%	(6,696)	-0.08%	1,693	0.19%	
5	Corbin	(170)	0.00%	(2,608)	0.02%	9	0.07%	
6	Falmouth	(630)	-0.03%	(225)	0.02%	(514)	-0.01%	
7	Frankfort	3,054	0.17%	(34,480)	-0.42%	(17,359)	-0.18%	
8	Madisonville	(11,319)	-0.52%	(11,618)	-0.04%	(10,084)	-0.20%	
9	Owensboro	(1,157)	-0.02%	(21,908)	0.39%	(11,589)	0.18%	
10	Paris	6,168	0.29%	(36)	0.10%	(495)	0.03%	
11	Providence	2,449	0.12%	(869)	0.01%	(1,505)	-0.04%	
	TOTALS	(1,744)	0.00%	(82,451)	0.00%	(42,730)	0.00%	

Actions



AR Project Committee Requested Action

 Staff recommends the AR Project Committee approve the Weighted Voting for FY2022

Board Requested Action

 Staff recommends the KYMEA Board approve the Weighted Voting for FY2022