Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP)

Rationale

School improvement efforts are a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. Through the improvement planning process, leaders focus on priority needs, funding, and closing achievement gaps among identified subgroups of students. When implemented with fidelity, the Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) cultivates an environment that promotes student growth and achievement.

Operational Definitions

Goal: Long-term three to five year targets based on the required school level goals. Elementary/middle schools must have goals for proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and growth. High schools must have goals for proficiency, separate academic indicators, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

Objective: Short-term target to be attained by the end of the current academic year. There can be multiple objectives for each goal.

Strategy: An approach to systematically address the process, practice, or condition that the school will focus its efforts upon in order to reach its goals or objectives. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes listed below or another established improvement approach (i.e. *Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.*).

Activity: Actionable steps used to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy.

Key Core Work Processes: A series of processes identified by the Kentucky Department of Education that involve the majority of an organization's workforce and relate to its core competencies. These are the factors that determine an organization's success and help it prioritize areas for growth.

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- <u>KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction</u>
- <u>KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy</u>

- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- <u>KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support</u>
- <u>KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment</u>

Measure of Success: Criteria that shows the impact of the work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative, but are observable in some way.

Progress Monitoring: Process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Should include timelines and responsible individuals.

Funding: Local, state, or federal funds/grants used to support (or needed to support) the improvement initiative.

Requirements for Building an Improvement Plan

- There are six (6) required district goals: proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, growth, and transition readiness.
- The required school goals include the following:
 - For elementary/middle school, these include: proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and, growth.
 - For high school, these include: proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness.

Explanations/Directions

Goal: Include long-term three to five year targets based on the required school level goals. Elementary/middle schools must have goals for proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, and growth. High schools must have goals for proficiency, separate academic indicator, achievement gap, graduation rate, and transition readiness. Long-term targets should be informed by The Needs Assessment for Schools.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Include short-term targets to be attained by the end of the current academic year. There can be multiple objectives for each goal. | An approach to systematically address the process, practice, or condition that the school will focus its efforts upon in order to reach its goals or objectives. There can be multiple strategies for each objective. The strategy can be based upon Kentucky's six (6) Key Core Work Processes listed above or another established improvement approach (i.e. Six Sigma, Shipley, Baldridge, etc.). | Include actionable steps used to deploy the chosen strategy. There can be multiple activities for each strategy. | List the criteria that shows the impact of the work. The measures may be quantitative or qualitative, but are observable in some way. | Discuss the process used to assess the implementation of the plan, the rate of improvement, and the effectiveness of the plan. Should include timelines and responsible individuals. Progress monitoring ensures that plans are being revisited and an opportunity to determine whether the plan is working. | List the funding source(s) used to support (or needed to support) the improvement initiative. |

1: Proficiency Goal

| Goal 1b: Increase the percentag | ge of students scoring Proficient | or Distinguished on Math based on KPREP Assessmen | nt at Franklin Simpson High Scl | hool from 43.3 to 71.7 by 2031 | |
|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------|---------|
| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
| Increase the percentage of students scoring Proficient or | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards | Review and conduct cyclic curriculum reviews/checks within the PLC | Evidence Attend and monitor PLC | | \$0 |
| Distinguished on Reading | | Utilize knowledge of best practice/high yield | conversations. | | 0 |
| based on KPREP Assessment | Strategy: Curriculum | instructional strategies to aid in curricular | Curriculum Maps | | |
| at Franklin Simpson High School from 49.3 to 51.6 by | Monitoring and Review of Instructional Strategies | adjustments when students fail to meet mastery. | Walkthroughs | | |
| 2021. | | | Members Responsible | | |
| | | | Administration | | |
| | | | Teachers | | |
| | KCWP 3: Design and Deliver | Monitor and evaluate the validity of assessments, | Evidence | | \$0 |
| | Assessment Literacy | standards, and learning targets. | Attending and monitor PLC conversations | | ćo |
| | | Ensure that formative assessment practices allow students to understand where they are going, where | Walkthroughs | | \$0 |
| | | they currently are, and how they can close the gap. | Overviews | | |
| | | they currently are, and now they can close the gap. | overviews | | |
| | | Reinforce the use of Newsela within academic time. | Members Responsible | | |
| | | as well as, utilizing interventions such as IXL and | Administration | | |
| | | Catch-up Math to aid students in developing skills | Teachers | | |
| | | needed and reassessing to check for understanding. | | | |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, | Create and monitor a "Watch (Cusp) List" for | Evidence | | \$0 |
| | and Apply Data | students performing below proficiency | Attending and monitor | | |
| | | Use classroom assessment data to inform teacher's | PLC conversations | | \$0 |
| | | instructional decisions. | Walkthroughs | | |
| | | | Overviews | | |
| | | | Members Responsible | | |
| | | | Administration | | |
| | | | Teachers | | |
| Increase the percentage of | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy | Review and conduct cyclic curriculum | Evidence | | \$0 |
| students scoring Proficient or | Standards | reviews/checks within the PLC | Attend and monitor PLC | | |
| Distinguished on Math based | | | conversations. | | |

| on KPREP Assessment at Franklin Simpson High School from 43.3 to 45.9 by 2021. | Strategy: Curriculum Monitoring and Review of Instructional Strategies | Utilize knowledge of best practice/high yield instructional strategies to aid in curricular adjustments when students fail to meet mastery. | Curriculum Maps Walkthroughs <u>Members Responsible</u> Administration Teachers | \$0 |
|---|--|--|--|-----|
| | KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy | Monitor and evaluate the validity of assessments, standards, and learning targets. | Evidence Attending and monitor | \$0 |
| | | Ensure that formative assessment practices allow students to understand where they are going, where they currently are, and how they can close the gap. Reinforce the use of Newsela within academic time, as well as, utilizing interventions such as IXL and Catch-up Math to aid students in developing skills needed and reassessing to check for understanding. | PLC conversations Walkthroughs Overviews <u>Members Responsible</u> Administration Teachers | \$0 |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data | Create and monitor a "Watch (Cusp) List" for students performing below proficiency | Evidence Attending and monitor | \$0 |
| | | Use classroom assessment data to inform teacher's instructional decisions. | PLC conversations Walkthroughs Overviews <u>Members Responsible</u> Administration Teachers | \$0 |

2: Separate Academic Indicator

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Increase the percentage of | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy | Review and conduct curriculum reviews/checks | <u>Evidence</u> | | \$0 |
| students scoring Proficient or | Standards | within the PLC | Attend and monitor PLC | | |
| Distinguished on Science | | Utilize knowledge of best practice/high yield | conversations. | | |
| based on KPREP Assessment | Strategy: Curriculum | instructional strategies to aid in curricular | Curriculum Maps | | |
| at Franklin Simpson High | Monitoring and Review of | adjustments when students fail to meet mastery | Walkthroughs | | |
| School from 35.4 to 38.3 by 2021. | Instructional Strategies | within their Science classes. | Assessment Data | | |
| 2021. | | | Members Responsible | | |
| | | | Administration | | |
| | | | Teachers | | |
| | KCWP 3: Design and Deliver | Monitor and evaluate the validity of assessments, | Evidence | | \$0 |
| | Assessment Literacy | standards, and learning targets. | Attend and monitor PLC | | |
| | _ | Ensure that formative assessment practices allow | conversations. | | |
| | | students to understand where they are going, where | Curriculum Maps | | |
| | | they currently are, and how they can close the gap. | Walkthroughs | | |
| | | | Assessment Data | | |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, | Create and monitor a "Watch (Cusp) List" for | | | \$0 |
| | and Apply Data | students performing below proficiency | Members Responsible | | |
| | | Use classroom assessment data to inform teacher's | Administration | | |
| 1 | | instructional decisions. | Teachers | | |

3: Achievement Gap

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|--|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Decrease the gap rate between the white group and | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards | Review and conduct cyclic curriculum reviews/checks within the PLC | Evidence Attend and monitor PLC | | \$0 |
| disability group in | | Utilize knowledge of best practice/high yield | conversations. | | |
| Mathematics from 42.3 to | Strategy: Curriculum | instructional strategies to aid in curricular | Curriculum Maps | | |
| 40.4 by 2021. | Monitoring and Review of Instructional Strategies | adjustments when students fail to meet mastery. | Walkthroughs | | |
| | | | Members Responsible | | |
| | | | Administration | | |
| | | | Teachers | | |
| | KCWP 3: Design and Deliver | Monitor and evaluate the validity of | Evidence | | \$0 |
| | Assessment Literacy | assessments, standards, and learning targets. | Attend and monitor PLC | | |
| | | | conversations | | |
| | | Ensure that formative assessment practices | Walkthroughs | | |
| | | allow students to understand where they are | Overviews | | |
| | | going, where they currently are, and how they | Members Responsible | | |
| | | can close the gap. | Administration | | |
| | | | Teachers | | |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, | Create and monitor a "Watch (Cusp) List" for | Evidence | | \$0 |
| | and Apply Data | students performing below proficiency. | Attend and monitor PLC | | |
| | | | conversations | | |
| | | Use IXL diagnostics and STAR data to identify | Walkthroughs | | |
| | | gaps in math and ELA concepts. Use Newsela | Overviews | | |
| | | and STAR data to identify gaps in reading | | | |
| | | concepts. | Members Responsible | | |
| | | | Administration | | |
| | | Use classroom assessment data to inform | Teachers | | |
| | | teacher's instructional decisions. | | | |
| Decrease the gap rate petween the white group and | KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards | Review and conduct cyclic curriculum reviews/checks within the PLC | Evidence Attend and monitor PLC | | \$0 |
| | Niandarde | Teviews/cnecks within the PLU | I Attend and monitor PL | | |

| disability group in Reading from 36 to 34.4 by 2021. | Strategy: Curriculum Monitoring and Review of Instructional Strategies | Utilize knowledge of best practice/high yield instructional strategies to aid in curricular adjustments when students fail to meet mastery. | Curriculum Maps Walkthroughs <u>Members Responsible</u> Administration Teachers | |
|---|--|---|--|-----|
| | KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy | Monitor and evaluate the validity of assessments, standards, and learning targets. | Evidence Attend and monitor PLC conversations | \$0 |
| | | Ensure that formative assessment practices allow students to understand where they are going, where they currently are, and how they can close the gap. | Walkthroughs Overviews <u>Members Responsible</u> Administration Teachers | |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, and Apply Data | Create and monitor a "Watch (Cusp) List" for students performing below proficiency. Use IXL diagnostics and STAR data to identify gaps in math and ELA concepts. Use Newsela and STAR data to identify gaps in reading concepts. | Evidence Attend and monitor PLC conversations Walkthroughs Overviews <u>Members Responsible</u> | \$0 |
| | | Use classroom assessment data to inform teacher's instructional decisions. | Administration Teachers | |

4: Transition Readiness

Goal 4: Increase the percentage of Hispanic students graduating transition ready from 60 to 82 by 2031.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Increase the percentage of | KCWP 5: Design, Align, and | Identify curricular modification needs using | Evidence | | \$0 |
| Hispanic students graduating | Deliver Support | pre-assessment strategies, and use data results | Attend and monitor | | |
| transition ready from 60 to 82 | | to "frontload" concepts where high levels of | PLC conversations. | | |
| by 2031. | Strategy: Employ | below proficient prerequisite skills are | Curriculum Maps | | |
| | monitoring strategies and | identified | Walkthroughs | | |
| | inventions to aid in | Incorporate professional knowledge of best | | | |
| | transition readiness. | practice and high yield strategies with | Members Responsible | | |
| | | knowledge of personalized student needs to | Administration | | |
| | | procure a unique match that will propel student | Teachers | | |
| | | achievement. | | | |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, | Create and monitor a "Watch (Cusp) List" for | Evidence | | \$0 |
| | and Apply Data | students performing below college and/or career | Attend and monitor | | |
| | | readiness standards. | PLC conversations. | | |
| | | | Curriculum Maps | | |
| | | | Walkthroughs | | |
| | | | - | | |
| | | | Members Responsible | | |
| | | | Administration | | |
| | | | Teachers | | |

5: Graduation Rate

Goal 5: Increase the 4-year cohort graduation rate from 96.6 to 98 by 2031.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Increase the 4-year cohort | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, | Create and monitor a "Watch (Cusp) List" | Evidence | | \$0 |
| graduation rate from 96.6 to | and Apply Data | for students performing below proficiency. | Attend and monitor PLC | | |
| 97 by 2021. | | (Transition Readiness) | conversations. | | |
| | Strategy: Data Collection | Develop a system for student monitoring | Curriculum Maps | | |
| | and Monitoring of | using Google Drive. | Walkthroughs | | |
| | Graduation Requirements | | Assessment Data | | |
| | | | Members Responsible | | |
| | | | Administration | | |
| | | | Teachers | | |
| | KCWP 5: Design, Align, and | Utilize daily formative data collection tools, | Evidence | | \$0 |
| | Deliver Support | benchmark data, summative data, | Attend and monitor PLC | | |
| | | non-academic data, formative and | conversations. | | |
| | | summative teacher observations, and/or | Curriculum Maps | | |
| | | learning walk details to ensure high levels of | Walkthroughs | | |
| | | teacher effectiveness and student | Assessment Data | | |
| | | achievement. | _ | | |
| | | Implement and commit to purposeful, | Members Responsible | | |
| | | scheduled monitoring efforts in accordance | Administration | | |
| | | with continuous improvement needs | Teachers | | |