

FLOYD COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION Danny Adkins, Superintendent 442 KY RT 550 Eastern, KY 41622 Telephone (606) 886-2354 Fax (606) 886-4550 www.floyd.kyschools.us

Sherry Robinson- Chair - District 5 William Newsome, Jr., Vice-Chair - District 3 Linda C. Gearheart, Member - District 1 Dr. Chandra Varia, Member- District 2 Rhonda Meade, Member - District 4

Consent Agenda Item (Action Item):

Consider 2020 - 2021 Non-Resident Contracts.

Applicable Statute(s), Regulation(s), Board Policy/Procedures(s) and/or Consolidated Plan Activity:

KRS 157.350: Board Policy 09.125, which states Reciprocal written agreements with other school districts concerning non-resident students shall be made in compliance with state statutes and administrative regulations.

Fiscal/Budgetary Impact:

Enclosed

History/Background:

For many years Floyd County has entered into Non-Resident Contracts with Paintsville Independent, Pikeville Independent, Martin, Magoffin, Knott, Johnson and Pike Counties. All of the Non-Resident contracts contain the following restrictions clause: The Superintendent has the authority to determine the acceptance of non-resident students on a case by case basis. Transportation to and from school is the responsibility of the parent/guardian. The student may be transferred back to the county of residence unless they make acceptable academic progress (on grade level), be in regular attendance (not a truant) and demonstrate acceptable behavior (no suspension level offenses). Please see attached chart for the 2011-2012 through current year number of non-resident students received and lost.

Staff Recommendation & Rationale:

Approve the 2020-2021 Non-Resident Contracts

From 2018-2019:

DATA: # of students who have never gone to school in Floyd County

Johnson County: 134/161 or 83% Pikeville Ind: 83/101 or 82% Pike County: 88/117 or 75%

Paintsville Ind: 28/68 or 41% (68% El and 28% Mid/High)

Contact Person:

Kristina Springer 886-4519

January 27, 2020

KENTUCKY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION CONTRACT FOR NONRESIDENT PUPILS

2020 - 2021 School Year

Instructions:

- 1. Contracts are required by KRS 157.350, which reads in part: "Each district which meets the following requirements shall be eligible to share in the distribution of funds from the fund to Support Education Excellence in Kentucky...(4) Includes no nonresident pupils in its average daily attendance, except by written agreement with the district of the pupils legal residence..."
- 2. This contract is to be made in triplicate. One copy is to be filed with the Kentucky Department of Education, together with the attendance report for the first two (2) months of the school term. Each district is to keep a signed copy on file.
- 3. The board of education of the district where the nonresident pupils attend school agrees to make attendance reports at the close of the first two (2) months and at the close of the school term. These reports shall be delivered to the board of education where the pupils legally reside and shall agree with the terms of this contract.
- 4. The board of education of the district of residence and the board of education of the district providing instruction agree that all contractual terms, supplemental agreements, and other conditions governing this exchange of students are herewith fully disclosed. Copies of all such contracts, agreements, and conditions are attached and made part of this contract for nonresident pupils.
- 5. Do either parents or either board of education pay tuition for nonresident children? "YES "NO. If "YES", attach a statement disclosing the tuition rate, to whom it is paid and the payment terms.
- 6. Contract may be written to read "any", "all", or a specific number of students, subject to restrictions of the local board of education (attach copy of local board policy). RESTRICTIONS: The Superintendent has the authority to determine the acceptance on non-resident students on a case by case basis. The student may be transferred back to the county of residence unless they make acceptable academic progress (on grade level), be in regular attendance (not a truant), and demonstrate acceptable behavior (no suspension level offenses).

1.	The board of education of the KNO	T COUNTY school distr	rict (district
	of legal residence of pupils) enters into a		
	the FLOYD COUNTY school		
	educate ANY/ALL (list on back		
	further provides that the average daily at		be counted in
	the district where the pupil(s) attend sch		
II.	The board of education of theFLOYI		
	receive transportation credit for <u>ANY</u>		rt I, such
	credit to be calculated in accordance with	1 KRS 157.370.	
This southeast			11
i nis contract	must be executed below by the board of ed		
		TT COUNTY	
	must be executed below by the board of ed		Date
This contract			
	, Chairman FLC	YD COUNTY	School Distric

School District

FLOYD COUNTY SCHOOLS ENROLLMENT and FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF RECIPROCITY AGREEMENTS

YEAR	DISTRICT	Entering From	Fin	ancial Gain	Leaving To	Fin	ancial Loss
2019-2020	Johnson County	14	\$	56,000	172	\$	688,000
	Paintsville Independent	0	\$	1.4	54	\$	216,000
	Knott County	26	\$	104,000	6	\$	24,000
The State of the S	Magoffin County	7	\$	28,000	1	\$	4,000
	Pike County	25	\$	100,000	125	\$	500,000
	Pikeville Independent	0	\$	-	96	\$	384,000
801965 330	Martin County	3	\$	12,000	0	\$	-
Totals	Maria Service Brown	75	\$	300,000	454	\$	1,816,000
Difference					-379	\$	(1,516,000)
	This analysis uses the guaranteed SI	EK base of \$4,000 fo	r 20:	19-2020.			HAR DA

YEAR	DISTRICT	Entering From	Fin	ancial Gain	Leaving To	Fin	ancial Loss
2018-2019	Johnson County	17	\$	68,000	161	\$	644,000
	Paintsville Independent	0	\$	-	68	\$	272,000
	Knott County	26	\$	104,000	6	\$	24,000
中国	Magoffin County	8	\$	32,000	0	\$	-
RESULTED	Pike County	36	\$	144,000	117	\$	468,000
	Pikeville Independent	0	\$	-	101	\$	404,000
	Martin County	1	\$	4,000	0	\$	-
Totals		88	\$	352,000	453	\$	1,812,000
Difference				esta di la	-365	\$	(1,460,000)
					CHARLE SAL	(194)	
	This analysis uses the guaranteed Si	EEK base of \$4,000 fo	r 2 0:	18-2019.			

YEAR	DISTRICT	Entering From	Fin	ancial Gain	Leaving To	Fin	ancial Loss
2017-2018	Johnson County	18	\$	71,658	166	\$	660,846
Santagen	Paintsville Independent	0	\$	-	63	\$	250,803
	Knott County	33	\$	131,373	8	\$	31,848
1505 BAS	Magoffin County	6	\$	23,886	3	\$	11,943
	Pike County	24	\$	95,544	141	\$	561,321
S. Constitution	Pikeville Independent	1	\$	3,981	86	\$	342,366
	Martin County	1	\$	3,981	0	\$	-
Totals		83	\$	330,423	467	\$	1,859,127
Difference		N. Carlo	THE R	A SALE	-384	\$	(1,528,704)
					- 1250 - 1 10	44	
是們錯誤	This analysis uses the guaranteed S	EEK base of \$3,981 fo	r 20	17-2018.	Principles of		

FLOYD COUNTY SCHOOLS ENROLLMENT and FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF RECIPROCITY AGREEMENTS

YEAR	DISTRICT	Entering From	Fin	nancial Gain	Leaving To	Fir	nancial Loss
2016-2017	Johnson County	17	\$	67,677	155	\$	617,055
SUNDING W	Paintsville Independent	0	\$	-	45	\$	179,145
THE REAL PROPERTY.	Knott County	41	\$	163,221	11	\$	43,791
	Magoffin County	9	\$	35,829	1	\$	3,981
DESIGNATION OF THE PERSON OF T	Pike County	18	\$	71,658	133	\$	529,473
Service Control	Pikeville Independent	1	\$	3,981	90	\$	358,290
	Martin County	2	\$	7,962	0	\$	-
Totals		88	\$	350,328	435	\$	1,731,735
Difference					-347	\$	(1,381,407)
						9	
	This analysis uses the guaranteed Si	EEK base of \$3,981 fo	r 20	16-2017.		1000	

YEAR	DISTRICT	Entering From	Fir	nancial Gain	Leaving To	Fir	nancial Loss
2015-2016	Johnson County	6	\$	23,886	109	\$	433,929
2 - 1 1 2 h	Paintsville Independent	0	\$	-	38	\$	151,278
	Knott County	29	\$	115,449	11	\$	43,791
	Magoffin County	4	\$	15,924	1	\$	3,981
- 10/10/10	Pike County	14	\$	55,734	106	\$	421,986
SERVICE DE	Pikeville Independent	0	\$	-	93	\$	370,233
	Martin County	1	\$	3,981	1	\$	3,981
Totals	PRINCIPLE TO SERVICE	54	\$	214,974	359	\$	1,429,179
Difference				SUPERIOR.	-305	\$	(1,214,205)
E II WHEEL							1
	This analysis uses the guaranteed S	EEK base of \$3,981 fo	r 20)15-2016.	DET NOTE OF		

YEAR	DISTRICT	Entering From	Fin	ancial Gain	Leaving To	Fin	nancial Loss
2014-2015	Johnson County	10	\$	39,110	131	\$	512,341
The same of the same of	Paintsville Independent	0	\$	-	46	\$	179,906
	Knott County	24	\$	93,864	13	\$	50,843
	Magoffin County	6	\$	23,466	6	\$	23,466
	Pike County	6	\$	23,466	143	\$	559,273
616-157	Pikeville Independent	0	\$	-	85	\$	332,435
	Martin County	1	\$	3,911	0	\$	-
Totals		47	\$	183,817	424	\$	1,658,264
Difference					-377	\$	(1,474,447)
IS CAPPORTS							CARLES TO S
Benefit HEED	This analysis uses the guaranteed S	EEK base of \$3,911 fo	r 20	14-2015.			
					2001-	13	

FLOYD COUNTY SCHOOLS ENROLLMENT and FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF RECIPROCITY AGREEMENTS

YEAR	DISTRICT	Entering From	Fin	ancial Gain	Leaving To	Fin	anciai Loss
2013-2014	Johnson County	12	\$	45,924	152	\$	581,704
NEW DIE	Paintsville Independent	0	\$	-	36	\$	137,772
	Knott County	25	\$	95,675	20	\$	76,540
PER STORY	Magoffin County	9	\$	34,443	4	\$	15,308
AL SE	Pike County	16	\$	61,232	142	\$	543,434
	Pikeville Independent	0	\$	-	95	\$	363,565
	Martin County	1	\$	3,827	3	\$	11,481
Totals		63	\$	241,101	452	\$	1,729,804
Difference					-389	\$	(1,488,703)
DELTA IS							
- 12000	This analysis uses the guaranteed Si	EEK base of \$3,827 fo	r 20	13-2014.		10	

YEAR	DISTRICT	Entering From	Fin	ancial Gain	Leaving To	Fin	ancial Loss
2012-2013	Johnson County	12	\$	45,996	146	\$	559,618
11 12 13	Paintsville Independent	0	\$	-	37	\$	141,821
	Knott County	25	\$	95,825	18	\$	68,994
	Magoffin County	11	\$	42,163	4	\$	15,332
(F) (S)	Pike County	12	\$	45,996	132	\$	505,956
	Pikeville Independent	0	\$	-	86	\$	329,638
wa their	Martin County	1	\$	3,833	4	\$	15,332
Totals	在第 章是自己的特殊的	61	\$	233,813	427	\$	1,636,691
Difference					-366	\$	(1,402,878)
	This analysis uses the guaranteed Si	EK base of \$3,833 fo	r 20	12-2013.			H MARK

YEAR	DISTRICT	Entering From	Fin	ancial Gain	Leaving To	Fin	ancial Loss
2011-2012	Johnson County	9	\$	34,362	128	\$	488,704
	Paintsville Independent	0	\$	-	24	\$	91,632
Was Distanced	Knott County	29	\$	110,722	24	\$	91,632
	Magoffin County	11	\$	41,998	3	\$	11,454
	Pike County	14	\$	53,452	144	\$	549,792
	Pikeville Independent	0	\$	-	102	\$	389,436
	Martin County	1	\$	3,818	3	\$	11,454
Totals		64	\$	244,352	428	\$	1,634,104
Difference		MILITED TO SERVICE		SR LAND	-364	\$	(1,389,752)
	This analysis uses the guaranteed Si	EEK base of \$3,818 fo	r 20:	11-2012.			