Comprehensive Improvement Plan for Districts

Rationale

District improvement efforts focus on student needs through a collaborative process involving all stakeholders to establish and address priority needs, district funding, and closing achievement gaps between identified subgroups of students. Additionally, districts build upon their capacity for high-quality planning by making connections between academic resources and available funding to address targeted needs.

Operational definitions of each area within the plan

Goal: Long-term three to five year target based on Kentucky Board of Education required goals. Schools may supplement with individual or district goals.

Objective: Short-term target to be attained by the end of the current school year.

Strategy: Research-based approach based on the 6 Key Core Work Processes designed to systematically address the process, practice or condition that the district will focus its efforts upon in order to reach its goals/objectives.

Activity: The actionable steps used to deploy the chosen strategy.

Key Core Work Processes: A series of processes that involve the majority of an organization's workforce and relate to its core competencies. These are the factors that determine an organization's success and help it prioritize areas for growth.

Measure of Success: the criteria that you believe shows the impact of our work. The measures may be quantifiable or qualitative, but they are observable in some way. Without data on what is being accomplished by our deliberate actions, we have little or no foundation for decision-making or improvement.

Progress Monitoring: is used to assess the plan performance, to quantify a rate of improvement based on goals and objectives, and to evaluate the effectiveness of the plan.

Guidelines for Building an Improvement Plan

- There are 6 required District Goals: Proficiency, Separate Academic Indicator, Achievement Gap Closure, Graduation rate, Growth, and Transition readiness.
- There are 5 required school-level goals: For elementary/middle school: Proficiency, Separate Academic Indicator, Gap, Growth, and Transition readiness. For high school: Proficiency, Separate Academic Indicator, Gap, Graduation rate, and Transition readiness.
- There can be multiple objectives for each goal.
- There can be multiple strategies for each objective.
- There can be multiple activities for each strategy.

1: Proficiency Goal

Goal 1 (State your proficiency goal): By 2023 Hopkins County Schools will increase the combined proficiency in Reading and Math percentage of proficient/distinguished students to 60% for Elementary Schools, 60% for Middle Schools, and combined Reading/Math composite of 20.0 on ACT for High Schools

Which **Strategy** will the district use to address this goal? (*The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.)*

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Which **Activities** will the district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity.

- KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards Classroom Activities
- KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Classroom Activities
- KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy Classroom Activities
- KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Classroom Activities
- KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Classroom Activities
- KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment Classroom Activities



| Objective | Strategy | Activities to Deploy Strategy | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring Date & Notes | Funding |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Objective 1-Elementary - Increase the percentage of ALL students scoring Proficient or Distinguished on MAP Reading from 48% in Fall 2018 to 50% in Spring 2019 and on MAP Math from 50% in Fall 2018 to 52% in Spring 2019. Objective 2-Middle School - Increase the percentage of ALL students scoring Proficient or Distinguished on MAP | KCWP 1: Vertical curriculum mapping and alignment Computer Assisted Instruction - Evidence-Based Instructional Strategy | Use of common curriculum and pacing in the all elementary schools & middle schools for reading & math (Journeys/Collections and EnVisions/GoMath); common supplemental materials (Curriculum Associates CARS/STARS reading & CAMS/STAMS math) for Skills-based pre/post assessments and critical skill mastery for K-8; and computer assisted instruction through Study Island and Exact Path. CAI provided remediation, enrichment, and acceleration through NWEA MAP individualized student assessment linking for grades 2 - 8. | Classroom Formative & Summative Assessments NWEA MAP Proficiency & Growth Study Island & Exact Path Usage, Growth, and Performance Reports | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | General Fund; Title I; Title V; & ESS Funds |
| Reading from 58% in Fall 2018 to 60% in Spring 2019 and on MAP Math from 47% in Fall 2018 to 50% in Spring 2019. | KCWP 4: Ensure that formative assessment process informs instructional planning and decision making | District wide focus on the formative assessment process for all elementary, middle, and high schools, Direct Explicit Instruction, and differentiated small group instruction based on formative assessment. | PLC & PAC Minutes Walkthrough Data (School & District) Classroom Formative & Summative Assessments | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | no funding required |

| KCWP 4: Use multiple sources of data to determine tiered intervention needs | District wide focus on data analysis during PD, PLCs and PACs after state assessment release, MAP/CERT universal screener completion, and classroom assessment completion to identify and provide remediation/intervention/extensions as needed. | KPREP Data Analysis Tools NWEA MAP Proficiency & Growth School RTI/KSI Data Intervention Tab Report Exact Path Upload & Usage Reports PD, PLC & PAC Agenda & Minutes | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | District Funds; Title I; Title II; Title V; ESS |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| KCWP 5: Ensure Needs Assessment Results drive fiscal and human resource allocations & state and federal grant requirements are adhered to with all expenditures | Identify individual needs of schools and students and allocate human and fiscal resources to address needs and reduce barriers to learning in accordance with State and Federal grant program specifications, approved grant applications, and ensuring funds/resources are used to supplement not supplant. | District Staffing & Funding Policies Munis Reports State & Federal Grant Applications & Reports Needs Assessments | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | General Fund; All State Grant; All Federal Grants |
| KCWP 1: Vertical curriculum mapping and alignment KCWP 2: Congruent standards, learning targets, and assessment measures KCWP 4: Ensure that formative assessment process informs instructional planning and decision making | Hopkins County Schools state-funded preschool program will maintain a 5-Star quality preschool program that implements a developmentally appropriate curriculum that addresses all developmental domains, early literacy, and early mathematics standards aligned with KY Early Childhood Standards and Kindergarten entry CCSS/KAS. The program will follow common district-wide pacing and utilize guided planning and the formative assessment process through monthly PLCs to ensure consistency of standards delivery and differentiated | Preschool Curriculum, Pacing, and Assessment Guides Monthly PLC Minutes Assessment Analysis Protocols & Action Plans (TSG, ESGI, Brigance Data) KY ALL Stars Ratings | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | KERA Preschool Grant; Preschool Partnership Grant |

| | | small group instruction to meet the | 1 | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | needs of all children. | | | |
| | KCWP 4: Implementation of | Implementation of redefined school- | Intervention Progress | | District Funds; Title |
| | clearly defined KSI/RTI | led KSI/RTI process that ensures all | Data | | I; Title II; Title V; |
| | process | students needs are identified and | Butu | | ESS |
| | process | addressed and parents/guardians are | NWEA MAP/CERT | | Loo |
| | Strategic Plan - Quality | informed of needs, services and | Analysis | | |
| | Strategie I iaii - Quanty | progress. (Strategic Plan - Quality) | Allarysis | | |
| | | progress. (Strategie 1 iaii - Quanty) | Exact Path Usage, | August 7th-May 24th | |
| | | | Growth, and | (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
| | | | Performance Reports | (See Frogress Notes within errove) | |
| | | | Terrormance Reports | | |
| | | | School RTI/KSI Data | | |
| | | | Intervention Tab | | |
| | | | Report | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Special Education | | |
| | | | Eligibility Reports | | |
| | KCWP 6: Ensure that all | Utilize Title I, Title III, FRYSC, | Title I & III Family | | Title I, Title III, |
| | available resources are | Studer Parent Engagement | Engagement Activities | | FRYSC Grant |
| | deployed to assist students | Surveys/strategies and community | & Expenditures | | |
| | and families in need to reduce | resources to engage families in the | | | |
| | barriers to learning and | learning process, identify needs, and | FRYSC Activities & | August 7th-May 24th | |
| | engage families in the | reduce barriers to learning to | Expenditures | (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
| | learning process | support academic success. | | | |
| | | | Studer Parent | | |
| | Strategic Plan - | | Engagement Survey | | |
| | Parents/Community Pillar | | Results | | |
| Objective 3-High School | KCWP 4: Ensure that | District wide focus on the formative | PLC & PAC Minutes | | no funding required |
| - Increase the combined | formative assessment process | assessment process for all elementary, | | August 7th-May 24th | |
| Reading and Math | informs instructional | middle, and high schools, Direct | Walkthrough Data | (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
| Composite score on ACT | planning and decision making | Explicit Instruction, and differentiated | (School & District) | | |
| from 18.8 in Fall on Mock | | small group instruction based on formative assessment. | | | |
| ACT to 19.8 on Spring State | | TOTHIAUVE ASSESSMENT. | Classroom Formative | | |
| ACT. | | | & Summative | | |
| | | | Assessments | | |
| | KCWP 4: Use multiple | District wide focus on data analysis | CERT Reports | | District Funds; Title |
| | sources of data to determine | during PD, PLCs and PACs after state | | | I; Title II; Title V; |
| | tiered intervention needs | assessment release, MAP/CERT | | | ESS |

| | universal screener completion, practice ACT, and classroom assessment completion to identify and provide remediation/intervention/extensions as needed. | Practice ACT Data Analysis School RTI/KSI Data | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | Intervention Tab Report | | |
| | | PD, PLC & PAC Agenda & Minutes | | |
| KCWP 5: Ensure Needs Assessment Results drive fiscal and human resource allocations & state and federal grant requirements are adhered to with all expenditures | Identify individual needs of schools and students and allocate human and fiscal resources to address needs and reduce barriers to learning in accordance with State and Federal grant program specifications, approved grant applications, and ensure funds/resources are used to supplement not supplant. | District Staffing & Funding Policies Munis Reports State & Federal Grant Applications & Reports Needs Assessments | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | General Fund; All State Grant; All Federal Grants |
| KCWP 4: Implementation of clearly defined KSI/RTI process Strategic Plan - Quality | Implementation of redefined school-led KSI/RTI process that ensures all students needs are identified and addressed and parents/guardians are informed of needs, services and progress. (Strategic Plan - Quality) | Intervention Progress Data CERT Analysis School RTI/KSI Data Intervention Tab Report Special Education Eligibility Reports | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | District Funds; Title I; Title II; Title V; ESS |
| KCWP 6: Ensure that all available resources are deployed to assist students and families in need to reduce barriers to learning and engage families in the learning process | Utilize Title I, Title III, FRYSC, Studer Parent Engagement Surveys/strategies and community resources to engage families in the learning process, identify needs, and reduce barriers to learning to support academic success. | Title I & III Family Engagement Activities & Expenditures FRYSC Activities & Expenditures | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | Title I, Title III, FRYSC Grant |

| Strategic Plan - Parents/Comm | Studer Parent Engagement Survey Results | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------|
| Evidence-Base (WWC - Positi | f the gy of | November 5th-March 12th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | no funding required |

2: Separate Academic Indicator

Goal 2 (State your separate academic indicator goal): By 2023 Hopkins County Schools will increase the combined separate academic indicator (Science, Social Studies, and Writing) percentage of proficient/distinguished students to 50% for Elementary Schools and 48% for Middle Schools. (High Schools are currently not held accountable).

Which **Strategy** will the district use to address this goal? (*The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.)*


- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Which **Activities** will the district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity.

- KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards Classroom Activities
- KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Classroom Activities
- KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy Classroom Activities
- KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Classroom Activities
- KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Classroom Activities
- KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment Classroom Activities



| Objective | Strategy | Activities to Deploy Strategy | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring Date & Notes | Funding |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------|
| Objective 1-Elementary | Science - KCWP 1: Ensure | Facilitate a district-wide PD to | PD Agenda & Sign In | | Title I, II or V for |
| - Increase the percentage of | curriculum alignment and | ensure all schools have alignment | | August 7th-May 24th | PD funding |
| ALL students scoring | district-wide pacing | between standards, learning targets, | District-Wide Pacing | Have curriculum alignment and | |
| Proficient or Distinguished | | and assessment measure for new | Document | pacing. No additional PD offered | |
| on Science, Social Studies, | | science curriculum (Dimensions) | | during school year. Awaiting KDE | |
| and Writing KPREP from | | and establish district-wide pacing. | KPREP Science | guidance on standards. | |
| 46.3% in Spring 2018 to | | | Assessment Scores | | |
| 47% in Spring 2019. | Social Studies - KCWP 1: | Facilitate a district-wide PD for | PD Agenda & Sign In | | Title I, II or V for |
| Objective 2-Middle School | Ensure curriculum alignment | social studies to ensure best | | | PD funding |
| - Increase the percentage | and use of high yield | practice/high yield instructional | KPREP Social Studies | | |
| of ALL students scoring | instructional strategies | strategies are being implemented | Assessment Scores | | |
| Proficient or | | and all schools have alignment | | January 29th, 2019 | |
| Distinguished on | | between standards, learning targets, | | (See Progress Notes with eProve) | |
| Science, Social Studies, | | and assessment measure for social | | | |
| and Writing KPREP | | studies standards. | | | |
| from 42.3% in Spring | Writing - KCWP 2: | Support schools in the review and | Submission & approval | | No funding required |
| 2018 to 44% in Spring | Implement formal and | submission of their writing policy in | of all school writing | | |
| 2019. | informal process for teachers | accordance of SB 1 (2017) to ensure | policies | ALL school writing plans submitted | |
| | and students to improve | it meets the KDE rubric and | | and approved by KDE by due date | |
| | learning in communication, | provides quality writing instruction | KPREP Writing Scores | | |
| | language, and technology | K-5 and incorporates district | | | |
| | through writing instruction | established writing expectations. | | | |
| | | | | | |



3: Gap

Goal 3 (State your Gap goal): By 2023 Hopkins County Schools will increase the combined proficiency in Reading and Math percentage of proficient/distinguished for students with disabilities to 32% for Elementary Schools (3-5), 15% for Middle Schools (6-8), and 20% for High Schools (11)

Which **Strategy** will the district use to address this goal? (*The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.)*

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Which **Activities** will the district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity.

- KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards Classroom Activities
- KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Classroom Activities
- KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy Classroom Activities
- KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Classroom Activities
- KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Classroom Activities
- KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment Classroom Activities



| Objective | Strategy | Activities to Deploy Strategy | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring Date & Notes | Funding |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Objective 1-Elementary | Evidence-Based Strategy | Support TSI Schools in the | Increase % P & D | November 1 - May 24 | no funding required |
| - Increase the percentage of | (WWC - Potential Positive | implementation of the EVIDENCE- | students with | Additional TSI Supports: | |
| students with disabilities in | Effects) | BASED strategy of <i>Self-Regulated</i> | disabilities on Spring | Consultation with Leesa Moman, | |
| grades 3-5 scoring Proficient | | Strategy Development (What Works | MAP | KDE; SPED focused Walkthrough | |
| or Distinguished on MAP | | Clearinghouse). | | using ELEOT; Additional SPED | |
| Reading from 27% in Fall | | | | Staffing at TSI Schools | |
| 2018 to 28% in Spring 2019 | | | | (See Progress Notes with eProve) | |
| and on MAP Math from | KCWP 4: Create and monitor | Special Education Proficiency Plan | Increase % P & D | | no funding required |
| 27% in Fall 2018 to 28% in | a list for students performing | will be developed for each school in | students with | | |
| Spring 2019. | below proficiency | collaboration with SPED Building | disabilities on Spring | Development Due Date October 1 | |
| | | Coach, School Leadership Team | MAP | with monthly updates by 30th to | |
| | | and SPED teachers. Plan will be | | DoSE and DAC | |
| | | monitored monthly and shared at | School Specific | (See Progress Notes with eProve) | |
| | | Special Education TLT meetings | Measures of Success | | |
| | | and school PAC. Monthly updates | | | |
| | | will be provided to DoSE and | | | |
| | | Director of Assessment. | | | |
| | KCWP 5: Ensure Needs | Identify individual needs of schools and | District Staffing & | August 7 - May 24 | IDEA B |
| | Assessment Results drive | students and allocate human and fiscal | Funding Policies | Additional Staffing added to TSI | |
| | fiscal and human resource | resources to address needs and reduce | | Schools as of November | |
| | allocations & state and | barriers to learning in accordance with | Munis Reports | (See Progress Notes with eProve) | |
| | federal grant requirements are | State and Federal grant program | _ | | |
| | | specifications, approved grant | | | |
| | | applications, and ensure | | | |

| | adhered to with all expenditures | funds/resources are used to supplement not supplant - TSI schools received additional staffing allocations through IDEA B to target skill deficits of Special Education students. | Federal Grant Applications & Reports Needs Assessments | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|-------------------------|
| | KCWP 2: Implement and commit to intentional coteaching | All building principals, SPED Building Coaches, general education and special education co-teaching partners are being trained on co- teaching delivery models by WKEC Sped Co-Operative with and emphasis on increased station teaching and other small group instructional approaches. | Building Level Co- Teaching Look-For Items on Walkthroughs | Training on November 27 by CoOp to Building Coaches. Building Coaches trained building staff by Winter Break | IDEA B; General Fund |
| | KCWP 5: Commit Special Education Building Coach Time to Modeling High Yield Instructional Strategies for Classroom Teachers | SPED Building Coaches are expected to commit a minimum of 1 hour daily modeling high yield instructional strategies for classroom teachers and positive behavioral management supports to increase students engagement in the gen ed setting. | SPED Building Coach Daily Schedules SPED Building Coah Informal Classroom Observations PLC Minutes & Guided Planning Notes | August 7 - May 24 (See Progress Notes with eProve) | IDEA B |
| Objective 2-Middle School - Increase the percentage of students with disability scoring Proficient or Distinguished on MAP Reading from 9% in Fall 2018 to 10% in Spring 2019 | Evidence-Based Strategy (WWC - Potential Positive Effects) | Support TSI Schools in the implementation of the EVIDENCE-BASED strategy of <i>Self-Regulated Strategy Development</i> (What Works Clearinghouse). | MAP Data | November 1 - May 24 Additional TSI Supports: Consultation with Leesa Moman, KDE; SPED focused Walkthrough using ELEOT; Additional SPED Staffing at TSI Schools (See Progress Notes with eProve) | no funding required |
| and on MAP Math from 7% in Fall 2018 to 8% in Spring 2019. | KCWP 4: Create and monitor a list for students performing below proficiency | Special Education Proficiency Plan will be developed for each school in collaboration with SPED Building Coach, School Leadership Team and SPED teachers. Plan will be monitored monthly and shared at Special Education TLT meetings and school PAC. Monthly updates | School Specific Special Education Proficiency Plans TLT & PAC Meeting Minutes | August, November, January & March TLT and Monthly PAC with buildings. (See Progress Notes with eProve) | no funding required |

| | | will be provided to DoSE and Director of Assessment. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|-------------------------|
| | KCWP 5: Ensure Needs Assessment Results drive fiscal and human resource allocations & state and federal grant requirements are adhered to with all expenditures | Identify individual needs of schools and students and allocate human and fiscal resources to address needs and reduce barriers to learning in accordance with State and Federal grant program specifications, approved grant applications, and ensure funds/resources are used to supplement not supplant - TSI schools received additional staffing allocations through IDEA B to target skill deficits of Special Education | District Staffing & Funding Policies Munis Reports Federal Grant Applications & Reports Needs Assessments | August 7 - May 24 Additional Staffing added to TSI Schools as of November (See Progress Notes with eProve) | IDEA B |
| | KCWP 2: Implement and commit to intentional coteaching | students. All general education and special education co-teaching partners are being trained on co-teaching delivery models by WKEC Sped Co-Operative with and emphasis on increased station teaching and other small group instructional approaches. | Building Level Co- Teaching Look-For Items on Walkthroughs | Training on November 27 by CoOp to Building Coaches. Building Coaches trained building staff by Winter Break | IDEA B; General Fund |
| | KCWP 5: Commit Special Education Building Coach Time to Modeling High Yield Instructional Strategies for Classroom Teachers | SPED Building Coaches are expected to commit a minimum of 1 hour daily modeling high yield instructional strategies for classroom teachers and positive behavioral management supports to increase students engagement in the gen ed setting. | SPED Building Coach Daily Schedules SPED Building Coach Informal Classroom Observations PLC Minutes & Guided Planning Notes | August 7 - May 24 (See Progress Notes with eProve) | IDEA B |
| Objective 3-High School - Increase the percentage of students with disability scoring benchmark on ACT Reading from 18% in Fall 2018 to 20% in Spring 2019 and on ACT Math from 21% | KCWP 4: Create and monitor a list for students performing below proficiency | Special Education Proficiency Plan will be developed for each school in collaboration with SPED Building Coach, School Leadership Team and SPED teachers. Plan will be monitored monthly and shared at Special Education TLT meetings and school PAC. Monthly updates | School Specific Special Education Proficiency Plans TLT & PAC Meeting Minutes | Development Due Date October 1 with monthly updates by 30th to DoSE and DAC (See Progress Notes with eProve) | no funding required |

| in Fall 2018 to 22% in | | will be provided to DoSE and | | | |
|------------------------|--|---|-------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Spring 2019. | Evidence-Based Strategy | Director of Assessment. Support High Schools in the | ACT Scores | | no funding required |
| | (WWC - Positive Effects) | continued implementation of the | | November 5th-March 12th | 8 1 |
| | | EVIDENCE-BASED strategy of | | (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
| | | ACT/SAT Test Preparation and | | | |
| | | Coaching (What Works Clearinghouse). | | | |
| | KCWP 5: Ensure Needs | Identify individual needs of schools and | District Staffing & | | IDEA B |
| | Assessment Results drive | students and allocate human and fiscal | Funding Policies | | |
| | fiscal and human resource | resources to address needs and reduce | | | |
| | allocations & state and | barriers to learning in accordance with State and Federal grant program | Munis Reports | August 7 - May 24 | |
| | federal grant requirements are | specifications, approved grant | Federal Grant | Additional Staffing added to TSI | |
| | adhered to with all | applications, and ensure | Applications & | Schools as of November | |
| | expenditures | funds/resources are used to supplement | Reports | (See Progress Notes with eProve) | |
| | | not supplant - TSI schools received additional staffing allocations | Needs Assessments | | |
| | | through IDEA B to target skill | 1 (CCGS 1 ISSOSSITIONES | | |
| | | deficits of Special Education | | | |
| | | students. | | | |
| | KCWP 2: Implement and | All administrators, SPED Building | Building Level Co- | | IDEA B; General |
| | commit to intentional co- | coaches, general education and | Teaching Look-For | The initial and Name 1 and 27 | Fund |
| | teaching | special education co-teaching partners are being trained on co- | Items on Walkthroughs | Training on November 27 by CoOp to Building Coaches. | |
| | | teaching delivery models by WKEC | | Building Coaches trained building | |
| | | Sped Cooperative with and | | staff by Winter Break | |
| | | emphasis on increased station | | , | |
| | | teaching and other small group | | | |
| | | instructional approaches. | | | |
| | KCWP 5: Commit Special | SPED Building Coaches are | SPED Building Coach | | IDEA B |
| | Education Building Coach | expected to commit a minimum of 1 | Daily Schedules | August 7 - May 24 (See Progress Notes with a Prove) | |
| | Time to Modeling High Yield Instructional Strategies for | hour daily modeling high yield instructional strategies for | SPED Building Coach | (See Progress Notes with eProve) | |
| | Classroom Teachers | classroom teachers and positive | Informal Classroom | | |
| | 2-4552 5 5 4 2 5 4 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 | behavioral management supports to | Observations | | |
| | | increase students engagement in the | PLC Minutes & | | |
| | | gen ed setting. | Guided Planning Notes | | |

4: Graduation rate

Goal 4 (State your Graduation Rate goal): By 2023 Hopkins County Schools will increase the graduation rate to 90%

Which **Strategy** will the district use to address this goal? (*The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.)*

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Which **Activities** will the district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity.

- KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards Classroom Activities
- KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Classroom Activities
- KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy Classroom Activities
- KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Classroom Activities
- KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Classroom Activities
- KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment Classroom Activities



| Objective | Strategy | Activities to Deploy Strategy | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring Date & Notes | Funding |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|
| Objective 1 | KCWP 4: Utilize Persistence | Utilization of Hopkins County | Enrollment | | General Fund; ESS |
| - By 2019 Hopkins County | to Grad Tool/Early Warning | Schools GED Academy - offering | | | Funds |
| Schools will increase the | Tool to assist in identifying | this avenue for students to earn their | Graduation Rates | August 7th-May 24th | |
| graduation rate from 88.8% | students at risk | GED instead of dropping out of | | (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
| to 89% | | school. Students must meet certain | Work Ready Initiative | | |
| | | requirements to be eligible for this | Completers | | |
| | | program. | | | |
| | KCWP 4: Utilize Persistence | Hopkins County Schools Academy | Enrollment | | General Fund; ESS |
| | to Grad Tool/Early Warning | offers a virtual instructional setting | | | Funds |
| | Tool to assist in identifying | for at-risk students who are not | Graduation Rates | August 7th-May 24th | |
| | students at risk | successful in the traditional high | | (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
| | | school setting as a means of | Work Ready Initiative | | |
| | | obtaining a high school diploma. | Completers | | |
| | KCWP 4: Utilize Persistence | Early Warning Report/Persistence to | Administrator Meeting | Utilized by North Hopkins and their | no funding required |
| | to Grad Tool/Early Warning | Graduation Tool - Each high school | Minutes | counselors, not utilized at Hopkins | |
| | Tool to assist in identifying | is utilizing this tool to identify | | County Central. | |
| | students at risk | students who are at risk for dropping | Usage Reports | | |
| | | out and then meeting with those | | | |
| | | students to discuss attendance, | Behavior & | | |
| | | behavior, grades, and social skills. | Attendance Reports | | |
| | KCWP 5: Ensure Needs | Identify individual needs of schools and | 0 | | General Fund; All |
| | Assessment Results drive | students and allocate human and fiscal | Funding Policies | | State Grants; All |
| | fiscal and human resource | resources to address needs and reduce | | | Federal Grants |

| allocations & state and federal grant requirements are adhered to with all expenditures | barriers to learning in accordance with State and Federal grant program specifications, approved grant applications, and ensuring funds/resources are used to supplement not supplant. | Munis Reports Federal Grant Applications & Reports Needs Assessments | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
|---|--|---|---|--|

5: Growth

Goal 5 (State your Growth goal): By 2023 Hopkins County Schools will increase percent of students that attain a minimum of one year's typical growth to 60% in reading and math in K-8 as measured by MAP.

Which **Strategy** will the district use to address this goal? (*The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.)*

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Which **Activities** will the district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity.

- KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards Classroom Activities
- KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Classroom Activities
- KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy Classroom Activities
- KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Classroom Activities
- KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Classroom Activities
- KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment Classroom Activities



| Objective | Strategy | Activities to Deploy Strategy | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring Date & Notes | Funding |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Objective 1-K-8 Students - Increase the percent of students in grades K-8 that attain a minimum of one year's typical growth from 52.4% in SY 17-18 to 57.4% in SY 18-19 in Reading and | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data KCWP 5: Ensure academic expectation are actively modeled and are an existing part of the district culture | District strategic plan achievement pillar goal will focus on all students in the district achieving one years typical growth as measured by MAP in grades K-8. (Strategic Plan - Student Achievement) | MAP Fall to Spring Growth Measure Report indicating Typical years growth | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | District Funds for NWEA MAP |
| from 51.8% in SY 17-18 to 56.8% in SY 18-19 in Math as measured by Fall to Spring MAP. | Strategic Plan - Student Achievement | STUDER Score Card & Short-Cycle Planning tools (Red/Yellow/Green Dot Strategy) will be utilized to monitor district progress bi-monthly. | STUDER Score Card | Utilized strategy for progress monitoring on CDIP and throughout the district for school needs and action plans. | Title II & District PD Funds for Studer Initiative |
| | KCWP 1: Vertical curriculum mapping and alignment | Use of common curriculum and pacing in the all elementary/Middle schools for reading & math (Journeys/Collections and EnVisions/Go Math); common supplemental materials (Curriculum Associates CARS/STARS reading & CAMS/STAMS math) for Skills-based pre/post assessments and critical skill mastery for K-8; and computer assisted instruction through Study Island and Exact Path. CAI provided remediation, enrichment, and acceleration through | Classroom Formative & Summative Assessments NWEA MAP Proficiency & Growth Study Island & Exact Path Usage, Growth, and Performance Reports | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | General Fund; Title I; Title V; & ESS Funds |

| | | NWEA MAP individualized student assessment linking for grades 2 - 8. | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| | KCWP 4: Implementation of clearly defined KSI/RTI | Implementation of redefined school-led KSI/RTI process that ensures all students needs are identified and | Intervention Progress Data | | District Funds; Title I; Title II; Title V; ESS |
| | Strategic Plan - Quality | addressed and parents/guardians are informed of needs, services and | NWEA MAP Analysis | | |
| | Strategie Fran Quanty | progress.(Strategic Plan - Quality) | Exact Path Usage, Growth, and Performance Reports | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
| | | | School RTI/KSI Data | | |
| | | | Intervention Tab Report | | |
| | | | Special Education Eligibility Reports | | |
| Objective 2-High School Increase combined composite English, Math, and Reading predictive ACT scores from 16 to 16.2 for 9 th Graders and from 15 to 15.1 for 10 th Graders based on Fall to Spring CERT and from 18.8 to 19.8 for 11 th Graders based on Fall practice ACT to Spring state administered ACT. | KCWP 5 - Identify curricular modifications; Create and monitor "Watch List"; and implement purposeful | Implementation of practice ACT for baseline scores for juniors; utilization of scores for name and claim within reading and math | ACT Scores | August 7th-May 24th | District Funds; Title I; Title V, ESS |
| | instruction for improvement | courses; CERT assessment and instruction resources for grades 9-11; ACT SI resources; | | (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
| | | Odysseyware; and ACT Academy Program. | | | |
| | Evidence-Based Strategy (WWC - Positive Effects) | Support High Schools in the continued implementation of the EVIDENCE-BASED strategy of <i>ACT/SAT Test Preparation and</i> | ACT Scores | November 5th-March 12th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | No funding required |
| | | Coaching (What Works Clearinghouse). | | | |
| | KCWP 4: Implementation of clearly defined KSI/RTI process | Implementation of redefined school- led KSI/RTI process that ensures all students needs are identified and | Intervention Progress Data | | District Funds; Title I; Title II; Title V; ESS |
| | Strategic Plan - Quality | addressed and parents/guardians are informed of needs, services and | CERT Analysis | | |
| | | progress. (Strategic Plan - Quality) | School RTI/KSI Data | August 7th-May 24th (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |

| | Intervention Tab Report | |
|--|--|--|
| | Special Education Eligibility Reports | |

6: Transition Readiness

Goal 6 (State your Transition Readiness goal): By 2023 Hopkins County Schools will increase students who graduate transition ready to 70%.

Which **Strategy** will the district use to address this goal? (*The Strategy can be based upon the six Key Core Work Processes listed below or another research-based approach. Provide justification and/or attach evidence for why the strategy was chosen.)*

- KCWP 1: Design and Deploy Standards
- KCWP 2: Design and Deliver Instruction
- KCWP 3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy
- KCWP 4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data
- KCWP 5: Design, Align and Deliver Support
- KCWP 6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment

Which **Activities** will the district deploy based on the strategy or strategies chosen? (The links to the Key Core Work Processes activity bank below may be a helpful resource. Provide a brief explanation or justification for the activity.

- KCWP1: Design and Deploy Standards Classroom Activities
- KCWP2: Design and Deliver Instruction Classroom Activities
- KCWP3: Design and Deliver Assessment Literacy Classroom Activities
- KCWP4: Review, Analyze and Apply Data Classroom Activities
- KCWP5: Design, Align and Deliver Support Classroom Activities
- KCWP6: Establishing Learning Culture and Environment Classroom Activities



| Objective | Strategy | Activities to Deploy Strategy | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring Date & Notes | Funding |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|
| Objective 1 | KCWP 4: Develop a tracking | Transition Readiness Tracking - | Transition Readiness | | no funding required |
| - By 2019 Hopkins County | system for monitoring student | Coordination between the HCCTC | Tracking Tool | | |
| Schools will increase | achievement of transition | and both high schools to track | | | |
| students who graduate | readiness status | preparatory status and ensure | TEDS Data & Industry | August 7th-May 24th | |
| transition ready from 63.6% | | avenues for career readiness when | Certifications | (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
| to 65%. | | academic readiness is not | | | |
| | | applicable. | HCCTC Enrollment | | |
| | | Utilization of CERT and practice | Practice ACT Analysis | | District Funds, ESS |
| | | ACT to prepare students who are | | | Funds |
| | | eligible to take the ACT on a | CERT Data Reports & | | |
| | | national test date to encourage | Analysis | August 7th-May 24th | |
| | | academic readiness. Utilization of | | (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
| | | waivers when applicable for these | National Test Data | | |
| | | students. Use of Odysseyware ACT | Rosters | | |
| | | prep courses. | | | |
| | | | Waiver Applications | | |
| | Evidence-Based Strategy | Increase the percentage of high school | Enrollment data | | District Funds |
| | (WWC - Potential & Positive | students completing dual credit courses | determined by SAAR | August 7th-May 24th | |
| | Effects) | (Strategic Plan - Innovation & Evidence-Based Strategy <i>Dual</i> | Report - ADM | (See Progress Notes within eProve) | |
| | Strategic Plan - Innovation | Enrollment Programs - WWC). | | | |
| | | | | | |