Extended School Services Daytime Waiver Program Evaluation PLEASE TYPE ANSWERS IN GRAY AREAS

Collection Date:	June 30, 2019
District Name:	Simpson County
District Code (Number):	535
District ESS Coordinator's Name:	Shelina Smith
School Name:	Franklin-Simpson Middle School
School Code (Number):	030
School ESS Coordinator's Name:	Matt Marsh
Total # of students who received services in this program:	78
Number of staff employed using daytime ESS funds:	_1_ Certified Classified Peer Tutor Volunteer
Collaborative Partners: (People/Organizations with whom you collaborate for ESS funds and resources) (select all that apply):	
☐ 21 st Century ☐ FRSYSC ☐ GEAR UP ☐ Private Grant ☐ Reading First ☐ AmeriCorps ☐ Title I☐ Retired Teacher Organization ☐ Other:	
Targeted Content Areas of daytime program (select all that apply):	
☑Math ☑Reading/ Language Arts ☐ Social Studies ☐ Science ☐ Writing ☐ Other:	
Program Delivery Model: Under what circumstances, or in what format, were daytime ESS serviced delivered?	
☐ After Classroom Instruction ☐ Collaborative (during class) ☐ During Intervention Class/Time ☐ During independent practice time ☐ During enrichment/elective course	
Out of School Time (OST) Program school will offer IN ADDITION to daytime program (select all that apply):	
☐ Before School ☐ Intercession ☐ After School ☐ Summer School] Saturday School] Night School
Brief description of program: (this area will expand as you type) From your description, it should be clear how students were selected for participation, how and how often their progress was measured, and how students were able to exit the ESS Daytime program. You should also include information on who delivered services and how often those services were delivered.	
An interventionist was employed to monitor and support students with intense academic needs specifically in the areas of math and reading. This person provided direct support to students during the day through the students flex periods 5 days per week. Grades, attendance and behavior was monitored and once the students were able to show growth in each area, they were able to exit the program.	

Program Results

69 Improved		
9 Did not Improve (Sustained) +		
Performance Declined		
_NA Students		
_ <u>78</u> _ Students		
If there are students who did not improve (from the box above), provide a brief plan for reaching those students to ensure improvement and/or an explanation of why the students failed to improve (i.e. student moved during program, student attendance was a factor, etc.): (this area will expand as you type)		
⊠Yes □No		
Brief description of any planned changes to program for next year of implementation (or a brief explanation of why the program is being discontinued): (this area will expand as you type)		
This program will continue with no changes.		
2019		
re)		
, 2019		
re)		
), 2019		
re)		

Note: electronic signatures are acceptable