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2019 COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN (CSIP) \& 30-60-90 DAY PLANNING

| GOAL 4: Gap Long Term Goal/Desired State | By 2022, NHS will increase the number of 7th and 8th grade scoring proficient in reading and math for subgroups. <br> - African American students scoring proficient in reading from $17 \%$ to $70 \%$ as demonstrated on K-PREP. <br> - African American students scoring proficient in math from $17.3 \%$ to $70 \%$ as demonstrated on K-PREP. <br> - Students with disabilities scoring proficient in reading from $10.6 \%$ to $70 \%$ as demonstrated on K-PREP. <br> - Students with disabilities scoring proficient in math from $5.3 \%$ to $70 \%$ as demonstrated on K-PREP. <br> By 2022, NHS will increase the number of 9th through 12th grade scoring proficient in reading and math for subgroups. <br> - African American students scoring proficient in reading from $19.2 \%$ to $70 \%$ as demonstrated on ACT which ensures students <br> - are transition ready. <br> - African American students scoring proficient in math from $19.2 \%$ to $70 \%$ as demonstrated on ACT which ensures students <br> - are transition ready. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBJECTIVE <br> 180 Day Goal/Horizon Goal | STRATEGY <br> What Key Core Work Processes or other Research-Based Approaches will be used to address the Goal? | ACTIVITY <br> What Activities will be Deployed based on the Strategy or Strategies chosen? | FUNDING SOURCE |
| By 2019, NHS will increase the number of 7th and 8th grade scoring proficient in reading and math for subgroups. <br> - African American scoring proficient in reading from $17 \%$ to $35 \%$ and math $17.3 \%$ to $35 \%$ <br> - Disability students scoring proficient in reading $10.6 \%$ to $25 \%$ and math $5.3 \%$ to $20 \%$ as demonstrated on K-PREP <br> By 2019, NHS will increase the number of 9th through 12th grade scoring proficient in reading and math for subgroups: <br> - African American students scoring proficient in reading from $19.2 \%$ to $40 \%$ and in math from $19.2 \%$ to $40 \%$ as demonstrated on ACT <br> Improvement Priority \#1 <br> Engage in a data-driven continuous improvement process with school staff. Purposefully monitor, determine measurable results, and revise the school's continuous improvement plan to improve student learning. (Standard 1.3) <br> Improvement Priority \#2 <br> Implement and monitor a school-wide, collaborative instructional process and curriculum that clearly defines high academic expectations for all students and provides support and tools for teachers to adjust instruction to meet the individual needs of students. (Primary Standard 2.5, Secondary Standard 2.7) | Design and Deliver Instruction | 4.1 PLCs will be monitored and supported to ensure that lesson design and lesson delivery will be of high quality that includes cognitive student engagement with appropriate strategies and activities that support the intent of the standard. <br> - Admin team will develop a master schedule, including Tier III interventions for reading and math, that provides adequate time for PLC work and intervention based on student data. (IP \#2 and \#3) <br> - Teachers and leaders will communicate learning expectations to students and parents, including the need and rationale for an intervention system. (IP \#2) <br> - Administrators will collect appropriate data to inform professional learning needs of teachers, filtered by grade levels and content. (PLC,Lesson Design, Walkthroughs, Evaluations, Teacher Reflection, PGP) (IP \#3) <br> - Create and administer PLC Lead/Teacher selfreflection, survey communication form to assist in determining teacher growth needs. (IP \#3) <br> - Administration in collaboration with district admin will create a PLC Lead Protocol that will be followed for a Monthly PLC Lead Protocol that is data driven focused on a Balanced Assessment System and Instruction Lead by the Principal. (iP \#3) <br> - Admin team in collaboration with PLC Leads will collaborate to determine professional learning needs for all teachers to get their 24 hours of required time by aligning data, reflections, and | - Resources to Implement Stations for Class Structure/Lesson Design--Reading Plus, Edgenuity <br> - Extra Certified Pay for 30 teachers 6 hours Class Structure/90 minute training <br> - Extra Certified Pay for For New Teachers and Returning Teachers for refreshers in --Kagan day 1, PBL, Google/Schoology, Independent Reading, enVisions, Perspectives, Pearson Math, Edgenuity <br> - Professional Learning Trainer for Class Structure/Stations <br> - Additional PLC Lead Training Registration, Travel Expenses |
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promotes a school-wide culture of creativity, innovation, and
collaborative problem solving. Ensure that this system is grounded in professional learning that addresses individual teacher learning needs identified through an authentic collaboration between building-level leaders and teaching staff. Provide sufficient time for implementation and impact, accompanied by specific mechanisms to monitor and
evaluate improvements in the learning environment, student
achievement, and school effectiveness. (Primary Standard 3.1,
Secondary Standard 2.2)
instructional priorities.(IP \#3) Admin team schedules and communicates professional learning schedule before the last day of school. (IP \#3)

- All teachers and admin will reflect and participate in creating professional growth goals in alignment with professional learning. (IP \#3)Admin team will analyze, disaggregate data to determine heterogeneous class groupings fo determine heterogeneous class groupings
students entering NHS 2019-2020. (IP \#1) Admin team and teachers will be trained in Admin team and teachers Certified Evaluation System. (IP \#3)
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## 30 DAY 30 DAY PLAN

## WE WILL KNOW WE ARE SUCCESSFUL WHEN:

- No less than $90 \%$ of PLC teams collaboratively create engaging lessons with high impact, rigorous strategies.
- $100 \%$ of teachers evaluate the effectiveness of their instruction by analysing formative and common assessment data during PLCs.
- ELEOT ratings from Domains B and D are consistently 3 or higher MEASURES/EVIDENCES WE WILL USE ARE:
- Instructional Lesson Design Form
- Formative Assessment Results Data (Formative Data Checks - system)
- Scheduled Walkthroughs/Sweeps using ELEOT Domains B - High Expectations and D - Active Learning (system for Data Collection)

| ALIGNMENT (G\#, A\#) | 30 Days <br> (JAN 1-FEB 22) | WHO'S ON POINT | BY WHEN \& HOW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.1 | Admin team will identify operational definition of engagement and communicate expectations to PLC teams, including academic, behavioral and procedural. (move to 60 days, but rewrite/revise) | H. Orman S. Weber | 1/18/19 |
| 4.1 | The principal will schedule a training session with the Sustainability Coach and PLCs to train teachers in using Classroom Strategies for Interactive Learning by Doug Buehl. (move to 60 days, within context of PLC's) | H. Orman | 1/18/19 1/5/19 PLC Lead Training 1/12/19 7-9 Training |
| 4.1 | PLC Teams will identify one high yield, cognitive engagement strategies to implement per week from Classroom Strategies for Interactive Learning by Doug Buehl in Instructional Lesson Design. (move to 60 days,monitoring of implementation after training) | PLC Leads | 2/4/19 |
| 4.1 | Based on Instructional Lesson Designs submitted by PLCs, admin team members will schedule sweeps to monitor implementation quality of identified strategies using ELEOT Domains B and D. (move to 60 days) | H. Orman <br> S. Weber | 2/22/19 |
| 4.1 | Following review of data, PLCs will determine which gap students require additional intervention to attain mastery and specific intervention strategies, including regrouping, PAWS, and/or ESS. (move to 60 days) | PLC Team <br> H. Orman <br> S. Weber | 1/25/19 |

30 Day Notes/Reflections/Next Steps

## 60 DAY PLAN

WE WILL KNOW WE ARE SUCCESSFUL WHEN:
No less than $90 \%$ of PLC teams collaboratively create engaging lessons with high impact, rigorous strategies.

- $100 \%$ of teachers evaluate the effectiveness of their instruction by analysing formative and common assessment data during PLCs.
- ELEOT ratings from Domains B and D are consistently 3 or higher

MEASURES/EVIDENCES WE WILL USE ARE:

- Instructional Lesson Design Form
- Formative Assessment Results Data (Formative Data Checks - system)
- Scheduled Walkthroughs/Sweeps using ELEOT Domains B - High Expectations and D - Active Learning (system for Data Collection)

| ALIGNMENT <br> (G\#, A\#) | 60 Days <br> (FEB 25-APR 12) | WHO'S ON <br> POINT |  <br> HOW |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.1 | Admin team will identify operational definition of engagement and <br> communicate expectations to PLC teams, including academic, behavioral and <br> procedural. (move to 60 days, but rewrite/revise) | H. Orman <br> S. Weber | $1 / 18 / 19$ |
| 4.1 | The principal will schedule a training session with the Sustainability Coach and <br> PLCs to train teachers in using Classroom Strategies for Interactive Learning by <br> Doug Buehl. (move to 60 days, PLC Leads) | H. Orman | $1 / 18 / 19$ |
| 4.1 | PLC Teams will identify one high yield, cognitive engagement strategies to <br> implement per week from Classroom Strategies for Interactive Learning by <br> Doug Buehl in Instructional Lesson Design. (move to 90 days, after training) | PLC Leads | $2 / 4 / 19$ |
| 4.1 | Based on Instructional Lesson Designs submitted by PLCs, admin team <br> members will schedule sweeps to monitor implementation quality of identified <br> strategies using ELEOT Domains B and D. (move to 90 days) | H. Orman <br> S. Weber | $2 / 22 / 19$ |
| 4.1 | Following review of data, PLCS will determine which gap students require <br> additional intervention to attain mastery and specific intervention strategies, <br> including regrouping, PAWS, and/or ESS. (move to 60 days) | PLC Team <br> H. Orman <br> S. Weber | $1 / 25 / 19$ |

60 Day Notes/Reflections/Next Steps
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## 90 DAY 90 DAY PLAN

## WE WILL KNOW WE ARE SUCCESSFUL WHEN:

- No less than $90 \%$ of PLC teams collaboratively create engaging lessons with high impact, rigorous strategies.
- $100 \%$ of teachers evaluate the effectiveness of their instruction by analysing formative and common assessment data during PLCs.
- ELEOT ratings from Domains B and D are consistently 3 or higher


## MEASURES/EVIDENCES WE WILL USE ARE:

- Instructional Lesson Design Form
- Formative Assessment Results Data (Formative Data Checks - system)
- Scheduled Walkthroughs/Sweeps using ELEOT Domains B - High Expectations and D - Active Learning (system for Data Collection)

| ALIGNMENT <br> (G\#, A\#) | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { Days } \\ \text { (APR 15-MAY 31) } \end{gathered}$ | WHO'S ON POINT | BY WHEN \& HOW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.1 | PLC Teams will identify one high yield, cognitive engagement strategies to implement per week from Classroom Strategies for Interactive Learning by Doug Buehl in Instructional Lesson Design. (move to 120 days, after training, ongoing) | PLC Leads | $\begin{gathered} 2 / 4 / 19 \\ 5 / 30 / 19 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4.1 | Based on Instructional Lesson Designs submitted by PLCs, admin team members will schedule sweeps to monitor implementation quality of identified strategies using ELEOT Domains B and D. (move to 120 days) | H. Orman <br> S. Weber | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 22 / 19 \\ & 5 / 30 / 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4.1 | Administrators will collect appropriate data to inform professional learning needs of teachers, filtered by grade levels and content. (PLC,Lesson Design, Walkthroughs, Evaluations, Teacher Reflection, PGP) (IP \#3) | H. Orman S. Weber | 5/1/19 |
| 4.1 | Create and administer PLC Lead/Teacher self-reflection, survey communication form to assist in determining teacher growth needs. (IP \#3) | S.Weber | 5/1/19 |
| 4.1 | Admin team in collaboration with PLC Leads will collaborate to determine professional learning needs for all teachers to get their 24 hours of required time by aligning data, reflections, and instructional priorities. (IP \#3) | H. Orman S. Weber PLC Leads | 5/1/15 |
| 4.1 | Admin team will complete schedules and communicates professional learning before the last day of school. (IP \#3) | S. Weber | 5/30/19 |
| 4.1 | Admin team will develop a master schedule, including Tier III interventions for reading and math, that provides adequate time for PLC work and intervention based on student data.(IP \#2 and \#3) | H. Orman K. Gearding C. Wood | 5/28/19 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 120 DAY PLAN

## WE WILL KNOW WE ARE SUCCESSFUL WHEN

- $100 \%$ of PLC Leads will be trained in the PLC Lead meeting data protocol and will begin using it
- $100 \%$ of parents and students are aware of learning expectations for students and rationale for intervention system
- $100 \%$ of teachers will have completed their 24 hours of professional learning
- $100 \%$ of teachers will be trained in the Newport Independent Certified Evaluation Plan
- $100 \%$ of teachers and admin staff will have a PGP related to the continuous improvement of Newport High School


## MEASURES/EVIDENCES WE WILL USE ARE:

- PLC Meeting Agendas, Minutes, Notes, and Next steps for students
- Teacher PGPs
- Website, One Calls communicating to parents and students
- Class groupings

| ALIGNMENT <br> (G\#, A\#) | 120 Days <br> (UUNE 1-SEPT 13) | WHO'S ON <br> POINT |  <br> HOW |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 4.1 | Administration in collaboration with district admin will create a PLC Lead <br> Protocol that will be followed for in bi-monthly PLC Lead meetings that is <br> data driven focused on a Balanced Assessment System and instruction <br> lead by the Principal. (iP \#3) | H. Orman <br> S. Weber | 8/1/19 |
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| 150 DAY PL150 DAY PLAN |  |  |  | 180180 DAY PLAN PLAN |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WE WILL KNOW WE ARE SUCCESSFUL WHEN: |  |  |  | WE WILL KNOW WE ARE SUCCESSFUL WHEN: |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |
| MEASURES/EVIDENCES WE WILL USE ARE: |  |  |  | MEASURES/EVIDENCES WE WILL USE ARE: |  |  |  |
| $\bullet$ |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |
| ALIGNMENT <br> (G\#, A\#) | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \text { Days } \\ & \text { (SEPT 16-NOV 8) } \end{aligned}$ | WHO'S ON POINT | BY WHEN \& HOW | ALIGNMENT <br> (G\#, A\#) | $\begin{gathered} 180 \text { Days } \\ \text { (NOV 11-DEC 31) } \end{gathered}$ | WHO'S ON POINT | $\begin{gathered} \text { BY WHEN \& } \\ \text { HOW } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4.1 | All teachers and admin will reflect and participate in creating professional growth goals in alignment with professional learning. (IP \#3) | H. Orman M. Hunter | 9/30/19 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 Day Notes/Reflections/Next Steps |  |  |  | 180 Day Notes/Reflections/Next Steps |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |

