2016-2017 Center Profile

Livingston County - Ozone-Livingston Co. MS - Exp. 11 Cont. 12

Total number of students attended	2016-2017	Prior Year (2015-2016)
Summer and School Year*	170	148
School Year	163	147

NOTE: Please refer to Table 1, on the third page of this profile for a description of how a *grade change, a high grade, and a struggling student* were determined for your site.

2016-2017 School Year Data	2016-2017 Frequencies	2016-2017 Percentages	Prior Year 2015-2016
School Year Participation			
Students attending 30+ days	61	37%	44%
Students attending 30-59 days	37	23%	28%
Students attending 60 or more days	24	15%	16%
Regular participants eligible for free/reduced lunch	41	67%	94%
Regular participants eligible for special education services	11	18%	20%
Program Outcomes for Regular School Year Participants			
Reading grades reported	n=61	100%	98%
Students who achieved high reading/ELA grades in the fall and spring	6	10%	14%
Students who increased their reading/ELA grades from the fall to spring	24	39%	30%
Students who decreased their reading/ELA grades from the fall to spring	7	11%	29%
Students who maintained their reading/ELA grades from the fall to spring	24	39%	27%
Math grades reported	n=61	100%	98%
Students who achieved high math grades in the fall and spring	6	10%	14%
Students who increased their math grades from the fall to spring	24	39%	35%
Students who decreased their math grades from the fall to spring	13	21%	24%
Students who maintained their math grades from the fall to spring	18	30%	27%
Teacher survey reported results**			
Students who improved homework completion	52	90%	83%
Students who improved their classroom participation	51	94%	83%
Students who improved academically	51	89%	81%
Program Outcomes for Regular School Year Struggling Participants			
Participants struggling in reading in the fall grading period	n=31	51%	62%
Students who increased their reading/ELA grades from the fall to spring	17	55%	41%
Students who decreased their reading/ELA grades from the fall to spring	2	6%	23%
Students who maintained their reading/ELA grades from the fall to spring	12	39%	36%
Participants struggling in math in the fall grading period	n=32	52%	59 %
Students who increased their math grades from the fall to spring	17	53%	54%
Students who decreased their math grades from the fall to spring	4	13%	22%
Students who maintained their math grades from the fall to spring	11	34%	24%

2016-2017 School Year Program Characteristics			
Program Location (in school or offsite)***: within a school			
Number of parent/guardian and/or family members who attended activities	13		
Number of community partners			2
Number of school day teachers (volunteer)	Fall:	0	Spring: 0
Number of school day teachers (paid)	Fall:	7	Spring: 7
Number of K-3 students receiving reading intervention	N/A		
Number of K-3 intervention students that met benchmark	N/A		
Summer 2016 Programming			
Number of weeks***	4 weeks		
Number of students served	59		

School Year Activity Types Offered	k			
Academic Activities***				
STEM (science, technology,	х	Credit Recovery		
engineering, math)	^	Homework Help	Х	
Reading Intervention		English Language Learner Support		
Literacy	х	GAP Reduction (Remediation/Acceleration)	х	
Tutoring		None		
College and Career Readiness Act	ivities***			
Career/Job Training for Youth		Individual Learning Plan	X	
ACT or SAT Prep		Career Exploration	X	
ACT OF SATE FIED		None		
Enrichment Activities***				
Life Skills, Gardening, Crafts	Х	Global Learning (languages or		
Visual Arts	Х	international history)		
Music & Drama	Х	Community/Service Learning	X	
Fitness	Х	Mentoring	X	
Health/Nutrition	Х	None		
Adult Activities***				
Use of technology	Х	Accessing Infinite Campus	X	
Family Literacy	Х	Career/Job Training for Adults		
Assisting with Homework	Х	English Language Courses		
Communicating with Teachers	Х	None		
Character Education Activities***				
Drug Prevention		X		
Counseling		X		
Violence Prevention		X		
Truancy Prevention		X		
Youth Leadership		X		
None				

DATA NOTES:

A complete statewide dataset was provided to CEEP by Cayen Systems, Inc. The first request was made on August 28, 2017 and subsequent requests between September 12, 2017 and October 12, 2017.

Site level data are compared to data from the prior year if programming was provided in that year.

In some cases, percentages round to 0 (e.g., 1 out of 300).

Students with unknown grade level, special education, and free/reduced lunch specifications are included in the analysis. For example, in the Cayen system, grantees may select "unknown" as a designation in these categories.

- * The total # of summer and school year students does not equal the total # of summer students plus the total number of school year students because students may have attended both.
- **The Teacher Survey represents the proportion of students who improved behavior relative to the number of students rated as needing to improve. Students rated by teachers as "Did Not Need to Improve" are excluded from these calculations.

***Data is self-reported and comes from the KY 21st CCLC Data Verification 16-17 Form that was administered to grantees.

Table 1. Grade Scale Types and Thresholds for Analysis

Scale Type	Grade Change Parameters	High Grade Threshold	Struggling Student Threshold
100 point scale Note: If a student earned below a 60 in both the fall and spring they were designated as maintaining their grade.	+/-10 or more points	93 or above in the fall and spring	76 and below in the fall
13 point scale Example: A+, A, A-, B+, B, B-, C+, C, C-, D+, D, D-, F	+/-2 or more points	A or A+ in in the fall and spring	C and below in the fall
11 point scale Example: A, A-, B+, B, B-, C+, C, C-, D, D-, F	+/-2 or more points	A in the fall and spring	C and below in the fall
5 point scale - standard Example: A-F	+/-1 or more points	A in the fall and spring	C and below in the fall
3 point scale Examples: Above Grade Level, On Grade Level, Below Grade Level	+/-1 or more points	3 in the fall and spring Example: Above Grade Level	1 in the fall Example: Below Grade Level
4 point scale Example: Exceeds Expectations, Meets Expectations, Showing Improvement, Area of Concern	+/-1 or more points	4 in the fall and spring Example: Exceeds Expectations	2 and below in the fall Example: Showing Improvement
5 point scale - nonstandard Example: Excellent, Satisfactory +, Satisfactory, Satisfactory -, Unsatisfactory	+/-1 or more points	5 in the fall and spring Example: Excellent	2 and below in the fall Example: Satisfactory -
6 point scale Adv-2, Adv-1, Exp, Bel-2, Bel-1, Bel-K	+/-1 or more points	6 in the fall and spring Example: Adv-2	3 and below in the fall Example: Bel-2



1900 E. 10th Street, Bloomington, Indiana 47406 **tel:** 1.800.511.6575 fax: 1.812.856.5890 **web:** ceep.indiana.ed