

2016-2017 Financial Aid Survey - Red Flags

School	Issue	Questions to ask	Response	Further Action
Anderson County (Lawrenceburg)	47.37% of athletes receiving aid when school makeup is only 28.3% athletes. They are public and did not fill in any merit or need-based aid numbers. Believe they counted their free/reduced lunch students. Will follow-up with school to ensure.	Why did you list numerous athletes receive aid? Free/Reduced lunch? If so, do all students have equal access to this priveledge?	Principal confirmed that they did list free/reduced lunch students as getting aid. Also mentioned that all students had equal access to this priveledge. School is compliant, no further action necessary.	Follow-up with AD/Principal
Assumption (Louisville)	Listed "PSAS recommendations with adjustments made on extenuating circumstances." Want to check on extenuating circumstances.	What extenuating circumstances do you take into consideration? Do you ever exceed 3rd party amount?	PSAS recommends an amount and Assumption cannot match that for students. They will offer an amount to students and in severe cases (parent dies, job loss) and if student needs more than the PSAS recommended and the student is an athlete, Assumption has them sign a waiver stating that they would not be eligible for athletics if accept assistance. School is compliant, no further action is necessary.	Follow-up with AD/Principal
Beth Haven (Valley Station)	Higher % of athletes receiving need based financial aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey, they do use approved Financial aid test and appear to be compliant on survey, but was a red flag initially on last year's survey as well, so will follow-up with school to ensure following the Bylaw correctly.	Check on policy for awarding aid and ensure following Bylaw correctly.	School uses FACTS and only awards need based aid. Tina verified that they do use the FACTS number and all students pay some tuition. School uses alumni fund to help ALL students and stretch their dollars to assist all students that qualify. Tina also stated that they never exceed the FACTS number due to KHSAA policy. School is compliant, no further action is necessary.	Follow-up with AD/Principal
Bishop Brossart (Alexandria)	Higher % of athletes receiving merit aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey, they do use approved merit aid test, but showed up on last year's survey as well, so will follow-up with school to ensure following the Bylaw correctly.	Please explain your process for awarding aid. Please explain this answer from the survey "Documentation of a family's financial position may be required in order to make a fair appraisal. The School Administration has the authority to make all decisions relative to these cases."	FACTS number, most students get 65% of the FACTS. Never exceed 65% of the FACTS financial aid number. Set amount for each level of merit aid, top performer gets x amount and then steps down. FACTS application is the part about the family's financial position. Outside scholarship (for death of an athlete) was created for students, but Rob stated that they include that in total amount of aid to never exceed 65% of the FACTS number or 25% of merit aid. Did ask for the details of the scholarship he mentions to ensure it fits the criteria of Bylaw 11. Rob sent details of scholarship, details look complaiant. We discussed how to calculate student's aid if they receive this scholarship and he stated they are compliant and never exceed the FACTS number. Did say he would clean up the verbiage on their written policies to ensure everything is covered on their end. School is compliant, no further action is necessary.	Follow-up with AD/Principal
Eminence (Eminence)	40.34% of athletes receiving aid when school makeup is only 24.24%. They are public and did not fill in any merit or need-based aid numbers. Believe they counted their free/reduced lunch students. Will follow-up with school to ensure.	Why did you list numerous athletes receive aid? Free/Reduced lunch? If so, do all students have equal access to this priveledge?	Principal confirmed that they did list free/reduced lunch students as getting aid. Also mentioned that all students had equal access to this priveledge. School is compliant, no further action necessary. They do have a reciprocal agreement with other counties.	Follow-up with AD/Principal
Henry Clay (Lexington)	40.34% of athletes receiving aid when school makeup is only 24.24%. They are public and did not fill in any merit or need-based aid numbers. Believe they counted their free/reduced lunch students. Will follow-up with school to ensure.	Why did you list numerous athletes receive aid? Free/Reduced lunch? If so, do all students have equal access to this priveledge?	Kristian Junker confirmed that he did list free/reduced lunch students as getting aid. Also mentioned that all students had equal access to this priveledge. School is compliant, no further action necessary.	Follow-up with AD/Principal
June Buchanan (Pippa Passes)	Listed 75% of athletes receive aid when school makeup of athletes is only 70.97%. However, they did list in the survey that their aid never exceeds amount presented by PSAS.			No action required, school is compliant.

Louisville Collegiate (Louisville)	Higher % of athletes receiving merit aid and need based aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey, they do use approved merit aid test and I have been working with them during 15-16 and 16-17 school year to ensure they are compliant. They have added written policies to outline the limitations of Bylaw 11 in the past year and never exceed the 3rd party recommendation.			No action required, school is compliant.
Mercy (Louisville)	Higher % of athletes receiving merit aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey, they do use approved merit aid test. No action required.			No action required, school is compliant.
Newport Central Catholic (Newport)	Higher % of athletes receiving merit aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey, they do use approved merit aid test and only award 65% of actual need to all students. No action required.			No action required, school is compliant.
Notre Dame (Covington)	Higher % of athletes receiving merit aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey, they do use approved merit aid test and only award 65% of actual need to all students. No action required.			No action required, school is compliant.
Portland Christian (Louisville)	Higher % of athletes receiving merit aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey, they do use approved merit aid test, but showed up on last year's survey as well. Superintendent verified that their school never exceeds ISM amount in 15-16 survey. Check 16-17 survey and all compliant.			No action required, school is compliant.
Presentation (Louisville)	Higher % of athletes receiving merit aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey, answered "A committee meets to review the PSAS report. Generally, 1/2 the stated need is awarded. Families may appeal. The committee reviews all appeals to determine any additional aid. Occasionally a family is awarded an amount higher than 1/2 of the demonstrated need but rarely". Will follow-up with school, check to see if they ever go over 3rd party amount.	Explain your committee process for awarding aid.	Barbara states that they never go over PSAS number, may go over the 1/2 need but never go over than PSAS. If they ever do (which is rarely) the parents sign a slip that lets them know that the student is not eligible for athletics. School is compliant, no further action is necessary.	Follow-up with AD/Principal

Red Bird Christian (Beverly)	Higher % of athletes receiving aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey and saw some additional red flags, want to follow-up about sliding scale used to determine aid amount and the use of parents to "work-off" their tuition debt.	Explain your committee process for awarding aid. Explain the "parents can serve as volunteers to work off debt"	Use FACTS to determine the amount of aid, sliding scale is based on income that is based on the federal poverty line to help determine amount of aid. Tonya Dickerson handles aid and he will have her call me to explain their financial aid process. Started last year that parents could work off their debt for tuition. Highest per month is \$610 and lowest is \$15 per month. FACTS determines numbers, sliding scale sets the amount. Doesn't use FACTS numbers at all. Debt of tuition, parents can volunteer hours to help pay for tuition, minimum wage is credited to their tuition bill. Red Bird Mission. NEEDS ADDITIONAL FOLLOW-UP, no written policies and do not use FACTS number. Sent email to Tonya and David about the written policies, will follow-up on other issues. 8/8 returned call to school, spoke with Michael Hensley to brief on the situation and explain to him about issues with not using a 3rd party to determine aid would make students ineligible for athletics. Principal will work with AD and Tonya Dickerson to correct issues and report and violations from 16-17.	Follow-up with AD/Principal
Riverside Christian (Lost Creek)	Higher % of athletes receiving aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey and they do used approved test and test date. Will follow-up with school about written policies for their students about Bylaw 11. Will also ask about "Committee Decision" when it comes to awarding aid.	Ask about written policies, help school administration develop those if necessary.	Asked for some guidance on written policies within school, just hired new Business Manager and I will work with her and Principal to ensure all student-athletes are compliant and that written policies reflect Bylaw 11 limitations. Meg will find out further information on "Committee" and be in touch soon. Principal Meg Plummer followed up on August 15 to confirm that their business manager is aware of Bylaw 11 and they are compliant. She informed the new business manager and scholarship committee of the importance of following the Bylaw for all athletes within the school. Spoke with Ryan Hamblin on 8/25 about the process, educated him on how Bylaw 11 works. Meg Plummer called at 2:00 and we discussed the entire process. She states anyone playing varsity has been correctly handled through the 3rd party analysis and will continue to be in the future.	Follow-up with AD/Principal
Rose Hill Christian (Ashland)	Higher % of athletes receiving aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey and they do used approved test and test date. Also specifically mentioned that the aid is always less than the amount due to KHSAA regulations.			No action required, school is compliant.
Sacred Heart (Louisville)	Higher % of athletes receiving aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey and they do used approved test and test date. Also specifically mentioned that the the Bylaw is referenced at their school and adhered to in it's entirety.			No action required, school is compliant.
St. Francis (Louisville)	Higher % of athletes receiving merit aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey, they do use approved merit aid test, and their merit award is exempt by BOC. No action required.			No action required, school is compliant.
St. Henry District (Erlanger)	Higher % of athletes receiving aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey, they do use approved merit and need based aid test/agency. No action required.			No action required, school is compliant.

St. Patrick (Maysville)	Higher % of athletes receiving aid than total % of athletes in the school. Checked their survey, they do use approved need based aid agency. Also listed written policies and how they use FACTS recommendation. No action required.			No action required, school is compliant.
Tates Creek (Lexington)	33.98% of athletes receiving aid when school makeup is only 27.17% athletes. They are public and did not fill in any merit or need-based aid numbers. Believe they counted their free/reduced lunch students. Will follow-up with school to ensure.	Why did you list numerous athletes receive aid? Free/Reduced lunch? If so, do all students have equal access to this priveledge?	John Dixon confirmed that he did list free/reduced lunch students as getting aid. Also mentioned that all students had equal access to this priveledge. School is compliant, no further action necessary.	Follow-up with AD/Principal
University Heights (Hopkinsville)	78.43% of athletes receiving aid when school makeup is only 73.17% athletes. However, survey is very detailed about all the changes they have made since 15-16 school year and their on site visit from the KHSAA. They now have approved written policies for students and have had multiple trainings on Bylaw 11 and how to award.			No action required, school is compliant.