Currently, Kentucky is in a transition period away from the Unbridled Learning Accountability Model to a new accountability model. The new model is expected to be in effect beginning with the 2018-19 school year. Until the new accountability system takes effect, our situation is changing. We are moving away from the old toward the new.

While schools and districts will learn more in the coming months about the new accountability system, for 2017, we are reporting assessment results for the students tested in each school and district. Additionally, the Unbridled Learning components of achievement, gap, growth, college and career readiness and graduation rate are included in the data sets within the School Report Card (SRC).

While the Kentucky Department of Education will still be offering assistance and support to the lowest-performing schools and districts, there will be no penalty for not meeting the Unbridled Learning accountability goals this year.

To assist districts with public reporting during this transition period, the Office of Assessment and Accountability (OAA) has created public release resources. This comparison chart is designed to help district and school staff familiarize themselves with the components of the assessment and accountability system and help them determine how the 2016-17 reported results are comparable to results reported for 2015-16.

Reported Components

	Component	2015-16	2016-17	Are the results comparable?		
ureas)	Achievement Achievement points were based on st areas.	udents' performance levels of novice, app	rentice, proficient and distinguished (NA	APD) in	all con	tent
Achievement (all grade level spans, all content areas)	Reading	Reading was included with K-PREP scores for grades 3-8. English II End-of-Course (EOC) scores were included at the high school level.	No change	E/M	Н	ALT
	Mathematics	Mathematics was included with K-PREP for grades 3-8. Algebra II EOC scores were included at the high school level.	No change	E/M	Н	ALT ✓

	Component	2015-16	2016-17		Are the results comparable?		
	On-Demand Writing	included with K-PREP in grades 5, 6, 8, 10 and 11. Writing was removed from grades 6 and 10 (including Alternate Assessment).		E/M 6 th X 5 th /8 th	H 10 th X 11 th	ALT 6 th /10 th X 5/8/11	
	Language Mechanics	Language Mechanics was included	Language Mechanics was removed	✓ E/M	√ H	✓ ALT	
Achievement (all grade level spans, all content areas)		with K-PREP at grades 4 and 6. At the high school level, Language Mechanics scores from ACT Plan were replaced with the ACT Usage/Mechanics sub-score.	at the high school level due to the elimination of reporting of English sub-scores by ACT.	4	X	1	
	Science	Elementary and middle school students	Students in grades 4, 7 and 11 took	E/M	Н	ALT	
		were tested using the Science Stanford 10 norm-referenced test only. A performance level was not assigned. Biology EOC scores were included at the high school level. Alternate Assessment Science scores were not included in Achievement.	Science field tests that did not generate student scores. Biology EOC scores were included at the high school level. The Alternate Assessment in Science was operational. Those scores are reported in the School Report Card and in the data sets.	n/a	•	X	
	Social Studies	Social Studies scores were included	No change	E/M	Н	ALT	
		with K-PREP at grades 5 and 8. U.S. History EOC scores were included at the high school level.		✓	•	•	

OAA:DAS:js/jl:09182017 = Yes X = No 2

	Component	2015-16	2016-17		the re	
	Non-Duplicated Gap The non-duplicated gap points were b areas.	ased on the percentage of student demogr	raphic groups reaching proficient/disting			
	Reading	Reading was included with K-PREP in grades 3-8.	No change	E/M	Н	ALT
		English II End-of-Course (EOC) scores were included at the high school level.		•	✓	•
	Mathematics	Mathematics was included with K-PREP in grades 3-8.	No change	E/M	Н	ALT
l spans)	at the l	Algebra II EOC scores were included the high school level.		•	•	•
Gap (all grade level spans)	Science	Elementary and middle school students were tested using the Science Stanford 10 norm-referenced test only. A performance level was not assigned. Biology EOC scores were included at the high school level. Alternate Assessment Science scores were not included in Non-duplicated-Gap.	Students in grades 4, 7 and 11 took Science field tests that did not generate student scores. Biology EOC scores were included at the high school level. The Alternate Assessment in Science was operational. Those scores are reported in the School Report Card and in the data sets.	E/M n/a	Н	X
	Social Studies	Social Studies scores were included with K-PREP at grades 5 and 8. U.S. History EOC scores were included at the high school level.	No change	E/M	H 🗸	ALT •

OAA:DAS:js/jl:09182017

Component		2015-16	2016-17	Are the results comparable?		
	On-Demand Writing	On-Demand Writing scores were	Per Senate Bill 1, 2017, On-Demand	E/M	Н	ALT
		included with K-PREP in grades 5, 6, 8, 10 and 11.	Writing was removed from grades 6 and 10 (including Alternate Assessment).	6 th	10 th	10 th
			Tissessificate).	5 th /8 th	5 th /8 th	5/8/11
ns)	Language Mechanics	Language Mechanics was included	Language Mechanics was removed at the high school level due to the	E/M	Н	ALT
Gap (all grade level spans)		with K-PREP at grades 4 and 6. At the high school level, Language Mechanics scores from ACT Plan were replaced by the ACT Usage/Mechanics sub-score.	elimination of reporting of English sub-scores by ACT. anics scores from ACT Plan were ced by the ACT Usage/Mechanics		X	1
	Novice Reduction					
	Novice reduction points are based	The novice reduction component was	No change	E/M	Н	ALT
	on the percentage meeting the 10% reduction of students performing at novice level in Reading and Mathematics.	added in Reading and Mathematics with K-PREP in grades 3-8 and English II End-of-Course (EOC) at the high school level.	No new Novice Reduction targets are given. Previous targets were provided in Summary Data spreadsheets.	1	1	1
	Student Growth Percentile (all grad	* /				
Growth (Reading and Mathematics)	A student growth percentile compares an individual student's score to the student's academic peers. Points were awarded for the percentage of students showing typical or higher growth (40th percentile).	Reading and Mathematics were included with K-PREP at grades 4-8. At the high school level, growth was determined from ACT Plan to the ACT. Note: The 2015-16 juniors were the last class to take ACT Plan.	Student growth percentile was removed at the high school level due to ACT's elimination of ACT Plan.	E/M	H X	E/M H X

OAA:DAS:js/jl:09182017

	Component	2015-16	2016-17		the re		
	Categorical Growth (Elementary an						
Growth (Reading and Mathematics)	Categorical Growth scores were based on students moving from student performance level (NAPD) to a higher level and those remaining P/D. Data for Categorical Growth in Reading and Mathematics were not available at the high school level because only single subject area tests are given.	Categorical Growth was added and equally weighted with Growth Percentile. Reading and Mathematics were included with K-PREP at grades 4-8.	No change	E/M	H n/a	ALT •	
	College Readiness						
College/Career Readiness (CCR) Rate (high school only)	To receive credit for College Readiness, students were required to meet the Kentucky Council on Postsecondary Education (CPE) college readiness benchmarks in Reading, English and Mathematics.	All juniors took the ACT. Students who did not meet benchmarks in a content area (Reading, English and Mathematics) took that content exam in KYOTE or ACT Compass as seniors. Alternate Assessment students demonstrated College Readiness with the Transition Attainment Record.	No change with the ACT, KYOTE or the Transition Attainment Record ACT Compass was discontinued for KDE June, 2016. A limited number of students used ACT Compass at colleges/universities until December, 2016.	Н		ALT ✓	
F)(C)	Career Readiness (There was no operation of the Career Readiness)	erational career-ready measure for Alterna Students used ASVAB or ACT	,	Н		ALT	
College/	Readiness, students in a career pathway were required to meet career-ready academic AND career-ready technical benchmarks.	WorkKeys to meet career-ready academic benchmarks and KOSSA or industry certifications to meet career-ready technical benchmarks.	No change	H ✓		n/a	

OAA:DAS:js/jl:09182017

	Component	2015-16	2016-17	Are the results comparable?
	Four-year Adjusted Cohort Gradu	ation Rate		·
Graduation Rate (high school only)	The Four-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate is used to determine whether a school or district met its federally-required Graduation Rate goal.	The Four-year adjusted cohort graduation rate is defined as the number of students who graduate in four years with a regular high school diploma divided by the number of students who entered high school four years earlier adjusting for transfers in and out, émigrés and deceased students.	No change	•
uati	Five-year Adjusted Cohort Gradua	tion Rate		
Gradu (high s	The Five-year Adjusted Cohort Graduation Rate is used as the Graduation Rate component in the Unbridled Learning calculations.	The Five-year adjusted cohort graduation rate is defined as the number of students who graduate in five years with a regular high school diploma divided by the number of students who entered high school five years earlier adjusting for transfers in and out, émigrés and deceased students.	No change	•

OAA:DAS:js/jl:09182017 = Yes X = No 6

	Component	2015-16	2016-17	Are the results comparable?
Program Reviews (all grade level spans)	Program Reviews The review of programs was an ongoing, year-round, reflective process. Through careful review, schools were able to identify strengths and areas of growth. Programs were reviewed for Writing, Visual and Performing Arts, Practical Living /Career Studies and Global Competency/ World Languages.	Elementary and Middle Schools submitted Program Reviews for all Program Review categories except Global Competency/ World Languages. Program Reviews were submitted in all categories at the high school level including Global Competency/ World Languages.	In August 2016, The Kentucky Board of Education (KBE) approved changes to Program Reviews. The number for submission was reduced to two per year. For the 2016-17 school year, schools reviewed the Practical Living/Career Studies and Visual and Performing Arts programs. Then, in March 2017, per Senate Bill 1, Program Reviews were discontinued. Submitting Program Review data became optional. Scores submitted by schools and approved by the district will be present in the School Report Card. District Program Review scores will be present in the School Report card if all schools at each level submitted reviews and the reviews were district-approved.	E/M/H X

OAA:DAS:js/jl:09182017 = Yes X = No 7

Component 2015-16 2016-17					Are the results comparable?				
Kentucky collects multiple measures of student performance on tests and student accomplishments of graduation and readiness for college or career. Reporting is organized into five categories: Achievement, Gap, Growth, College/Career Readiness and Graduation Rate. (See above for detailed comparison.)									
	Achievement Score		E	M	Н	ALT			
			1	X	X	X			
	Gap Score		E	M	Н	ALT			
	Gap Score		1	X	X	X			
					Н	ALT			
Growth Score					X	H X E/M			
CCD C				M	Н	ALT			
CCR Score					✓	✓			
Graduation Rate				M	Н	ALT			
			n/a	n/a	✓	✓			
Accountability Reporting and Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) New AMOs were established due to the adding of additional components. New AMO goals were established for schools and districts. New AMOs were established due to the adding of additional components. New AMOs were established due to the adding of additional components. New AMOs were established due to the adding of additional components. New AMO goals were established were established for schools and districts. No Overall Score No Rankings An AMO will not be provided.					X				
Priority/Focus Schools	No new identifications of Priority and Focus Schools; however, KDE is continuing to support improvement efforts among the currently identified Priority and Focus schools.	No new identifications of Priority and Focus Schools; however, KDE is continuing to support improvement efforts among the currently identified Priority and Focus schools.	n/a						

Talking Points

- Senate Bill 1, 2017 required a new accountability system to be developed. KDE is following this requirement. Therefore, no overall scores, no learners and program review scores and no labels will be produced.
- During this transition period, schools and district should focus on:
 - O Students not performing at proficiency in content areas of reading/writing, mathematics, science and social studies;
 - o Closing the achievement gaps; and
 - o Identifying student demographic groups that need additional supports.