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The data presented in this report reflect the reality that to fully realize equity within JCPS, two parallel efforts need to be made simultaneously and collaboratively: 1 ) systemic policy and practice efforts and changes with in JCPS
and 2) communitywide efforts to expand involvement in ICPS and 2) communitywide efforts to expand involvement in JCPS, antipoverty housing policies, etc. We all play a role in improving educational equity within our community, and it is time to courageously confront the root causes of the disparities to improve the quality of life for us all. Together, let's Envision Equit
the presentation of the first Envision Equity scorecard, Dr. Vanderhaar presented a Loote by Frederick Douglass: "If's easier to build strong children than to repair broken men." The data on this card is presented in hopes that we, as a community, can work to uild strong children.
 education for all students

## Literacy \& College/Career Readiness

## 

Inequity Between Schools

## Range in School Reading Proficiency Rates - School Winh Lowest Profifiency Rate <br> 

At every level, the difference between the school with the highest reading proficiency and the lowest reading proficiency is staggering. In 2016, the largest gap is elementary school preficiency school.

| School Poverity <br> Level | \% of Students Proficient <br> in Reading |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Extreme Poverty | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| High Poverty | $36 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Med.-High Poverty | $50 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Low Poverty | $66 \%$ | $78 \%$ |



Poverty is a predictor of the percentage of students in a school who are Proficient readers. In 2016, in extreme-poverty schools, 32 percent of students are Proficieint in reading vs. 78 percent in low-poverty
schools. This gap has increased since 2013. Schools should support those efforts to extend reading proficiency to more students, particularly in our schools with extreme levels of poverty.

Inequity Between Student Groups

Poverty decreases a group's rate of reading proficiency for every ethnic group. Just over one-fourth of black students
who are eligibl for free or reduced-price lunch (27 percen who are eivibibe eor riee or reduced-price lunch (27 percent)
are Proficient readers. Among white students, the rate is 19 points higher, at 46 percent. If we look at race and lunch status together, white students who pay for lunch are much more likely to be Proficient readers, scoring 25 percentage points higher than black students who pay for lunch. This contributing factor to reading proficiency-does not fully explain the reading proficiency gap between ethnic groups.

Student Voice
Some teachers really care. -JPSS student
Some teachers and staff treat me different. —JCPS student

## "I'm reading more at home."

 -I School With Highest \% of Students Who AgreeSchool With Lowest \% of Students Who Agree

\% Proficient Reading Grades 3-8 Race and Poverty ( $n=43,815$ )


## 

Inequity Between Schools


| School Poverty <br> Level | Average \% Gollege- and <br> Career-Ready |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| Extreme Poverty | $21 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| High Poverty | $24 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Med.-High Poverty | $42 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Low Poverty | $75 \%$ | $84 \%$ |



In 2016, the percentage of students who graduated college-/career-ready is 34 percent higher in low-poverty schools than in high-poverty schools. This gap has decreased since 2013.

Inequity Between Student Groups


Range in Schools on Student Experience and Perceptions About College
$\square$ School With Highest Rate $\square$ School With Lowest Rate $\square$ Average


