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## CIF SECTIONS



1 - Northern<br>2 - North Coast<br>3 - Sac-Joaquin<br>4 - San Francisco<br>5-0akland<br>6 - Central Coast<br>7 -Central<br>8 - Los Angeles<br>9 - Southern<br>10 - San Diego

## "Those who control the games children play, control society's

 future."Dr. Harry Edwards, Sociologist

## COMPETITION

Competition helps us create the environment to teach life skills


## CIFSDS PLAYOFFS



## 2 COMMHTTEES 2011

## PRIVATE/ PUBLIC

 PLAYOFF
## COMMITTEE MEMBERS

- Public Schools
- Private Schools
- Small Schools
- Large Schools
- Coaches

- Athletic Directors
- Administrators


## EACH MEMBER PRESENTED

 INFORMATION ON THEIR SCHOOL- Boundaries
- Transfers
- Special Education
- Coaches
- Concerns

Enrollment Boundaries
Stipends
Budgets
Questions

## Discussion revealed many similaritios.nbut also soñe significant athetic advantages and disadvantages



## 2011-2012 CIFSDS CHAMPIONSHIPS

## 125 Schools in the San Diego Section

48 \% of Championships won by 5 schools

- $59 \%$ of the football championships have been won by 6 teams
- $54 \%$ boys basketball of the championships have been won by 7 teams
- $56 \%$ of the girls basketball championships have been won by 6 teams
- $66 \%$ of the girls volleyball championships have been won by 5 teams
- 82\% of the boys water polo championships have been won by 3 teams


## IN THE LAST 10 YEARS

- $86 \%$ girls water polo of the championships have been won by 5 teams
- $\mathbf{4 8 \%}$ boys soccer of the championships have been won by 8 teams
- $55 \%$ of the girls soccer championships have been won by 5 teams
- $71 \%$ of the baseball championships have been won by 8 teams
- $52 \%$ of the softball championships have been won by 9 teams


## OHIO

## OHSAA private-public separation vote called off, replaced by new competitive-balance proposal



## PRIVATE SCHOOL ADVANTAGE?

## Good Question: Do Private Schools Have An Advantage In H.S. Sports?

March 6, 2013 10:57 PM
Minneapolis, MN
View Comments


Reporting Jason DaRusha,


## LOUISIANA

## Select vs. Non-select: Playoff proposals would separate Louisiana's public, private schools



The Louisiana High School Athletic Assacation will consider proposals this week to put public and private schools in different categories for sports.

Sports
LAFAYETTE, La. - For 15 years, a debate has brewed in Louisiana High School Athletic Association circles over whether to split the state into separate public and private school associations. Some public coaches and administrators believe private schools, with bigger pools of talent to draw from, have an unfair advantage.

Attempts to divide sports teams failed in 1998 and 2005. The discontented public school faction was down, but certainly not out.

At the annual LHSAA Convention today through Friday in Baton Rouge, two more proposals that would divide the state's school sports teams.

## NFHS SURVEY

## NFHS Survey

40 states combine private and public schools in playoffs
6 states separate private and public schools in playoffs

Competitive Divisions in California
CALIFORNIA INTERSCHOLASTIC FEDERTIOK


## COMPETITIVE DIVISIONS HAVE BEAN USED IN:

- Tournaments
- Club Sports
- NCAA
- European Soccer League
- Other Sections and States


## POSITIVES:

- "Like" teams competing
- Competitive Playoff Match-ups
- Objective, data-driven placement
- Ability for schools to move up or down as program changes
- Challenged programs can compete in the playoffs


## 2015-2016 16 SPORTS WITH COMPETITIVE DIVISIONS

## Fall

Girl's Volleyball Football
Field Hockey
Boys Water Polo Girls Tennis

Winter
Girls Basketball
Boys Basketball
Girls Soccer
Boys Soccer
Girls Water Polo

Spring
Baseball Softball
Boys Lacrosse Girls Lacrosse Boys Volleyball Boys Tennis

## SPORTS WITH ENROLLMENT DIVISIONS

X-Country Wrestling
Swim
Track \& Field Golf Gymnastics


# COMPETITIVE DIVISION ANALYSIS 

Enrollment

## Conference

Private/Public

## BOYS BASKETBALL

(enrollment)

## Enrollment Teams

Playoffs Finalists Champions

| Under 500 | $27 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $501-1350$ | $18 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| $1351-1900$ | $20 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $1901-2400$ | $16 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $2401+$ | $19 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

## BOYS BASKETBALL

## (Conference)

Conference Teams (\%) Playoff Teams (\%) Finalists Champions

| Coastal | $13 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Frontier | $10 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Grossmont | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Metro | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| North County | $20 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| San Diego City | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Southeastern | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Southern | $12 \%$ | $8 \%$ |

## BOYS BASKETBALL

(Private-Public)
2013-2014

| Schools Teams | Playoffs | Finalists | Champions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public 78\% | 75\% | 7 | 4 (67\%) |
| Private 22\% | 25\% | 5 | 2 (33\%) |

2012-2013

| Schools Teams | Playoffs | Finalists | Champions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public 78\% | 74\% | 4 | 2 (40\%) |
| Private 22\% | 26\% | 6 | 3 (60\%) |

# GIRLS BASKETBALL 

## (Private-Public)

2013-2014

| Schools | Teams |  | Playoffs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Public | $79 \%$ |  | $79 \%$ |
| Private | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | 9 |
|  |  |  | 3 |
| $\underline{2012-2013}$ |  |  |  |


| Schools | Teams | Playoffs | Finalists | Champions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public | 78\% | 75\% | 4 | 2 (40\%) |
| Private | 22\% | 25\% | 6 | 3 (60\%) |

## FOOTBALL

## (enrollment)

## Enrollment

## Teams

Playoffs Finalists Champions

Under 500<br>501-1350<br>1351-1900<br>1901-2400<br>2401+

18\%<br>16\%<br>21\%<br>21\%

9\%
8\%
17\%
19\% 25\%
17\%
22\%
25\%
33\%
25\% 17\%
0\%
$24 \% \quad 25 \%-25 \%$
33\%

# FООТBALL 

(Private-Public)
2013-2014

| Schools | Teams | Playoffs | Finalists | Champions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public | 80\% | 83\% | 9 | 3 (50\%) |
| Private | 20\% | 17\% | 3 | 3 (50\%) |

2012-2013

| Schools | Teams |  | Playoffs | Finalists |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public | $82 \%$ |  | $82 \%$ |  | 7 |
| Champions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private | $18 \%$ |  | $18 \%$ | 3 | $4(80 \%)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $1(20 \%)$ |

# GIRLS VOLLEYBALL 

## (Private-Public)

2013-2014

| Schools | Teams | Playoffs | Finalists | Champions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public | 81\% | 79\% | 6 | 3 (50\%) |
| Private | 19\% | 21\% | 6 | 3 (50\%) |

2012-2013

| Schools | Teams | Playoffs | Finalists | Champions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public | 82\% | 71\% | 5 | 2 (40\%) |
| Private | 18\% | 29\% | 5 | 3 (60\%) |

## GIRLS SOCGER

## (Private-Public)

## 2013-2014

| Schools Teams | Playoffs | Finalists | Champions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public 82\% | 86\% | 11 | 5 (83\%) |
| Private 18\% | 14\% | 1 | 1 (17\%) |

## 2012-2013

Schools Teams
Playoffs
Finalists
Champions Public 82\% 77\% 5
5
2 (40\%)
3 (60\%)

## OVERALL PLAYOFFS

## (enrollment)

Enrollment Teams Playoffs Finalists Champions

| Under 500 | $21 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $501-1350$ | $17 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| $1351-1900$ | $21 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| $1901-2400$ | $19 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $2401+$ | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $27 \%$ |

## OVERALL PLAYOFFS

(Conference)
Conference Teams (\%) Playoff Teams (\%) Finalists Champions

| Coastal | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Frontier | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Grossmont | $11 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Metro | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| North County | $22 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| San Diego City | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Southeastern | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Southern | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## OVERALL PLAYOFFS

## (Private-Public)

## 2013-2014



# COMPETITIVE DIVISIONS 

2011-2012 CHAMPIONSHIPS

48\% OF CHAMPIONSHIPS WON BY 5 SCHOOLS

# 2014-15 COMPETITIVE DIVISIONS 

## 77 CHAMPIONSHIPS WON BY

43 DIFFERENT SCHOOLS

## 2014-15 <br> COMPETITIVE DIVISIONS

## IN LAST TWO YEARS, 61 TEAMS WON 1 ST EVER CHAMPIONSHIP

## COMPETITIVE DIVISIONS

## 16 SCHOOLS WON INAUGURAL TITLE IN ANY SPORT

## OPEN DIVISION



## OPEN DIVISION

## BOYS WATER POLO

## CATHEDRAL CATHOLIC 5 BISHOP'S 4

# OPEN DIVISION 

## BOYS BASKETBALL

ST. AUGUSTINE 46
TORREY PINES 42

# OPEN DIVISION 

## BOYS SOCCER

## CATHEDRALGATHOLIC 2 TORREY PII $(\mathrm{OT})$

## OPEN DIVISION

GIRLS SOCCER

## LA COSTA CANYON 2 CARLSBAD 1 (OT)

# OPEN DIVISION 

## BASEBALL

## RANCHO BERNARDO 3

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { LA COSTA CANYON2 } \\
\text { (8 INNINGS) }
\end{gathered}
$$

# OPEN DIVISION 

## SOFTBALL

POWAY 1 CATHEDRAL CATHOLIC 0

# OPEN DIVISION 

BOYS LACROSSE

POWAY 8 TORREY PINES 7

## CENTRAL SECTION

## PLAY-OFF DIVISIONS BASED ON COMPETITIVE EQUITY

NFHS SUMMER MEETING, 2016
JIM CRICHLOW, CIF CENTRAL SECTION COMMISSIONER

CENTRAL SECTION

- Discussion : HAVE'S VS. HAVE NOTS
- EDISON TENNIS COACH
- TULARE SOFTBALL COACH
- CONCEPT---5 PLAY-OFF DIVISIONS BASED ON SOME FORM OF COMPETITIVENESS....INSTEAD OF SIZE OF SCHOOLS



## CENTRAL SECTION

- PHILOSOPHICAL CHANGE "PEOPLE ARE NOT AFRAID OF CHANGE, THEY ARE AFRAID OF BEING REMOVED FROM THEIR COMFORT ZONE."

THE INTENT OF DIVISIONAL PLACEMENT IS TO PLACE SCHOOLS WHERE THEY CAN BE COMPETITIVE WITH OTHER SCHOOLS IN THAT DIVISION. IT IS NOT TO WIN SECTION OR STATE HAMPIONSHIPS.

CENTRAL SECTION

- FEARS \& CONCERNS
- LARGE SCHOOLS WILL DOMINATE ALL DIVISIONS
- PENALIZING SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMS

CENTRAL SECTION

- INITIAL IDEA ---
- USE LOWER LEVEL TEAMS RESULTS
- BOARD APPROVED IDEA---USE VARSITY RESULTS ONLY STARTING WITH THE 2007 SCHOOL YEAR.....
- 2 YEAR POINT TOTALS FOR 95 SCHOOLS SPORT BY SPORT; GENDER BY GENDER..... 1710 CALCULATIONS.... 145 APPEALS OF INITIAL PLACEMENT



## CENTRAL SECTION

- PROCESS: POINT SYSTEM

| Bovs baskettall |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D-1 | 2010 | \#\#t | \#\#\# | \#\#\# | 2011 | 12011 |
|  | LEAGUE | PLAY-OFFS TOTAL |  | LEAGUE | PLAY-OFFS | TOTAL |
| CLOVIS E | 3 | 25 | 528 | 2 | 15 | 17 |
| CLOVIS W | 6 | 37 | 743 | 4 | 433 | 37 |
| LIBERTY | 5.5 | 18 | 84 | 6 | 618 | 24 |
| CENTENNIAL | 2.5 | 10 | - 13 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| CENTRAL | 1 | 10 | -11 | 5.5 | 525 | 30.5 |

## ADJUSTMENTS

CENTRAL SECTION

- CONCERNS-- LARGE SCHOOLS WILL DOMINATE LOWER DIVISIONS.... 1900 +
- UNINTENDED OUTCOME:
- PRIVATE SCHOOLS
- INCREASED ATTENDANCE



## CENTRAL SECTION

## 2006-7 SECTION CHAMPS <br> DIVISION FB VB BBB GBB BSOC GSOC BTEN GTEN BB SB <br> 3 GARCES REDW HAN.F HANF ROOSE TEHACH GARCES GARCES SELM HIGH 4 DP EXET GAR CES KNGS CVC CVC IMM IMM DP KNGS <br> 5 CAR BCHS IMM MP FARM LIB. M/R BCHS BCHS FOWL FOWL <br> 07-08

| 3 | TEHA | HANF HANF | HANF ROOSE HIGH | GARCES | TEHA | MCL GARCES |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | CORC | GARCES GARCES | GARCES LINDS CVC | KBGR | IMM | CHOW KBRG |  |  |
| 5 | FOWL | CVC | IMM | MP | MEND MP | BCHS | BCHS | FOWL FOWL |

## 2012-13 SECTION CHAMPS

DIVISION FB VB BBB GBB BSOC GSOC BTEN GTEN BB SB

- 2 garces eld hanf ridg gold.v garces redw sang sum gar

| 3 | RIDGE | HOOV INDEP HAN.W | ROOSE | HIGH | BCHS | BCHS | GOLD W. KBRG |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | WASC | WOOD EXET LINDS | DELAN | CARUT | CVC | TAFT | SELM | TAFT |
| 5 | LBM/R MINAR TRANQ IMM OROS | SIERR | LIBM/R MCLANE | CAR | EXER |  |  |  |

- 2013-14

| 2 | ELD | GAR | GAR | INDEP GAR | GAR | SIM | FRNTR | SJM | HW |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | DINU CVC | FRESN | SIERR | SOUTH | CVC | BCHS | BCHS | GLD. W RED |  |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | BCHS | BCHS | W.U. | LIND | KERM | BCHS | TAFT | SELM | KERM | TAFT |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | LIBM | MINAR | IMM | IMM | ORO | SIERR | ROOSE | CORC | IMM | CAR |

CENTRAL SECTION

- 2015-16

2
2
3
HAN/SJM BCHS
ROOS MISS.O RIDGE
LIB.M/R IMM/CVC
PORT HANF

4 CHOW SIERRA IMM IMM McL STRAT SELM WAS IMM M.O.
5 IMM FR.MT/IMM KV CAR MCF FR. MT CORC S-PAC CESAR FIRE


## CENTRAL SECTION

- APPEALS AND PETITIONS OF DIVISIONS
- SCHOOLS MAY APPEAL TO MOVE UP A DIVISION IN A SPORT....ONCE THEY MOVE UP THEY MUST REMAIN FOR THE DURATION OF THE 3 YEAR CYCLE
- APPEALS---- SCHOOLS MAY APPEAL THEIR INITIAL DIVISION PLACE ONCE THE NEW PLACEMENT CYCLE HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD OF MANAGERS....



## CENTRAL SECTION

- PETITIONS---

RECENTLY THE BOARD OF MANAGERS PASSED THE FOLLOWING FOR SCHOOLS TO PETITION AFTER 1 YEAR IN A DIVISION......IF PETITION IS APPROVED, SCHOOL IS MOVED DOWN FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE 3 YEAR CYCLE. IF DENIED.....THEY STAY IN THE DIVISION FOR THE REMAINDER OF THAT CYCLE


## CENTRAL SECTION

1) A $10 \%$ OR MORE DROP IN ENROLLMENT FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR AS REPORTED ON THE OCTOBER CAL-PADS SENT TO THE STATE DEPT. OF EDUCATION

- 2) THE NUMBER OF GRADUATED ATHLETES FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S TEAM
- 3) THE NUMBER OF RETURNING ATHLETES FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S TEAM
- 4) THE SEASON RECORDS OF THE LOWER LEVEL TEAM(S) FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR

CENTRAL SECTION
5) A NEW HEAD COACH

- 6) THE NUMBER OF TRANSFERS IN AND OUT OF THE PROGRAM
- 7) RECORD VS. TEAMS IN THE SAME DIVISION OR HIGHER


## 8) OTHER SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES



## CENTRAL SECTION

- NUMBER OF PETITIONS:

FALL 4321 APPROVED 22 DENIED

WINTER 3525 APPROVED 10 DENIED

SPRING $11 \quad 7$ APPROVED 4 DENIED

- CONTINUED WORK IN PROGRESS

Looking at some way to move schools up a Division if they receive a specified number of transfer into their school in a certain sport.

# PLAYOFF GROUPINGS COMPETITIVE EQUITY 

Rob Wigod
Commissioner of Athletics
CIF Southern Section robw@cifss.org

## PLAN

## "A GOAL WITHOUT A PLAN IS A WISH"

"FOCUS ON THE RESULT, NOT THE ACTIVITY"

Herman Edwards
Former NFL Head Coach

## A TIME OF UNCERTAINTY

- School Choice/ Transfer
- Club Sports
- Personal Trainers/Coaches - Funding/Budget Cuts
- Decline of Multi-Sport Athletes
- Local Issues


## WHAT IS THE \#1 ISSUE?

A LEVEL PLAYING FIELD FOR ALL SCHOOLS:

LARGE , MEDIUM, SMALL, PUBLIC, PRIVATE, CHARTER, HAVES, HAVE NOTS, ETC.
(AT LEAST AS MANY SCHOOLS AS POSSIBLE)

## STEPS

- Identify Specific Sports
- Identify Factors For Evaluation
- Develop Power Rankings Formula
- Create Power Rankings and Divisions
- Establish Deadlines and Timelines
- Penalty for Missing Deadlines


## IDENTIFY SPECIFIC SPORTS

- Fall - Football, Girls Tennis, Girls Volleyball, Boys Water Polo
- Winter - Boys/Girls Basketball, Boys/Girls Soccer, G Water Polo
- Spring - Baseball, Softball, Boys Tennis, Boys Volleyball


## IDENTIFY FACTORS FOR EVALUATION

Utilize 2 years of data, divisions change annually

- Regular Season Results - Every Game!
- Strength of Schedule - Using Formula
- Section Playoff Performance


## DEVELOP POWER RANKINGS FORMULA

- All sports are not the same
- Sport Administrators can change the weight of each of the three factors to arrive at the best formula for that sport
(See Power Rankings for 2014-15 and 2015-16)


## CREATE POWER RANKINGS AND DIVISIONS

- Apply Formula
- Review Rankings, Adjust Formula as Needed
- Create Divisions of Competitive Schools
(See Power Rankings for 2014-15 and 2015-16)


## ESTABLISH DEADLINES AND TIMELINES

## Deadlines For Data Entry into CIFSSHome:

- Fall Sports - December 15, 2015
- Winter Sports - March 15, 2016
- Spring Sports - June 15, 2016


## ESTABLISH DEADLINES AND TIMELINES

- Divisions will be published in the Sport Previews for each affected sport:
- Fall Sports - August, 2016
- Winter Sports - October, 2016
- Spring Sports - January, 2017


## PENALTY FOR MISSING DEADLINES

- SCHOOLS WHO FAIL TO ACCURATELY ENTER FULL AND COMPLETE DATA WILL NOT BE PLACED INTO A PLAYOFF DIVISION FOR THE UPCOMING SEASON AND WILL BE INELIGIBLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE CIF SOUTHERN SECTION CHAMPIONSHIPS IN THAT SPORT
- (Chase data from deadline date to Preview date)


## GUARANTEED ENTRIES FROM LEAGUES

All Sports (Except Football):

> 4 Team Leagues $=2$ Entries 5-6 Team Leagues $=3$ Entries
> 7-8 Team Leagues $=4$ Entries
(32-team brackets. If we have more than 32 guaranteed entries, we have Wild Card games to get to 32 . If we have less, we fill with At-Large.)

## ENTRIES FROM LEAGUES - FOOTBALL

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 4-5 Team Leagues }=2 \text { Entries } \\
\text { 6-8 Team Leagues }=3 \text { Entries } \\
9 \text { or More Team Leagues }=4 \text { Entries }
\end{gathered}
$$

(16-Team Brackets. League Champions have priority, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Place next, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Place next. Less than 16 entries, we fill with At-Large or if we have more, we cut teams using same At-Large process.)

## THE "A WORD" - APPEALS

Appeals of divisional placements will only be considered regarding inaccurate information provided by schools. If that occurs, the affected school must send a written request to the Assistant Commissioner in charge of that sport seeking a reconsideration of their placement, based upon corrected information provided by the school.

## ENROLLMENT/ GEOGRAPHY/ DATA-BASED

- Boys/ Girls Cross-Country - School Enrollment
- Boys/ Girls Golf - Data- Based and Geography
- 8-Man Football - School Enrollment
- Boys/ Girls Swimming and Diving - Data-Based
- Boys/ Girls Track and Field - School Enrollment
- Boys Individual Wrestling - Data-Based
- Girls Individual Wrestling - Geography
- Boys Dual Meet Wrestling - Geography


## QUESTIONS???

