									Key to Symbols: Pos=Positive, Neg=Negative, ND=Not Determinative - would not change the Conclusion column, A= more Advantageous to KyMEA than other proposals, NA=Not Applicable				
	Analysis R	teference		В	asic Proposa	al Information			Rankings within Analysis Category Relative to Other Proposals				
Row No.	Proposer	Proposal	Analysis Category	Product	Upper Capacity Limit	Basis for Energy Entitlement/ Obligation	Delivery Point	Years	Cost Ranking Group	Qualitative Ranking Synopsis	Conclusion		
					MW								
Base L	oad 10 Year Op	tions											
1	LD Provider 1	Option 1	Base Load	LD Energy Only	50	7x24	MISO	10.1	3	ND	-		
2	Coal Provider 5	NA	Base Load	Coal	100	Proportionate to Capacity	MISO	10.1	3	ND	-		
3	Coal Provider 1 (BREC)	NA	Base Load	Coal	150	Proportionate to Capacity	MISO	10.1	1	Pos	Α		
4	Coal Provider 2	Option 1	Base Load	Coal	167	Proportionate to Capacity	MISO	10.0	2	ND	-		
5	Coal Provider 2	Option 2	Base Load	Coal	167	Proportionate to Capacity	Yr. 1-3 LGE/KU, then MISO	10.0	1	ND	-		
6	Coal Provider 4	NA	Base Load	Coal	41	Proportionate to Capacity	MISO	10.0	3	ND	-		
7	Coal Provider 3	Option 2	Base Load	Coal	50	Proportionate to capacity, subject to first call	LGE/KU	10.0	3	ND	-		
8	LD Provider 2	NA	Base Load	LD Energy Only	200	7x24	MISO Indiana Hub	9.7	3	ND	-		
9	Combined Cycle Provider 1 (HenderSun)	NA	Base Load	NG CC	100	Proportionate to Capacity	MISO	10.0	1	Pos	А		

									Key to Symbols: Pos=Positive, Neg=Negative, ND=Not Determinative - would not change the Conclusion column, A= more Advantageous to KyMEA than other proposals, NA=Not Applicable				
	Analysis I	Reference		Ва	asic Proposa	al Information			Rankings within Analysis Categor Relative to Other Proposals				
Row No.	Proposer	Proposal	Analysis Category	Product	Upper Capacity Limit	Basis for Energy Entitlement/ Obligation	Delivery Point	Years	Cost Ranking Group	Qualitative Ranking Synopsis	Conclusion		
					MW								
Base L	oad 3-5 Year O	ptions											
10	LD Provider 1	Option 3	Base Load	LD Energy Only	50	7x24	MISO Indiana Hub	5.1	3	ND	-		
11	LD Provider 4	Option 2 Variations	Base Load	LD Energy Only	100	7x24	MISO Indiana Hub	5.0	3	ND	-		
12	LD Provider 4	Option 2 Variations	Base Load	LD Energy Only	100	7x24	PJM AEP Dayton Hub	5.0	3	ND	-		
13	LD Provider 4	Option 2 Variations	Base Load	LD Energy Only	100	7x24	Seller's Option: MISO-PJM- LGEE	5.0	3	ND	-		
14	LD Provider 3	Option 2	Base Load	LD Energy Only	50	7x24	MISO Indiana Hub	4.7	2	ND	-		
15	LD Provider 1	Option 2	Base Load	LD Energy Only	50	7x24	MISO Indiana Hub	3.1	3	ND	-		
16	Coal Provider 2 (Dynegy)	Option 3	Base Load	Coal	100	Proportionate to Capacity	LGE/KU	3.0	1	Pos	А		
17	Coal Provider 6	NA	Base Load	Coal	50	Proportionate to Capacity, limited to service of KyMEA load	LGE/KU	3.0	3	ND	-		

									Neg=Negati would not cho more Advan	Symbols: Pos= tive, ND=Not Det ange the Conclus tageous to KyM sals, NA=Not Ap	terminative - ion column, A= EA than other	
	Analysis F	Reference		Ва	asic Proposa	al Information			Rankings within Analysis Category Relative to Other Proposals			
Row No.	Proposer	Proposal	Analysis Category	Product	Product Capacity E		Basis for Energy Entitlement/ Obligation Delivery Point		Cost Ranking Group	Qualitative Ranking Synopsis	Conclusion	
					MW							
Interm	ediate Load 3-1	LO Year Options										
18	Combined Cycle Provider 1 (HenderSun)	NA	Intermediate Load	NG CC	450	Proportionate to Capacity	MISO	10.0	1	Pos	A	
19	LD Provider 4	Option 1 Variations	Intermediate Load	LD Energy Only	100	5x16	MISO Indiana Hub	5.0	3	ND	-	
20	LD Provider 4	Option 1 Variations	Intermediate Load	LD Energy Only	100	5x16	PJM AEP Dayton Hub	5.0	3	ND	-	
21	LD Provider 3	Option 1	Intermediate Load	LD Energy Only	50	5x16	MISO Indiana Hub	4.7	2	ND	-	

									Key to Symbols: Pos=Positive, Neg=Negative, ND=Not Determinativ would not change the Conclusion colum more Advantageous to KyMEA than o proposals, NA=Not Applicable			
	Analysis I	Reference		Ва	sic Proposa	al Information			_	within Analys ve to Other Pi	• •	
Row No.	Proposer	Proposal	Analysis Category	Product	Upper Capacity Limit	Basis for Energy Entitlement/ Obligation	Delivery Point	Years	Cost Ranking Group	Qualitative Ranking Synopsis	Conclusion	
					MW							
Portfo	lio - 3 to 10 Yea	r Options										
22		Dynegy, HenderSun, , Paris Diesels)	Portfolio	Load Matching Energy	Load	Load	LGE/KU, MISO	10.0	1	Pos	А	
23	HenderSun, SEP	rovider 3-Option 1, A, Peaking, Paris iels)	Portfolio	Coal	Yr 1-3: OMU's surplus, then 50	Proportionate to capacity, subject to first call by OMU	LGE/KU, MISO	10.0	2	ND	-	
24		Energy, Firming A, Paris Diesels)	Portfolio	Load Matching Energy	Load	Load	MISO Indiana Hub	10.1	3	ND	-	
25		olio D on Page 8.	Portfolio	Load Matching Energy	Load	Load	MISO Indiana Hub	3.1	2	Neg	-	
26	Portfolio E * See note on Page 8.		Portfolio	Limited Req. Service	110	Loads at selected delivery points	Selected Members' DPs	2.7	3	Neg	-	

				Summary of Qualitative Assessments													
	Analysis I	Reference	Uncerta	_	rding the A	Absolute an	d Relative		Flexibility	1		ance and	Transm Arrangi		Certainty of Term	Seller's Credit Standing	Location
Row No.	Proposer	Proposal	Capacity Cost Certainty - Absolute	Energy Cost Certainty - Absolute		Fuel Price Uncertainty Relative to Uncertainty that Impacts KU	Market Congestion, Loss Exposure/ Uncertainty	Schedul- ing	Option to Adjust Capacity Amount after PPA Execution	PPA Extension Rights	Historical	Guaran- tees	MISO PTP Needed?	Special LGE/KU Issues?			Consider- ations Other than Del. Related
			Key to Syn	n bols: Pos=P	ositive, Neg=l	Negative, NA=N	ot Addressed in	Proposal or N	ot Applicable,	NE=Not Evalua time	ted, ND=Not l	Determinative,	Norm=Normal fo	r Resource Ty	pe, Sim=Similar t	o KU, TBD=Unc	ertain at this
Base L	oad 10 Year Op	tions															
1	LD Provider 1	Option 1	Neg	Pos	TBD	Neg	Neg (Low)	Neg	None	None	NA	LD Cost to Cover	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND
2	Coal Provider 5	NA	Pos	Norm	Neg	Neg	Neg (High)	TBD	ND	ND	Neg	TBD	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	Pos	ND
3	Coal Provider 1 (BREC)	NA	Pos	Norm	Pos	Pos (Sim)	Neg (Low)	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	Neg	ND
4	Coal Provider 2	Option 1	Pos	Norm	Neg	Neg	Neg (High)	Pos		None	Pos	Pos	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	Neg	ND
5	Coal Provider 2	Option 2	Pos	Norm	Neg	Neg	Pos (None) 1st 3 Yrs, then Neg (High)	Pos		None	Pos	Pos	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	Neg	ND
6	Coal Provider 4	NA	Pos	Norm	Neg	Neg	Neg (High)	ND	Pos	Pos	Neg	Pos	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND
7	Coal Provider 3	Option 2	Neg	Norm	Neg	Pos (Sim)	Pos (None)	Pos		None	Neg	Neg	Pos (Not Needed) (Not Needed)	ND	Neg (Life of Unit Uncertain)	Pos	ND
8	LD Provider 2	NA	Neg	Pos	NE	Neg	Neg (Low)	Neg	None	None	NA	LD Cost to Cover	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND
9	Combined Cycle Provider 1 (HenderSun)	NA	Pos	Norm	Pos	Pos (Sim)	Neg (Low for CC, Med for DF)	TBD	TBD	TBD Proposed 10- 20 Year Term	NA	Pos	Neg (Needed)	ND	Neg (Proposed Project, Start Date Uncertain)	NE	ND

				Summary of Qualitative Assessments														
	Analysis R	Reference	Uncerta	_	rding the A	Absolute an	d Relative		Flexibility			ance and ability	Transm Arrang		Certainty of Term	Seller's Credit Standing	Location	
Row No.	Proposer	Proposal	Capacity Cost Certainty - Absolute	Energy Cost Certainty - Absolute	Relative CPP Cost Exposure	Fuel Price Uncertainty Relative to Uncertainty that Impacts KU	Loss	Schedul- ing	Option to Adjust Capacity Amount after PPA Execution	PPA Extension Rights	Historical	Guaran- tees	MISO PTP Needed?	Special LGE/KU Issues?		•	Consider- ations Other than Del. Related	
			Key to Syn	nbols: Pos=P	ositive, Neg=I	Negative, NA=N	ot Addressed in	Proposal or N	ot Applicable, N	IE=Not Evalua time	ated, ND=Not I	Determinative,	Norm=Normal fo	or Resource Typ	oe, Sim=Similar t	o KU, TBD=Unc	ertain at this	
Base L	oad 3-5 Year Op	otions																
10	LD Provider 1	Option 3	Neg	Pos	NE	Neg	Neg (Low)	Neg	None	None	NA	LD Cost to Cover	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND	
11	LD Provider 4	Option 2 Variations	Neg	Pos	NE	Neg	Neg (Low)	Neg	None	None	NA	LD Cost to Cover	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND	
12	LD Provider 4	Option 2 Variations	Neg	Pos	NE	Neg	Neg (Low)	Neg	None	None	NA	LD Cost to Cover	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND	
13	LD Provider 4	Option 2 Variations	Neg	Pos	NE	Neg	Neg (Low)	Neg	None	None	NA	LD Cost to Cover	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND	
14	LD Provider 3	Option 2	Neg	Pos	NE	Neg	Neg (Low)	Neg	None	None	NA	LD Cost to Cover	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND	
15	LD Provider 1	Option 2	Neg	Pos	NE	Neg	Neg (Low)	Neg	None	None	NA	LD Cost to Cover	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND	
16	Coal Provider 2 (Dynegy)	Option 3	Pos	Norm	POS (None)	Neg	Pos (None)	Pos	Pos	None	Pos	Pos	Pos (Not Needed)	ND	Pos	Neg	ND	
17	Coal Provider 6	NA	Pos	Norm	NE	Pos (Sim)	Pos (None)	TBD	ND	ND	Pos	TBD	Pos (Not Needed)	ND	Pos	Pos	ND	

							:	Summa	ary of Q	ualitati	ive Ass	essmen	ts				
	Analysis F	Reference	Uncerta	inties Regai Cost	rding the A		d Relative	Flexibility			Performance and Availability		Transmission Arrangments		Certainty of Term	Seller's Credit Standing	Location
Row No.	Proposer	Proposal	Capacity Cost Certainty - Absolute	Energy Cost Certainty - Absolute	Relative CPP Cost Exposure	Fuel Price Uncertainty Relative to Uncertainty that Impacts KU	Market Congestion, Loss Exposure/ Uncertainty	Schedul- ing	Option to Adjust Capacity Amount after PPA Execution	PPA Extension Rights	Historical	Guaran- tees	MISO PTP Needed?	Special LGE/KU Issues?		Standing	Consider- ations Other than Del. Related
			Key to Syn	n bols: Pos=Po	ositive, Neg=N	legative, NA=N	ot Addressed in	Proposal or No	ot Applicable, N	IE=Not Evalua time	ted, ND=Not I	Determinative, I	Norm=Normal fo	r Resource Ty	pe, Sim=Similar t	o KU, TBD=Unc	ertain at this
Intern	nediate Load 3-1	LO Year Options															
18	Combined Cycle Provider 1 (HenderSun)	NA	Pos	Norm	Pos	Pos (Sim)	Neg (Low for CC, Med for DF)	TBD	Pos	Pos	NA	Pos	Neg (Needed)	ND	Neg (Start Date Uncertain)	NE	ND
19	LD Provider 4	Option 1 Variations	Neg	Pos	NE	Neg	Neg (Low)	Neg	None	None	NA	LD Cost to Cover	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND
20	LD Provider 4	Option 1 Variations	Neg	Pos	NE	Neg	Neg (Low)	Neg	None	None	NA	LD Cost to Cover	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND
21	LD Provider 3	Option 1	Neg	Pos	TBD	Neg	Neg (Low)	Neg	None	None	NA	LD Cost to Cover	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	NE	ND

				Summary of Qualitative Assessments													
	Analysis I	Reference	Uncerta		rding the A	Absolute an	d Relative		Flexibility		Performance and Availability		Transmission Arrangments		Certainty of Term	Seller's Credit Standing	Location
Row No.	Proposer	Proposal	Capacity Cost Certainty - Absolute	Energy Cost Certainty - Absolute	Relative CPP Cost Exposure	Fuel Price Uncertainty Relative to Uncertainty that Impacts KU	Market Congestion, Loss Exposure/ Uncertainty	Schedul- ing	Option to Adjust Capacity Amount after PPA Execution	PPA Extension Rights	Historical	Guaran- tees	MISO PTP Needed?	Special LGE/KU Issues?			Consider- ations Other than Del. Related
			Key to Syn	nbols: Pos=Po	ositive, Neg=l	Negative, NA=N	ot Addressed in	Proposal or No	ot Applicable, N	NE=Not Evalua time	ited, ND=Not De	eterminative,	Norm=Normal for	Resource Typ	oe, Sim=Similar t	o KU, TBD=Unc	ertain at this
Portfo	olio - 3 to 10 Yea	r Options															
22		Dynegy, HenderSun, , Paris Diesels)	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos (Sim)	Neg (Low)	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Pos	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	Neg	ND
23	HenderSun, SEP	rovider 3-Option 1, A, Peaking, Paris iels)	Neg	Norm	Neg	Pos (Sim)	Neg (Low)	Pos	-		Neg	Neg	Neg (Needed)	ND	Neg	Pos	ND
24	•	Energy, Firming A, Paris Diesels)	Neg	Pos	TBD	Neg	Neg (Low)	Pos	Pos	None	Pos	Pos	Neg (Needed, for 90% of load)	ND	Pos	Pos	ND
25	Portfolio D * See note on Page 8.		Neg	Pos	NE	Neg	Neg (Low)	Pos	Pos	None	Pos	Pos	Neg (Needed)	ND	Pos	Pos	ND
26		folio E on Page 8.	Neg	Norm	Norm	Pos (Sim)	ND	Pos		None	Pos	Pos	ND	ND	Pos	Pos	ND
			back into	the market in	n 3 years for		ntial portion o	f its load. In	addition, und	der the Portfo	olio E proposa		of KyMEA's load				