

U.S. Department of Education Grant Performance Report Cover Sheet (ED 524B) Check only one box per Program Office instructions. [] Annual Performance Report [X] Final Performance Report

OMB No. 1894-0003 Exp. 06/30/2017

General Information			
1. PR/Award #: <u>Q215F110144</u>			S ID#:
(Block 5 of the Grant Award Notification	- 11 characters.)	(See instructi	ons. Up to 12 characters.)
3 Project Title: Carol M. White Physical Educat	ion Program_		
(Enter the same title as on the approved a			
4. Grantee Name (Block 1 of the Grant Award No	otification.): Northern	Kentucky Coope	erative for Educational Services, Inc.
5. Grantee Address (See instructions.) 5516 E. Al	lexandria Pike Cold Sj	pring, KY 41076	
6. Project Director (See instructions.) Name:	Curtis Hal	_Title: Executive	Director
Ph #: (859) 442 - 8600 Ext: (16)		Fax #: (859) 4	<u>42</u> - <u>7038</u>
Email Address: Curtis.Hall@nkces.org	mandating mining series.		•
Reporting Period Information (See instru	ctions.)		
7. Reporting Period: From: <u>10 / 01 /2011</u>		_(mm/dd/yyyy)	
	n	Car instruction	tions Also see Section P
Budget Expenditures (To be completed by 8. Budget Expenditures	your Business Offi	ce. See instruct	nons. Also see Section B.,
o. Budget Bilperian	Federal Gra	ant Funds	Non-Federal Funds (Match/Cost Share)
a. Previous Budget Period	\$854,5	13.32	\$232,626.26
b. Current Budget Period	\$548,4	72.48	\$107,579.44
c. Entire Project Period (For Final Performance Reports only)	\$1,402,9	985.80	\$347,565.70
b. If yes, do you have an Indirect Cost Rate c. If yes, provide the following information: Period Covered by the Indirect Cost Rate Approving Federal agency:ED Type of Rate (For Final Performance It d. For Restricted Rate Programs (check one X Is included in your approved Indirection Complies with 34 CFR 76.564(c)(2) Human Subjects (Annual Institutional Reference In the Annual Certification of Institutional Reference In the Institutional Reference	tte Agreement: From:Other (Please speci, Reports Only):Pro) Are you using a re ect Cost Rate Agreem 2)? eview Board (IRB)	03/01/2012 To fy):	o: 06/30 / 2015 (mm/dd/yyyy) al Other (Please specify): sost rate that: (See instructions.)
Performance Measures Status and Certif			
11. Performance Measures Statusa. Are complete data on performance measureb. If no, when will the data be available and	res for the current but I submitted to the Dep	dget period include artment?/	
12. To the best of my knowledge and belief, all c known weaknesses concerning the accuracy, reli	data in this performan iability, and complete	ce report are true ness of the data.	and correct and the report fully discloses all
Curtis Hall		Title: Executiv	ve Director
Name of Authorized Representative: Signature:		Date: 12 / 1	5 12015
			Page 1 of 5
ED 524B			rage 1013



U.S. Department of Education Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Executive Summary

OMB No. 1894-0003 Exp. 06/30/2017

PR/Award # (11 characters): **Q215F110144**

(See Instructions)

The Northern Kentucky Cooperative for Educational Services (NKCES) Wellness Alliance was awarded the Carol M. White Physical Education Program (PEP) grant in November, 2011. The grant will conclude on September 30, 2014. NKCES is very grateful and appreciative of the award that has helped to develop five healthier schools over the past three years. The NKCES Wellness Alliance PEP Grant was named the "FIT 4 Life Program" and the grant activities were implemented in five schools across three school districts: Southgate School, Grandview Elementary, Bellevue High School, Lincoln Elementary, and Dayton High School. Children in grades kindergarten through 12th grade participated in the grant during the three years of implementation. Over the three years of the grant, the FIT 4 Life program increased by 8% the number of students who are active 60 minutes a day, a 15% increase in the number of students who reached age-appropriate cardiovascular levels, and an 8% increase in consuming two or more fruits and three or more vegetables. In reviewing the quantitative and qualitative data of the FIT 4 Life grant's three year period the team recognized several important reasons that led to the increase in each measurement. We labeled those reasons the 4 C's: collaboration, communication, consistency, and constructive feedback. Over the three years the team collaborated with many people and agencies in the schools and community. The list includes the school district administrators, faculty, and staff; parents of students; and members of community agencies and businesses.

When collaborating with the groups the Fit 4 Life PEP team ensured they met the needs of the students as well as the needs of the groups. Communication was the key to successful collaboration and included monthly updates, regular attendance at meetings, a quarterly newsletter, and professional development activities for personnel involved in the grant. Consistency was very important to ensure correct and accurate data collection and included developing a detailed testing schedule, ensuring all schools received the same opportunities, and most importantly, implementing and completing the activities and other events that were promised. The final key to success for the grant was constructive feedback, from the NKCES staff to the school personnel and vice versa. A survey was completed by the school personnel at the end of each year that gave feedback to the FIT 4 Life staff. The feedback in turn was used to improve the processes and grant activities during the next school year. In addition, the FIT 4 Life staff provided feedback to the school personnel by sharing the results of the WELLSAT, PECAT, and HECAT evaluations as well as other observations of the FIT 4 Life team. By using collaboration, communication, consistency, and constructive feedback throughout the three years of the PEP Grant award the FIT 4 Life team was able to facilitate an increase in each of the tested areas: sixty minutes of daily physical activity, age-appropriate cardiovascular levels, and increased consumption of fruits and vegetables.

In reviewing the data, including the reflections of various personnel involved in the FIT 4 Life grant, several activities were identified as being most influential in achieving the grant's positive outcomes. Several processes and activities were identified as helping to increase the number of students participating in sixty minutes or more of daily physical activity. The collaboration with teachers and administrators, after school programming, family nights, and family fun bags were acknowledged as the most important influences on increasing daily physical activity. Collaboration with school personnel ensured that testing processes and schedules were a priority. After school programming included such activities as swimming, Zumba, gymnastics, martial arts, and soccer. Family Fun nights brought entire families to the school in the evening to participate as a family group in various physical activities. In addition, family fun bags that included such items as Frisbees, jump ropes, beanbags, and exercise bands, were sent home with students to encourage physical activity at home. Perhaps most important to achieving this goal was the purchase of several commercial curricula, SPARK, Take Ten, and FitBits, as well as the professional development that was provided.

To increase cardiovascular levels of children the data indicated that consistency of the PACER testing made a difference for students. They knew and understood the PACER test and used their previous scores as motivation to improve. The Wellness Centers also helped to increase children's cardiovascular fitness through using the elliptical, stationary bikes, and treadmill machines. In addition, the Boys and Girls Club was a community partner that helped to reinforce cardiovascular health through their after school and weekend programming.

Increasing the consumption of fruits and vegetables was the most challenging goal of the grant. The data indicated that several activities helped the Fit 4 Life team achieve success in this goal. The WELLSAT was used to review the wellness policies of each school and as a consequence the policies were changed to emphasize healthy nutritional options, such as limited pre-packaged bagged snacks, fresh fruits and vegetables, and an emphasis on healthy rewards and snacks for celebrations. Another important component to this goal was focusing on the cafeteria staff through providing them with professional development and opportunities to travel to other school districts to observe and discuss how they prepare healthier and tastier food choices for students. Each school also provided taste tests where the cafeteria staff tested new recipes on the students and used their feedback to make changes. All of these activities resulted in healthier menus at each school. In addition, the Garden Heroes Curriculum was infused into each classroom.

In summary, the NKCES Wellness Alliance FIT 4 Life team has established a strong foundation of healthier behavior at each school which should sustain and continue to increase the number of healthier students, as measured by the amount of physical activity, cardiovascular fitness, and daily consumption of fruits and vegetables. The NKCES Wellness Alliance FIT 4 Life team developed a foundation for understanding the importance of developing healthier children and the positive effects that has on students' academic success and school community. In the final follow up survey of school personnel, 79% of the respondents stated the students in the school were healthier than when the grant began three years ago and 60% of respondents stated they believe the positive changes made by the FIT 4 Life grant will be sustained. The data indicates the students in the schools and communities of Southgate, Bellevue, and Dayton, Kentucky are healthier today than when the grant began. The NKCES Wellness Alliance Fit 4 Life team is proud of the progress made and is appreciative of the opportunity given by the grant to focus on increasing and sustaining healthy behaviors of students attending schools in several of the communities of northern Kentucky.



U.S. Department of Education Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Project Status Chart

PR/Award # (11 characters): <u>O215F110144</u>

SECTION A - Performance Objectives Information and Related Performance Measures Data (See Instructions. Use as many pages as necessary.)

1. Project Objective [] Check if this is a status update for the previous budget period.

10% 8 Actual Performance Data 137/1334 Ratio Number Raw Quantitative Data 8 Ratio Target Number Raw Measure Type GPRA engage in 60 minutes of daily physical activity - baseline The percentage of students served by the grant who 1.a. Performance Measure data

1 a Performance Measure	Measure Type			Quantitative Data	ve Data		
The negretation of thirdests served by the energy who	GPRA		Target		Actual	Actual Performance Data	Data
=		Raw			Raw		
engage in 60 minutes of daily physical activity -(Project		Number	Ratio	%	Number	Ratio	%
Total)							,
			_			176/818	22%
				_			

Explanation of Progress (Include Qualitative Data and Data Collection Information)

to read and reset the pedometer. Parents of students in grades K-4th grade were asked to record their child's step count nightly, 4 evenings in a row in compliance guidance document. The 3DPAR survey was also given to the 5th-12th grade participants on the Wednesday of every data-collection session as an additional way kindergarten through 12th grade. Data was collected at 5 sites within 3 schools districts. Logs were sent home with each student, along with instructions on how Students used Sportline 340 multi-pedometers to collect GPRA mandated data on the daily amount of physical activity from all participants from with the GPRA guidance document. 5th grade-12th grade students recorded their own data consecutively in logs for 7 days, in accordance with the GPRA to measure daily physical activity. Every student in the 5 schools enrolled in Physical Education class was a participant in data collection.

assessment dates for year 3 were held Aug. 26-Sept. 5 2013 (71% response), Oct. 7-15 2013 (56% response rate), Jan. 13-21 2014 (63% response), and March 3-March 2-9 2012 (64% response), March 23-30 2012 (59% response), April 20-27 2012 (65% response). 2nd year data-collection sessions for site 1 were August The data-collection sessions for site 1 (Southgate Schools) were Jan. 9-17, 2012 [Baseline] (73% response rate), Feb. 10-17 2012, (71% response rate) 27-Sept. 24 2012 (72% response), Sept.24-Oct.2 2012 (72% response), Nov. 12-19 2012 (67% response), and Feb. 4-11 2013 (76% response). The final

response). The final assessment dates for year 3 were held Sept. 23-Oct. I 2013 (56% response), Oct. 10-Nov.5 2013(38% response rate), Feb. 13-March 4 2014 (99% response rate), Jan. 27-Feb. 3 2014 (100% response), and March 12-19 2014 (98% response). The data-collection sessions for site 5 (Dayton High school) April 20-27 2012 (87% response). 2nd year data-collection sessions for site 1 were Aug. 20-27 2012 (93% response), Oct. 2-9 2012 (92% response), Nov. 12-19 response rate), Feb.3-11 2014 (36% response), and March 17-25 2014(46% response). The data-collection sessions for site 4 (Bellevue High school) were Jan. 20-27, 2012 [Baseline] (98% response rate), Feb. 3-10 2012, (88% response rate) Feb. 16-24 2012 (92% response), March 19-26 2012 (95% response), April 20-20-27, 2012 [Baseline] (98% response rate), Feb. 3-10 2012, (88% response), April 20-20-27, 2012 [Baseline] (98% response rate), Feb. 3-10 2012, (88% response), April 20-27, 2012 [Baseline] (98% response), Feb. 3-10 2012, (88% response), April 20-27, 2012 [Baseline] (98% response rate), Feb. 3-10 2012, (88% response), April 20-27, 2012 [Baseline] (98% response), Feb. 3-10 2012, (88% response), April 20-27, 2012 [Baseline] (98% response), Feb. 3-10 2012, (88% response), April 20-27, 2012 [Baseline] (98% response), Apr 2013 (88% response), and Feb. 19-26 2013 (91% response). The final assessment dates for year 3 were held Sept. 4-11 2013 (97% response), Oct. 16-21 2013 (43% response), and March 24-April 1(54% response). The data-collection sessions for site 3 (Lincoln Elementary) were Jan. 27-Feb.3, 2012 [Baseline] (64% were Jan. 9-17, 2012 [Baseline] (90% response rate), Feb.3-10 2012, (90% response rate Feb. 16-24 2012 (91% response), March 19-26 2012 (93% response), 2012 (82% response), and Jan.23-30 2013 (87% response). The final assessment dates for year 3 were held Sept. 4-11 2013 (89% response), Oct. 16-23 2013 response), and Feb. 25-March 4 2013 (54% response). The final assessment dates for year 3 were held Sept. 9-17 2013 (55% response), Dec. 9-16 2013 (48% 11 2014 (63% response). The data-collection sessions for site 2 (Grandview Elementary) were Jan. 13-20, 2012 [Baseline] (27% response rate), Feb. 10-17 27 2012 (91% response). 2nd year data-collection sessions for site 4 were Sept. 18-25 2012 (95% response), Oct. 29-Nov. 5 2012 (100% response), Jan. 23-30 2012, (44% response rate) March 2-9 2012 (62% response), March 23-30 2012 (61% response), April 17-24 2012 (46% response). 2nd year data-collection response rate), Feb 24-March 2 2012, (44% response rate) March 9-16 2012 (34% response), April 9-16 2012 (48% response), April 27-May 4 2012 (46% sessions for site 2 were Sept. 11-18 2012 (62% response), Oct.22-30 2012 (58% response), Jan. 14-21 2012 (56% response), and March 11-18 2012 (54% response). 2nd year data-collection sessions for site 3 were Sept. 4-11 2012 (55% response), Oct.15-23 2012 (47% response), Nov. 26-Dec.3 2012 (46% (98% response rate), Jan. 27-Feb.3 2014 (96% response), and March 12-19 2014 (97% response).

over the data collection windows and sites per the GPRA guidance document in addition to normal attrition and absences. Below is the complete data showing average of 818 students participated in each data collection window throughout the 3 years. The discrepancy of participants was due to averaging participants the number of students who met the goal during each measurement window as well as the return rates for pedometer logs and/or 3DPAR surveys which were During the "baseline" data collection, there were 1334 students targeted by the PEP program. During the entire project data-collection windows, an used to determine the daily physical activity.

MEASURE A

Site and Window Number	# of students who engaged in 60 minutes of daily physical activity	# of participating in the program during that window	# of students who returned completed logs and/or 3DPar	That windows response rate based on site	
Site 1 Southgate Baseline	06	791	144	73%	
Site 1 Southgate 1st measure	44	178	3 127	71%	
Site 1 Southgate 2nd measure	32	172	2 97	%95	
Site 1 Southgate 3rd measure	27	176	5 111	%89	
City 1 Courthants Ath massive	30	159	101	83%	

Site 2 Grandview Baseline	15	381	102	27%
Site 2 Grandview 1st measure	99	370	209	. %95
Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure	55	363	138	38%
Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure	57	364	158	43%
Site 2 Grandview 4th measure	54	368	200	54%
Stea 3 Uncoin Baseline	39	514	328	64%
Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure	98	514	284	%55
Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure	53	513	248	48%
Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure	47	200	178	36%
Site 4 Lincoln 4th Measure	82	521	238	46%
Site 4 Bellevve Baseline	\boldsymbol{z}	129	126	%86
Site 4 Believue 1st Measure	40	75	73	%/6
Site 4 Believue 2nd Measure	30	73	72	%66
Site 4 Bellevue 3rd Measure	18	09	09	100%
Site 4 Bellevue 4th Measure	26	56	55	%86
City of Devices Breakling	78.	113	102	%06
Site 5 Dayton 1st Measure	24	75	.99	%68
Site 5 Dayton 2nd Measure	25	52	51	%86
Site 5 Dayton 3rd Measure	16	58		%96
Site 5 Dayton 4th Measure	25	62	09	%16
Totals meeting 80% return excluding	837	4709		
Baseline Data				
Divide by # of Windows with more than	104.6	588.6		
80% return times the # of program sites $(4\times2=8) \label{eq:4}$	105	589		

	# who completed 60 minutes of	# of Participants	ć	è
	Physical Activity	during Window	Katio	%
Baseline	137	1334	137/1334	10%
Year 1	42	122	42/122	34%
Year 2	29	107	29/107	27%
Year 3	105	589	105/589	18%
Total (Excluding Baseline)	176	818	176/818	22%



U.S. Department of Education Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Project Status Chart

PR/Award # (11 characters): <u>O215F110144</u>

SECTION A - Performance Objectives Information and Related Performance Measures Data (See Instructions. Use as many pages as

1. Project Objective [] Check if this is a status update for the previous budget period.

necessary.)

48% 8 Actual Performance Data Ratio 642/1334 Number Raw Quantitative Data 8 Ratio Target Number Raw Measure Type GPRA achieve age-appropriate cardiovascular fitness levels-The percentage of students served by the grant who 2.a. Performance Measure baseline data

1 a Darformanca Maacura	Measure Type			Ouantitative Data	ve Data		
i.a. i ciloi ilimiice memoni c							
The negree of etudents served by the erent who	GPRA		Target		Actual	Actual Performance Data	ata
The percentage of state its series of the grant with		Raw			Raw		
achieve age-appropriate cardiovascular titness levels –		Number	Ratio	%	Number	Ratio	%
(Project Total)							3
			/			460/789	28%

Explanation of Progress (Include Qualitative Data and Data Collection Information)

with cones marking off distances of either 15 or 20 meters apart. The 15 meter distance was used for participants in K-4th grades while the 20 meter distance was used for all participants from 4th grade through 12th. The test has runners going continuously between 2 marked off lines in time to recorded prompts played on a CD in the gymnasium. The time between prompts decreases with each minute of the test, requiring an increase in running pace. The runners continue until they during the testing windows. Please refer to the testing windows stated in GPRA measure 1. The Progressive Aerobic Cardiovascular Endurance Run (PACER) cardiovascular benchmarks, and it is part of the Presidential Fitness Test with all of the Fitnessgram battery of assessments. It is a maximal aerobic fitness test The NKCES Wellness Alliance Fit 4 Life Team has increased the number of students who have reached age appropriate cardiovascular levels by 10% cardiovascular fitness levels while participants were in PE class with the exception of Site 1 who participated in testing during set times during the school day from the baseline data obtained during year 1 to the end of the PEP grant program. The NKCES Wellness Alliance conducted the PACER test to measure test was administered for 3 reasons: it was recommended in the PEP grant instructions, it provides a time efficient method to gather age appropriate

windows, an average of 789 students participated in each data collection window throughout the 3 years. The use of the average over the course of the PEP grant each participant has attained the goal. The PACER test is easily reproducible to keep testing consistent during every testing window. The testing was conducted are unable to keep pace with the prompts. There are levels of age appropriate cardio-capacity that correspond to a chart based on gender and age to determine if response rates are in the chart below. The physical education teachers at each site and the Fit 4 Life site coordinators collaborated to conduct the testing and ensure reliability. During the "baseline" data collection there were 1334 students measured by the Fit 4 Life team. During the entire project data-collection during the school day and the response rate to this GPRA measure was always above 80% with only absent or injured students unable to participate. The was used per PEP grant GPRA instructions.

The 10% improvement was a result of Wellness Alliance programming to increase cardiovascular health. After school programs that focused on aerobic PACER as a scheduled activity created practice opportunities and build endurance in our students. The PEP grant provided curriculum provided a research based martial arts) and quarterly newsletters with activity guides provided opportunities for participants and their families and communities to improve cardiovascular and standards aligned lessons for the site PE teachers and after school workers to get participants moving during PE class and after school sessions which also activities such as running programs, swimming, skating, gymnastics, hiking etc. helped participants get into better health during the school year. Each district also had a summer program focused on physical activity for elementary participants while the high schools utilized the PEP provided Wellness Centers which health as well as site PE teachers having access to the Fitnessgram PACER. Collaborative partners such as the Boys and Girls club would routinely use the house cardio machines such as elliptical machines, treadmills, and stationary bikes. Family Fun nights that focused on physical activity (Zumba, aerobics, increased their cardiovascular capacity.

	Number of Students who met age appropriate cardiovascular		Number of students who participated in	The windows response	Se
Site and Window #	fitness levels	program during that window	PACER run	rate based on site	
Site 1 Southgate Baseline	011	197	¥	181	92%
Site 1 Southgate 1st measure	129	168	15	159 9	%56
Site 1 Southgate 2nd measure	133	173	15	154 8	%68
Site 1 Southgate 3rd measure	119	164	17	148	%06
Site 1 Southgate 4th measure	108	158	17	144 9	91%
Site 2 Grandview Baseline	265	188	Ħ	352	92%

94% 89%

348 348

370 370 367

327

265 265 261

> Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure Site 2 Grandview 4th measure

Site 2 Grandview 1st measure

271

%06

94%

Site 3 Lincoln Baseline	245	514	450	88%
Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure	356			%06
Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure	329			%68
Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure	343	496	444	%68
Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure	397			85%
Site 4 Bellevue HS Baseline	12			91%
Site 4 Bellevue HS 1st Measure	ю			%96
Site 4 Bellevue HS 2nd Measure	2	86		91%
Site 4 Bellevue HS 3rd Measure	ī		74	%98
Site 4 Bellevue HS 4th Measure	2	83		85%
		*		7010
Site 5 Dayton HS Baseline	10	CT7		%C00
Site 5 Dayton HS 1st Measure	E	74	99	%68
Site 5 Dayton HS 2nd Measure	4	74		77%
Site 5 Dayton HS 3rd Measure	2	69	99	81%
Site 5 Dayton HS 4th Measure	4	73	. 09	82%
		,		
Total excluding Baseline data	3004	4/61		126/351
				107/001
Divide by # of Windows times	158.1	250.5		
# of sites (4 x 5=20) (19)	158	251		
80% return times the # of program sites				

	# Who met Age Appropriate	# of Participants during			
GPRA Measure #2	Cardio Levels	Window	Ratio	%	
Baseline	642	1334	642/1334	48%	Т
Year 1	149	278	149/278	54%	\neg

Year 2	153	260	153/260	29%
Year 3	158	251	158/251	%89
Total	460	789	460/789	28%



U.S. Department of Education Grant Performance Report (ED 524B) Project Status Chart

PR/Award # (11 characters): <u>0215F110144</u>

SECTION A - Performance Objectives Information and Related Performance Measures Data (See Instructions. Use as many pages as necessary.)

1. Project Objective [] Check if this is a status update for the previous budget period

3.a. Performance Measure	Measure Type			Quantitative Data	ve Data	,	
The nercentage of students served by the grant who	GPRA		Target		Actual	Actual Performance Data	Data
consumed 2 or more servings of fruit and 3 or more		Raw Number	Ratio	%	Raw Number	Ratio	%
servings of vegetables daily -baseline data			/			286/1334	21%

3 a Performance Measure	Measure Type)	Quantitative Data	ve Data		
The near contract of students served by the annut who	GPRA		Target		Actual	Actual Performance Data	Data
חוב אבו כלו יישור או אות מוא אבו אבו אינה אות אווים אווי		Raw			Raw		
consumed 2 or more servings of truit and 3 or more		Number	Ratio	%	Number	Ratio	%
servings of vegetables daily -(Project Total)						180/737	240%
						101 (00T	2 F 7

Explanation of Progress (Include Qualitative Data and Data Collection Information)

testing windows referenced in Measure 1). The response rates are in the chart below and were well above 80% return rates simply because this survey was taken during school and only absent students were unable to take the survey. During the "baseline" data collection there were 1334 students measured by the Fit 4 Life recommendation. Surveys were multiple choice, and given in either physical education class or in homeroom classes during each testing window (please refer to Physical Activity and Nutrition) SPAN survey. The survey was also modified in that there were accompanying pictures to illustrate what fruits and vegetables NKCES Wellness Alliance Fit 4 Life team raised the percentage of students who consumed fruits 2 or more times per day and vegetables 3 or more times per day by 8% points from baseline in year 3 and raised the percentage 3% from baseline for the project total. The Fit 4 Life team modified the 2 recommended nutrition survey mentioned in the GPRA guidance document. Students in grades K-4 were given questions #20-23 and #26 from the (School the questions were referencing. Students in 5th-12th grade were given questions #73-77 from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) per the GPRA

team. During the entire project data-collection windows, an average of 737 students participated in each data collection window throughout the 3 years. The use of the average over the course of the PEP grant was used per PEP grant GPRA instructions.

cafeteria, the staff dietitian compiled a nutrition curriculum that the after school workers led with the students in after school programs, and nutrition teaching aids were purchased for both Health Educators and elementary libraries that can be checked out from the school library. The improvement was a result of Wellness Alliance programming to increase fruit and vegetable intake. NKCES used the PEP grant funds to: allow food service staff to participate in field trips to other school cafeterias to develop more ideas and discuss healthier menu options, provide professional service staff to attend the National Nutrition conference where they attended sessions focused on getting students to eat more fruits and vegetables, quarterly development for food service staff by way of working with professional chefs to develop healthier menu items and healthier cooking techniques, allow food newsletters were sent to every family in each school that included healthy recipes that could be tried at home and supplement the new menu items in the

	Number of Students who consumed appropriate number	Number of participating in the	Number of students who participated in	The windows response
Site and Window #	of fruits and veggies	program during that window	Nutrition survey	נמוב חמאבת חוו אונב
Site 1 Southgate Baseline		197	197	100%
Site 1 Southgate 1st measure	44	166	152	95%
Site 1 Southgate 2nd measure	57	144	134	%66
Site 1 Southgate 3rd measure	61	160	154	%96
Site 1 Southgate 4th measure	56	153	148	%26
Site 2 Grandview Baseline	96	381	355	93%
Site 2 Grandview 1st measure	93	345	335	%26
Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure	86	338	326	%96
Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure	101	330	320	97%
Site 2 Grandview 4th measure	114	336	328	3 88%
		Condition II, (2000) in a special point of the second state of the second state of the second		
Site 3 Lincoln Baseline	120	514	465	%06
Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure	121	490	441	1 90%
Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure	150	476	423	3 89%
Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure	131	487	436	%68 9
Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure	175	500	448	8 80%

Site 4 Bellevue HS Baseline	13	129 1	125 9	97%
Site 4 Bellevue HS 1st Measure	28		91	%06
Site 4 Bellevue HS 2nd Measure	28	83	.6 22	886
Site 4 Bellevue HS 3rd Measure	15	55	6 09	91%
Site 4 Bellevue HS 4th Measure	14	. 09	56 9	93%
Site 5 Dayton HS Baseline	2	113	102 9	%06
Site 5 Dayton HS 1st Measure	6	78	9 02	%06
Site 5 Dayton HS 2nd Measure	11	89	57 8	84%
Site 5 Dayton HS 3rd Measure	13	74	6 29	91%
Site 5 Dayton HS 4th Measure	80	92	8 29	%88
Total excluding Baseline data	1322	4506		
Divide by # of Windows times	66 2	225.3		
# of sites (4 x 5=20)		677		

	# Who ate fruit 2			
	or more times &			
	veg. 3 or more	# of Participants		
	daily	during Window	Ratio	%
Baseline	286	1334	286/1334	21%
Year 1	57	252	57/252	23%
Year 2	57	260	57/260	22%
Year 3	99	225	66/225	%67
Total	180	737	180/737	24%

MEASURE A

Site and Window Number	# of students who engaged in 60 minutes of daily physical activity	# of participating in the program during that window
Site and Window Number	physical activity	White
Site 1 Southgate Baseline	30	197
Site 1 Southgate 1st measure	44	178
Site 1 Southgate 2nd measure	32	172
Site 1 Southgate 3rd measure	27	176
Site 1 Southgate 4th measure	30	159
Site 2 Grandview Baseline	15	381
Site 2 Grandview 1st measure	66	370
Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure	55	363
Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure	57	364
Site 2 Grandview 4th measure	54	368
Site 3 Lincoln Baseline	39	514
Site 3 Lincoln Baseline Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure		
Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure	53	
Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure	47	
Site 4 Lincoln 4th Measure	82	
Site 4 Lincoln 4th Measure	9-	
Site 4 Bellevue Baseline	27	129
Site 4 Bellevue 1st Measure	40	75
Site 4 Bellevue 2nd Measure	30	
Site 4 Bellevue 3rd Measure	18	
Site 4 Bellevue 4th Measure	26	56
Site 5 Dayton Baseline	26	113
Site 5 Dayton 1st Measure		75
Site 5 Dayton 2nd Measure	25	52
Site 5 Dayton 3rd Measure	16	58
Site 5 Dayton 4th Measure	25	62
Totals meeting 80% return excluding	837	7 4709
Baseline Data		
Divide by # of Windows with more the	na 104.6	5 588.6
80% return times the # of program s		589
(4 x 2= 8)		

MEASURE B

1.15 L W		Number of participating in the program during that
Site and Window #	cardiovascular fitness levels	Willdow
Site 1 Southgate Baseline	110	197
Site 1 Southgate 1st measure	129	168
Site 1 Southgate 2nd measure	133	173
Site 1 Southgate 3rd measure	119	164
Site 1 Southgate 4th measure	108	158
Site 2 Grandview Baseline	265	381
Site 2 Grandview 1st measure	265	370
Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure	265	370
Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure	261	367
Site 2 Grandview 4th measure	271	366
Site 3 Lincoln Baseline	245	514
Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure	356	505
Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure	329	501
Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure	343	496
Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure	397	495
Site 4 Bellevue HS Baseline	12	
Site 4 Bellevue HS 1st Measure	3	
Site 4 Bellevue HS 2nd Measure	2	
Site 4 Bellevue HS 3rd Measure	5	
Site 4 Bellevue HS 4th Measure	2	83
Site 5 Dayton HS Baseline		
Site 5 Dayton HS 1st Measure	3	
Site 5 Dayton HS 2nd Measure	4	
Site 5 Dayton HS 3rd Measure	5	
Site 5 Dayton HS 4th Measure	4	. 73
Total excluding Baseline data	3004	4761
Divide by # of Windows times	158.1	
# of sites (4 x 5=20) (19)	158	251
80% return times the # of program sit	res	
MEASURE C		
1100 L 11	Number of Students who consumed appropriate	Number of participating in the program during that
Site and Window #	number of fruits and veggies	wiildow
Site 1 Southgate Baseline	_	197

Site 1 Southgate 1st measure 44 166 Site 1 Southgate 2nd measure 57 144 Site 1 Southgate 3rd measure 61 160 Site 1 Southgate 4th measure 56 153 Site 2 Grandview Baseline 96 381 Site 2 Grandview 1st measure 93 345 Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure 93 338 Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure 101 330 Site 2 Grandview 4th measure 114 336 Site 3 Lincoln Baseline 120 514 Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 120 514 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 1 Southgate 2nd measure 57 144 Site 1 Southgate 3rd measure 61 160 Site 1 Southgate 4th measure 56 153 Site 2 Grandview Baseline 96 381 Site 2 Grandview 1st measure 93 345 Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure 93 338 Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure 101 330 Site 2 Grandview 4th measure 114 336 Site 3 Lincoln Baseline 120 514 Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 121 490 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 1 Southgate 3rd measure 61 160 Site 1 Southgate 4th measure 56 153 Site 2 Grandview Baseline 96 381 Site 2 Grandview 1st measure 93 345 Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure 93 338 Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure 101 330 Site 2 Grandview 4th measure 114 336 Site 3 Lincoln Baseline 120 514 Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 121 490 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 1 Southgate 4th measure 56 153 Site 2 Grandview Baseline 96 381 Site 2 Grandview 1st measure 93 345 Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure 93 338 Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure 101 330 Site 2 Grandview 4th measure 114 336 Site 3 Lincoln Baseline 120 514 Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 121 490 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 2 Grandview 1st measure 93 345 Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure 93 338 Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure 101 330 Site 2 Grandview 4th measure 114 336 Site 3 Lincoln Baseline 120 514 Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 121 490 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 2 Grandview 1st measure 93 345 Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure 93 338 Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure 101 330 Site 2 Grandview 4th measure 114 336 Site 3 Lincoln Baseline 120 514 Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 121 490 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure 93 338 Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure 101 330 Site 2 Grandview 4th measure 114 336 Site 3 Lincoln Baseline 120 514 Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 121 490 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure 101 330 Site 2 Grandview 4th measure 114 336 Site 3 Lincoln Baseline 120 514 Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 121 490 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 2 Grandview 4th measure 114 336 Site 3 Lincoln Baseline 120 514 Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 121 490 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 3 Lincoln Baseline 120 514 Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 121 490 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 121 490 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure 121 490 Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure 150 476 Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure 131 487 Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure 175 500
Site 5 Lincoln 4th Measure
430
Site 4 Bellevue HS Baseline 13 129 Site 4 Bellevue HS 1st Measure 28 87
Site 4 believue 113 13t Weasure
Site 4 believue no ziid iviedaure
Site 4 believee 113 Std Weasure
Site 4 Bellevue HS 4th Measure 14 60
Site 5 Dayton HS Baseline 6 113
Site 3 Daytoring baseline
Site 5 Dayton HS 1st Measure 9 78 Site 5 Dayton HS 2nd Measure 11 68
Site 5 Dayton 115 Zila Medade
Site 5 Dayton HS 3rd Measure 13 74
Site 5 Dayton 115 Zila Medade
Site 5 Dayton HS 3rd Measure 13 74 Site 5 Dayton HS 4th Measure 8 76
Site 5 Dayton HS 3rd Measure 13 74 Site 5 Dayton HS 4th Measure 8 76
Site 5 Dayton HS 3rd Measure Site 5 Dayton HS 4th Measure Total excluding Baseline data 13 74 75 76 77 78 79 79 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70
Site 5 Dayton HS 3rd Measure Site 5 Dayton HS 4th Measure Total excluding Baseline data 13 74 75 76 77 78 79 79 79 70 70 70 70 70 70 70

of students who

returned completed logs and/or 3DPar	That windows response rate based on site
144	73%
127	
97	
111	63%
101	63%
102	THE THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O
209	
138	
158	
200	54%
328	The first state of the first sta
284	
248	
178	
238	3 46%
126	Wild State State State State State Control of the State Stat
73	
72	
60	
55	98%
102	Selection of the select
67	
51	
56	
60	97%

Number of students who participated in PACER run	The windows response rate based on site
181	92%
159	
154	89%
148	90%
144	91%
352	92%
348	
348	
327	
328	
450) 88%
457	90%
447	89%
444	89%
423	85%
118	3 91%
80	96%
78	91%
74	1 86%
7:	1 85%
90	5 85%
60	
5	-
5.	
6(
O	5 32/0

158/251 62.9 63%

Number of
students who The windows
participated in response rate based
Nutrition survey on site

197 100%

152	92%
134	93%
154	96%
148	97%
355	93%
335	97%
326	96%
320	97%
328	98%
465	90%
441	90%
423	89%
436	89%
448	90%
125	97%
78	90%
77	93%
50	91%
56	93%
	0.9490252508
102	90%
70	90%
57	84%
67	91%
67	88%

66/225

MEASURE A				
	# who completed 60			
	minutes of Physical	# of Participants during		
	Activity	Window	Ratio	%
Baseline	137	1334	137/1334	10%
Year 1	42	122	42/122	34%
Year 2	29	107	29/107	27%
Year 3	105	589	105/589	18%
Total (Excluding Baseline)	176	818	176/818	22%

MEASURE B	·			
	# Who met Age			
	Appropriate Cardio	# of Participants during		
	Levels	Window	Ratio	%
Baseline	642	1334	642/1334	48%
Year 1	149	278	149/278	54%
Year 2	153	260	153/260	59%
Year 3	158	251	158/251	63%
				=00/
Total	460	789	460/789	58%

MEASURE C				
	# Who ate fruit 2 or			
	more times & veg. 3	# of Participants during	-	
	or more daily	Window	Ratio	%
Baseline	286	1334	286/1334	21%
Year 1	57	252	57/252	23%
Year 2	57	260	57/260	22%
Year 3	66	225	66/225	29%
	100	707	100/727	24%
Total	180	737	180/737	24%

The Northern Kentucky Cooperative for Educational Services (NKCES) Wellness Alliance was awarded the Carol M. White Physical Education Program (PEP) grant in November, 2011. The grant will conclude on September 30, 2014. NKCES is very grateful and appreciative of the award that has helped to develop five healthier schools over the past three years. The NKCES Wellness Alliance PEP Grant was named the "FIT 4 Life Program" and the grant activities were implemented in five schools across three school districts: Southgate School, Grandview Elementary, Bellevue High School, Lincoln Elementary, and Dayton High School. Children in grades kindergarten through 12th grade participated in the grant during the three years of implementation. Over the three years of the grant, the FIT 4 Life program increased by 8% the number of students who are active 60 minutes a day, a 15% increase in the number of students who reached age-appropriate cardiovascular levels, and an 8% increase in consuming two or more fruits and three or more vegetables. In reviewing the quantitative and qualitative data of the FIT 4 Life grant's three year period the team recognized several important reasons that led to the increase in each measurement. We labeled those reasons the 4 C's: collaboration, communication, consistency, and constructive feedback. Over the three years the team collaborated with many people and agencies in the schools and community. The list includes the school district administrators, faculty, and staff; parents of students; and members of community agencies and businesses.

When collaborating with the groups the Fit 4 Life PEP team ensured they met the needs of the students as well as the needs of the groups. Communication was the key to successful collaboration and included monthly updates, regular attendance at meetings, a quarterly newsletter, and professional development activities for personnel involved in the grant. Consistency was very important to ensure correct and accurate data collection and included developing a detailed testing schedule, ensuring all schools received the same opportunities, and most importantly, implementing and completing the activities and other events that were promised. The final key to success for the grant was constructive feedback, from the NKCES staff to the school personnel and vice versa. A survey was completed by the school personnel at the end of each year that gave feedback to the FIT 4 Life staff. The feedback in turn was used to improve the processes and grant activities during the next school year. In addition, the FIT 4 Life staff provided feedback to the school personnel by sharing the results of the WELLSAT, PECAT, and HECAT evaluations as well as other observations of the FIT 4 Life team. By using collaboration, communication, consistency, and constructive feedback throughout the three years of the PEP Grant award the FIT 4 Life team was able to facilitate an increase in each of the tested areas: sixty minutes of daily physical activity, age-appropriate cardiovascular levels, and increased consumption of fruits and vegetables.

In reviewing the data, including the reflections of various personnel involved in the FIT 4 Life grant, several activities were identified as being most influential in achieving the grant's positive outcomes. Several processes and activities were identified as helping to increase the number of students participating in sixty minutes or more of daily physical activity. The collaboration with teachers and administrators, after school programming, family nights, and family fun bags were acknowledged as the most important influences on increasing daily physical activity. Collaboration with school personnel ensured that testing processes and schedules were a priority. After school programming included such activities as swimming, Zumba, gymnastics, martial arts, and soccer. Family Fun nights brought entire

families to the school in the evening to participate as a family group in various physical activities. In addition, family fun bags that included such items as Frisbees, jump ropes, beanbags, and exercise bands, were sent home with students to encourage physical activity at home. Perhaps most important to achieving this goal was the purchase of several commercial curricula, SPARK, Take Ten, and FitBits, as well as the professional development that was provided.

To increase cardiovascular levels of children the data indicated that consistency of the PACER testing made a difference for students. They knew and understood the PACER test and used their previous scores as motivation to improve. The Wellness Centers also helped to increase children's cardiovascular fitness through using the elliptical, stationary bikes, and treadmill machines. In addition, the Boys and Girls Club was a community partner that helped to reinforce cardiovascular health through their after school and weekend programming.

Increasing the consumption of fruits and vegetables was the most challenging goal of the grant. The data indicated that several activities helped the Fit 4 Life team achieve success in this goal. The WELLSAT was used to review the wellness policies of each school and as a consequence the policies were changed to emphasize healthy nutritional options, such as limited pre-packaged bagged snacks, fresh fruits and vegetables, and an emphasis on healthy rewards and snacks for celebrations. Another important component to this goal was focusing on the cafeteria staff through providing them with professional development and opportunities to travel to other school districts to observe and discuss how they prepare healthier and tastier food choices for students. Each school also provided taste tests where the cafeteria staff tested new recipes on the students and used their feedback to make changes. All of these activities resulted in healthier menus at each school. In addition, the Garden Heroes Curriculum was infused into each classroom.

In summary, the NKCES Wellness Alliance FIT 4 Life team has established a strong foundation of healthier behavior at each school which should sustain and continue to increase the number of healthier students, as measured by the amount of physical activity, cardiovascular fitness, and daily consumption of fruits and vegetables. The NKCES Wellness Alliance FIT 4 Life team developed a foundation for understanding the importance of developing healthier children and the positive effects that has on students' academic success and school community. In the final follow up survey of school personnel, 79% of the respondents stated the students in the school were healthier than when the grant began three years ago and 60% of respondents stated they believe the positive changes made by the FIT 4 Life grant will be sustained. The data indicates the students in the schools and communities of Southgate, Bellevue, and Dayton, Kentucky are healthier today than when the grant began. The NKCES Wellness Alliance Fit 4 Life team is proud of the progress made and is appreciative of the opportunity given by the grant to focus on increasing and sustaining healthy behaviors of students attending schools in several of the communities of northern Kentucky.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION **NON-CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS BUDGET INFORMATION**

Expiration Date: 06/30/2017 OMB Control Number: 1894-0008

Northern Kentucky Cooperative for Educational Services (NKCES) Name of Institution/Organization

Applicants requesting funding for only one year should complete the column under "Project Year 1." Applicants requesting funding for multi-year grants should complete all applicable columns. Please read all instructions before completing form

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION FUNDS **SECTION A - BUDGET SUMMARY**

\$1402985.80	NA	NA	\$548472.48	\$464,095.47	\$390,417.85	12. Total Costs (lines 9-11)
	NA	NA	1	•	1	11. Training Stipends
\$103814.13	NA	NA	\$40,627.59	\$33,897.16	\$29,289.38	10. Indirect Costs*
\$1,299,171.67	NA	NA	\$507,844.89	\$430,198.31	\$361,128.47	9. Total Direct Costs (lines 1-8)
\$61,576.04	NA	NA	\$29,116.26	\$21,096.37	\$11,363.41	8. Other
,	NA	NA			•	7. Construction
\$146422.00	NA	NA	\$87,141.87	\$40,278.38	\$19,001.75	6. Contractual
\$302,172.37	NA	NA	\$84,211.68	\$76,133.96	\$141,826.73	5. Supplies
1	NA	NA	1	ŧ	1	4. Equipment
\$87,301.71	NA	NA	\$38,516.69	\$32,441.61	\$16,343.41	3. Travel
\$167,212.58	NA	NA	\$66,695.77	\$62,017.10	\$38,499.71	2. Fringe Benefits
\$534,486.97	NA	NA	\$202,162.62	\$198,230.89	\$134,093.46	1. Personnel
Total (f)	Project Year 5 (e)	Project Year 4 (d)	Project Year 3 (c)	Project Year 2 (b)	Project Year l (a)	Budget Categories
					the state of the s	

*Indirect Cost Information (To Be Completed by Your Business Office):

If you are requesting reimbursement for indirect costs on line 10, please answer the following questions:

- Do you have an Indirect Cost Rate Agreement approved by the Federal government? X Yes Z
- (2) If yes, please provide the following information:

Period Covered by the Indirect Cost Rate Agreement: From: 3/01/2012 To: _06/30/2015 (mm/dd/yyyy)

Approving Federal agency: X ED The Indirect Cost Rate is 8%

Other (please specify):

3 For Restricted Rate Programs (check one) -- Are you using a restricted indirect cost rate that:

X Is included in your approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement? or Complies with 34 CFR 76.564(c)(2)? The Restricted Indirect Cost Rate is %

Name of Institution/Organization	Applicants requesting funding for only one year should complete the column under
NKCES	"Project Year 1." Applicants requesting funding for multi-year grants should complete all applicable columns. Please read all instructions before completing form.

SECTION B - BUDGET SUMMARY NON-FEDERAL FUNDS

Budget Categories	Project Year l (a)	Project Year 2 (b)	Project Year 3 (c)	Project Year 4 (d)	Project Year 5 (e)	Total (f)
1. Personnel	\$1,360.00	\$149,844.26	\$37,764.44	NA	NA	\$188,968.70
2. Fringe Benefits				NA	NA	
3. Travel				NA	NA	
4. Equipment				NA	NA	
5. Supplies	\$6,000.00	\$82,782.00	\$69,815.00	NA	NA	\$158,597.00
6. Contractual				NA	NA	
7. Construction				NA	NA	
8. Other				NA	NA	
9. Total Direct Costs (Lines 1-8)	\$7,360.00	\$232,626.26	\$107,579.44	NA	NA	\$347,565.70
10. Indirect Costs				NA	NA	
11. Training Stipends				AN	NA	
12. Total Costs (Lines 9-11)				NA	NA	
		OFC TON COR	SECTION C - RIDCET NADDATIVE (see instructions)	F (see instructions)		

SECTION C - BUDGET NARRATIVE (see instructions)

approved category, "fringe", provided insurance, retirement, etc. for the personnel staff for all 3 years of the program. The approved "Travel" budget coordinator, 2 full time site coordinators, a registered dietitian, and data specialist. Personnel also provided a portion of the project director salary. The next consumption. The 1st category of the approved budget for all years was "personnel". Personnel provided salaries for all 3 projects years for a grant category was used all 3 years for allowing the Wellness Alliance to send staff or school personnel to the AAHPERD (American Alliance of Health Physical increased daily physical activity, increased the number of students who met age-appropriate cardiovascular levels, and increased fruit and vegetable The PEP grant allowed NKCES Wellness Alliance to implement programming to Southgate, Bellevue, and Dayton Independent school districts that Education Recreation and Dance), National PE Institute, PEP summit, or SNA (School Nutrition Association) conferences. These conferences provided needed professional development for PE teachers, Wellness Alliance staff, and school cafeteria workers that will serve each district well after the PEP grant

2 sites' Wellness centers, pedometers for use during GPRA measurements, office supplies for Wellness staff, and resources for nutrition education. The 2nd SPARK PE curriculum for all 5 sites within the 3 districts. Year 1 also saw the purchase of family kits that were awarded to participants in family fun nights spreadsheet form along with hourly rates to determine the in-kind contribution for personnel. The in-kind "supply" category was determined by the were determined by the financial officer at each site or organization and passed along to the Wellness staff. The dates and length of time were put into getting the hourly rate of district employees, health department staff, and other staff members who worked on the PEP grant's behalf. These hourly rates that promoted physical activity or cardiovascular health, or grant nutritional goals. The in-kind contribution numbers in the second chart were compiled by used to pay for activities, including transportation, for outings such as rock climbing, canoeing, nature hikes, swimming, gymnastics, cooking sessions etc. accordance to the approved budget proposal as a fund for all of the sites' enrichment activities. During the entirety of the program, the "other" category was cost of producing the quarterly Fit 4 Life newsletter that shared information and the PEP grant successes, for all 3 years. The "Other" category was used in workers at each site for length of the project and all training costs. The category provided stipends for food service training and food service field trips to contracts, and materials for Wellness Alliance community. The approved budget category of "Contractual" provided compensation for 5 after school activity Wellness Center equipment, replacement pedometers, storage items for equipment, research based SPARK nutrition curriculum, family kits, maintenance Wellness Center equipment, replacement pedometers, family kits, and day to day supplies. The 3rd and final year of the project "supplies" provided the final year of the grant was in line with the approved budget, giving the sites and Wellness Alliance; research based SPARK after school curriculum, 2 more increase in all 3 PEP grant categories. The 1st year of the grant the followed the approved budget and purchased the standards-aligned and research based has finished. The approved budget category of "Supplies" allowed both the Wellness Alliance and the involved districts with resources that enabled the following the formulas used in the approved budget narrative, including amounts for city provided regular access to parks and fields, walking trails, access to learn from other industrial kitchen, in addition to the cost of training. The PEP grant evaluator was compensated out of this category all 3 years as was the health department resources, resources provided by the schools themselves, and outside resources from business and community

Carol M. White Physical Education Program FINAL GPRA and Expenditure Data Summary

Applicant: Northern Kentucky Cooperative for Educational Services Inc.

PR Award #: Q215F110144

GPRA MEASURE	PLEASE PROVIDE RATIO — (# of participating students meeting measure / # of students measured)
The percentage of students served by the grant who engage in 60 minutes of daily physical activity. BASELINE	137/1334
The percentage of students served by the grant who achieve age-appropriate cardiovascular fitness levels. BASELINE	642/1334
200000000000000000000000000000000000000	286/1334
The percentage of students served by the grant who engage in 60 minutes of daily physical activity. YEAR ONE	42/265
The percentage of students served by the grant who achieve age-appropriate cardiovascular fitness levels. YEAR ONE	53/265
The percentage of students served by the grant who consumed fruit two or more times per day and vegetables three or more times per day. YEAR ONE	60/265
The percentage of students served by the grant who engage in 60 minutes of daily physical activity. YEAR TWO	29 /107
The percentage of students served by the grant who achieve age-appropriate cardiovascular fitness levels. YEAR TWO	163/260
	57/260
The percentage of students served by the grant who engage in 60 minutes of daily	105/589
The percentage of students served by the grant who achieve age-appropriate cardiovascular fitness levels. YEAR THREE	158/251
	66/225
The percentage of students served by the grant who engage in 60 minutes of daily	176/818
The percentage of students served by the grant who achieve age-appropriate cardiovascular levels. Project Total	460/789
The percentage of students served by the grant who consumed fruit two or more times per day and vegetables three or more times per day. Project Total	180/737

	5222E			lesses pare
9	In YEAR THREE	3	'nΥ	Total Federal Dollars Spent
1	2	Ā	YEAR ONE	₩.
	a	Ę	0	Fe
	泵	ð	ñ	6
	m			B
				8
				2
				27
				60
				7
				2
H		-		
#	l			
ð	\$	346	39	
\$1,402,985.80 TOTAL	\$548,472.48 In YEAR THREE	\$464,095.47	Ĕ	
5.8	12 4	5.4	8	
윰	5		IS IN YEAR ONE	60 -1
Iξ	益	In YEAR TWO	m	TOTAI Spent
F	8	AR	8	2 2
	屋	TW	2	2
	ú	0	m	TOTAL Matching Spent
				2
				3
				9
ı				2
				3
				•
H				
'n	J.	s		
13	Ş	232,		
Š	579	626	S	
8	ß	26	8	



SCHOOL HEALTH INDEX - ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

School Health Index Overall Score Card

Overall Score Card

For each module (row), write an X in the one column where

Low Medium High 0-20% 21%-40% 41%-60% 61%-80% 81%-100%	School Health Policies and Environment – Module 1	Health Education – Module 2	Physical Education and Other Physical Activity Programs – Module 3	Nutrition Services - Module 4	School Health Services – Module 5	School Counseling, Psychological, and Social Services – Module 6	Health Promotion for Staff – Module 7		Family and Community Involvement – Module 8
--	---	-----------------------------	--	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--	---

PLANNING FOR IMPROVEMENT - Page 5

Gandvier Elem.

SCHOOL HEALTH INDEX - ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

School Health Index Overall Score Card

The same of the same of the same column

	Low 0 – 20%	21% - 40%	Medium 41% – 60%	61% - 80%	High 81% – 100%
School Health Policies and Environment –		-			
Treath Education - Module 2				80	
Transfer with the second of th			E		
Physical Education and Other Physical Activity Programs – Module 3			20		
T. F. OEL STATES VILLOGENSO				S	
School Health Services - Module 5			The second secon		
School Counseling, Psychological, and Social					
Services - Module 6			e access		
Health Promotion for Staff - Module 7	•		* 100,000 00		

PLANNING FOR IMPROVEMENT - Page 5

Fine of the state of the state

SCHOOL HEALTH INDEX - ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

School Health Index

Overall Score Card

For each module (row), write an X in the one column where

	Family and Community Involvement – Module 8	Health Promotion for Staff – Module 7	School Counseling, Psychological, and Social Services – Module 6	School Health Services - Module 5	Nutrition Services - Module 4	Physical Education and Other Physical Activity Programs – Module 3	Health Education – Module 2	School Health Policies and Environment – Module 1		ı
trs anno? *									Low 0-20%	
* Some schools like to write the module scores in each box									21% - 40%	the
te the module sc					2	3	S		Medium 41% – 60%	the Module Score falls*
ores in each bo								C	61% - 80%	alls*
ĸ									High 81% – 100%	

^{*} Some schools like to write the module scores in each box.



SCHOOL HEALTH INDEX - MIDDLE SCHOOL/HIGH SCHOOL

School Health Index

Overall Score Card

For each module (row), write an X in the one column where

	Family and Community Involvement – Module 8	Health Promotion for Staff – Module 7	School Counseling, Psychological, and Social Services – Module 6	School Health Services - Module 5	Nutrition Services – Module 4	Physical Education and Other Physical Activity Programs – Module 3	Health Education – Module 2	School Health Policies and Environment – Module 1	1	1
* Some scl									Low 0-20%	
* Some schools like to write the module scores in each box									21% - 40%	the
te the module sc						S	L	Z	Medium 41% – 60%	the Module Score falls*
ores in each bo					S				61% - 80%	alls*
х.									High 81% – 100%	

School Health Index

Overall Score Card

For each module (row), write an X in the one column where

	Family and Community Involvement – Module 8	Health Promotion for Staff - Module 7	School Counseling, Psychological, and Social Services – Module 6	School Health Services – Module 5	Nutrition Services - Module 4	Physical Education and Other Physical Activity Programs – Module 3	Health Education – Module 2	School Health Policies and Environment – Module 1		1
OS anos *									Low 0-20%	
* Some schools like to write the module scores in each box.									21% - 40%	the
te the module sc									Medium 41% – 60%	the Module Score fal
ores in each bo.					6	7	S	S	61% - 80%	alls*
<i>.</i> .									High 81% – 100%	

* Some schools like to write the module scores in each box.

MEASURE A				
	# who completed 60			
	minutes of Physical	# of Participants		
	Activity	during Window	Ratio	%
Baseline	137	1334	137/1334	10%
Year 1	42	122	42/122	34%
Year 2	29	107	29/107	27%
Year 3	105	589	105/589	18%
Total (Excluding Baseline)	176	818	176/818	22%

MEASURE B				
	# Who met Age			
	Appropriate Cardio	# of Participants	1	
	Levels	during Window	Ratio	%
Baseline	642	1334	642/1334	48%
Year 1	149	278	149/278	54%
Year 2	153	260	153/260	59%
Year 3	158	251	158/251	63%
Total	460	789	460/789	58%

MEASURE C				
	# Who ate fruit 2 or more times & veg. 3	# of Participants		
	or more daily	during Window	Ratio	%
Baseline	286	1334	286/1334	21%
Year 1	57	252	57/252	23%
Year 2	57	260	57/260	22%
Year 3	66	225	66/225	29%
Total	180	737	180/737	24%

MEASURE A				
			# of students	
	# of students who	# of participating in the	e who returned	That windows
	engaged in 60 minutes of	program during that	completed logs	gs response rate based
Site and Window Number	daily physical activity		and/or 3DPar	on site
Site 1 Southgate Baseline		30	197	144 73%
Site 1 Southgate 1st measure	4	44	178	127 71%
Site 1 Southgate 2nd measure	ш.	32	172	97 56%
Site 1 Southgate 3rd measure	. 2	27	176	111 63%
Site 1 Southgate 4th measure	(L)	30	159	101 63%
Site 2 Grandview Baseline		15	381	102
Site 2 Grandview 1st measure		66	370	209 56%
Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	55	363	138 38%
Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure	(5	57	364	158 43%
Site 2 Grandview 4th measure		54	368	200 54%
Site 3 Lincoln Baseline		39	514	328 64%
Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure		86	514	284 55%
Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure		53	513	
Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure		47	500	
Site 4 Lincoln 4th Measure		82	521	238 46%
Site 4 Bellevue Baseline		27	129	126 98%
Site 4 Bellevue 1st Measure		40	75	73 97%
Site 4 Bellevue 2nd Measure		30	73	72 99%
Site 4 Bellevue 3rd Measure		18	60	Là
Site 4 Bellevue 4th Measure		26	56	55 98%
Site 5 Dayton Baseline		26	113	102 90%
Site 5 Dayton 1st Measure		24	75	67 89%
Site 5 Dayton 2nd Measure		25	52	51 98%
Site 5 Dayton 3rd Measure		16	58	56 96%
Site 5 Dayton 4th Measure		25	62	60 97%

4709 105/589 588.6 589	837 104.6 105	Totals meeting 80% return excluding Baseline Data Divide by # of Windows with more t 80% return times the # of program s
		4709 588.6 589

MEASURE B				
Site and Window #	Number of Students who met age appropriate cardiovascular fitness levels	Number of participating in the program during that window	Number of students who participated in PACER run	The windows response rate based on site
Site 1 Southgate Baseline	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	110	7 181	92%
Site 1 Southgate 1st measure	12	129 168	8 159	95%
Site 1 Southgate 2nd measure	13	133 173	3 154	
Site 1 Southgate 3rd measure	119	19 164	4 148	3 90%
Site 1 Southgate 4th measure	10	108 158		4 91%
Site 2 Grandview Baseline	26	265 381	1 352	
Site 2 Grandview 1st measure	26	265 370	0 348	
Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure	26			
Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure	26			
Site 2 Grandview 4th measure	2,7	271 366	6 328	8 90%
Site 3 Lincoln Baseline	22	245 514		0 88%
Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure	32	356 505	_	7 90%
Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure	ω	329 501		7 89%
Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure	ű	343 496	6 444	4 89%
Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure	ω.	397 495	5 423	3 85%

Divide by # of Windows times # of sites (4 x 5=20) (19) 80% return times the # of program sites	Total excluding Baseline data	Site 5 Dayton HS 4th Measure	Site 5 Dayton HS 3rd Measure	Site 5 Dayton HS 2nd Measure	Site 5 Dayton HS 1st Measure	Site 5 Dayton HS Baseline	Site 4 Bellevue HS 4th Measure	Site 4 Bellevue HS 3rd Measure	Site 4 Bellevue HS 2nd Measure	Site 4 Bellevue HS 1st Measure	Site 4 Bellevue HS Baseline
158.1 158	3004	4	5	4	ω	10	2	5	2	ω	12 12 NAME OF THE PROPERTY OF
250.5 251	4761	73	69	74	74	113	83	86	86	83	129
	158/251	60	56	57	66	96	71	74	78	80	118
		82%	81%	77%	89%	85%	85%	86%	91%	96%	91%

62.9 63%

MEASURE C				

	Number of Students who		Number of	
	consumed appropriate	Number of participating students who	students who	The windows
	number of fruits and	in the program during	participated in	response rate based
Site and Window #	veggies	that window	Nutrition survey on site	on site
Site 1 Southgate Baseline	51	197	197	100%
Site 1 Southpate 1st measure	44	166		92%
Site 1 Southgate 2nd measure	57	144	134	93%
Site 1 Southgate 3rd measure	61	160		96%
Site 1 Southgate 4th measure	56	153		97%

Site 2 Grandview Baseline
Site 2 Grandview 1st measure

96 93 93

381 345 338

355 335 326

93% 97% 96%

Site 2 Grandview 2nd measure

Total excluding Baseline data Divide by # of Windows times # of sites (4 x 5=20)	Site 5 Dayton HS Baseline Site 5 Dayton HS 1st Measure Site 5 Dayton HS 2nd Measure Site 5 Dayton HS 3rd Measure Site 5 Dayton HS 4th Measure	Site 4 Bellevue HS Baseline Site 4 Bellevue HS 1st Measure Site 4 Bellevue HS 2nd Measure Site 4 Bellevue HS 3rd Measure Site 4 Bellevue HS 4th Measure	Site 2 Grandview 3rd measure Site 2 Grandview 4th measure Site 3 Lincoln Baseline Site 3 Lincoln 1st Measure Site 3 Lincoln 2nd Measure Site 3 Lincoln 3rd Measure Site 3 Lincoln 4th Measure
			l .
1322 66	6 9 11 13 8	13 28 28 15	101 114 120 121 150 131 175
4506 225.3 225	113 78 68 74 76	1/29 87 83 55 60	330 336 514 490 476 487 500
66/225	102 70 57 67 67	125 78 77 50 56	320 328 465 441 423 436 448
	90% 90% 84% 91% 88%	97% 90% 93% 91%	97% 98% 90% 90% 89% 89%



INDIRECT COST RATE AGREEMENT NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

Organization

Date: AUS 2 4 2012

Northern Kentucky Cooperative for Educational

Services

5516 E Alexandria Pike Cold Springs, KY 41706 Agreement No: 2012-169

Filing Reference: Replaces previous

Agreement No. N/A

Dated: N/A

The approved indirect cost rates herein are for use on grants, contracts, and other agreements with the Federal Government. The rates are subject to the conditions included in Section II of this Agreement and issued by the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to the authority in Office of Management and Budget Circular A-122 (relocated to 2 CFR 230).

Section I - Rates and Bases

Туре

From

<u>To</u>

Rate

<u>Base</u>

Applicable To

Predetermined

03/01/2012

06/30/2015

8.0%

MTDC

All Programs

Distribution Base:

MTDC

Modified Total Direct Cost - Total direct costs excluding equipment, capital expenditures, participant support costs, pass-through funds and each subaward (subcontract or subgrant) above \$25,000 (each award; each year).

Applicable To:

All Programs

The rates herein are applicable to All Programs.

Treatment of Fringe Benefits:

Fringe benefits applicable to direct salaries and wages are treated as direct costs.

<u>Capitalization Policy:</u> Items of equipment are capitalized and depreciated if the initial acquisition cost is equal to or greater than \$1,000.

Section III - Special Remarks

<u>Alternative Reimbursement Methods:</u> If any federal programs are reimbursing indirect costs by a methodology other than the approved rates in this agreement, such costs should be credited to the programs and the approved rates should be used to identify the maximum amount of indirect costs allocable.

<u>Submission of Proposals:</u> New indirect cost proposals are necessary to obtain approved indirect cost rates for future fiscal years. The next indirect cost rate proposal is due six months prior to expiration dates of the rates in this agreement.

For the Federal Government:

U.S. Department of Education

Washington, DC 20202-4450

Telephone Number: (202) 245-8035

Negotiator: Mary Gougisha

OCFO/FIPAO/ICG

550 12th Street, SW

Section IV - Approvals

For the Organization:

Northern Kentucky Cooperative for Educational Services 5516 E Alexandria Pike Cold Springs, KY 41706

Cutch (Jul)	Mary Gongisky Signature
Signature	Signature /
Curtis Hall	Mary Gougisha
Name	Name
Executive Director	Director, Indirect Cost Group
Title	Title
9/4/2012	AUS 2 4 20/2
Date	Date