Certified Evaluation Plan Check List

Model Plan Version 3 Reference	KDE/EDI Implementation DIPP Ref #	Checkpoints	Yes	No	Projected Completion Date
		TPGES			
		A certified evaluation committee (50/50) has been			
		selected.	٧		
		 Educator Quality Oversight Committee is 			
		established.			
		The 50/50 committee has met.			
		 Educator Quality Oversight Committee meets bi-weekly. 	٧		
	7.1.2	A timeline for submitting the Certified Evaluation			In Process
		Plan has been established.		٧	February 2014
		 An implementation plan has been 			
		developed, and is being updated.			
Pg. 3		 Any other roles or definitions to be included? 			
Pg.		All teachers and principals have been trained on			In Process
	Principals	the TPGES system.		٧	June 2014
	4.1.4	 System Components—System Overview 			
	_	and Summative Model			
	Teachers:	 Domain Ratings—Professional Judgment 			
	4.2.3	 Student Growth—Student Growth 			
		Percentiles (SGPs) & Local Contributions:			
		Student Growth Goals (SGGs)—High,			
		Expected, Low Growth Ratings			
		TESC recommendations are going to the State			
		Board that will include decision rules for these			
Dα /	4.1.3	things. All teachers and principals have been trained on			In Process
Pg. 4	4.3.2	the Framework for Teaching.		٧	March 2014
Pg. 5	1.5.2	Is there a plan to provide training for CIITS to all			
, g. 5	3.2.2	teachers, and to determine an expert for each			
	3.2.2	school.			
Pg. 7	ADD	L - Establish a timeline for Self-reflection/PGP			
8		development and approval			
Pg. 8	7.2.3a	L – Choose an observation model. (An			
		observation model (3/1; 2/2; other) has been	٧		
		selected.			
		All teachers will participate in self-reflection and			
		professional growth planning each year. Growth			
		Planning Matrix is coming from the state.			
		 We must choose Option A, B, or C. 			
Pg. 9	7.2.3b	An observation conferencing plan (pre/post) is in			In Process

		place.	٧	August 2014
		L – Describe the requirements for pre/post		3
		observation conferences (Samples in Appendix B)		
		L – Describe the differences that may exist in		
	7.2.3b	conferencing expectations for mini or full		
		observations.		
		L – Identify timelines for any required		
		conferences.		
		Pre and Post-Conferencerequired?		
		For full and/or mini observations?		
		How will conferences be conducted, and		
		what is the conferencing timeline?		
	7.2.3d	L – What is timeline for conducting and		
	7.2.56	completing observations?		
		What will the observation windows be, for		
		1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd , and 4 th observations?		
Pg. 10	4.1.1	L – Describe the process used to ensure all		In Process
1 g. 10	7.1.1	supervisors obtain observation certification. All	V	June 2014
	4.1.2	principals have completed observation		
	7.1.2	certification through Teachscape.		
		All principals and assistant principals have		
		received access and will complete by June.		
Pg. 10	7.2.3e	An observer calibration timeline has been		
1 g. 10	7.2.50	developed.	V	August 2014
		• Year 1, Year 2, Year 3, Year 4—State		3
		provided decision.		
	7.2.3	 L – Explain processes that the district will 		
	7.2.3	use for observer calibration being sure to		
		adhere to the requirements.		
Pg. 11	7.2.3	 L - Develop a plan for support for 		
8	7.2.0	administrators who struggle to pass		
		TeachScape.		
		L - What will we do when supervisors do		
		not pass? Who will cover the		
		observations? (Examples in Appendix B)		
Pg. 11	7.2.3c	Peer Observer – Identification and training		In Process
1 8. 11	7.2.50	R - All Peer Observers participating during the	V	May 2014
		summative year observations will complete state		, -
		developed training once every three years		
		L – Describe how Peer Observers will be		
		identified and have completed state approved		
		training		
		How will we train peer observers—more		
Pg. 11		than just watching the modules?		
. 2. 11		L - Describe how Peer Observers will be assigned		
		to teachers.		
		R - All required peer observations must be		
		documented in CIITS		
	<u> </u>	accamented in ents		

		L-		
Pg. 11	4.3.3	Training for peer observer is in place.		In Process
		 A plan to ensure that all teachers have 	√	June 2014
		access to Peer Observers every year—		
		example table included—		
		selection/assignment/plan @ each level-		
	7.2.3c	district, school, teacher.		
Pg. 12		Student Voice Survey		In Process
Ü		A plan is in place for administering the student	√	August 2014
		voice survey.		
	7.2.4a	 L - One district person to oversee the 		
		administration of the survey.		
	7.2.4b	• L = Develop Student Voice Ethics		
		Statement (involve DAC).		
	7.2.4c	• L - How many sections per teacher will		
	7.21.10	participate?		
	7.2.4d	L - Provide needed student		
	7.2	accommodations and support (plan) but		
		with confidentiality.		
	ADD	,		
	ABB	L - Identify the timeline for administration of the state proposed Student Voice		
		of the state approved Student Voice		
		Survey		
D= 13		Chudant Cuanth Caala		In Process
Pg. 13		Student Growth Goals	V	August 2014
	425	All teachers have been trained on setting student	ľ	August 2014
	4.3.5	growth goals.		
	725-	New State Regulation to come.		
	7.2.5a	L - Training for state contribution and		
	7.2.54	local contribution. (SGPs and SGGs)		
	7.2.5b	 L - How many goals will each teacher be 		
		required to have?		
D 44	705			
Pg. 14	7.2.5c	 L - Rigor of SGGs—We must select an 		
		option. How will we rate SGGs? Rigor		
		Rubric?		
5 45				
Pg. 15	ADD	 L - Protocol for ensuring comparability? 		
		 Administration Protocol 		
		 Scoring Process 		
Pg. 15	ADD	L - Describe the process for determining student		
		growth that is high, expected or low.		
		L - Identify the measures for determining student		
		growth rating. (See examples Appendix C)		
Pg. 16	7.2.7	A plan is in place for including other products of		In Process
		practice.	٧	June 2014
		Model list provided. Which will we list in		
		our plan?		
Pg. 18	ADD	L – Describe the process and/or instrument to be		

	ADD	used to rate overall student growth as low, expected or high. (Samples, Appendix C) L – Describe the procedures for ensuring quality in determining overall student growth		
Pg. 20	4.3.6	All teachers have been trained on use of the Professional Growth Planning Matrix. • Waiting for State Board Approval. Will share with all principals and teachers then.	٧	In Process August 2014

Model Plan Reference	KDE/EDI Implementation DIPP Ref #	Checkpoints	Yes	No	Projected Completion Date
		PPGES – Principal and Assistant Principal			
Pg. 24	4.2.3	All principals and evaluators have been trained			In Process
		on the PPGES system.		٧	June 2014
		 System Overview and Summative 			
		Model—rollout for all.			
Pg. 24	4.2.1	All principals and evaluators have been trained			In Process
		on the Principal Performance Standards.		٧	June 2014
		 Rollout for all needed. 			
Pg. 27	4.2.2	All principals and evaluators have been trained			In Process
		on the PGP/Self-Reflection process.		٧	June 2014
		 Completed annually by each principal. 			
	ADD	L – Explain timeline for submission of PGP for			
		principals and assistant principals.			
Pg. 25	7.3.1	A plan for Site-Visits is in place.		٧	June 2014
		 Plan for scheduling and conducting site 			
		visits—minimum of two.			
Pg. 25	7.3.2a	A point of contact has been established for the			Tiffeny
		Val- Ed 360° survey.	٧		Armour
Pg. 25	7.3.2a	A window for administering the Val-Ed 360°			
		survey has been set.	٧		
		 Every year the TELL Survey is not 			
		administered.			
Pg. 25	7.3.2b	A plan for developing Working Conditions			In Process
		Goals(s) is in place.		٧	August 2014
		 One for every two years from TELL 			
		Survey results.			
		 How and what entered into ASSIST? 			
Pg. 26	7.3.4	A plan is in place for including other products of			In Process
		practice.		٧	August 2014
		 What other Sources of Evidence will we 			
		utilize for principals? Provide a list.			
Pg. 26	7.3.3a	A plan is in place for establishing a Student			In Process
		Growth Goal based on ASSIST/NGL Trajectories.		٧	June 2014
		State Contributions			
Pg. 26	7.3.3b	A plan is in place for establishing a Student			In Process
				٧	June 2014

		 Growth Goal based on school need. Local Contributions—the number of SGGs principals are required to develop, minimum is one. Plan for helping principals select goals, and determine a rating based on the results. 		
Pg. 28	4.2.3	All principals have been trained on determining the Overall Performance Category. • Matrix and Decisions Rules coming from the State. • Will need to train all on these.	٧	In Process June 2014