PENDLETON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT

DISTRCT FACILITIES PLAN HEARING REPORT*

A public hearing was held at the Pendleton County High School on April 9, 2013, at 6:30 p.m. (local time). The purpose of the public hearing was to propose a new District Facility Plan developed by the Pendleton County Local Planning Committee. Sixteen of the members of the Local Planning Committee (LPC) voted in favor of the proposed new plan on March 18, 2013 (four LPC members were absent) and the Board voted unanimously (5-0) to approve the new plan on March 21, 2013.

There were thirteen individual(s) in attendance. Ehmet Hayes, Architect, of Robert Ehmet Hayes & Associates, PLLC served as the locally-appointed hearing officer. See attached sign-in sheet for those in attendance.

COMMENTS

Ehmet Hayes called the public hearing to order. The hearing officer outlined the purpose of the hearing and the hearing procedures in accordance with 702 KAR 1:001. It was explained that those persons wishing to speak would be given the opportunity and that written statements would be accepted. All considerations will be made available to the Kentucky Board of Education via the hearing officer's report. The hearing officer read the proposed new District Facility Plan which includes:

- Pendleton County High School major renovations
- Sharp Middle School major renovations
- Northern Elementary major renovations
- Southern Elementary major renovations
- District White Board Initiative
- Minor renovations at Central Office and Central Storage until new can be constructed
- Minor renovations at Central Bus Garage and the Falmouth School Center
- Discretionary projects at Pendleton County High, Sharp Middle and Southern Elementary Schools

The floor was opened to those who wished to make a statement.

- No one was in attendance from the general public (all were LPC members); therefore, no comments were received and the meeting was adjourned.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that the new District Facility Plan developed by the Pendleton County Local Planning Committee and adopted by the Pendleton County Board of Education be approved as the District Facility Plan for the Pendleton County School District. A copy of the new plan is attached.

Respectfully submitted,

Ehmet Hayes, Architect Robert Ehmet Hayes & Associates, PLLC

cc:

Tim Lucas, Manager Planning File

Attachments:

Sign-In Sheet

Public Hearing Agenda

Pendleton County School District Facility Plan

^{*}This document is presented to KDE as submitted by the district-appointed hearing officer.

Date: April 9, 2013

Pendleton County District Facilities Plan Local/State Board Public Hearing Sign-in Sheet

Hearing Officer: Ehmet Hayes

Name 1 0	Organization
1. Hall Six	Tendletul Schools Supl.
2. 12	Pendlaton Board of Education
3. Jall Hall	Com gader
4. A Bry Rollingson	Condleton Co Schools
5 James Ritiera	Community Parent
6. Jan 119 A	Pendleton Co. Planning + Zaring
7. Daylf G. Figl	formored at Norther Elburtary
8. Kuth Smith	Attletic Director District
9. JAY VICTOR	Concessed PCHS
100 ysa Manor	Northern Clementary
1 Haring Peigh	Principal Southers Elementary
12 CML UMTROBUD	Teacher, Southern Elementary
13. Change Backto	Pendlelan County ROL
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Date: April 9, 2013

Pendleton County District Facilities Plan Local/State Board Public Hearing Agenda

Local/State	Board Public Hearing	g Agend
Hearing Officer:	Ehmet Hayes	•

- □ Call to Order by the District Designee
 - ☐ Hearing Officer Opening Remarks and "Statement of Purpose"
 - Pursuant to 702 KAR 4:180 Implementation Guidelines-Kentucky Schools Facilities Planning Manual this Local/State Board Hearing is convened.
 - □ The Local Board of Education has submitted the Proposed Draft of the Facilities Plan to the Department of Education. This accepted "Proposed Draft Facilities Plans" is to be reviewed tonight in the Local/State Board Public Hearing (Copies Enclosed)
 - The LPC has advertised the Local/State Board Public Hearing at least 14 days prior to the hearing.
 - o This Local/State Board Public Hearing should have;
 - Sign -In Sheet to record all present
 - Recording devise to document the proceedings
 - Public address System to allow those speaking to be heard
 - o This Local/State Board Public Hearing is for the purpose of hearing and documenting testimony. The hearing Officer is to document the public comments. It is not within the purview of the Hearing Officer to sway public opinion or to comment on the proposed plan.
 - □ Review of Facilities Plan
 - Recording of Testimony
 - o Reading of written testimony including that sent or e-mailed to the school district.
 - o Oral testimony.
 - □ Following the Local/State Board Local Hearing the Department of Education will prepare a Hearing Officer's Report. This report will be submitted to the Local Board of Education for review
 - If "accepted", the Local Board of Education votes for approval and submits the Final Proposal to the Kentucky Department of Education.
 - o If "rejected" the Local Board submits a letter to the <u>Department</u> for review. The Local Board will then be asked to submit a letter to the LPC that gives specific direction as to the Board's concerns and intent. This will then be reviewed by the LPC and the plan will be adjusted and returned to the Board for their review to submit it back to the Department.
 - Currently, the Final Proposal is scheduled to be submitted to the State School Board in their <u>June 2013</u> meeting.
 - o Following the meeting the Local School Board will be notified of the State School Boards decision.
 - If the Facilities Plan is "rejected" by the State Board, the Local Board of Education must submit a modification of the Hearing Officer Report from the Kentucky Department of Education
 - When "accepted", the Local Board of Education submits the Final Proposal to the Kentucky Department of Education
 - This Final Proposal is resubmitted to the State School Board in their regular meetings.
 - Following the meeting the Local School board is notified of the State School Boards decision.

KBE APPROVAL DATE: JUNE 2013

PENDLETON COUNTY SCHOOLS DISTRICT FACILITIES PLAN

PLAN OF SCHOOL ORGANIZATION

1. Current Plan

PK-5, 6-8, 9-12

2. Long Range Plan

PK-5, 6-8, 9-12

2.	Long Range 1 am 1 R-5, 0-6, 5-12	,			2013 Student
	HOOL CENTERS	Status	Organization		Enrollment Capacity
1.	Secondary a. Pendleton Co. High School	Permanent	9-12 Center		755/848
2.	Middle a. Sharp Middle School	Permanent	6-8 Center		569/700
3.	Elementary a. Northern Elementary School b. Southern Elementary School	Permanent Permanent	PS-5 Center PS-5 Center		566/625 608/625
CA	PITAL CONSTRUCTION PRIORITIES (Schedule	after the 20	15 Rionnium)		
	Major renovation/additions of educational facilities; incl				
20.	administrative areas, auditoriums, and gymnasiums.	ruding expansions,	kitchens, careterias, noraries	Eff. %	Cost Est.
	 Pendleton County High School Major renovations to areas not included in the renovational hardware, windows, HVAC replacement units, piping is kitchen renovations, sound-proof gymnasium, and park security/hardware upgrades, technology upgrades, floor system improvements. 	nsulation, HVA	C controls upgrades, nts/repairs,		\$3,880,000
	2. Sharp Middle School				
	Major renovations to include; HVAC replacement, secu	urity/hardware ı	upgrades and reroof		\$3,040,290
	3. Northern Elementary School Major renovations to areas not included in the renovati HVAC replacement, including piping insulation, paving lighting, fencing at playground, security/hardware upgr clocks, new handicap restroom and soundproof Cafeter	g and drop off l ades, technolog	oop, parking lot		\$2,000,000
•	4. Southern Elementary School Major renovations to areas not included in the renovati HVAC replacement, including piping insulation, pavin security/hardware upgrades, technology upgrades, new	ng improvement	s, parking lot lighting,		
	Cafeteria.				\$1,800,000

2d. KERA Strands New Additions: Preschool, SBDM Office & Conf., Fam. Res.

Eff. %

Cost Est.

1. District White Board Initiative

Provide fixed active boards and projectors in all classrooms district wide with wireless capability for hand-held student assessments and remote operation.

Construct: 50 Int

50 Interactive Smart Boards

\$6,500 per clrm.

\$325,000

CAPITAL CONSTRUCTION PRIORITIES (Regardless of Schedule)
--

4.	Management supp	ort areas; Construct, acquisition, or renovation of central offices,	, bus garages, or central stor	es	
				Eff. %	Cost Est.
	1. Central Office				
	Minor renovation	ons to include; security improvements.			\$20,000
	Construct: Ne	w central office facility, site work; renovations.	10,000 sf.	74%	\$2,933,784
	2. Central Storage				
	Minor renovation	ons to include; security improvements.			\$20,000
	Construct: Co	nstruct a new central storage facility.	10,000 sf.	74%	\$1,598,649
	3. Central Bus Gar	rage			
	Minor renovation	ons to include; security improvements; sitework; misc.	upgrades.		\$800,000
	4. Falmouth School	ol Center	40,000 sf.		
	Minor renovation	ons to include; maintenance renovations to roof, HVAC	-		
		n, electrical upgrades and elevator.			\$2,300,000
DIS	TRICT NEED				\$18,392,722
		struction Projects: Functional Centers: Improvements by new	construction or renovation		\$18,392,722
DIS 5.	Discretionary Con	struction Projects; Functional Centers; Improvements by new of projects will not be included in the FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSME		Eff. %	
	Discretionary Cons Estimated Costs of these	projects will not be included in the FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSME	ENT TOTAL.	Eff. %	\$18,392,722 Cost Est.
	Discretionary Cons Estimated Costs of these 1. Pendleton Cour	projects will not be included in the FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSME aty High School		Eff. %	Cost Est.
	Discretionary Cons Estimated Costs of these 1. Pendleton Cour Construct:	projects will not be included in the FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSME nty High School Baseball Field Lighting	ENT TOTAL.	Eff. %	
	Discretionary Cons Estimated Costs of these 1. Pendleton Cour Construct: 2. Sharp Middle S	projects will not be included in the FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSME nty High School Baseball Field Lighting chool	ENT TOTAL.	Eff. %	Cost Est. \$200,000
	Discretionary Cons Estimated Costs of these 1. Pendleton Cour Construct: 2. Sharp Middle S Renovations to	projects will not be included in the FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSME ty High School Baseball Field Lighting chool include; technology and kitchen renovations.	ENT TOTAL.	Eff. %	Cost Est. \$200,000 \$750,000
	Discretionary Cons Estimated Costs of these 1. Pendleton Cour Construct: 2. Sharp Middle S	projects will not be included in the FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSME ty High School Baseball Field Lighting chool include; technology and kitchen renovations. Additional parking for 100 cars	ENT TOTAL.	Eff. %	Cost Est. \$200,000 \$750,000 \$150,000
	Discretionary Cons Estimated Costs of these 1. Pendleton Cour Construct: 2. Sharp Middle S Renovations to	projects will not be included in the FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSME ty High School Baseball Field Lighting chool include; technology and kitchen renovations.	ENT TOTAL.	Eff. %	Cost Est. \$200,000 \$750,000
	Discretionary Cons Estimated Costs of these 1. Pendleton Cour Construct: 2. Sharp Middle S Renovations to	projects will not be included in the FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSME that High School Baseball Field Lighting chool include; technology and kitchen renovations. Additional parking for 100 cars Concession/Restroom Building	ENT TOTAL.	Eff. %	Cost Est. \$200,000 \$750,000 \$150,000
	Discretionary Consessionary Consessionary Construct: 2. Sharp Middle S Renovations to Construct:	projects will not be included in the FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSME that High School Baseball Field Lighting chool include; technology and kitchen renovations. Additional parking for 100 cars Concession/Restroom Building	123,825 sf. 59,848 sf.	Eff. %	Cost Est. \$200,000 \$750,000 \$150,000
-	Discretionary Consessionary Consessionary Construct: 2. Sharp Middle S Renovations to Construct: 3. Southern Element	projects will not be included in the FACILITY NEEDS ASSESSME that High School Baseball Field Lighting chool include; technology and kitchen renovations. Additional parking for 100 cars Concession/Restroom Building	123,825 sf. 59,848 sf. on building, lockers,	Eff. %	Cost Est. \$200,000 \$750,000 \$150,000

January 22, 2013 Pendelton County Enrollment History

EOY	District Enrollment	Annual % Change	Three Year Change	Five Year Change	Ten Year Change	Enrollment Change Per Year
1989-1990	2,538					
1990-1991	2,538	0.00%				0
1991-1992	2,527	-0.43%				-11
1992-1993	2,538	0.44%	0.00%			11
1993-1994	2,721	7.21%	7.21%			183
1994-1995	2,748	0.99%	8.75%	8.27%		27
1995-1996	2,751	0.11%	8.39%	8.39%		3
1996-1997	2,812	2.22%	3.34%	11.28%		61
1997-1998	2,811	-0.04%	2.29%	10.76%		-1
1998-1999	2,919	3.84%	6.11%	7.28%		108
1999-2000	2,923	0.14%	3.95%	6.37%	15.17%	4
2000-2001	2,957	1.16%	5.19%	7.49%	16.51%	34
2001-2002	2,962	0.17%	1.47%	5.33%	17.21%	5
2002-2003	2,905	-1.92%	-0.62%	3.34%	14.46%	-57
2003-2004	2,883	-0.76%	-2.50%	-1.23%	5.95%	-22
2004-2005	2,854	-1.01%	-3.65%	-2.36%	3.86%	-29
2005-2006	2,834	-0.70%	-2.44%	-4.16%	3.02%	-20
2006-2007	2,871	1.31%	-0.42%	-3.07%	2.10%	37
2007-2008	2,738	-4.63%	-4.06%	-5.75%	-2.60%	-133
2008-2009	2,650	-3.21%	-6.49%	-8.08%	-9.22%	-88
2009-2010	2,649	-0.04%	-7.73%	-7.18%	-9.37%	-1
2010-2011	2,602	-1.77%	-4.97%	-8.19%	-12.01%	-47
2011-2012	2,535	-2.57%	-4.34%	-11.70%	-14.42%	-67

Enrollment change from EOY 1989-1990	-0.12%
Average Change per year	-0.01%

January 22, 2013 Pendleton County 2011- University of Louisville Enrollment Projections from the 2010 Census 2,030 2,015 2.020 Age Group 2000 2.0052.010 2.035 2.025 2.040 2.045 2.050 00-04 971 936 922 937 921 904 878 856 838 822 805 05-09 1.209 997 945 975 960 944 927 899 877 859 842 10-14 1,225 1,236 998 1,049 967 983 966 949 921 898 879 15-19 1.049 1,182 1.154 1.057 1,007 975 991 974 957 929 906 Total 05-19 3.483 3,415 3,178 3.000 2.934 2,902 2.884 2.822 2,755 2 686 2.627 -8% -9% -9% -11% 13% 5% 17% 6 % 27. -1% -1% 2% -2% -3% 2% ariod 20-24 852 891 838 882 808 772 748 759 747 733 712 25-29 962 958 825 849 894 819 782 758 770 757 743 977 30-34 1,037 821 817 841 886 811 774 750 762 749 35-39 1.279 1,057 974 923 919 946 997 912 869 843 857 40-44 1,216 1,293 1,103 1,004 953 949 976 1,028 941 898 871 45-49 910 1.239 1,290 1,112 1,012 961 957 984 1,037 949 905 50-54 910 918 1,245 1,317 1,134 1,033 980 977 1.004 1,058 968 55-59 702 918 956 1,232 1,304 1,121 1,020 968 965 992 1,045 60-64 566 672 898 926 1,262 1,192 1,085 987 938 935 961 65-69 452 522 608 809 835 1,079 1,142 981 891 846 843 70-74 405 384 456 525 696 720 930 985 846 768 729 75-79 310 333 331 363 419 553 573 740 783 674 611 80-84 165 227

269

256

15.387

311

281

15,499

408

318

15,489

425

389

15.345

548

436

15,118

580

526

14,829

499

593

14.518

228

204

14,877

85+

Projected Totals

per Year

170

14,390

183

14.923

245

232

15,173