

PROPOSAL REQUEST

DATE:

February 13, 2013

PR #:

Three (3)

TO:

David Beiersdorfer Jr. **Endeavor Construction** 977 State Route 28 Milford, Ohio 45150

RE:

Gallatin Co. Alternative School & Field House

Gallatin County, Kentucky

BG 12-133 RTA 0914

Please submit an itemized quotation for changes in the Contract Sum and/or Time incidental to proposed modifications to the Contract Documents described therein.

THIS IS NOT A CHANGE ORDER NOR A DIRECTION TO PROCEED WITH THE WORK DESCRIBED HEREIN.

DESCRIPTION: Soil Condition Proposals at the Plan-South Alternative School & Field House Building Pad Area (a follow up to the response to RFI#004).

Provide itemized labor and material costs for each of the following items as initially described in RFI#004 (attached for reference).

- Cut 12 inches down where soft soils exist under the Alternative Building pad, a)
- Replace removed soils with DGA and, b)
- Provide pricing bringing up to sub-grade with DGA in lieu of soils. c)

ATTACHMENTS:

- S&ME recommendation letter dated February 13, 2013
- RFI #004 (with email response)

BY:

John C. Gilbert, LEED AP

C:

Darothy Perkins, Gallatin Co. Schools Lenny Whalen, Gallatin Co. Schools Ronald E. Murrell, Jr., AIA, LEED AP BD+C Greg Hosfield, AIA, LEED BD+C Jeremy Lewis, CMTA File 0914-4B2

February 12, 2013 1831-13-796

Mr. Steve Maggard Ross Tarrant Architects 101 Old Lafayette Avenue Lexington, Kentucky 40502 REFERENCE FOR.

Re:

Subgrade and Geothermal Well Observation Gallatin County Alternative School & Field House Warsaw, Kentucky

Dear Mr. Maggard,

In accordance with your request, Mr. Dan Furgason, P.E. of S&ME, Inc. visited the site on February 11, 2013 and met with Mr. Ken Jones of Endeavor Construction. The purpose of the site visit was to provide recommendations for the soft subgrade of the new building pad and provide recommendations to repair the void located adjacent to the geothermal well drilled south of the new building.

Building Subgrade

S&ME observed the building pad subgrade. Based on discussion with Mr. Jones, it is understood the north section of the building pad was previously a parking area and stability in the area is not a concern. The south area of the building pad, as shown on the attached sketch, was previously prooffolled and ruts were observed to depths of 6 to 8 inches. Hand augering was performed at several locations. Weak clayey silt soils were encountered to a depth of approximately 12 inches. The soils observed would typically become unstable when exposed to excess moisture.

S&ME recommends the soft soils be cut to a depth of 12 inches below subgrade. The soils should be replaced with crushed stone base (Kentucky Department of Highways Standard Specification Item 805.15 or similar crushed stone material).

Geothermal Well

Prior to S&ME arrival on site, a hole for a geothermal well had been drilled. During or soon after drilling, a void was observed adjacent to the well on the north side. The soils near the ground surfaced were silt and silty fine sand. Similar soils were encountered near the ground surface at borings previously performed west of the geothermal wells. The void was partially filled with surrounding soils prior to S&ME arrival on site.

S&ME recommends the existing void be grouted when the well is grouted. Future holes should be cased during drilling to prevent loss of material down the hole.

REFERGICED ITEM! We appreciate having been given the opportunity to provide these services. Please contact our office with any questions or comments.

Respectfully submitted,

S&ME, INC.

Daniel A. Furgason, P.E.

Senior Engineer KY P.E. 25646

Attached:

Sketch

Submitted:

1 Electronic Copy

Benjamin C. Dusina, P.E.

Senior Engineer

| Cloveland (216) 001- Columbus (014) 703 | Subject 50 | sal Name Galla | ·// La. /// -// | Sheet_ | d By | Dale | |
|--|------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------|--|
| | | | | | | | . The state of the |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | . the a | | | |
| 2.1. | . 50 | Et arca | setting | . M. (1994) | With | | < |
| | . : : | 12 | , , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - |
| > | Nole: Di | nensions 176 confi | provided | hy En | deavo Co | as Printley, | |
| | G.C | TO | ERIF | | U FOR | | |
| | Pea | ' i | | <u>:</u> | | | |

· ·

John Gilbert

From:

Greg Hosfield

Sent:

Tuesday, February 12, 2013 5:34 PM

To: Cc: David Beiersdorfer Jr. (dmbjr@endeavorconstruction.com)
Lenny Whalen (leonard.whalen@gallatin.kyschools.us); Steve Maggard; John Gilbert; Beth

Hunter

Subject: Attachments: Gallatin Co. RFI #04

Gallatin Co. RFI #004.pdf

David,



The portion of the building pad area on the south side that did not meet proof-roll should be undercut 12" and brought to subgrade elevation with crushed stone per the Geotechnical Engineer's observation and recommendation letter dated February 12, 2013.

Referenced Geothermal Recommendation has been transmitted by separate email for your use.

GREG HOSFIELD AIA, LEED AP BD+C project architect

rosstarrant architects

101 old lafayette avenue lexington, kentucky 40502 p 859,254,4018 | f 859,231.5046 | www.rosstarrant.com | facebook

please think green & think twice before printing this e-mail



Gallatin County Alternative School

Request for Information

Project:

| Architect: | Ross Tarrant Architects | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RFI No: | 004 | | | | | |
| Date: | 01/25/13 | | | | | |
| Subject: | Soil Condition for Alternative Building | | | | | |
| Request: | | | | | | |
| After stripping topsoil and removing the existing asphalt paving in the area of the new Alternative Building, we proof-rolled the existing soils and found a portion of this area that will not be acceptable to begin placing soil fill. | | | | | | |
| Is it acceptable to utilize a borrow pit from an onsite location that will later be filled with the spoils from the underground utility excavations? | | | | | | |
| We also need to consider the type of fill that must be put back. According to the soils engineer, the Owner may want to consider upgrading to a stone fill rather than soil. Stone fill obviously creates a cost impact but it would provide better fill for the slab on grade if there are any concerns with the existing soil conditions. | | | | | | |
| Would the Owner like to entertain the idea of stone fill rather than soil fill in the building pad area? If so, please review with the soils engineer and provide a proposal request with specific details. | | | | | | |
| Request Author: David Beiersdorfer, Endeavor Construction | | | | | | |
| Response: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Response Autl | hor: Date: | | | | | |
| 977 State Rou | te 28 • Milford, Ohio 45150 • TEL 513-469-1900 • FAX 513-469-1996 • endeavorconstruction.com | | | | | |