HENDERSON COUNTY DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Year 2010 - 2011

Dr. Thomas L. Richey

Responsible Person

Jinger Carter

Contact Person

Approved Date:

11/29/2010

Members or Committee:

Ben Johnston, Board Chairperson
Greg Hunsaker, Vice-Chairman
Lisa Baird, Board Member
Jon L. Sights, Board Member
Mike Waller, Board Member

2010 - 2011 DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLAN Executive Summary HENDERSON COUNTY

Mission

A Task Force comprised of students, parents, staff, business leaders and community leaders was organized to work on the development and/or review of a mission statement, vision statement and core values for the district. This process was started in February 2006 with final approval by the Henderson County Board of Education in June 2006. The 2005-2006 mission statement for the district was rewritten by the Task Force to reflect student achievement. The group developed a new vision statement for the Henderson County Schools. It gives a sense of direction for the future. It meets the purpose of the Henderson County School System as reflected in the mission statement. A set of core values that allow the district beliefs to become measurable was developed. The values articulate what attitudes, behavior and commitments the district stakeholders must demonstrate in order to make the mission and vision become a reality. The Task Force members were given resources concerning mission and vision beliefs from surveys and ideas solicited from all SBDM council meetings, central office staff, student councils, school staff meetings and principal meetings. Parents and ethnic groups were represented stakeholders in all of the above. The Henderson County Board of Education accepted the draft recommendations from the Task Force at the April 2006 meeting. Open discussion and dialogue was taken by the Board from stakeholders attending the meeting. The Board accepted the work of the Task Force with approval to be given for the 2006-2007 school year at the May 2006 Board meeting. The Board approved a new logo and vision statement, "Preparing Students to Succeed Globally" at the August 2008 Board meeting. A Stakeholder group consisting of parents, community members, district administration, and teacher and administrative representation from each school met on November 3, 2010 to further review the District Improvement Plan. The meeting was facilitated by Jinger D. Carter, Director of Accountability and Assessment. At this meeting the group reviewed each school's improvement plan, then completed a table group activity. The activity asked the group to list similarities between the schools plans. The group was then to answer the following questions: • What are the common areas that the district can support? What are areas from specific schools that need district support? • What are activities at the district level to support common needs? The group then broke into three groups: Academic Performance, Learning Environment and Efficiency. These groups reviewed the Priority Need, Goals and Activities from the 2009-10 DIP. The groups revised, added, and edited these portions as well as brainstormed activities that the district could facilitate to achieve the common goal of assuring all of our students are college and career ready. Information gathered at this meeting aided in the further revision of the current document.

Needs Assessment

The plan was developed by using data from Kentucky's Standards and Indicators for School Improvement (SISI). This plan is written to include federal guidelines – NCLB and KCMP, state guidelines – Senate Bill 168, free and reduced lunch data, SACS-CASI reports from district and schools, NCLB AYP and KCCT data, all current SIP components and culture survey data. Internal data sources such as individual classroom data, Inifinite Campus/SWIS data (behavior, attendance, etc.), NWEA MAP data, common assessments, culture/school climate surveys from staff and students, walkthrough data, and numerous other data at the school and district level was also used. Did the committee use a Needs Assessment process aligned with Kentucky's Standards and Indicators for School Improvement? Priority Needs, Causes, Goals and Objectives were developed at the district level using needs assessment data from sources already listed, as well as committees, instructional teams at the central office, principals and Board input. Did the committee identify substantive achievement gaps, set gap targets, and adopt its time schedule for closing the gaps? Achievement gaps, gap targets and the time frame for closing the gap are included within the DIP. These are denoted by the Senate Bill 168 (SB 168) notation. Did the committee review drafts of the components? A review of the draft of this plan was conducted by central office instructional team, principals, community stakeholder group and the Henderson County Board of Education. Did the committee review estimates for costs? Review of the financial estimates is considered non-applicable in most cases except for federal monies. Was the plan presented to the public? The plan was presented to the public through the November Board of Education meeting, district website and a notice was sent to media partners. A Stakeholder meeting was held in November that included parents, teachers, administrators, SBDM and community members. When did the board officially adopt the plan? The plan was officially adopte

Goals

HENDERSON COUNTY

The Henderson County School District Improvement Plan focuses on students mastering and applying knowledge in math and reading skills. The plan was developed around the Standards and Indicators for School Improvement (SISI) document. Therefore, it is arranged in three separate sections – Standards 1-2-3 – Academic Performance; Standards 4-5-6 – Learning Environment; and Standards 7-8-9 – Efficiency. All the school improvement plans in the district indicated reading and math were areas of significant need. This is also addressed in the district plan. The Learning Environment portion addresses behavioral and academic expectations which are measured by cultural surveys, attendance records, enforcement of rules and regulations in a consistent manner, and parent/staff communication. The last section included in the plan pertains to Efficiency. This component looks to increasing leadership focus on instruction and student learning and organizational efficiency. It emphasizes monitoring and providing assistance to staff to assure a more rigorous curriculum and implementation of multiple instructional strategies to enhance student learning. The district did not meet Reading or Math AYP goals for Students with Disability in 2009-2010, but did meet the Other Academic Indicator (Graduation Rate). The district's previous improvement plan has brought about increases in student achievement. The district expects continued student achievement for at-risk students with the implementation of specific intervention for students below grade level in Reading and Math.

Evaluation

The District Improvement Plan will be monitored and evaluated through Implementation and Impact data forms. Each component's goals, strategies and action steps will be evaluated three times per year. These results will be shared with central office staff, principals, superintendent, Board of Education and through district publications. Additionally the district's network provider—The International Center for Leadership in Education – will monitor progress and implementation.

Stakeholders

Henderson County Board of Education: Ben Johnston, Chairman, Greg Hunsaker, Vice-Chairman, Lisa Baird, Jon L. Sights, Mike Waller; Central Office District Leadership/Instructional Team: Dr. Thomas L. Richey, Superintendent; Marganna Stanley, Assistant Superintendent for Administration; Robin Thacker, Assistant Superintendent of Curriculum & Instruction; Walt Spencer, Assistant Superintendent of Finance; Jinger Carter, Director of Accountability and Assessment; Laura McGrail, Director of Special Education: Linda Payne, Technology Instructional Coordinator: Darrell Daigle, Director of Secondary Education: Jo Swanson, Director of Elementary Education/Early Childhood Education; Aleisha Sheridan, Early Childhood Coordinator; Mike Bruner, Technology Systems Coordinator; James Taylor, Director of Maintenance; Bruce Swanson, Director of Human Resources; Keegan O'Daniel, Director of Transportation; Rhonda Gillham, District Social Worker; Sabrina Jewell, Director of Child Nutrition; Cindy Williams, Community Outreach Coordinator; Leslie Stuen, Gifted/Talented Coordinator; Ellen Redding, Communications Coordinator; Shelia Redmon, Executive Assistant to the Superintendent; Principals: Kim Marshall, Henderson County High School; Scottie Long, North Middle School; Leo Peckenpaugh, South Middle School; Brian Gardner, A.B. Chandler Elementary School; Stephen Steiner, Bend Gate Elementary School; Juli Collins, Cairo Elementary School; Nancy Gibson, East Heights Elementary School; Kasey Farmer, Jefferson Elementary School; Patty Sellers, Niagara Elementary School; Rob Carroll, South Heights Elementary School; Terry Adkins, Spottsville Elementary School; Ryan Reusch, Central Learning Center; Stakeholder group: Doria Bugg, Niagara; Paula Manlove, A.B. Chandler, Heidi Wood, North Middle School; Jodi Pruden, South Middle School: Tracey Williams. Spottsville; Elizabeth Schmitt, Henderson County High School; Rick Hopf, Henderson County High School; Dawn Wheeler, Parent NMS/HCHS; Gwen Courtney, East Heights; Staci Risley, Jefferson; Jill Johnson, Jefferson; Delilah Cobb, Community member; Amy Simpson, Bend Gate; Susan Overton, South Heights; Bethany Watson, Niagara: Brittany Hesley, Bend Gate; Marci Williams, Cairo; Beth Dodson, Jefferson; Lesley Nelson, Spottsville; Stacey Stephen, Central Learning Center; Brad Schneider, Henderson/Henderson Co. Chamber of Commerce.

HENDERSON COUNTY 3

Component: Academic Performance Component Manager: Robin Thacker

Last Updated: 6/8/2011

School: HENDERSON COUNTY

Priority Need:

- * Based on MAP assessment, only 48% of entering Kindergarteners are on grade level in reading.
- * Based on the number of students who remain below grade level in math and reading, continued use of effective intervention strategies is needed. (SB 168, NCLB, KCMP).
- * Due to a lag between Open Response scores and Multiple Choice scores and a change in the state writing program, there is a need to implement the district literacy plan.
- * A variety of researched-based instructional strategies are not used consistently across the district.
- * The district has not yet met the Reading Position Paper goal. As a result, a 90/60 minute reading/math schedule has been implemented.
- * Curriculum is text-book driven in many schools and technology is not always used to enhance the learning environment of classrooms.
- * There is a need for continuous follow-up professional development activities to ensure implementation.
- * District units of study reflect the state's current Core Content for Assessment; however with the adoption of the new national Common Core Standards, units will need to be updated.
- * There are not multiple pathways to rigor and relevance for all students.
- * There is a lack of district-wide consensus of what good instruction looks like.
- * Students must show mastery of technology skills by the end of eighth grade.
- * There is a drop in academic performance at key transition points (preschool-K, 5-6, 8-9, 12-postsecondary).
- * 2010 KCCT On Demand Writing Scores showed the following percentages of students who were Proficient/Distinguished:

5th grade: 70.35% 8th grade: 38.59% 12th grade: 48.08%

Goal:

On KCCT, to achieve the following % proficient students in subgroups:

Reading:
o District: 85
o Elementary: 87
o Middle: 80
o High: 70
Math:

o District: 75 o Elementary: 87 o Middle: 80 o High: 70

On Demand Writing: o Elementary: 80 o Middle: 50 o High: 60

By spring 2011, to achieve the following percentages of students on/above grade level in MAP Reading:

Elementary - 90% Middle - 75% High - 70%

To realize our Reading Position Paper Goal by 2015 where 100% of students will be reading on or above grade level.

By spring 2011, to achieve the following percentages of students on/above grade level in MAP Math:

Elementary - 90% Middle - 75% High - 65%

| Benchmark | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Measure | Date | Projected Data | Actual Data | | | | | | |
| District Reading Proficiency - NCLB | 9/1/2011 | 85 | | | | | | | |
| Elementary Reading Proficiency - NCLB | 9/1/2011 | 87 | | | | | | | |
| Middle Reading Proficiency - NCLB | 9/1/2011 | 80 | | | | | | | |
| High Reading Proficiency - NCLB | 9/1/2011 | 70 | | | | | | | |
| District Math Proficiency - NCLB | 9/1/2011 | 75 | | | | | | | |
| Elementary Math Proficiency - NCLB | 9/1/2011 | 87 | | | | | | | |
| Middle Math Proficiency - NCLB | 9/1/2011 | 80 | | | | | | | |
| High Math Proficiency - NCLB | 9/1/2011 | 70 | | | | | | | |
| Elementary MAP Reading On Grade Level | 5/30/2011 | 90 | 80 | | | | | | |
| Middle MAP Reading On Grade Level | 5/30/2011 | 75 | 67 | | | | | | |
| High MAP Reading On Grade Level | 5/30/2011 | 70 | 60 | | | | | | |
| Elementary MAP Math On Grade Level | 5/30/2011 | 90 | 73 | | | | | | |
| Middle MAP Math On Grade Level | 5/30/2011 | 75 | 56 | | | | | | |
| High MAP Math On Grade Level | 5/30/2011 | 65 | 54 | | | | | | |
| 5th grade On Demand | 9/1/2011 | 80 | | | | | | | |
| 8th grade On Demand | 9/1/2011 | 50 | | | | | | | |
| 12th grade On Demand | 9/1/2011 | 60 | | | | | | | |

| GS No. | NCLB SB168 | Strategy/Activity | Responsible Person | Start Date | End Date | Cost/Funding | I, IP, NI | Impact |
|-----------|---------------|--|------------------------|---------------|-------------|---|--------------|--|
| 1 | | Provide on going job-embedded professional development activities to emphasize critical and higher order thinking and independent learning in instructional practice, reading strategies in the content areas and Academic Vocabulary in instructional practice. | | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ Title II \$\$ PD \$\$ IDEA | I | Curriculum Specialists have shared research-based best practices for instrucitonal strategies through minilessons in Friday's meetings. Meeting agenda's and minutes reflect action. Curriculum specialists reports provide evidence how these strategies are shared with school staff during team planning. |
| 2 | | Continue to identify research-based instructional strategies to be implemented district wide. | Instructiona I Team | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ PD \$\$ Title I \$\$ IDEA \$\$ Title II | | PD needs assessment was administered in January and a summary shared with district and school administration. A PD plan was created to provide support in areas identified. Preschool is expanding the use of the current curriculum (Creative Curriculum) for instructional strategies in the areas of literacy, math, and social skills. |

| GS No. | NCLB SB168 | Strategy/Activity | Responsible Person | Start Date | End Date | Cost/Funding | I, IP, NI | Impact |
|-----------|---------------|---|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|---|
| 3 | | Instructional leadership cadre will use coaching strategies to increase teacher efficiency and keep the focus on feedback. | Thacker | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ PD \$\$ Title II | I | Instructional Coaching Cadre implemented a school walk-through schedule to provide additional support and professional development for isntrucitonal coaching. Rich TenEyck provided additional training on January 24 in how to merge the ICLE walk-through for Rigor and Relevance and Rutherford's Observation Fieldbook and coaching protocol. Follow-up on feedback will be provided March 22. |
| 4 | | Students identified as performing below grade level (Name and Claim list) will receive intervention through KSI. | Daigle/J.S wanson | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | Middle School RTI monitored in Dec. and Feb. All Senate Bill 130 letters for elementary KSI sent home by Dec. and interventions begun. |
| 5 | | District will monitor implementation of Compass Learning, Read 180,System 44, Headsprout, Failure Free Reading, SRA Corrective Reading, SRA Mastery Reading, SRA Math,Number World, Fast Math, Novel Stars, Math in Focus, Everyday Counts, Aimsweb, Creative Curriculum and ALEKS. Updated training will be provided for new teachers in the use of each program. | Daigle/Swa nson/McGr a | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | Compass, Read 180, System 44 and AimsWeb monitored in middle schools in Dec., Feb., and May. Summary effectiveness reports for 10-11 completed and show increasing student achievement gains. District Read 180 MAP 254 students Totals making catch-up growth 119/47% Totals making a year's growth 147/58% Totals making growth 165/65% Totals on grade level 50/20% District SYS 44 60 students Totals making catch-up growth 29/48% Totals % making a year's growth 31/52% Totals making growth 37/62% Totals on grade level 2/3% |
| 6 | | Through ESS daytime waivers, ESS after school tutoring, Homework help, additional Reading/Math classes, small group instruction, and possibly Summer School, tutoring will be provided weekly for students who are below grade level. | J.Swanson | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ ESS | | |
| 7 | | Monitor the identification and implementation of intervention strategies (RTI) for at-risk students in reading and math through AIMS Web (K-8). | Instructiona I Team | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | IP | Aims reports were reviewed. Reading coach is monitoring reading placement. Placement of students at the elementary level has been reviewed. Middle School review is in progress. |
| 8 | | Formative district and school common assessments will be administered to monitor curriculum implementation, inform instruction, and measure achievement. | Instructiona I Team | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | DCA has been implemented and student work analyzed. School common assessments have been implemented and analyzed during team planning sessions with curriculum specialists. District data (MAP) is being utilized by preschool to determine effectiveness of program implementation. |
| 9 | | District Math Cadre will develop and implement district- wide tools and resources for math instruction. | Daigle/Tha cker | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ PD \$\$ Title II | I | The Math Cadre created an improvement plan and working toward its completion. Rigor and Relevance charts have been created to guide mathematical questioning and a common ORQ rubric is being piloted. Curriculum alignment work started last week of May with unit bundling K-12 in math. Math Cadre will continue in 2011-12. |
| 10 | | Data from analysis of student work will be used to inform instruction and assessment. This process will also identify next steps. | Principals/ Curr.Spec | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | The preschool is analyzing pre and post data to determine effectiveness of current program and identifying areas in need of growth. |
| 11 | | Implement a district literacy program that meets the requirements of Senate Bill 1. | Daigle/J.S wanson | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | 1 | Literacy Program adopted by BOE at January meeting. |

| GS No. | NCLB SB168 | Strategy/Activity | Responsible Person | Start Date | End Date | Cost/Funding | I, IP, NI | Impact |
|-----------|---------------|--|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|---|--------------|---|
| 12 | | Implement computer literacy plan and assessment that ensures a foundation of skills prior to entry of sixth grade. | Payne/J.S wanson | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding \$\$ Title IID | IP | Skills checklists are posted on web for use with all students annually; checklists are also created for online data entry by students at year's end. |
| 13 | | Assess status of and develop intervention plan (KSI) for high school students performing below grade level on EPAS benchmarks. | Daigle/J.S wanson | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | | |
| 14 | | Special Education program (with emphasis on coteaching model) will be analyzed to determine PD and support needed district-wide. | McGrail | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ IDEA | IP | Ongoing process as PD needs evolve. |
| 15 | | RAISE committee will plan and implement strategies to ensure post-secondary readiness for all students by 2015. | Richey/Sta nley | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | IP | Continuous, on-going process, RAISE meetings continue to be held monthly as a group and individually for monitoring. |
| 16 | | Data talks with each of the schools to share data findings and various reports to each school's administrative team. | Carter | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | IP | Data has been shared via email, district meetings, principal and curriculum specialist meetings; however individual school visits have not taken place. |
| 17 | | Implement and monitor the District Transition Plan to include additional strategies to reduce loss of academic performance between each and every grade level. | Daigle/Stan ley | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | ı | Transition committee met Dec. 8. Revised plan approved at March board meeting. District K-5 Home Visit Blitz is being implemented July 2011 as part of the plan. |
| 18 | | Include writing plans in school literacy plans. | Daigle | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | Literacy Program adopted by BOE at January meeting. SBDM Writing Policies due for review Feb. 18. |
| 19 | | Provide professional development on writing process, on-demand writing, writing to publish, writing to learn and writing to demonstrate learning. | Thacker | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ PD \$\$ IDEA \$\$ Title II \$\$ Title I | I | Two curriculum specialists were sent to training and responsible for creating mini lessons to share during the Friday meeting for others to share at their schools. |
| 20 | | Examine current writing program/practices. | Writing Cadre | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | IP | Literacy Program adopted by BOE at January meeting. SBDM Writing Policies due for review Feb. 18. |
| 21 | | Increase writing opportunities for students through the implementation of the K-12 portfolio. | Writing Cadre | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ PD \$\$ Title I \$\$ Title II | I | Literacy Program adopted by BOE at January meeting. SBDM Writing Policies due for review Feb. 18. |
| 22 | | Create a technology cadre to increase the use of technology as learning tools. | Payne | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ Title IID \$\$ KETS | NI | Will start 2001-2012 school year. |
| 23 | | Create Program Review Cadres for Practical Living/Career Studies and Arts & Humanities. | Carter | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ PD \$\$ Title II | I | Cadre started Spring 2011. 4 days of Professional Development occurred in May. Groups have reviewed document, aligned curriculum and activities. Next step is to plan implementation process for 2011-12. |

| | NCLB SB168 | Strategy/Activity | Responsible Person | Start Date | End Date | Cost/Funding | I, IP, NI | Impact |
|----|---------------|--|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|--|--------------|--|
| 24 | | Provide professional development on EPAS. This will also include elementary so that they can increase understanding of Duke Talent Search program. | Thacker | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ PD \$\$ Gifted and Talented | | Due to SB 1 roll-out, this activity was moved down the priority list and not completed. With EOC being with ACT, this will need to be completed 11-12 school year. |
| 25 | | Align district curriculum with Senate Bill 1 in Math and Language Arts. | Thacker | 3/1/2011 | 6/30/2011 | \$\$ PD \$\$ Title II | | Math curriculum with the Kentucky Core Academic Standards(KCAS). Literacy Standards were embeded within Social Studies and Science courses at all gradelevels. Support programs reviewed and aligned curriculum to support program reviews as outlined in SB 1. See agendas and minutes for supporting evidence. |

Component: Efficiency

Component Manager: Jinger Carter

Last Updated: 6/8/2011

School: HENDERSON COUNTY

Priority Need:

Lack of widespread positive publicity for the district.

Ongoing community awareness on need for early education, literacy and numeracy.

Due to increased use of intervention systems, there is a need for data to be current and provided in a speedy manner.

Lack of parent involvement impacts school/district efficiency.

Based on Scholastic reviews, Instructional Team observations and MAP data, schools must continue to address the process of planning, implementing, evaluating and refining the instructional strategies to enhance student learning.

Schools' Improvement Plans (SIPs) are enacted. The district needs to continue supporting the school's efforts towards increasing student achievement.

Goal:

Assure RAISE initiatives are 100% implemented (i.e. common lesson plans, walkthroughs, analyzing student work, district common assessment). Doing so will lead to the accomplishment of Board Goal #1 which states that by 2015 all students will be college or career ready.

Change stakeholder perception of public schools so that all know that Henderson County Schools' vision is for 100% of our students to be college and career ready.

Organizational efficiency will be sustained by monitoring and supporting the schools in their efforts to increase student achievement to the district goal of meeting 2011 NCLB AYP targets (Reading: 85; Math: 75). Data will be the basis for instructional changes, staff development and evaluation of programs.

Create a data system that will produce a comprehensive academic profile for each student. This will include information about student's attendance, discipline, MAP scores, KCCT scores, ACT scores, Aimsweb scores, etc.

| Benchmark | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Measure | Date | Projected Data | Actual Data | | | | | | | |
| District Reading Proficiency target | 9/1/2011 | 85 | | | | | | | | |
| District Math Proficiency target | 9/1/2011 | 75 | | | | | | | | |
| Meet all AYP targets | 9/1/2011 | 100 | | | | | | | | |
| Quarterly Report Analyzing Student Work, Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans | 12/1/2010 | 12 | 12 | | | | | | | |
| Quarterly Report Analyzing Student Work, Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans | 3/31/2011 | 12 | 12 | | | | | | | |
| Quarterly Report Analyzing Student Work, Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans | 5/31/2011 | 12 | 12 | | | | | | | |
| Administrators 70% Instructional Time | 5/30/2011 | 70 | | | | | | | | |
| District Common Assessment MP1 | 10/18/2010 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| District Common Assessment MP2 | 1/10/2011 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| District Common Assessment MP3 | 3/14/2011 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Data System | 5/30/2011 | 1 | 0.5 | | | | | | | |

| GS NCLB No. SB168 | Strategy/Activity | Responsible Person | Start Date | End Date | Cost/Funding | I, IP, NI | Impact |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------|

| | NCLB SB168 | Strategy/Activity | Responsible Person | Start Date | End Date | Cost/Funding | I, IP, NI | Impact |
|----|---------------|--|-----------------------|---------------|-------------|--|--------------|--|
| 1 | | Publications Team will serve as a centralized information source for gathering and disseminating school district information. | Redding | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | Publications Team continues to review documents for the district prior to disimination to the public |
| 2 | | A Council of Councils meeting will be held on November 29, 2010. | Thacker | 11/29/2010 | 11/29/2010 | \$0 No Funding | I | Meeting was conducted. Agenda and program document for evidence. |
| 3 | | Implementation and Impact (I and I) checks will be submitted and reviewed for impact on student achievement. To reflect current MAP data, dates due will revolve around the close of MAP testing windows: 2/1/11, 5/31/11. To close out the 10-11 SIP, once KCCT data arrives, the final goals and benchmarks will be recorded and due on 10/1/2011. | Carter | 12/1/2010 | 10/1/2011 | \$0 No Funding | IP | First and second I & I checks submitted. |
| 4 | | Implement a mandatory Substitute Training Program to ensure consistency and efficiency within each school. Monthly trainings shall include lesson plan format, Math in Focus (elementary level), KyCID (positive behavior support system) strategies and instructional strategies to be used in classrooms. Representatives/administrators from each school should be present to share school specific information and assist with the training. | B. Swanson | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | Training sessions are held for each substitute applicant who will be hired. The length of the interview is around 30-45 minutes and covers many topices. The template for Elementary/Secondary Lesson plans, information on Math in Focus, and information on KYCID are distributed to every substitute applicant and discussed. All questions about substitute teaching are answered. School representatives are invited to attend. |
| 5 | | To increase widespread positive publicity in the community, the Communication/Public Relations plan will be implemented. This plan will further educate our stakeholders on district initiatives such as literacy, numeracy, attendance and positive behavior support system. | Redding | 12/1/2010 | 5/31/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | Public Relations plan is completed and aspects of the plan are being implemented. The is a billboard campaign ongoin, the "did You Know" campaign with the newspaper is complete and the local radio station is playing HCS PSA's during athletic events. |
| 6 | | Continue to support the community awareness campaign on early childhood education. | Sheridan | 12/1/2010 | 5/31/2011 | \$\$ IDEA \$\$ Title I - Parent Invol. | IP | A communication plan was developed and implemented. Currently identifying areas to continue more communication efforts. |
| 7 | | District leadership will support the system school leadership uses to monitor school-adopted curriculum and its impact on instructional capacity and student outcomes. This will occur through classroom walkthrough observations, analyzing student work school reports, and common assessment data. | Thacker | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | District schedule of school walk-throughs and SBDM meeting attendance. |
| 8 | | MAP, Infinite Campus, SWIS, KCCT and NCLB Data will be utilized to produce timely reports to update data notebooks for staff and administrators regarding academic and non-academic information. | Carter | 12/1/2010 | 5/31/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | Data updated and continously communicated with each assessment administrator. |
| 9 | | To assure SACS/CASI accreditation requirements are met and to review school programs, staff will conduct Scholastic Reviews as determined by the 5 year calendar. Schools not making AYP will be included in the process. | Carter | 12/1/2010 | 5/31/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | South Middle School and Henderson County High School Scholastic Reviews conducted August and September 2010. |
| 10 | | Prepare documentation and gather evidence for SACS/CASI district reaccreditation that will occur Feb. 28 - March 2, 2011. | Carter | 12/1/2010 | 3/2/2011 | \$0 No Funding | ı | SAR submitted January 2011. QAR visit occurred Feb. 28-March 2. District re-accredited. |

| GS No. | Strategy/Activity | Responsible Person | Start Date | End Date | Cost/Funding | I, IP, NI | Impact |
|-----------|--|------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|---|
| 11 | New teacher cadre will have the following format: *A new teacher induction facilitated by the district in July. *School-based support for new certified personnel will continue throughout the school year. | Instructiona I Team | 7/1/2010 | 5/31/2011 | \$\$ PD \$\$ Title II | I | Agenda and evaluations for evidence. |
| 12 | School leadership will submit monthly reports to RAISE committee regarding RAISE goals (weekly lesson plans, walkthroughs). | RAISE/Sta nley | 12/1/2010 | 5/31/2011 | \$\$ PD | I | Schools are are being monitored quarterly through conversation during school visits and review of documentation. |
| 13 | Support community efforts to increase student academic achievement. These include school specific and district initiatives including but not limited to: Lead 2 Read, Colonels 2 College, Project Graduation, Books 4 Babies, Imagination Library, Veterans Day, KIDs HOPE, Grandparents program, etc. | Williams | 12/1/2010 | 5/31/2011 | \$\$ No Funding | | All programs are advertised to encourage community involvement. Without the support of our community these programs would not be successful, thus that would decrease our student achievement levels. Anytime you have adult participation and partnering with the schools and various programs, you will see greater levels of student achievement. It might be gradual but it is a fact that parental/adult involvement helps students to be successful. |
| 14 | Explore programs that can create a comprehensive data system. Also explore feasibility of Infinite Campus serving this function. | Bruner/Cart er | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ KETS | IP | Initial process has begun. District using a free data warehousing platform as a pilot for this. |

Component: Learning Environment

Component Manager: Marganna Stanley

Last Updated: 6/13/2011

School: HENDERSON COUNTY

Priority Need:

2009-10 Secondary attendance was 93.74%

2009-10 Elementary attendance was 95.71%

Discipline incidents are declining showing the need to keep the KYCID,

KSI and SAM programs.

Safe Schools audits indicate school entrance security is weak.

Goal:

Every school will set a goal of 96% attendance or higher.

Review staff absenteeism data to establish a baseline for improvement. Increase positive student behavior as measured by a 10% reduction in office referrals.

| Benchmark | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Measure | Date | Projected Data | Actual Data | | | | | | |
| Bend Gate Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 95.91 | | | | | | |
| Cairo Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 96.82 | | | | | | |
| Chandler Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 95.98 | | | | | | |
| East Heights Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 96.14 | | | | | | |
| Jefferson Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 95.77 | | | | | | |
| Niagara Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 96.21 | | | | | | |
| South Heights Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 95.48 | | | | | | |
| Spottsville Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 95.88 | | | | | | |
| North Middle Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 95.15 | | | | | | |
| South Middle Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 95.46 | | | | | | |
| HCHS Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 94.15 | | | | | | |
| CLC Avg. Daily Attendance | 5/30/2011 | 96 | 88.74 | | | | | | |

| GS No. | NCLB SB168 | Strategy/Activity | Responsible Person | Start Date | End Date | Cost/Funding | I, IP, NI | Impact |
|-----------|---------------|--|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| 1 | | Continue to implement KYCID, RTI and SAM programs to increase positive behavior. | Stanley/Dai gle | 12/1/2010 | 5/31/2011 | \$\$ SIG | _ | Programs ongoing. |
| 2 | | Research and implement ways to increase building entrance security. Explore possibility of seeking grants to fund such initiatives. | Stanley/Ta ylor/Spenc | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ General Fund | IP | COPS grant was reviewed again this year, but the district would need to match (\$100,000). Location of supervision and supervision expectations have been communicated to building principals. |
| 3 | | Central Office designee will compile Average Monthly Attendance reports and share on a monthly basis at Principal and Board meetings with suggestions for improving attendance. | Stanley | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | Ι | Principals have received attendance percentages on a monthly basis and attendance has been on the board agenda this year. |
| 4 | | Present Safe Schools report to the Henderson County Board of Education and monitor reports from each school's Safe Schools Committee. | Stanley | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | Completed in the Fall of 2010 |
| 5 | | Monitor and improve staff attendance through review of AESOP reports. Also, explore feasibility of providing attendance incentives. | B. Swanson/S tanley | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | _ | We audited our attendance last Fall semester('09-'10) against the current Fall semester ('10-'11) and found our attendance for classified and certified had improved from '09-'10 to '10-'11. The usage of AESOP has helped the employee attendance. |
| 6 | | Implement and use common language when tracking student attendance. Utilize common practice on use of parent notes - especially at secondary level. (i.e. determine if the note is an event or on a per period basis). | Stanley | 12/1/2009 | 5/30/2010 | \$0 No Funding | l | Policy change was made and definitions and procedures established and communicated to schools and parents. |
| 7 | | Reduction of discipline infractions as measured by SWIS data. This will be reviewed at the school level at monthly KyCID/behavior RTI team meetings. | Like/McGra il | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ IDEA | I | Ongoing throughout school year. School and district KyCID/behavior RTI teams met regularly throughout 10-11. |
| 8 | | Attendance hearings will be conducted twice a month at the district office for students with 5 or more unexcused events. | Stanley | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | Completed. Seventeen (17) Attendance hearings were conducted in the Board Room during the 2010-2011 school year. |

Component: Learning Environment

Component Manager: Marganna Stanley

Last Updated: 6/13/2011

School: HENDERSON COUNTY

Priority Need:

There is a lack of consistent:

* communication between teacher/counselor and parents

* parental involvement

Goal:

100% of parents will receive positive contact from their student's school. 90% of all elementary, middle and high school parents will attend a parent-teacher conference either in person or via phone. 100% of all schools will implement parent involvement strategies and parent involvement plans.

| Benchmark | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|----------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Measure | Date | Projected Data | Actual Data | | | | | |
| Schools implementing parent involvement plans & strategies | 6/30/2011 | 12 | | | | | | |
| Parents receiving positive contact | 6/30/2011 | 100 | | | | | | |
| Elementary school parents attending conference | 6/30/2011 | 90 | | | | | | |
| Middle school parents attending conference | 6/30/2011 | 90 | | | | | | |
| High school parents attending conference | 6/30/2011 | 90 | | | | | | |

| GS No. | NCLB SB168 | Strategy/Activity | Responsible Person | Start Date | End Date | Cost/Funding | I, IP, NI | Impact |
|-----------|---------------|---|--------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--|
| 1 | | Investigate and implement innovative strategies to increase parental involvement. | Williams/Li ke | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | IP | It has been very efficient and productive to make personal telephone calls requesting parental involvement and community participation. |
| 2 | | Implement the parent involvement plan to increase parent attendance at conferences, parent informational workshops and volunteerism in the schools. | JSwanson/ Like/Willia | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ Title I | IP | An effort to advertise meetings, conferences and volunteer opportunities continues. Great response for volunteers to assist with DCA and Parent Involvement meeting in February. |
| 3 | | Create an Excel spreadsheet to track parent contacts. Explore the possibility of adding a Parent Contact tab in Infinite Campus. | Williams/Li ke | 12/1/2010 | 5/31/2011 | \$0 No Funding | NI | Activity has not taken place. |
| 4 | | School Administrators will assure all faculty (teachers and administrators) make at least two positive parent phone calls/week so that by the end of the school year all parents will have received a positive communication from the school. This will be documented throught parent contact logs. | Stanley | 12/1/2010 | 5/31/2011 | \$0 No Funding | NI | A tracking sheet has not been established to monitor this activity |
| 5 | | Schools will survey parents two times per year to gain parent insight regarding: teaching, homework, school climate and overall edcucation satisfaction. Survey information will then be submitted to the Communication Coordinator, who will report information to district Leadership team. | Redding | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | Survey completed at the beginning of the year. Data collected, collated and reviewed |
| 6 | | Parents who have children receiving or children newly identified to receive Special Education services will be offered the opportunity to attend a "Special Ed 101" training regarding Special Education law, eligibility, services and defining acronyms commonly used in Special Education. | McGrail/Lik e | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | IP | Have offered the parent training three times during 2010 -11. Sessions were not well attended. No parent attended 1-25-11 session or 4-18-11. |
| 7 | | Parents of newly identified children in grade 3 and parents of beginning 6th graders who receive Gifted and Talented services will be offered the opportunity to attend a "G/T Symposium" training regarding Gifted Education Law, eligibility, and defining multiple service options used in Gifted Education. | Stuen | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ Gifted and Talented | I | Parents are more aware of GT programs and multiple services provided for identified students. |
| 8 | _ | School Administrators will assure faculty make contact with all parents for parent-teacher conferences. | Stanley | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | ΙP | A tracking sheet has not been developed to assure this practice is in place. |

Component: Learning Environment

Component Manager: Marganna Stanley

Last Updated: 6/13/2011

School: HENDERSON COUNTY

Priority Need:

Student achievement scores and teacher performance data from walkthroughs and evaluations do not indicate a consistent culture of high expectations for all students and adults in the district.

The approval rate from parents, students and staff as measured by the district culture survey varies from 50-70%. [Always/often categories from culture survey questions used to determine this statistic.]

The following percentages of KCCT Reading Proficient/Distinguished were observed from the 2010 NCLB AYP report:

READING:

All Students: 81.26

White (Non-Hispanic): 82.48 African-American: 71.12 Free/Reduced Lunch: 75.20 With Disability: 62.52

MATH:

All Students: 69.36

White (Non-Hispanic): 70.67 African-American: 56.88 Free/Reduced Lunch: 64.39 With Disability: 48.62

Goal:

To fully realize the Henderson County Schools vision that all graduating seniors will be post-secondary ready by 2015, the district will develop a culture of high expectations for adults and students as a path to improving student achievement. This will be measured by culture surveys.

The school district will address the diversity of our student population to reduce and/or eliminate achievement gaps in student population groups. The KCCT Reading and Math percentages of Proficient/Distinguished for each group as compared to the total population will show a difference of no more than 5 percent for all of the following federally reported NCLB population groups: Free Reduced lunch, With Disability, African-American and White (Non-Hispanic).

| Benchmark | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------------|-------------|--|--|
| Measure | Date | Projected Data | Actual Data | | |
| District Reading AYP Goal | 9/1/2011 | 85 | | | |
| White | 9/1/2011 | 85 | | | |
| All vs. White | 9/1/2011 | 5 | | | |
| African-American | 9/1/2011 | 85 | | | |
| All vs. African-American | 9/1/2011 | 5 | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch | 9/1/2011 | 85 | | | |
| All vs. Free/Reduced Lunch | 9/1/2011 | 5 | | | |
| With Disability | 9/1/2011 | 85 | | | |
| All vs. With Disability | 9/1/2011 | 5 | | | |
| District Math AYP Goal | 9/1/2011 | 75 | | | |
| White | 9/1/2011 | 75 | | | |
| All vs. White | 9/1/2011 | 5 | | | |
| African-American | 9/1/2011 | 75 | | | |
| All vs. African-American | 9/1/2011 | 5 | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch | 9/1/2011 | 75 | | | |
| All vs. Free/Reduced Lunch | 9/1/2011 | 5 | | | |
| With Disability | 9/1/2011 | 75 | | | |
| All vs. With Disability | 9/1/2011 | 5 | | | |

| GS No. | NCLB SB168 | Strategy/Activity | Responsible Person | Start Date | End Date | Cost/Funding | I, IP, NI | Impact |
|-----------|---------------|--|------------------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 1 | | The Equity Resource Council will meet monthly. | Carter | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | ERC continues to meet monthly. |
| 2 | | Develop Equity policy/procedures at the district level. | ERC | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | IP | Representatives attending diverstity conference to expand knowledge base on identifying areas of growth and strategies for implementation. |
| 3 | | Analyze and monitor equity issues of each school. (i.e. Name and Claim with interventions provided, KCMP, MAP, KCCT, monthly reports for schools with significant achievement gaps, mentoring updates, extra-curricular, co-curricular activities, etc.) | Daigle/Swa nson/McGr a | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | IP | Ongoing process throughout the school year. |
| 4 | | Increase cultural competency in the curriculum. Integrate culturally competent instructional strategies into the curriculum. | ERC | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | IP | Need for identification of where each school rates on the cultural competency continuum. |
| 5 | | Each school will infuse equity practices into its school improvement plans to focus on achievement gaps and overall student achievement. | Carter | 11/1/2010 | 12/1/2010 | \$0 No Funding | IP | Each school has identified gaps and addressed improvement strategies to increase student achievement. |
| 6 | | A cadre of trainers will share cultural competency and instructional equity practices to be infused into school culture and curriculum. | ERC | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | IP | Need for determination of a tool to determine needs for each school culture. |
| 7 | | The district will continue a school based mentoring program for identified students. | ERC/Willia ms | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$0 No Funding | I | Monthly updates on mentoring at ERC meetings. Mentors continue to meet with students assigned to them. |
| 8 | | Schools who have not made Adequate Yearly Progress for three consecutive years should receive training in school culture and climate. | ERC | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ CSIF \$\$ General Fund | IP | Schools receiving training. |
| 9 | | The district will actively recruit and retain staff of diverse backgrounds in all positions to better reflect the diversity of the total community. | B. Swanson | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ General Fund | I | The HR Office continues to attend college recruiting teacher fairs in the Tri-State area. We continue to recruit employees from diverse ethnic groups to better our teacher diversity. |
| 10 | | Implement the revised English Language Learners Plan to improve achievement of students who are non- native English speakers. | Daigle | 12/1/2009 | 5/30/2010 | \$0 No Funding | ı | Revised and will be adopted at Feb. BOE meeting for immediate implementation. |
| 11 | | All schools will implement a comprehensive behavior RTI system to include programs such as Check & Connect, behavior charts, etc. | Like/McGra il | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ Title IIA | I | Behavior RTI systems are in place at all elementary and secondary schools. |
| 12 | | All schools will promote the importance in their students the vision of post-secondary education as an attainable goal through increased opportunities (i.e. college tours, college speakers, college spirit days, ILP, etc.) | Stanley | 12/1/2010 | 5/30/2011 | \$\$ Title I \$\$ General Fund | IP | Review of activities in SIP, family night activities, Title I, 21st Century |