

DISCUSSION ITEMS FOR POSSIBLE PROPOSALS TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE MEMBERSHIP TO AMEND BYLAW 6, TRANSFER RULE

AMENDMENT ONE

Rule intro revised to reflect:

- The language should clarify that for any waiver provision, the facts that resulted in the waiver must not change, or the student is immediately ineligible and a new ruling is to be requested.

This is currently stated in a roundabout way in the rule, but can be clarified.

Revise the Bonafide Change Exception to re-state

- The burden is on the sending school to object to the facts presented by the receiving school
- Timeline: 7 business days for sending school
- Consider alignment with requirements for public school enrollment (i.e., DPP guidance)
- Consider the applicability and comparison with other states around the current requirements regarding the former residence
- If the status of former residence is an issue, then the burden must be on the sending school to “contest” through written evidence the submission of the receiving school.

Amend Section 3 to permit Section 3 to be applied in a case involving Due Process Waiver, and to hard-code code restriction that giving lessons or training is, in fact acting as a coach for the purpose of Section 3

AMENDMENT TWO

- Add waiver request from schools where other exceptions are acknowledged that they do not exist, but requesting a due process waiver and providing detailed reason. Examples of this waiver would be:
 - Documented Mental Health Impact
 - Returning to Home District from an in-state school
 - Documented Financial Impact on Education

AMENDMENT THREE

The applicability of transfer restrictions would not apply until a student has participated at the varsity level in the 10th grade.