Hopkins County Schools Comprehensive District Improvement Plan (CDIP) ~ 2022-2023

1: State Assessment Results in reading and mathematics

Goal 1 (State your reading and math goal.): By 2026, Hopkins County Schools will increase the percentage of students performing proficient or distinguished on the KSA in Reading to 68% in elementary school, 50% in middle school, and 55% in high school. HCS will increase the percentage of students performing proficient or distinguished on the KSA in Mathematics to 56% in Elementary Schools, 45% in

middle school, and 45% in high school. HCS will increase the average ACT Reading & Mathematics composite score on the ACT to a 20.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| Objective 1 By Spring 2023, elementary students will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished on MAP reading from 39.56 to 49.56%. By Spring 2023, middle students will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished on MAP reading from 40.92 to 42%. By Spring 2023, high students will increase the percentage of students will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished on MAP reading from 39% to 42%. | KCWP 2: Design & Deliver Instruction KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, & Apply Data | Implementation of K-8 common curriculum - Into Reading/Literature and Envisions/Pearson SAAVAS math Realignment of district-wide common curriculum & pacing in all preschool, elementary and middle schools for core curriculum in Reading (Into Reading/Literature) & Math (EnVision/Pearson SAAVAS) Use of KSA, BRIGANCE, MAP, TSG, ESGI and/or classroom assessment data to provide student specific interventions & make instructional decisions through PD, PLC & PACs in all schools and with the KERA preschool program. Small group intervention through classroom teachers, school support staff, CSRT teachers, Title I staff, and Interventionists to target students close to proficiency and to close gaps in instructional skills | NWEA MAP Data and Projected Proficiency Scores for Fall, Winter and Spring KSA Data Brigance Data ESGI Data NWEA Data TSG Data Classroom Performance Data PLC and PAC Agendas KSI Data | Elementary students increased the proficient and distinguished score on MAP Reading to 52.5%, surpassing the goal by 3%. Middle school decreased in proficiency on Spring Map Reading, with a final proficient and distinguished combined score of 38.17% High school decreased in proficiency on Spring Map Reading, with a final proficient and distinguished combined score of 31.50% Next year, goals to improve performance in our middle and high schools will be addressed with explicit instruction in NWEA data strategies and improving MTSS supports. | ESSR Funds Title I funds Title V funds General fund Preschool funds PPG Funds Idea B funds |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Objective 2 By Spring 2023, elementary students will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished on MAP math from 40.08 to 49%. By Spring 2023, middle students will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished on MAP math from 25 to 35%. By Spring 2023, high students will increase the percentage of students scoring proficient or distinguished on MAP math from 26% to35%. | KCWP 2: Design & Deliver Instruction KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, & Apply Data | Maintenance of 5 Star Preschool Program that addresses all preschool curriculum and aligns with KAS kindergarten entry Use of common supplemental resources for skills-based instruction (CARS/STARS & CAMS/STAMS K-8; BRIGANCE & TSG for PS) Computer Assisted Instruction through Odysseyware, Study Island & Exact Path for differentiated instruction and tiered interventions Computer Assisted Instruction through NWEA-SI individualized learning pathways for remediation or acceleration for grades 2-8 ESS instruction to target struggling learners with research based instruction and strategies Implementation of school-led KSI process that ensures all students' needs are identified and addressed and parents/guardians are informed of needs, services and progress Use of classroom performance data, | SI - NWEA Pathway Usage Reports Exact Path Usage Reports and Progress Data BRIGANCE, MAP, & Mastery Prep Screeners with Analysis School-led Student Support Team Meetings ESS Intervention Progress Data Exact Path Usage, Growth, and Performance Reports for grades 2-8 School KSI/RTI Data | Elementary students increased the proficient and distinguished score on MAP Math to 52%, surpassing the goal by 3%. Middle school decreased in proficiency on Spring Map Math, with a final proficient and distinguished combined score of 19.5% High school decreased in proficiency on Spring Map Math, with a final proficient and distinguished combined score of 23% Next year, goals to improve performance in our middle and high schools will be addressed with explicit instruction in NWEA data strategies and improving MTSS supports. ESS instruction will continue to be utilized to reach struggling students. The district will use RL Title V funds to provide supplemental programming to support needs as determined by MAP data and a Title V needs assessment. | Preschool funds PPG Funds Title I funds Title V funds General fund ESS funds ESSR funds Idea B funds |
| | | BRIGANCE, screeners, MAP, Mastery Prep, health information, and parent input to determine intervention needs | Special Education Eligibility Reports | We do not have ACT composite data yet for a formal measure of this goal. However, both schools engaged in all | |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|----------------|
| | | Implementation of ACT Test Prep | Sign-In Sheets for | activities listed, including | Title IV funds |
| Objective 3: By Spring 2023, | KCWP 2: Design & Deliver | Sessions for 11th Grade Students at | training | administering the ACT to sophomores, | General fund |
| high students will increase | Instruction | both High Schools utilizing Mastery | | utilizing Mastery Prep, utilizing ESS, | Title V funds |
| the composite score average | | Prep ACT Curriculum for all 4 ACT | Usage Reports for Online | offering ACT tutoring and review, and | ESSR funds |
| from 17.3 to 18. | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, & | tested areas | test prep materials | beginning early to prepare students | ESS funds |
| | Apply Data | Training for Admin Country long and | Mock ACT results | early with Access to Algebra through | Title I funds |
| | | Training for Admin, Curriculum and Teachers on Mastery Prep ACT | I WIOCK ACT TESUITS | Advance Kentucky, to prepare the | |
| | | Curriculum | School-led Student | students for proficiency in the | |
| | | Carriculani | Support Team Meetings | assessed areas of the ACT. | |
| | | Utilization of practice ACT materials in | Support ream meetings | | |
| | | core content areas (SI; Mastery Prep; | ESS Intervention Progress | | |
| | | ACT Online Academy; Odysseyware | Data | | |
| | | ACT prep) | | | |
| | | | Odysseyware Data | | |
| | | Practice ACT in late-Winter at both | _ | | |
| | | High Schools for 11th graders | School KSI/RTI Data | | |
| | | | Listen and a Tale Base | | |
| | | Computer Assisted Instruction through | Intervention Tab Data | | |
| | | Odysseyware for differentiated instruction and tiered interventions | Special Education | | |
| | | Instruction and tiered interventions | Eligibility Reports | | |
| | | | Lingibility Reports | | |
| | | ESS instruction to target struggling | | | |
| | | learners with research based | | | |
| | | instruction and strategies | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Implementation of school-led KSI | | | |
| | | process that ensures all students' | | | |
| | | needs are identified and addressed and | | | |
| | | parents/guardians are informed of | | Hopkins County Schools offers | |
| | | needs, services and progress | | extensive supports to students in | |
| | | | | mental health and social emotional | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Objectives 1, 2, 3, continued | KCWP 2: Design & Deliver | Use of classroom performance data, | District Staffing & | learning. Our district has partnered all | Title I funds |
| | Instruction | MAP, Mastery Prep, health information, | Funding Policies & | year with Mountain Comprehensive | Title II funds |
| | | and parent input to determine | Procedures | Care to provide supports to students, | Title III funds |
| | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, & | intervention needs | | but we are proud next year to employ | Title IV funds |
| | Apply Data | l., ., ., | MUNIS Reports for State | our own mental health counselors | Title V funds |
| | | Identify individual needs of schools and | & Federal Grants | through the support of grant funding | ESSR funds |
| | | students and allocate human and fiscal resources to address needs and reduce | State & Federal Grant | to give students the highest level of | Preschool funds |
| | | barriers to learning in accordance with | Applications & Required | access to mental health supports. | PPG Funds |
| | | State and Federal program | Reporting | FRYSC continued to provide extensive | FRYSC funding |
| | | specifications, approved grant | Reporting | supports to students as well, as | Idea B funds |
| | | applications, and ensuring | School & District Needs | documented by FRYSC survey data, | General Fund |
| | | funds/resources are used to | Assessments | council meeting minutes, and | |
| | | supplement not supplant where | | budgetary data. | |
| | | applicable | Administrator Meeting | | |
| | | | Agendas and Notes | A needs assessment has been | |
| | | Offer supports in mental and emotional | | conducted to continue to provide the | |
| | | health to support the overall needs and | Short-Cycle Planning, | most in tune supports to the schools | |
| | | the growth of the child | Survey Results, Stoplight | from the district level. This needs | |
| | | Utilize Preschool Grant, IDEA B, Title I, | Reports | assessment, along with data, will be | |
| | | II, III, IV and V grants and local funds to | Title I, Title III, KERA | used to provide resources and | |
| | | address identified needs | Preschool & FRYSC | supports to schools from the district | |
| | | address identified freeds | Family Engagement | level. | |
| | | Implement Studer Pulse Surveys, | Activities and | | |
| | | Short-cycle planning and data | Expenditures | Family input was sought in making | |
| | | monitoring quarterly in Administrator's | | improvements to our schools through | |
| | | meetings and at District Leadership | Studer Parent | Studer, Title I surveys, Title III | |
| | | Meetings | Engagement/Pulse | outreach, preschool surveys, PBIS | |
| | | | Survey Results | surveys, and through the new logic | |
| | | Utilize Title I, Title III, FRYSC, Studer | | teams that will support the | |
| | | Parent Engagement Surveys, Studer | Behavior data | implementation of the new strategic | |
| | | Pulse Surveys, KERA Preschool Parent | Family Survey data | plan for Hopkins County Schools. All | |
| | | Surveys, PBIS, and community | PBIS agenda & data | plan for hopkins county schools. All | |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|----------|--|--------------------|---|---------|
| | | resources to engage families in the learning process, identify needs, and reduce barriers to learning to support academic success for all students | | of this information is utilized to help make improvements to support growth and change in Hopkins County Schools. | |
| | | | | | |

2: State Assessment Results in science, social studies and writing

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): By 2025, Hopkins County Schools will increase the percentage of students performing proficient/distinguished on separate academic

| ndicators (Science, Social Studies & Writing) by 5% at the elementary, middle and high school levels. | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding | | |
| Objective 1 Elementary: Increase the percent of elementary students scoring proficient or distinguished on KSA Science from 36% in 2022 to 40% in 2023. Middle: Increase the percent of middle school students scoring proficient or distinguished on KSA Science from 19% in 2022 to 25% in 2023. High School: Increase the percent of high school students scoring proficient or distinguished on KSA Science from 18% in 2022 to 25% in 2023. | KCWP 2: Design & Deliver Instruction KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, & Apply Data | Use of district-wide common curriculum & pacing in all elementary and middle schools for Science (Dimensions) Selection of new K-8 science curriculum for deeper and more thorough connections to new standards District-wide Teacher-led Grade level/content meetings Course Syllabi with Standards Alignment Content PLCs & Departmental Meetings Science & STEM activities & field trips | MAP Science Growth for grades 4 & 7 from Fall to Spring Assessment Agendas & Sign-In Sheets KSA Science Assessment Scores KSA Science Assessment Scores Agendas & Sign-In Sheets | State data has not been released yet to have firm information on whether or not this goal has been met. However, our schools implemented the strategies and activities that were outlined for meeting the goal, including utilizing the current science curriculum and pacing guide, involving students in science and STEM through field trips and project based learning, and exploring needs for a possible purchase of new science curriculum in the future. | General Fund Title IV Title II | | |
| Objective 2 Elementary: Increase the percent of elementary students scoring proficient or distinguished on KSA Social Studies from 46% in 2022 to 50% in 2023. Middle: Increase the percent of middle school students scoring proficient or distinguished on | KCWP 2: Design & Deliver Instruction KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, & Apply Data | Review and revise district pacing guides for Social Studies K-8 Implementation of K-8 Social Studies Pacing Guides Purchase of Social Studies Resources Social Studies embedded performances and field trips | Agendas & Sign In Sheets KSA Social Studies Scores Revised Pacing Guide PO and Email | State data has not been released yet to have firm information on whether or not this goal has been met. However, our schools implemented the strategies and activities that were outlined for meeting the goal, including utilizing the current social studies resources and pacing guide, involving students in social studies field trips and project based learning, | General Fund Title IV Title V Title II | | |

Goal 2 (State your science, social studies, and writing goal.): By 2025, Hopkins County Schools will increase the percentage of students performing proficient/distinguished on separate academic

indicators (Science, Social Studies & Writing) by 5% at the elementary, middle and high school levels.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------|
| KSA Social Studies from 41% in 2022 to 45% in 2023. High School: Increase the percent of high school students scoring proficient or distinguished on KSA Social Studies from 35% in 2022 to 40% in 2023. | | | | and exploring needs for a possible purchase of new social studies supplemental resources in the future. | |
| Objective 3 Elementary: Increase the percent of elementary students scoring proficient or distinguished on KSA on-demand writing from 48% in 2022 to 50% in 2023. Middle: Increase the percent of middle school students scoring proficient or distinguished on KSA on-demand writing from 32% in 22022 to 35% in 2023. High School: Increase the percent of high school students scoring proficient or distinguished on KSA on-demand writing from 36% in 2022 to 40% in 2023. | KCWP 2: Design & Deliver Instruction KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, & Apply Data | District-wide Teacher-led Grade level/content meetings Vertical & horizontal curriculum alignment with course content and standards for 9-12 to better align with assessment and standards expectations for shared writing responsibility across the curriculum | KSA On-Demand Writing Scores Agendas & Sign-In Sheets Course Syllabi with Standards Alignment Content PLCs & Departmental Meeting Agendas and Sign In Sheets | State data has not been released yet to have firm information on whether or not this goal has been met. However, our schools implemented the strategies and activities that were outlined for meeting the goal, including utilizing the current pacing guides, increasing opportunities for authentic writing, and making writing a focus in PLCs. | General Fund Title II Title I |

3: Achievement Gap

Districts are not required to establish long term achievement gap goals; however, districts must establish yearly targets (objectives).

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Objective 1 Elementary Increase the percentage of elementary students with disabilities scoring proficient or distinguished on KSA Reading from 40% in Spring 2022 to 45% in Spring 2023 and on KSA Math from 31% in Spring 2022 to 35% | KCWP 2: Design & Deliver Instruction KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, & Apply Data | Implementation of K-8 common curriculum - Into Reading/Literature and Envisions/Pearson SAAVAS math Realignment of district-wide common curriculum & pacing in all preschool, elementary and middle schools for core curriculum in Reading (Into Reading/Literature) & Math | NWEA MAP Data and Projected Proficiency Scores for Fall, Winter and Spring | State data from the 23 KSA is not released at this time. However, our schools have been carefully monitoring the performance of disability students utilizing MAP data. Special focus group meetings were held this year between the superintendent leadership team and | ESSR Funds Title I funds Title V funds General fund Preschool funds PPG Funds Idea B funds |
| in Spring 2022 to 35% in Spring 2022 to 35% in Spring 2023. Objective 2 Middle Increase the percentage of middle school students with disabilities scoring proficient or distinguished on KSA Reading | | (EnVision/Pearson SAAVAS) Use of KSA, BRIGANCE, MAP, TSG, ESGI and/or classroom assessment data to provide student specific interventions & make instructional decisions through PD, PLC & PACs in all schools and with the KERA preschool program. | KSA Data Brigance Data ESGI Data NWEA Data TSG Data | each school group to review performance of achievement gap students, with an emphasis on disability students, to ensure that strategies were in place to support their needs. Administrators shared strategies they are utilizing to meet the needs of achievement gap | |
| from 17% in Spring 2022 to 20% in Spring 2023 and on KSA Math from 19% in Spring 2022 to 22% in Spring 2023. Objective 3 High | | Small group intervention through classroom teachers, school support staff, CSRT teachers, Title I staff, and Interventionists to target students close to proficiency and to close gaps in instructional skills | Classroom Performance Data PLC and PAC Agendas KSI Data | students, including small group instruction, increased coteaching and adult support, supplemental resources, ESS, MTSS, and other school specific strategies. | |
| Increase the percentage of high school students with disabilities scoring proficient or distinguished on KSA Reading from 16% in Spring 2022 to 20% in Spring 2023 and on KSA Math from 15% in Spring 2022 to 20% in Spring 2023. | | Maintenance of 5 Star Preschool Program that addresses all preschool curriculum and aligns with KAS kindergarten entry Use of common supplemental resources for skills-based instruction | TSG Data SI - NWEA Pathway Usage Reports | The district also supported schools struggling with achievement gap through taking a team to the TSI conference in Lexington Kentucky. This conference provided schools with strategies to use to support students were not making gains in closing the achievement gap. | |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|------------------------------------|----------|---|---------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Increase the percentage of | | (CARS/STARS & CAMS/STAMS K-8; | Exact Path Usage Reports | The district provided professional | Preschool funds |
| students with disabilities scoring | | BRIGANCE & TSG for PS) | and Progress Data | development in November in | PPG Funds |
| benchmark on the ACT from 7% | | | BRIGANCE, MAP, & | supporting the needs of struggling | Title I funds |
| in Spring 2022 to 10% in Spring | | Computer Assisted Instruction through | Mastery Prep Screeners | learners, with an emphasis on those | Title V funds |
| 2023. | | Odysseyware, Study Island & Exact | with Analysis | with disabilities. | General fund |
| | | Path for differentiated instruction and | | | ESS funds |
| | | tiered interventions | | The district provided many resources | ESSR funds |
| | | Computer Assisted Instruction through | School-led Student | for differentiating instruction that are | Idea B funds |
| | | NWEA-SI individualized learning | Support Team Meetings | tied to students current progress, such | |
| | | pathways for remediation or | ESS Intervention Progress | as the NWEA pathway on Study Island, | |
| | | acceleration for grades 2-8 | Data | Exact Path, etc to ensure that student | |
| | | grades 2 c | Data | needs are targeted and met where | |
| | | ESS instruction to target struggling | Exact Path Usage, | they are. | |
| | | learners with research based | Growth, and | tiley are. | |
| | | instruction and strategies | Performance Reports for | Both high schools engaged in all | |
| | | _ | grades 2-8 | 1 | |
| | | Implementation of school-led KSI | | activities listed for supporting | |
| | | process that ensures all students' | School KSI/RTI Data | disability students taking the ACT, | |
| | | needs are identified and addressed and | | including administering the ACT to | |
| | | parents/guardians are informed of | | sophomores, utilizing Mastery Prep, | |
| | | needs, services and progress | | utilizing ESS, offering ACT tutoring and | |
| | | | | review, and beginning early to prepare | |
| | | Use of classroom performance data, | Intervention Tab Data | students early with Access to Algebra | |
| | | BRIGANCE, screeners, MAP, Mastery | | through Advance Kentucky, to | |
| | | Prep, health information, and parent | Special Education | prepare the students for proficiency in | |
| | | input to determine intervention needs | Eligibility Reports | the assessed areas of the ACT. | |
| | | Implementation of ACT Test Prep | Cian In Charte fan | | |
| | | Sessions for 11th Grade Students at | Sign-In Sheets for | | |
| | | both High Schools utilizing Mastery | training | Next year, goals to improve | |
| | | Prep ACT Curriculum for all 4 ACT | Usage Reports for Online | performance in our middle and high | |
| | | tested areas | test prep materials | schools will be addressed with explicit | |
| | | | test prep materials | instruction in NWEA data strategies | |
| | | Training for Admin, Curriculum and | Mock ACT results | and improving MTSS supports. ESS | |
| | | Teachers on Mastery Prep ACT | | instruction will continue to be utilized | |
| | | Curriculum | | to reach struggling students. The | |
| | | <u> </u> | ı | To reach strapping straction the | |

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|----------|---|---|---|--|
| | | Utilization of practice ACT materials in core content areas (SI; Mastery Prep; ACT Online Academy; Odysseyware ACT prep) Practice ACT in late-Winter at both High Schools for 11th graders | School-led Student Support Team Meetings | district will use RL Title V funds to provide supplemental programming to support needs as determined by MAP data and a Title V needs assessment. | Title IV funds General fund Title V funds ESSR funds ESS funds Title I funds |
| | | Computer Assisted Instruction through Odysseyware for differentiated instruction and tiered interventions | Odysseyware Data | | |
| | | ESS instruction to target struggling learners with research based instruction and strategies | ESS Intervention Progress Data | | |
| | | Implementation of school-led KSI process that ensures all students' needs are identified and addressed and parents/guardians are informed of needs, services and progress | School KSI/RTI Data Intervention Tab Data Special Education Eligibility Reports | | |
| | | | | | |

4: English Learner Progress

Goal 4 (State your English learner goal.): By 2025, Hopkins County schools will increase EL progress as measured by KSA and the WIDA by 5%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|-----------|
| Objective 1 | KCWP 2: Design & Deliver | EL staff will utilize the WIDA, | WIDA data | KSA and WIDA data has not been | Title I |
| | Instruction | ACCESS, classroom assessments and | | returned for EL students on the 23 | Title III |
| By 2023, elementary EL | | work samples, and MAP | ACCESS data | assessment. However, EL staff report | |
| students taking KSA will | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, & | assessments to determine needs | | that 9 students made enough progress | |
| increase in proficiency from | Apply Data | and language proficiency of El | Classroom work | to exit the EL program this year. We | |
| 57% to 60% in reading and | | students. | samples and | believe this will be a high number to | |
| from 57% to 60% in math. | | | assessment data | meet our WIDA targeted increase. | |
| | | Assessment data will be used by EL | | | |
| | | staff to develop PSPs in | MAP data | EL staff have implemented all | |
| Objective 2 | | collaboration with school staff and | | strategies that were listed as they | |
| | | families. PSP will target the needs | PSP plans and | have worked with EL students this | |
| By 2023, students attaining | | of the child considering assessment | monitoring | year. Assessment data was utilized as | |
| benchmark on the WIDA will | | data, home language needs, prior | | they developed PSPs and used to drive | |
| increase from 6.6% to 7.9%. | | knowledge, and cultural assets. | | the small group activities and | |
| | | | | instruction that they implemented | |
| | | EL staff will work with students in | Collaborative and pull | with students. | |
| | | the collaborative and/or pull out | out work samples with | | |
| | | setting utilizing assessment data to | EL staff | El staff provided a family night to help | |
| | | meet the needs of the PSP and to | | parents understand the PSP and how | |
| | | support the learning going on in the | | to utilize Parent Square to translate | |
| | | classroom. | | and communicate with the schools. | |
| | | | | | |
| | | EL staff will provide resources and | Classroom work | | |
| | | strategies that teaching staff can | samples and | | |
| | | use with the EL student between | assessment data | | |
| | | visits to deepen and enrich | | | |
| | | instruction while continuing to | | | |
| | | make progress toward the PSP. | | | |

5: Quality of School Climate and Safety

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.): By 2025, Hopkins County Schools will increase the average Quality of School Climate and Safety Indicator average from 70.03 (81.8 elementary, 66.2 middle, 62.1 high) to 75.0 as measured by the KSA QSCS Survey.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------|
| Objective 1 | KCWP 6: Establishing | Continue to prioritize SRO presence | School safety reports | KSA data has not been returned for us | Title IV |
| By Spring 2023, HCS will | Learning Culture and | and involvement in each of our | | to have a formal climate or safety | School Safety Funds |
| increase the climate indicator | Environment | schools & relationships with | Safety officer walk | indicator score. However, we have put | General Fund |
| average from 73 to 75 as | | students to maintain a safe learning | through information | in place all of the activities to support | Grant funds |
| measured by the KSA QSCS | | environment | | acquisition of these goals. | |
| Survey. | | | Mental Health data | | |
| | | Continue to prioritize mental health | | Hopkins County Schools continues to | |
| | | with partnership with Mountain | Threat Screener / Self | prioritize SRO presence in every | |
| Objective 2 | | Comprehensive Care, providing | Harm Screener Data | building to increase not only safety | |
| By Spring 2023, HCS will | | increased mental health supports in | | but relationships and mentoring. | |
| increase the safety indicator | | schools | Behavior Referrals / | | |
| average from 67 to 70 as | | | Tableau Data | Hopkins County Schools utilized a | |
| measured by the KSA QSCS | | Use of district LCSW, district | | relationship with Mountain | |
| Survey. | | counselor, and retired counselors to | PBIS data, agenda, | Comprehensive Care to provide | |
| | | provide extra and above and | minutes, walk throughs | mental health supports. Next year, | |
| | | beyond supports along with our | | the district is hiring their own team of | |
| | | school counselors in meeting the | Stop bully tip line info | mental health counselors to provide | |
| | | mental health needs of our students | | deeper and more connected supports | |
| | | | Counselor plans / | for mental health in our schools. | |
| | | Utilization of threat screener | information on | | |
| | | protocol and self harm screener | program usage | Hopkins County Schools reduced | |
| | | protocol for research based | | suspensions and increased supports | |
| | | approach for dealing with threats to | Counselor meeting | by utilizing the threat screener and | |
| | | self or others | agendas / minutes | self harm screener protocol this year | |
| | | | | to provide a more comprehensive and | |
| | | Full implementation of PBIS to | SRO meeting agenda / | therapeutic process for supporting | |
| | | support the proactive, instructional | minutes | these scenarios. | |
| | | side of making school a safe place | | | |
| | | to learn and work with targeted | | | |

Goal 5 (State your climate and safety goal.): By 2025, Hopkins County Schools will increase the average Quality of School Climate and Safety Indicator average from 70.03 (81.8 elementary, 66.2 middle, 62.1 high) to 75.0 as measured by the KSA QSCS Survey.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|-----------|----------|--|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| | | supports for students who need | | Hopkins County schools utilized PBIS, | |
| | | them | | Social Emotional curriculums, and | |
| | | | | bullying protocols and tip lines to | |
| | | Use of Project Wisdom, Sources of | | ensure the safety and emotional well | |
| | | Strength, and other mental health / | | being of students. | |
| | | social skills / bullying support | | | |
| | | programs to increase climate, | | | |
| | | safety, and relationship skills of | | | |
| | | students | | | |
| | | Use of StopBullying Tip Line and other anonymous bullying reporting methods to give students a confidential way to confide in an adult | | | |
| | | | | | |

6: Postsecondary Readiness

Goal 6 (State your postsecondary goal.): By 2025, Hopkins County Schools will increase the postsecondary readiness indicator to 90 as measured by the KSA indicator score.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| Objective 1 By 2023, Hopkins | KCWP 2: Design & Deliver | Coordinated tracking system for | Transition Readiness | The official KSA indicator graduation | General Fund |
| County Schools will increase | Instruction | Transition Readiness among HCCTC and | Tracking Tool | score is not available at this time. | |
| students who graduate postsecondary ready to 85 as measured by the KSA indicator score. | KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, & Apply Data | both high schools to track preparatory status and ensure avenues for career and/or academic readiness | TEDS Data & Industry Certifications | readiness, including expanded | Title IV Title V |
| | | Encourage participation in AP and/or dual credit course work | HCCTC Enrollment & Staffing | | |
| | | Implementation of ACT Test Prep Sessions for 11th Grade Students at both High Schools utilizing Mastery Prep ACT Curriculum for all 4 ACT tested areas | Enrollment tracking of AP and Dual Credit Course Work Participation/ Attendance | | |
| | | Training for Admin, Curriculum and Teachers on Mastery Prep ACT Curriculum | Sign-In Sheets for training | | |
| | | Practice ACT in Fall at both High Schools for 11th graders | Usage Reports for Online test prep materials | | |
| | | Utilization of practice ACT materials in | Practice ACT results | | |
| | | core content areas (SI; Mastery Prep; ACT Online Academy; Odysseyware ACT prep) | Early College Enrollment Numbers | | |
| | | Early College through Madisonville Community College target both juniors and seniors | Number of Students graduating with an Associate Degree (by 2024) | | |
| | | Sophomore administration of the ACT | | | |

7: Graduation Rate

Goal 7 (State your graduation rate goal.): By 2025, Hopkins County Schools will increase the graduation rate to 95%.

| Objective | Strategy | Activities | Measure of Success | Progress Monitoring | Funding |
|---|--|---|--|--|----------------------------|
| Objective 1 By 2023, Hopkins | KCWP 2: Design & Deliver | Utilization of Hopkins County Schools | Enrollment | The official graduation rate for 22-3 is | General Fund |
| County Schools will increase the graduation rate from 88% to 91%. | Instruction KCWP 4: Review, Analyze, & Apply Data | Academy as option for students at-risk Utilization of report to identify students who are at risk for dropping out, providing counseling on attendance, behavior, grades, and social skills; and reduce barriers to learning | Graduation Rates Work Ready Initiative Completers Usage Reports for Early Warning Tool | not yet available. However, Hopkins County schools continues to target students at risk for not graduating through our Academy program. The Academy offers a differentiated program to help more students graduate successfully. Hopkins County Schools also continues | Title II Title III FRYSC |
| | | Utilize Title I, Title III, FRYSC, Studer Parent Engagement Surveys, and community resources to engage families in the learning process, identify needs, and reduce barriers to learning to support academic success for all students | Title I, Title III, & FRYSC Family Engagement Activities and Expenditures Studer Parent Engagement Survey Results | to use the early warning tool to identify students who are at risk of not graduating and partners support with counseling, academic intervention, and FRYSC intervention to help students get thier needs met and achieve success. | |

Special Considerations for Districts with Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI) including Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) Schools

Districts with a school identified for TSI (including ATSI) must monitor and provide support to the school to ensure the successful implementation of the school improvement plan (703 KAR 5:280(10)). The local board of education must review and approve the revised school improvement plan for TSI (including ATSI) schools (KRS 160.346(4)(a)).

Monitoring and Support

Consider: Describe the district's plan for monitoring and supporting the school improvement plan of any school identified for TSI/ATSI. Include in your response information regarding the process for local board review and approval.

Response:

Hopkins County Schools has three schools that are identified as TSI - Madisonville North Hopkins High School, Browning Springs Middle School, and South Hopkins Middle School. Each of these school is identified TSI for disability student performance, and BSMS has a second identified TSI area for African American student performance. The district is providing supports to these schools by assisting in the creation of the CSIP plans, regular check ins on monitoring and progress of improvement initiatives, assisting with expenses to attend the TSI conference in January, and ongoing support throughout the school year. The CSIP plans of the TSI schools will be presented to the board of education in a regular meeting for review and approval, along with their progress monitoring later in the year.