School	Name	Position	Favor?	Notes
Anderson County	Robert Meacham	Other (please specify	No	I see a major discepancy at the top of this draft clasification. As AAA has a 1300+ differential between the Smallest(East Jessamine) and the Largest(Scott County). Further compounding the argument is that these two schools are in the same region. I see two possible options that would be more equittable for all Track & Field Athletes in the state: The first option maintains the current system but adjusts the numbers slightly to create an equitable divide between schools in each classification. 1A(0-650) 2A (651-1250) 3A (1301+) The second option breaks into 4 Classifications. 1A(0-400) 2A (401-900) 3A (901-1400) 4A (1401+)This option is even more equittable and can offer a greater number of athletes an opportunity to compete at the highest level. Without adding another State Championship this Classification system could also bring into play a combined 1A/2A and 3A/4A State Championship. This combined State Championships could include more athletes in each event and could still be run over 2 days.
		оттем (развите органа)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Daniel Kanada's		N	I'm a coach. Class 3A looks pretty good, except for Region 4 boys teams. There are only 8 boys teams in Region 4 and Fern Creek, Jeffersontown and Seneca do not field full teams (2 per event and all relays) most of the time. Region 3 has three problem teams as well, but starts out with 12 schools. Under the draft alignment, you could just engrave the regional champion trophy with "Trinity High School" every year, or better yet buy in bulk. One solution would be to put Bullitt East in Region 4 instead of 3. Perhaps also Atherton. I'm concerned that Class A is made less competitive with smaller fields in each event at regional meets since they may not field full teams. Class 2A will be much more competitive than before, with many of the best schools from Class A moving up. Looks like this will raise the self esteem of the small schools and create some tight regional meets at
Assumption	Barry Kornstein	Coach	No	AA.
Atherton	Dave Withers	Other (please specify	No	Atherton is a fairly small public school; we were and are a better fit to remain in Class 2A, Region 3. In terms of cross country, last year our boys team had 15 members; our girls team rostered 6 at regionals. In 2011, we did not even field a full girls team at regionals. Our number of students and athletes come nowhere close to that of St. X, Male, Manual, Butler, PRP, and Bullitt East.
Bath County	tim bailey	Coach	No	It is very hard for a small school to start with let alone compete against schools with 200 to 300 more students. Only west is our size. I am the coach.
Bath County	tim bailey	Other (please specify	No	My word don't amount to a hill of beans but this was done to us once before. Of course it sucks we have to run against these teams all year and now we have to at the region. The schools are double in size to us.
Bath County	Robin Tolle	Other (please specify		
				Bell County should have been moved to Region 7 AA to take Harlan County's
Bell County Bellevue	Jason Stewart Caleb Finch	Other (please specify Other (please specify		place due to location and travel concerns. What was the cutoff for enrollment for the classes?
Delicvae	Odieb i ilicii	Other (picase speeliy	103	I think it works well for class A because the team that usually wins our region has
Berea	Dustin Busler	Other (please specify	Yes	several hundred more students than the rest in our region.
Bryan Station	Rob Lyon	Other (please specify	No	I just have these questions. Where will the regional meets be held. Region 6 in AAA already has planned for the next 3-4 years. How do the schools that are being moved fit into this? Does the KHSAA realize that fewer athletes will qualify for state championships from these schools?
Bullitt Central	Martin Tallent	Other (please specify	Yes	
Burgin	Chris Beckerson	Other (please specify	Yes	I am happy with the position of Burgin in this alignment. I felt in the past that we should be in Region 6 to reduce our travel to Regional meets and this alignment accomplishes this.
Butler County	Jim Melton	Other (please specify	No	Adding 12 more schools to Region 2, 2A, highly reduces the opportunity for our athletes to compete at the state finals. As coaches, we would like to afford our athletes every opportunity to excel at the highest level. Competing at state really builds confidence in these kids.
Carroll County	Joe Creager	Other (please specify	No	Carroll County has seen a big decline in Track and Cross Country numbers in the past few seasons and has never really had a large number of athletes in either of these sports in the first place. Moving the school up into a harder alignment will only cause discouragement among the current athletes because it will decrease their chances of advancing out of Region to the State Meet.

Central Hardin	Chris Bauer	Other (please specify	Yes	
Cooper	Eric Van Laningham	Other (please specify	No	Cooper High School is located in Northern Kentucky and in class AAA, yet has been placed in a region with Louisville schools. This will be a hardship in terms of travel for our school. I'm hoping it's an oversight. Especially in light of the following quote from the press release: "There was also recognition that trying to control travel was of primary concern, even if regions end up with an unbalanced number of teams."
Cooper	pat pidgeon	Other (please specify	No	Why was Cooper HS moved out of its original region? It's location is in NKY which would be with 5.
Covington Catholic Crittenden County	Tom Arnold Angela P. Starnes	Other (please specify Other (please specify		Although the criteria for the alignment states that geographical concerns were accounted for, it still seems that several regions are oversized and extremely vast. Just as one example, 2A region 4 covers an immense area and it seems to be fairly correctable. One or two schools from the west end (like Henry County or Gallatin County) could move to Region 3 or a school from the east end (like Mason County) could move to Region 6 - where they were previously. In a region this large, many schools could end up traveling farther to their regional meet site than they will need to travel to the state meet site. The alignment gives smaller schools an opportunity to be competitive.
				Too many teams in particular regions when others have far less. (25 vs. 13 is ridiculous) Travel and geography do not appear to be fair. Seems that the "larger" percentage wise Class should be A. The reason for this is simple. Larger school districts field larger and often times complete teams. Having 25 teams in a A region is much better than 25 in AA or AAA due to the fact that you would have similar numbers of athletes participating. Example, 25 Class A teams in a region
Daviess County Daviess Springs	Lillie D.E. Brunson Rhonda Simpson	Other (please specify Other (please specify		would probably have the same number of athletes as 13 in Class AA. system. Divide the schools half and half wherever the cut off enrollment is for the mean. Some schools listed don't have cross country teams and some schools listed don't have track teams; it should be based on schools that have both sports not just one or the other (i.e. Whitesville Trinity XC no track; McLean County Track no XC) The geographic distribution with a two class system could allow more regional sites and if we went to a two class system then we could go back to a two day state final with one session in the a.m. and one in the p.m. both days. Keeping a Class A to support all the small private schools that skew the bell curve to the smaller side of enrollment doesn't seem fair. Admittedly there are private schools in the other classes but when approximately 36% is small private schools that seems a bit much. Also, what about Region 2 for AA. 25 teams in the region. That means there could be 50 athletes in the 100, 200, 400 for both boys and girls. On an 8 lane track that is a minimum of 7 heats against time at about 4 minutes per heat if the FAT system is up and running with no glitches a minimum of 28 minutes to contest the girls heats of the 100 and then another say 24 minutes for the boys 100 meter dash. Whew, start at 5:45 p.m on a Friday and finish at 10:30 or 11 p.m. Then drive from John Hardin back to Todd County
Dayton	Barbie Lukens	Other (please specify	Yes	It is about time:) The only other thing that would level the playing field for truly Class A schools is to look at the actual population within the school that participates. There are schools our size, but 80% of their students are also student/athletes. 20% of our students are student/athletes. This is a problem that has and always will be
DeSales	Paul Wise	Other (please specify	No	The drop in numbers of Class A and AA from 610 to 450 is way to much. At DeSales our current enrollment is 314 and has dropped each of the past 2 years. We double our enrollment for reporting purpose. We would have been slightly over the 610. As for the regions, a number of the teams listed in the new Class A region 3 have never fielded teams. DeSales is a small school that can compete in Class A but will not be able to compete with the large schools in Class AA.

Eastern	Mike Horan	Other (please specify Ye	advancement, particularly in cross country. Our advancement model in cross country is based entirely on the number of teams competing in a region, regardless of how good those teams are. Since some regions are small, but incredibly competitive it creates an imbalanced system of advancement. This is contrasted with track, where regions are rewarded not based on the size of the field, but the quality of the field. In track, even if many of the best teams are in the same region the best athletes can all still advance to the state meet to score for their team. Unfortunately this is not the case in cross country. In other sports, like basketball, the goal of a postseason tournament is to produce 1 victorious championship team. So whether the 2nd best team is eliminated in the regional or the Sweet 16 it is inconsequential. However, with sports like cross country and track the goal of the championship meet is not just to identify 1 championship team the goal is to identify, in rank order, all of the best teams in the state. This regional alignment, paired with our system of advancement in cross country, could easily eliminate a top 10 team before the state meet. My problem is more with the system of advancement and less with the regional alignment, but this new alignment does make the inequity more pronounced. Also, Cooper, a school
Elizabethtown	Jeff McNeill	Other (please specify Ye	I suppose I would prefer that the alignment would divided into thirds; however since the new alignment percentages have already been determined I do feel that class 2A region 2 should be changed in some way. In the realignment it would put 25 teams into this region alone. I think that is far too many schools for one region My proposal would be to move Breckenridge County (XC only), Larue County, John Hardin, Bardstown, and Elizabethtown, and maybe Marion County to the Louisville region 3. This would pull the northernmost schools out of region 2 and also aleviate the time zone differences between the northern schools in the region and the southern schools in the region. You would essentially create (2) 20 team regions as well instead of a 15 team region and a 25 team region
Elliott County	Nancy sloas	Other (please specify No	Elliott Co. is being taken completely out of the area and schools we compete with in regular season and thus increasing our expenses and travel time. We on a regular basis compete against in regular season teams Wolfe, Owsley, Paintsville, Pikevile. Only during EKC and Area 7 do we compete against Fairview, Raceland, and the other teams we never travel to or from. With the budgets being as tight as they are in our struggling economy this is going to impact our track team immensely.
Fairview	Bob Stacey	Other (please specify Ye	In the new 1A Region 5, I think there is only one school that has a track, and maybe two that have a XC course In the past it has been hard to come up with a rotation plan with so few choices. Maybe KHSAA with the up of Gordan set/pick the site for both the regional track and the region XC meet!
Green County	Will Hodges	Other (please specify No	The percentages need to be reviewed, evenly divided, or 40-30-30, or 40-35-30. A is skewed too low & AA is skewed too high. The way it is, 2 classes would be better, the current alignment basically does away with A. She ware in a 25 team region, some have 10 or less. Is this good for kids? Transportation is still a problem in the overall alignment so this should not be an excuse for 25 teams. Major changes needed.
Greenup County	Sean Carter	Other (please specify N	I believe that the larger percentage of schools should be distributed into 1A because they are mostly partial teams so to have competition at regionals that lists a full field this needs to be the larger class. 2A and 3A percentages need to be cut down the middle for the remaining percentage. These class of schools field full teams and therefore gives good regional competition and full fields. Therefore with the top 2 competing for each team these athletes should have the same chance at making regionals for each division. The percentages as it stands affects the chances of an athlete making it into state competition with an at large bid. 2A athletes have the least chance of making it since there are a larger percentage of schools in competition.

Hancock County	Wes Meserve	Coach	No	much better than the proposed alignment. The proposed alignment places most of the Class A teams that are moving up to Class AA in precisely the same position that the proposal seeks to eliminate for the teams remaining in Class A. Under the explanation provide by KHSAA, schools that cannot field as many athletes, the smaller schools, should not have to compete against schools that can field more athletes. Coming from a school that has 458 students, I can honestly say this is the first time in many years that we have been able to put multiple athletes in most events at the regional meet. Hopefully, we can continue fielding full teams or nearly full teams. Even if we do field a full team, my school of 458 won't be able to choose from 10 or 12 athletes in each event like the school in the proposed alignment that have more than double our enrollment. We may field a full team SOMETIMES but even then we don't have the resources or numbers of a school from the top end of Class AA's new alignment that has more than double our enrollment. I believe moving schools up or down on a case-bycase basis would be much better than creating a statewide change. Not only does the proposal make the largest class larger, it makes the smallest class smaller.
Hancock County	Wes Meserve	Other (please specify	No	I am currently working with other coaches to develop a counter proposal. I feel that the proposed divisions of Class A 35 %, Class AA 40 %, and Class AAA 25 % for the alignment is not the best option. At the very least I would like an explanation of why the proposed division was selected and why some regions have as few as 8 teams while other regions have well over 20.
Harlan County	Ryan Vitatoe	Other (please specify	Yes	I understand our reasoning for realignment. I'm disappointed to be one of the schools to be bumped up in class, but understand it's based on numbers. I'm on the fence, personally, but selected "yes". My biggest concern is travel costs. Our nearest regional foe is South Laurel, which is an hour and half away from our school by bus. This is the southern most tip of our region, meaning we'll spend 3 hours in some cases traveling to regional meets. In an climate of economic strain, and various cuts in our school's budget, I'm very concerned about the ability to run against regional competition on a regular basis. Our previous region was much kinder to us geographically, and realignment will certainly affect the type of schedule I will be permitted to run by my administration.
Henderson County	Mike Sprague	Other (please specify	Yes	
Henry County	Ricky Drawbaugh	Coach	No	The regions are too spread out. I would prefer to see them closer. Henry Co. is much closer to the Louisville region and the Louisville region has less schools than the one we are proposed to be in. Our travel expenses will increase tremendously, not just for the region meet, but as the cross country coach, I want our kids to compete against region teams meaning we will have to take long trips during the season to compete. I would rather see more regions added so the travel time goes down. I am the coach not the AD, but wanted my opinion heard.
	,			I am a actually a former head coach (now an assistant to do entries and meets). I am also an official. This was sent to coaches but there wasn't a coach option (or other) - so I clicked AD. Looking at our region, we could possibly have to travel 2 1/2 - 3 hours on a bus to go to Mason County, most of the rest would be approximately 1 1/2 hours - one way. We are closer to Louisville and that is where we compete most of the time. The competition of the region has nothing to do with it - in fact in a lot of ways we have been in the biggest and best region of the state - Region 3- AA. KHSAA has almost destroyed the little teams by cutting the season - the bigger invitationals have pretty much choked us out. We even tried to go to a weekday meet for our invitational and had 3 teams want to come. (That didn't even cover the awards). We didn't make enough money to cover the
Henry County	Linda Sarrett	Coach	No	cost of the officials for the meets that we did have. Yes you say that we can start having meets earlier but you just can't pop up an invitational because the weather looks good for that week or that day. With having so many teams so far away, there would be no way we would see any of our competition during the season. We just don't have the money for that kind of travel. It is much easier for us to get to Oldham County/Louisville area. Please reconsider this proposal
Henry County	Linda Sarrett	Coach	No	having meets earlier but you just can't pop up an invitational because the weather looks good for that week or that day. With having so many teams so far away, there would be no way we would see any of our competition during the season. We just don't have the money for that kind of travel. It is much easier for us to get to Oldham County/Louisville area. Please reconsider this proposal. I noticed: In AAA, Cooper High School seems to be placed in the wrong Region since they are in N. Ky and were placed in a Louisville Region. It seems
Henry County Highlands	Linda Sarrett Brian Alessandro	Coach Other (please specify		having meets earlier but you just can't pop up an invitational because the weather looks good for that week or that day. With having so many teams so far away, there would be no way we would see any of our competition during the season. We just don't have the money for that kind of travel. It is much easier for us to get to Oldham County/Louisville area. Please reconsider this proposal. I noticed: In AAA, Cooper High School seems to be placed in the wrong

Holy Cross (Louisville)	Lad Dillard	Other (please specify	Yes	The alignment for region 3 Class A will still be a great region with the loss of Ft Knox, Bethlem, and Bardstown. Our only concern is that we dont have a region host that has hosted region. Ft Knox and Bardstown have been the only region host the last 15 years. I think this also goes along with Cross country having lost Desales also. I think the teams left can come up with a plan but I think it needs to be on the table asap so that we wont be coming into a situation come this fall. Other then that we have no problem with the alignment and hope this all works out for the best.
Hopkins County Central	Jerry Womack	Other (please specify		
Madisonville-North Hopk	Darryl Patton	Other (please specify	No	I believe that numbers should be reviewed on all classes. Some of the larger schools in 3 A have in some cases 450 athletes/students more then the other schools in same class. Perhaps it's time to look at an additional class.
Marshall County Mason County	Cory Westerfield Mark Kachler	Coach	No No	I feel like when you get 15 schools in a region, that needs to be the cap. It doesn't seem logical to me to have 20 schools in one region and 10 in another. Therefore, I would suggest adding another region if need be, especially in Class AA. The cost of adding another region to the KHSAA basically amounts to buying one more set of medals and losing 2 at large spots to automatic qualifiers. Boys and Girls Head Coach. In general I believe the new percentage allocation of teams lessens the field too greatly in single A placing some schools that still are very small from an enrollment standpoint into the AA category. This creates some excessively large AA Regions but more importantly I believe it places many A schools at a heavy disadvantage in the AA division. It also appears to create some regions in single A that will not be able to compile adequate numbers to conduct a typical regional championship while creating other regions in AA that would have huge numbers of potential competitors especially in track and field. The logistics seem out of proportion. Specifically Mason County would request to remain in Region 6 AA. We have been aligned in this region with these schools for the past two alignment periods. The configuration of easily and economically traveled roads connects us with all the schools in Region 6 AA but does not connect us with all the schools in Region 4 AA. The move to Region 4 AA creates added travel burdens and expense to the district above what presently exists. The current region 6 alignment corresponds with both our football alignment as well as the alignment of the Kentucky Track and Cross Country Coaches Association Area 7. The majority of the schools within Region 6AA are within our football
McLean County	Gerald Morris	Other (please specify	No	I am not understanding the division of classes. This will take us away from teams that we have traditionally competed against at regional and draw us into a district further East. I realize the small schools do not leave much in the way of options in alignment, but if given a choice, I would prefer to stay in the current alignment than to change to this alignment. In the end, I am sure that my views are in the minority.
Meade County	Larry Garner	Other (please specify		
,				
Muhlenberg County	John Kirkpatrick	Coach	No	Eliminate the class system! Let's see the best of the best race each other at state.
Nelson County	Dan Bradley	Coach	No	I am responding as a coach, not an AD, but the survey did not include that position choice. The current proposal does not take into account that the 2011-2013 enrollment will NOT be a valid projection for the size of Nelson County High School due to a school split that created Thomas Nelson HS. While our 2011-2012 enrollment of 1400 and our 2012-2013 enrollment of 980 do average over the AAA cutoff of 1050, our current enrollment includes all the seniors in the entire district. As of next year, TNHS, which currently has no senior class, will have a senior class, and our enrollment will drop to approximately 800, where it is expected to stay for the foreseeable future. Leaving us at AAA might make sense according to the formula, but basing any decision on our last biennial enrollment data does not take into account the school split that will result in us being 800 starting next year and then staying that way. Nelson County High School should be relocated to class AA. This presents another problem, as AA Region 2, which is where we'd be placed, would swell to 25 teams, which is far to big for a region and a disservice to the students of that region both in time spent on the road and an unfairly difficult chances for post-season competition.
	1			I am not the Athletic Director, but there wasn't an option of "Coach" when
	5		.,	·
Newport Central Catholic Newport Central Catholic	· ·	Coach Other (please specify	Yes	selecting my position. It seems this is what was done in the past, so I have no problem with it.

				It may be a good idea to consider moving some of the northern teams in Region 2AA into Region 3AA to alleviate the number of teams currently in that region. It
North Oldham	David St. Louis	Other (please specify	Yes	may also be a good idea to consider moving some of the western teams of that region in Region 1AA as it is easily the largest region in the state. Elizabethtown, Bardstown, and Thomas Nelson would all be possibilities to move into Region 3AA and that would not make that region too large.
Owen County	jerry doolin	Other (please specify		You have schools in there with just either all boys or all girls how is that fair to a school with both boys and girls if you double their enrollment then they would be in a different alignment. I have 54 kids total counting middle school. on the average 18 each in HS school. How many male competitors do a private school or female school have?
Owershare	Madi Dawa	Other (alegae area)	Vaa	However, I would be in favor of a more balanced split (percentage wise) between the three classes. As it stands now, Class 2A encompasses 40% of the schools
Owensboro Owsley County	Mark Rowe Sylvia Havicus	Other (please specify Other (please specify		which includes the very large (too large??) Region 2.
Perry County Central	Cynthia Cory	Coach	No	I am Coach of cross country not AD. Division 2 is too large. The distance traveled is great in region 7 which encompasses many low income schools with tight budgets.
Pikeville	Lisa Asbury	Coach	Yes	I like the proposed alignment. Thanks.
				I feel that it is time to consider track and cross country to go to a 4 class system. As it looks now, the allignment was made with with only one class in mind, 1A. The way AA and AAA were aligned we a bit skew. The progression from top of AAA to the Bottom of AAA the gap in enrollment is huge. Not to mention 2 regions
Pulaski County Ryle	Mike Morgan Jim Wihebrink	Coach Other (please specify	No	in AA with 20+ teams in them.
Shelby County	Andrew Danner	Other (please specify	No	The alignment is uneven, placing as many as 19 schools in some regions and as few as 8 in others. I understand that this is taking into consideration travel time and expense, however with the qualification standards for cross country being based on the number of schools that show up at the Region meet, this gives an unfair advantage to some regions and teams. I know for a fact most of the Jefferson County schools that are not in 3A do not regularly field full teams, which essentially shrinks their regions to groups of 5-6. At the very least the number of schools in each region should be made even (or the qualification rules changed, but that is a different discussion). Kentucky is a small enough state that it should be possible to make regions of roughly equal number without forcing schools to travel much more than an hour to their region site.
Somerset	Mike Crowhurst	Other (please specify	No	Moving Somerset (enrollment 493) from Class A to AA (enrollment cap 1050) where enrollments could be more than twice our size does not seem equitable. Further we are being placed in possibly the most competitive AA region in the state. Our school has no chance to compete with the larger AA schools. Region 6A has not been that competitive since Green County was moved out of the region to Region 2A. We would like to be in a more competitive region, but really should not be competing against schools that are twice our size. The proposed re-alignment for Track and Cross Country. In the sport of track to win a regional team title it is a game of numbers, even more than talent, any and all track coaches will agree with that. Putting a school within a class ranking where the enrolled numbers could be half that of another school within that class is not at all fair. Example: Somerset470 students vs Franklin Co985 students. East Jessamine 1100 students vs Ryle2200. This is in no way an even match up in regards to a team concept. More than any sport including football track teams have to have a competive number of at least 40 kids to compete for a regional team titlefor a state title a team could have 4 or 5 excepational athletes and compete for a team title but the dynamics of the state meet are much different than in region. Also I have an issue with the numbers of schools within a region. One region has 10 schools while another has 21 schools, I do realize there are geographical limitations but this needs more work done, especially when you have a bus drive of 3 hours from one school to another school within your own region (Example: Bell Co to Franklin Co) This realignment needs much more work and thought before this is finalized. Please consider the facts presented. Remember
Somerset	Compress Little Col	Other (please specify	NIC	the KHSAA's concept of a the "Level Playing Field"

		.,	Go back to prelims and finals for regional and state. Consider a system without
Mike Brockman	Other (please specify	Yes	classes like basketball and baseball.
Mott House	Cooch	No	As a school potentially moving into Class 2A Region 2, we feel it is too large both geographically and in terms of number of teams. While often one or the other cannot be avoided, both does not seem fair to anyone slated to go to that region. Perhaps the north-eastern-most schools, such as Bardstown, us, Wasington Co. (and Nelson Co. once they are placed in the right class) should be moved to Region 3. Or, the Bowling Green and south-western-most schools should be moved to another region. and we would stay in with E'town, stretching south east to Russell Co If Nelson County is split geographically. Thomas Nelson is the western-most school and Nelson Co. is the eastern. Bardstown is in the center. I am filling this out as a coach. There was no option for coach in the drop down.
Matt Hoyes	Coacn	NO	However, I have the full support of my principal.
Elizabeth Fitch	Coach	No	A move like this will kill our track program. We hardly have enough to field a team anyway. This year we had 14 boys and 9 girls. When you start asking them to not only compete with much larger teams and schools but also ask them to travel as far as we will have to now, I will have no athletes who want to make those sacrifices. They will choose baseball, softball, or to sit out and find trouble. Please reconsider these changes. Thank you.
Bryan Jones	Other (please specify	No	
Chad L. Waggoner	Other (please specify	No	I believe it would be fair to the student-athletes of Cooper HS to be in a regional closer to their home school versus finding themselves in Class AAA, Region 4
Joe cordonnier	Other (please specify	Yes	Asit is now, the A division is more difficult than AA so this should help out even the playing field. It will make hosting regionals more difficult since few A schools have their own tracks.
			The only issue that I have with the proposed alignment is the distribution of the regions. In 2A region 2 there are 26 teams proposed. Region1 has 10. The only logical reason I can see for this arrangement is the geographical and financial aspects. That is Avery valid argument as Logan county has been required to travel to Paducah for region in the previous alignment. I would support this alignment alongside a modification of the state meet qualifications. An athlete in a region with 10 teams has a lot better chance of gaining and automatic qualifying spot that an athlete that has 26 teams in eir region. In my opinion, the KHSAA should also consider qualifying standards for the state meet and the performances
Chris STUNSON			must be recorder at highly regarded meets in the state. on the proposed regions. I am against the extremely unbalanced regions that were proposed in Class AA. To have just fourteen schools in Region 3 and to have 25 in Region 2 is troubling. My suggestions is to divide the state in to three categories. Regions 1 and 2 would consist of schools in the Central Time Zone. Regions 3, 4, and 5 would consists of schools in the Central Kentucky area. Regions 6 and 7 would divide teams in the eastern part of the state. As a coach at Warren East, I know the difficulty of having to adjust to a meet on Eastern Time, and we live less than an hour from that line. I cannot image a school like Todd County Central having to travel much further and having to lose an hour on their way to a meet. I know this is a difficult decision, and I do not envy your position. However, if we were to keep the above format, my proposition for realignment would be the following: Region 1 Butler Co Breckenridge Co Caldwell Co Calloway Co Fort Campbell Hancock Co Hopkins Co Central Owensboro Catholic Paducah Tilghman Todd Co Central Trigg Co Union Co Webster Co Region 2 Adair Co Allen Co-Scottsville Clinton Co Edmonson Co Franklin-Simpson Glasgow Green Co Hart Co Metcalfe Co Monroe Co Russell Co South Warren Warren Central Warren East Region 3 Bardstown CAL
	Bryan Jones Chad L. Waggoner Joe cordonnier	Matt Hoyes Coach Elizabeth Fitch Coach Bryan Jones Other (please specify Chad L. Waggoner Other (please specify Joe cordonnier Other (please specify Chris STUNSON Other (please specify	Matt Hoyes Coach No Elizabeth Fitch Coach No Bryan Jones Other (please specify No Chad L. Waggoner Other (please specify Yes Other (please specify Yes Chris STUNSON Other (please specify No

Wayne County Williamsburg	Kevin Jones John F. Harris	Other (please specify		Should have a had a 'maybe' optionhahaha. I think progressive change is good for everybody involved. My personal concern is how this will effect Regional rotation plans, specifically the 2103 Cross Country season. We are in Region 5 Class AA and the Regional Cross Country Championships are scheduled to be held on our home course, Cave Lake Event Park. This is something our upcoming senior runners have been looking forward to for several years and it would be a disappointment if doesn't happen as we have planned. Thanks for your consideration and Thanks for all you do for Kentucky High School Athletics. Some schools are on the list twice and geography is incorrect for some schools?
Warren East	Tara Henshaw	Other (please specify	No	Class 2A Region 2 would be the largest region in the state with 25 teams. That is astronomical! To host a regional meet with that many teams is very deterrent. I suggest putting some of the teams in the Hardin County area in an other region or move a few other schools. With this large amount of schools it will also make it a lot more difficult for the 5 individuals to make it to the state cross-country meet.
Warren East	Darren Waldo	Other (please specify	No	involved due to the extreme amount of square milage covered by this region. Additionally the sheer number of schools involved in this alignment promotes economical issues due to travel with schools ranging from Western KY to the Ohio River to the Lake Cumberland region to the Louisville area. With 25 possible schools involved and right now no school that is centrally located with a rubberized track it would not be feasible to conduct a regional track meet with possible rain dates as the current region 2 prefers to do. Also with that number of athletes competing it would only make sense to do preliminaries on 1 day and finals 2 days later, but once again we get into the economical impact as well as the concern that these are STUDENT-athletes and regionals take place right smack in the middle of testing windows (AP, EOC, and K-PREP (for 7/8 graders). Time zones are also an issue due to the timing in which schools would have to leave school during the day to make it to the regional track meet with adequate time to warm-up and prepare. I would suggest looking at this map (http://goo.gl/maps/KRakW) of the proposed 25 schools in this region and look at cutting it into 2 regions with a north and a south. This option would possible allow the KHSAA to maintain similar sized regions (although I haven't looked at region 5